DIGEST OF TESTIMONY PRESENTED AND STATEMENTS SUBMITTED FOR INCLUSION IN RECORD OF HEARINGS ON H.R. 10606 (Public Welfare Amendments of 1962)



Printed for the Use of the Committee on Finance

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON: 1962

84000

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

HARRY FLOOD BYRD, Virginia, Chairman

ROBERT 8, KERR, Oklaboma
RUSSELL B. LONG, Louisiana
GEORGE A. SMATHERS, Florida
CLINTON P. ANDERSON, New Mexico
PAUL H. DOUGLAS, Illinois
ALBERT GORE, Tennessee
HERMAN E. TALMADGE, Georgia
EUGENE J. McCARTHY, Minnesota
VANCE HARTKE, Indiana
J. W. FULBRIGHT, Arkansas

JOHN J. WILLIAMS, Delaware FRANK CARLSON, Kansas WALLACE F. BENNETT, Utah JOHN MARSHALL BUTLER, Maryland CARL T. CURTIS, Nebraska THRUSTON B. MORTON, Kentucky

ELIZABETH B. SPRINGER, Chief Clerk

n

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A.	Provisions of H.R. 10606 as passed by the House of Representatives
	Sec. 101. Rehabilitation services and training of welfare personnel
	Sec. 102. Expansion of child welfare, provision of day care
	Sec. 103. Welfare services for ADC children
	Sec. 105. Community work and training programs (ADC)
	Sec. 106. Disregarding expenses of employment in determining need.
	Sec. 107. Use of voucher and other payments in ADC
	Sec. 108. Protective payments for ADC children
	Sec. 109. Payment to both parents in ADC.
	Sec. 121. Advisory council
	Sec. 122. Demonstration project—waiver of State plan requirements.
	Sec. 123. Training grants to States for public welfare personnel
	Sec. 131(a). ADC payments for families with unemployed parents
	Sec. 131(b). ADC payments for children in foster homes
	Sec. 132. Increase in Federal share for aged, blind, and disabled
	programs.
	Sec. 133. Assistance to repatriated American citizens
	Sec. 134. Termination of ADC if unemployed parent refuses retrain-
	ing
	Sec. 136. State aid to blind plans which do not meet income and
	resources test requirement (Missouri-Pennsylvania)
	Sec. 141. Optional combined State plan for aged, blind, and disabled
	(title XVI)
В.	Provisions of administration bill deleted by the House of Representa-
	tives
	Residence requirements—reduction of allowable maximum and addi-
	tional Federal matching for complete removal
	Direct training grants for ADC and child welfare personnel
	Removal of dollar limitation on public assistance payments to Puerto
	Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam
	Utilizing voluntary private welfare services in providing services to
	public assistance recipients
	Responsibility for placement and foster care of dependent children
C.	Miscellaneous

A.—PROVISIONS OF H.R. 10606 AS PASSED BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEC. 101—REHABILITATION SERVICES AND TRAINING OF WELFARE PERSONNEL (75-PERCENT MATCHING)

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
110	Abraham Ribicoff, Secretary of Health, Educa-	Favors section.
207	tion, and Welfare. National Federation of Settlements & Neighbor-	Favors section. Recommends rehabilitation services provided under
234	hood Centers. Marvin E. Larson, American Public Welfare Asso-	the bill be available to recipients of MAA. Favors section, but opposed to language added by House relating
244	ciation. Wayne Vasey, National Association of Social	to services available from vocational rehabilitation agencies. Do.
256	Workers. Kennard J. Besse, Illinois Chamber of Commerce.	Opposes increased Federal matching for services and training. Opposes Federal matching for services to persons likely to become relief recipients.
266	Joseph E. Baldwin, director, Milwaukee County Department of Public Welfare.	Favors section.
285	Clark W. Blackburn, Family Service Association of America.	Do.
345	William R. MacDougall, National Association of County Officials.	Favors section, but objects to language, "In accordance with such methods and procedures as may be permitted by the Secretary" in determining 75-percent matching. Thinks this feature of the bill deserves more specific and definite implementation than the present language provides. Opposed to making services mandatory and having them prescribed by the Secretary.
392	New York State Catholic Welfare Committee	Opposed to section unless provisions of H.R. 10032 providing for use of services of voluntary nonprofit private agencies are restored to the bill.
398	Dr. W. Judd Chapman, American Optometric Association.	Recommends including optometric services among rehabilitative services.

SEC. 101—REHABILITATION SERVICES AND TRAINING OF WELFARE PERSONNEL (75-PERCENT MATCHING)—Continued

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
407	Rev. Dr. Henry C. Koch, National Council of	Favors direct aid for social work training as well as for fellowships.
400	Churches.	70
422 446	Nelson H. Cruikshank, AFL-CIO	Favors section. Do.
515	A. D. Puth, National Rehabilitation Association	Favors section with language from House bill as to vocational rehabilitation services.
518	Welles A. Gray, Council of State Chambers of Commerce.	Supports principal objectives of sec. 101, but questions increase of Federal matching from 50 to 75 percent.
557	Miss Cernoria D. Johnson, National Urban	Favors section.
209	League. Council of Jewish Federations & Welfare Funds, Inc.	Do.
591	National Association of Social Workers, Santa Clara Chapter.	Do.
588	Citizens Committee for Children of New York, Inc.	Do.
581	National Association on Service to Unmarried Parents.	Do.
583	Georgia Federation of the Blind, Inc.	Do.
380	Henry G. Hotchkiss, president of the Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies.	Do.
594	Irvin P. Schloss, legislative analyst, American Foundation for the Blind.	Do.
595	Chamber of Commerce of the United States	Favors objectives but opposed to making the furnishing of such services mandatory on each of the States and to increasing the Federal share of cost of such services from 50 to 75 percent.
597	American Farm Bureau Federation	Against raising matching to 75 percent.
597	Family and Child Services of Washington, D.C	Favors section.

599	Dale H. Helsper, State director, Department of Public Welfare, Santa Fe, N.Mex.	for grants) in connection with the administration of the categorical
569	Rhode Island Department of Public Welfare	in all instances where special caseloads not to exceed 60 per worker
579	Family Services Society, New Orleans	are established. Favors section.

SEC. 102—EXPANSION OF CHILD WELFARE, PROVISION OF DAY CARE

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
139-40	Abraham Ribicoff, Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.	Favors section.
206	National Federation of Settlements & Neighbor- hood Centers.	Favors day care integrated with existing health and educational services of the community.
209	Council of Jewish Federations & Welfare Funds, Inc.	Favors section including the provision for day care.
236	Marvin E. Larson, American Public Welfare Association.	Favors section, but day care facilities should not be promoted as a means for exerting pressure on ADC mothers to take employment when it is not in the best interest of the family.
250	Paul Harper, M.D., American Public Health Association.	Favors section. Recommends that ceilings for maternal and child health and for crippled children be raised in same manner as provided for child welfare. Also recommends grants to support research projects to improve maternal and child health services (S. 2273) and assistance to cities to make maternal and child health and crippled children services available to low-income families.
256	Kennard J. Besse, Illinois Chamber of Commerce	Opposes increased authorization above \$25,000,000. States should finance expansion of child welfare services.
266	Joseph E. Baldwin, director, Milwaukee County Department of Public Welfare.	Favors day care.
281	Mariana Jessen, executive director, National Committee for the Day Care of Children, Inc.	Favors section.
330	George Hecht, American Parents Committee, Inc.	Favors section. Recommends (1) increase in the authorizations for maternal and child health services, crippled children's services from \$25,000,000 to \$50,000,000. (2) Authorization for extension and improvement of maternal and child health services in cities of 100,000 population or more, \$10,000,000 in 1963; increasing to \$20,000,000 in 1971.
345	William R. MacDougall, National Association of County Officials.	Favors section.

386	Msgr. Raymond J. Gallagher, National Conference of Catholic Charities.	Favors day care but only in cases of financial need. Does not believe that the bill spells out sufficiently the element of financial need.
392	New York State Catholic Welfare Committee	Opposes provisions for day care. Urges studies to determine whether day care can be used to effectively help public assistance recipients.
446	Gov. John Patterson, Alabama	Favors section.
516	A. D. Puth, National Rehabilitation Association	Favors section. Wants similar increase for crippled children's program.
551	Joseph H. Reid, Child Welfare League of America.	Favors section.
591	American Legion	Do.
591	National Association of Social Workers, Santa Clara Chapter.	Do.
580	St. Louis County Welfare Advisory Committee	Favors amendment "to include identifiable funds for homemakers service for children whose mothers are temporarily ill or incapacitated."
583	Georgia Federation of the Blind, Inc	Favors section.
380	Henry G. Hotchkiss, president of the Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies.	Do.
559	Evangeline Burgess	Do.
595	Irvin P. Schloss, American Foundation for the Blind.	Recommends (1) that the authorization for maternal and child health services and crippled children's services be increased on the same basis as the authorization for child welfare services, (2) to include the provisions of S. 2273, which would authorize research projects in maternal and child health services and crippled children's services.
588	Citizens Committee for Children of New York, Inc.	Favors section.
579	Family Service Society, New Orleans	Do.
593	Kentucky Department of Child Welfare.	$\mathbf{D_0}$.

SEC. 103—WELFARE SERVICES FOR ADC CHILDREN

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
235 345	Marvin E. Larson, American Public Welfare Association. William R. MacDougall, National Association of County Officials.	

SEC. 105—COMMUNITY WORK AND TRAINING PROGRAMS (ADC)

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
140	Abraham Ribicoff, Secretary of Health, Educa-	Favors section with safeguards in bill.
207	tion, and Welfare. National Federation of Settlements & Neighborhood Centers.	Favors section with safeguards—chiefly, that the occupation learned or taught be useful to the community as well as rehabilitation.
209	Council of Jewish Federations & Welfare Funds, Inc.	Favors section.
237	Marvin E. Larson, American Public Welfare Association.	In general agreement. Points out practical difficulties: (1) "overall costs * * * would increase the cost of assistance for a minimal return in civic benefit." (2) Is this a proper function of a public welfare program?
255, 257 266	Kennard J. Besse, Illinois Chamber of Commerce Joseph E. Baldwin, Milwaukee County Depart- ment of Public Welfare.	Favors section. Do.
348	William R. MacDougall, National Association of County Officials.	Favors section, but objects to provision requiring "prevailing wage rates." Feels that the minimum rate provided by the State law is adequate protection.
422 563	Nelson H. Cruikshank, AFL-CIO Pennsylvania Department of Public Welfare	Favors section, with "prevailing wage" and health and safety standards. Favors section. Recommends that relief works may also be performed by nonprofit private community agencies under the identical safeguards and limitations that apply to public agencies.
591 595 582	American Legion Chamber of Commerce of the United States Olga S. Halsey	Favors section. Do. Amend proposed sec. 409: After the words, "performing work," add "or taking an approved course of training designed to facilitate return to regular employment".

SEC. 106—DISREGARDING EXPENSES OF EMPLOYMENT IN DETERMINING NEED—EXEMPTION OF • CERTAIN EARNINGS OF CHILDREN

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
140	Abraham Ribicoff, Secretary, Health, Education and Welfare.	Favors section.
209	Council of Jewish Federations and Welfare Funds, Inc.	Do.
239	Marvin E. Larson, American Public Welfare Association.	Do.
255, 257 262	Kennard J. Besse, Illinois Chamber of Commerce George McLain, California & National League of Senior Citizens, California Citizens Advisory Committee on Aging.	Do. Provision should be extended to old-age-assistance recipients to allow them to earn at least \$50 a month without reduction of benefit.
347	William R. MacDougall, National Association of County Officials.	Favors section, particularly exemption for children.
360	John F. Nagle, National Federation of the Blind	Provision for disregarding "any expenses reasonably attributable to the earning of any such income," not sufficiently definite. Recommends use of the words "net income" from property, produce, or business enterprise, determined by deducting from gross income all normal items of expense incident to its receipt. An enumeration of certain exempted expenses is provided.
518	Welles A. Gray, Council of State Chambers of Commerce.	Favors section.
585	Oregon State Public Welfare Commission	Favors section. Recommends that reasonable share of earnings child has worked for be disregarded completely.
591	National Association of Social Workers, Santa Clara Chapter.	Favors section.
582	Olga S. Halsey	Recommends amendment: After words "any such income" add "while taking an approved training course designed to facilitate return to regular employment".
583 375	Georgia Federation for Blind, Inc	Favors section. Do.

SEC. 107—USE OF VOUCHER AND OTHER PAYMENTS IN ADC

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
137-138	Abraham Ribicoff, Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.	Opposed to language which would allow State to take "any other action authorized under State law in behalf of the child" except the denial
137	Elizabeth Wickenden, National Social Welfare Assembly.	assistance to the child. Do.
207	National Federation of Settlements and Neighbor- hood Centers.	Opposed to section.
209	Council of Jewish Federations & Welfare Funds	Do.
213	Community Service Society	Do.
238	Marvin E. Larson, American Public Welfare Association.	Opposed to clause allowing agencies to take any action authorized in State law.
245	Wayne Vasey, National Association of Social Workers.	Opposed to section.
255		Favors section.
264	Rudolph P. Pohl, Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors; Joseph E. Baldwin, director, Mil- waukee County Department of Public Welfare; Charles O'Neill, Society of St. Vincent de Paul, Milwaukee.	Favors section, but county welfare department, rather than State agency, should decide whether money payments are being used in best interests of children; ADC should be available in form of commodities (or vouchers) and localities should be allowed to demand accounting from recipients where necessary for rehabilitation or prevention of misuse of funds.
276	Joint resolution of Milwaukee Legislature	Memorializing Congress to enact legislation to permit localities to demand an accounting from ADC cash recipients and to permit distribu-
286	Clark Blackburn, Family Service Association of America.	tion of such aid in commodity or voucher form in appropriate cases. Opposed to section.
333	George Hecht, American Parents Committee, Inc.	Do.
347	William R. MacDougall, National Association of County Officials.	Favors section.

SEC. 107-USE OF VOUCHER AND OTHER PAYMENTS IN ADC-Continued

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
387	Msgr. Raymond J. Gallagher, National Confer-	Opposed to language in the bill that allows "any other action authorized
408	ence of Catholic Charities. Rev. Dr. Hency C. Koch, National Council of Churches.	Under State law." Opposed to section.
423	Nelson H. Cruikshank, AFL-CIO	Do.
452	Henry A. Wise, New York State Senator	Favors section.
551	Joseph H. Reid, Child Welfare League of America.	Opposed to language which allows States to take "any other action authorized by State law in behalf of the child" except denial of assistance to child.
555	Cernoria D. Johnson, National Urban League	Opposed to section.
576	R. Winfred Tyndall, executive director, Associated Family & Child Service Agency, Winston-Salem, N.C.	Against, including the language "any such action taken by the State agency pursuant to such State law."
592	National Association of Social Workers, Santa Clara Chapter.	Opposed to section.
580	Carl W. Haffenreffer	Do.
581	The Boys & Girls Aid Society of Oregon	Do.
380	Henry G. Hotchkiss, president of the Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies.	Do.
597	American Farm Bureau	Favors section.
598	Family & Child Services of Washington, D.C	Opposed to section.
598	Family Service Association of Greater Boston	Do.
602	Illinois Public Aid Commission	Favors section.
586	Jewish Community Council of Essex County	Opposed to section.
575	Maryland State Conference of Social Welfare	Do.
563	Pennsylvania Department of Public Welfare	Do.
564	Family Service Association of Bucks County, Pa.	Opposed to section without inclusion of safeguards.

SEC. 108—PROTECTIVE PAYMENTS FOR ADC CHILDREN

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
137	Abraham Ribicoff, Secretary, Health, Education, and Welfare.	Favors section—does not object to House's upping of number of eligible cases to 5 percent of recipients.
207	National Federation of Settlements & Neighbor- hood Centers.	Favors section.
238	Marvin E. Larson, American Public Welfare Association.	Do.
245	Wayne Vasey, National Association of Social Workers.	Do.
257	Kennard J. Besse, Illinois Chamber of Commerce	Favors section. Questions whether 5-percent limitation provides suffi-
266	Rulolph P. Pohl, Milwaukee County Board of	cient latitude. Recommends eliminating 5-percent limitation.
267	Supervisors. Joseph E. Baldwin, Milwaukee County Depart-	Favors section without 5-percent limitation.
347	ment of Public Welfare. William R. MacDougall, National Association of County Officials.	Favors section.
387	Msgr. Raymond J. Gallagher, National Conference of Catholic Charities.	Do.
408	Rev. Dr. Henry C. Koch, National Council of Churches.	Do.
423	Nelson H. Cruikshank, AFL-CIO	Favors original administration proposal limiting to ½ of 1 percent of recipients.
556	Miss Cernoria D. Johnson, National Urban League.	Favors section.
584	Child Welfare Board of Summit County (Ohio)	Opposed to 5-percent limitation; recommends 21/2 percent.
590	American Legion	Favors section.
595	Chamber of Commerce of the United States.	Do.
597	American Farm Bureau Fed ration	Do.
598 575	Family & Child Services of Washington, D.C Maryland State Conference of Social Welfare	Do. Do.

SEC. 109—PAYMENTS TO BOTH PARENTS IN ADC

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	· •	Comments	
136	Abraham Ribicoff, Secretary, Health, Education, and Welfare.	Favors section.		
237	Marvin E. Larson, American Public Welfare Association.	Do.		
258 286	Kennard J. Besse, Illinois Chamber of Commerce_ Clark Blackburn, Family Service Association of America.	Opposed to section. Favors section.		
519	Welles A. Gray, Council of State Chambers of Commerce.	Opposed to section.		
591	National Association of Social Workers, Santa Clara Chapter.	Favors section.		
591	American Legion	Do.		
583	Georgia Federation for the Blind, Inc	Do.		

SEC. 121—ADVISORY COUNCIL

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
209	Council of Jewish Federations & Welfare Funds,	Favors section.
240	Inc. Marvin E. Larson, American Public Welfare Association.	Do.
258	Kennard J. Besse, Illinois Chamber of Commerce.	Opposed to that part of section which authorizes appointment of subscure quent advisory councils after July 1, 1966.
349	William R. MacDougall, National Association of County Officials.	Favors section, but county government should be represented.
590	American Legion	Favors section.

SEC. 122—DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS—WAIVER OF STATE PLAN REQUIREMENTS

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
141	Abraham Ribicoff, Secretary, Health, Education, and Welfare.	Favors section.
239	Marvin E. Larson, American Public Welfare Association.	Do.
258	Kennard J. Besse, Illinois Chamber of Commerce	Opposed to section.
345	William R. MacDougall, National Association of County Officials.	Favors section.
426	Myles B. Amend, New York State Board of Social Welfare.	Do.

SEC. 123—TRAINING GRANTS TO STATES FOR PUBLIC WELFARE PERSONNEL

(See also House bill deletions No. 2)

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
208	National Federation of Settlements & Neighbor- hood Centers.	Favors section.
209	Council of Jewish Federations & Welfare Funds, Inc.	Do.
234–35	Marvin E. Larson, American Public Welfare Association.	Do.
267	Joseph E. Baldwin, Milwaukee County Depart- ment of Public Welfare.	Do.
349	William R. MacDougall, National Association of County Officials.	Do.
518	Welles A. Gray, Council of State Chambers of Com- merce.	Do.
584	Child Welfare Board of Summit County, Ohio	Do.
583	Georgia Federation for the Blind, Inc.	Do.
380	Henry G. Hotchkiss, Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies.	Do.
597	Family & Child Services of Washington, D.C	Do.
575	Maryland State Conference of Social Welfare	Do.
579	Family Service Society, New Orleans	Do.

SEC. 131(a)—ADC PAYMENTS FOR FAMILIES WITH UNEMPLOYED PARENTS

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
112	Abraham Ribicoff, Secretary, Health, Education,	Favors section.
208	and Welfare. National Federation of Settlement & Neighborhood Centers.	Do.
209	Council of Jewish Federations & Welfare Funds, Inc.	Do.
236	Marvin E. Larson, American Public Welfare Association.	Do.
258	Kennard J. Besse, Illinois Chamber of Commerce	Opposed to section. If extended the bill should be amended to prohibit use of ADC funds to pay strikers and their families.
266	Joseph E. Baldwin, Milwaukee County Depart- ment of Public Welfare.	Favors section.
286	Clark Blackburn, Family Service Association of	Do.
387	Msgr. Raymond J. Gallagher, National Conference of Catholic Charities.	Should be continued on a temporary basis and fathers given priority for training under the Manpower Development and Training Act.
413	Thomas H. Kuchel, Senator from California	Favors section.
422	Nelson H. Cruikshank, AFL-CIO	Do.
450	Henry A. Wise, State Senator from New York	Opposed to section.
519	Welles A. Gray, Council of State Chambers of Commerce.	Do.
557	Miss Cernoria D. Johnson, National Urban League.	Favors section.
591	American Legion	$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{O}}$.
591	National Association of Social Workers, Santa Clara Chapter.	Do.
583	Georgia Federation for the Blind, Inc.	Do.
380	Henry G. Hotchkiss, President, Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies.	Do.
59 6	Chamber of Commerce of the United States	Opposed to section.
602	Illinois Public Aid Commission	Favors section.
375	Maryland State Conference of Social Welfare	Do.

SEC. 131(b)—ADC PAYMENTS FOR CHILDREN IN FOSTER HOMES

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
137	Abraham Ribicoff, Secretary, Health, Education and Welfare.	Favors section.
209 255 239	Council of Jewish Federations & Welfare Funds Kennard J. Besse, Illinois Chamber of Commerce Marvin E. Larson, American Public Welfare Association.	Do. Do. Do.
343	William R. MacDougall, National Association of County Officials	Favors section but recommends that child placed by State administrative procedure should be eligible like one placed by court order (or specified in law). Also children in foster homes should receive aid regardless of whether they are receiving ADC at time of placement or regardless of the time they were placed in the foster home.
518	Welles A. Gray, Council of State Chambers of Commerce.	Favors section.
583 375 595	Georgia Federation for the Blind, Inc	Do. Do. Do.
599	A. B. McCready Chairman of Welfare, State of Idaho County Commissioners and Clerks Association.	Recommends (1) "any dependent child in a foster home should be entitled to Federal assistance the same as a child in the ADC family," (2) act should "include a dependent child placed in a foster home by any approved State procedure and without regard to whether the child was receiving ADC at the time he was placed in the foster home."

SEC. 132—INCREASE IN FEDERAL SHARE FOR AGED, BLIND, AND DISABLED PROGRAMS

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
141	Abraham Ribicoff, Secretary, Health, Education, and Welfare.	Favors initial administration proposal of \$1 increase in Federal matching. House \$5 provision would present a serious fiscal problem.
213	John H. Mathis, Community Service Society	Favors section, also favors increase in ADC payments to a commensurate level.
247	Wayne Vasey, National Association of Social Workers.	Favors section, also favors ADC payments raised to a commensurate level.
260	Kennard J. Besse, Illinois Chamber of Commerce.	Opposed to section.
286	Clark Blackburn, Family Services Association of America.	Also favors ADC increases.
333	George Hecht, American Parents Committee, Inc.	Do.
348	William R. MacDougall, National Association of County Officials.	Favors section.
363	John F. Nagle, National Federation of the Blind.	Favors section, but wants amended to require that the additional \$5 in Federal money be passed on to recipients.
423	Nelson H. Cruikshank, AFL-CIO	Favors section, also matching grants for ADC program should be liberalized.
445	John Patterson, Governor of Alabama	Favors section.
519	Welles A. Gray, Council of State Chambers of Commerce	Opposed to section.
551	Joseph H. Reid, Child Welfare League of America.	Federal matching for ADC should also be increased.
189	Elizabeth Wickenden, National Social Welfare Assembly.	Favors increase in ADC payments.
210	National Federation of Settlements and Neighbor- hood Centers.	Do.
585	Child Welfare Board of Summit County (Ohio)	Do.
590	American Legion	Do.
592	National Association of Social Workers, Santa Clara Chapter.	Do.
569		Do.

580 581 582 381	Nevada State Welfare Board The Boys & Girls Aid Society of Oregon. National Association on Service to Unmarried Parents. Henry G. Hotchkiss, president, Federation of	Do. Do. Do.
361	Protestant Welfare Agencies.	150.
586	Jewish Community Council of Essex County	Do.
576	R. Winfred Tyndall, executive director, Associated Family & Child Service Agency, Winston-Salem, N.C.	Do.
564	Family Service Association of Bucks County, Pa	Do.
596	Chamber of Commerce of the United States	
597	American Farm Bureau Federation	Do.
594	Irvin P. Schloss, American Foundation for the	Favors if "specifically conditioned upon agreements by the States to
	Blind.	pass the higher payments on to individual aid recipients."

SEC. 133—ASSISTANCE TO REPATRIATED AMERICAN CITIZENS

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
209	Council of Jewish Federations & Welfare Funds	Favors section.

SEC. 134—TERMINATION OF ADC IF UNEMPLOYED PARENT REFUSES RETRAINING

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
518 583	Welles A. Gray, Council of State Chambers of Commerce. Georgia Federation for the Blind, Inc	

SEC. 135—ADC PAYMENTS FOR CHILDREN IN FOSTER CHILD-CARE INSTITUTIONS

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
209 255, 259 345 394 518	Council of Jewish Federations & Welfare Funds_Kennard J. Besse, Illinois Chamber of Commerce_William R. MacDougall, National Association of County Officials. New York State Catholic Welfare Committee Welles A. Gray, Council of State Chambers of Commerce. Georgia Federation for the Blind, Inc	

SEC. 136—STATE AID TO BLIND PLANS WHICH DO NOT MEET INCOME AND RESOURCES TEST REQUIREMENT (MISSOURI-PENNSYLVANIA)

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
364 374 377 583 563	John F. Nagle, National Federation of the Blind. David Krause, American Council of the Blind. Paul B. Kirton, Missouri Federation of the Blind. Georgia Federation for the Blind, Inc Ned Freeman, American Council of the Blind	Do. Do.

SEC. 141—OPTIONAL COMBINED STATE PLAN FOR AGED, BLIND, AND DISABLED (TITLE XVI)

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
141	Abraham Ribicoff, Secretary, Health, Education, and Welfare.	Favors section.
209	Council of Jewish Federations & Welfare Funds	
208	National Federation of Settlements & Neighborhood Centers.	Do.
2 39	Marvin E. Larson, American Public Welfare Association.	Do.
260	Kennard J. Besse, Illinois Chamber of Commerce	Opposes section.
266	Joseph E. Buldwin, Milwaukee County Depart- ment of Public Welfare.	Favors section.
349	William R. MacDougall, National Association of County Officials.	Do.
364	John F. Nagle, National Federation of the Blind	Opposed to section.
398	W. Judd Chapman, American Optometric Association.	Recommends amendment to include optometric services under combined plan.
400	J. Spencer Dryden, American Medical Association	Favors section but recommends that proposed section be amended to provide that in determining blindness there shall be an examination by a doctor of medicine.
519	Welles A. Gray, Council of State Chambers of Commerce.	Opposes section.
400	Dr. Ralph W. Ryan, National Medical Founda- tion For Eye Care.	Opposes provision which would allow determination of blindness by an optometrist; opposes similar provision in separate aid to the blind program.
574	West Virginia Optometric Association, Inc	Opposed to amendment to prohibit optometrists from examining aid to blind applicants.
584	George E. Martz, M.D., Pennsylvania Academy of Ophthalmology & Otolaryngology	Opposes provision which would allow determination of blindness by an optometrist.

583	Georgia Federation for the Blind, Inc	Favor medical aid for blind or disabled person provision. "We feel, however, that a more reasonable means test should be provided than that which now seems to be in use under the medical care provisions of title I."
591	American Legion	Favors section.
592-3	Wisconsin Optometric Association, Inc	Opposed to amendment to prohibit optometrists from examining aid to blind applicants.
594	Irvin P. Schloss, American Federation for the Blind.	Favors section. Recommends that committee report point out that in those States with specialized agencies for the blind, such agencies will receive additional Federal matching and financial advantages of averaging among categories.
596	Chamber of Commerce of the United States	Opposes section.
601	Society for the Prevention of Blindness	Opposes provision which would allow determination of blindness by an optometrist; opposes any similar provision in separate aid to the blind program.

•			
		· · · · ·	

B. PROVISIONS OF ADMINISTRATION BILL DELETED BY HOUSE

RESIDENCE REQUIREMENTS—REDUCTION OF ALLOWABLE MAXIMUM AND ADDITIONAL FEDERAL MATCHING FOR COMPLETE REMOVAL

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
141	Abraham Ribicoff, Secretary, Health, Education, and Welfare.	Favors original provisions.
181	Elizabeth Wickenden	Do.
208	National Federation of Settlements & Neighborhood Centers.	Do.
213	Community Service Society	$\mathbf{D_0}$.
237	Marvin E. Larson, American Public Welfare Association.	Do.
286	Clark Blackburn, Family Service Association of America.	D ₀ .
207	Council of Jewish Federations & Welfare Funds, Inc.	Do.
364	John F. Nagle, National Federation of the Blind	Do.
544	Mrs. Sarilla Simons, National Travelers Aid Association.	Do.
203	Board of Directors, United Community Funds & Councils of America.	Do.
408	Rev. Dr. Henry C. Koch, National Council of Churches.	Do.
422	Nelson H. Cruikshank, AFL-CIO	$\mathbf{D_0}$.
246	Wayne Vasey, National Association of Social Workers.	Do.
261	Kennard J. Besse, Illinois Chamber of Commerce.	Agrees with action of House in deleting provisions from the bill.
349	William R. MacDougall, National Association of County Officials.	D ₀ .

RESIDENCE REQUIREMENTS—REDUCTION OF ALLOWABLE MAXIMUM AND ADDITIONAL FEDERAL MATCHING FOR COMPLETE REMOVAL—Continued

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
263	Citizens Advisory Committee, State of California.	States with high old-age-assistance payments.
584 587	Child Welfare Board of Summit County (Ohio) National Association of Housing & Redevelop-	Favors original provisions. Do.
589	ment Officials, Kansas City, Mo. The Salvation Army, Southwest Divisional Head- quarters, Phoenix, Ariz.	Do.
590 592	American Legion National Association of Social Workers, Santa	Do. Do.
581	Clara Chapter. National Association on Service to Unmarried Parents.	Do.
583	Georgia Federation of the Blind, Inc.	Do.
381	Henry G. Hotchkiss, president of the Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies.	Do.
595	Irvin P. Schloss, legislative analyst, American Foundation for the Blind.	Do.
598	Family Service Association of Greater Boston	Do.
600	U.S. Šenator John A. Carroll, of Colorado	Agrees with action of House deleting provisions from the bill.
600	Guy R. Justis, Colorado State Board of Public Welfare.	Do.
586	Jewish Community Council of Essex County	Favors original provisions.
575	Maryland State Conference of Social Welfare	Do.
576	R. Winfred Tyndall, executive director of Associated Family & Child Services Agency, Winston-Salem, N.C.	Do.
577	Family Service of Reading and Berks County, Pa.	Do.

560	James E. Perkins, National Tuberculosis Association.	Do.
564	Family Service Association of Bucks County,	Do.
570	Rhode Island Department of Public Welfare	Do.

DIRECT TRAINING GRANTS FOR ADC AND CHILD WELFARE PERSONNEL

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
139	Abraham Ribicoff, Secretary, Health, Education and Welfare.	Favors original provision.
188	Elizabeth Wickenden	Do.
246	Wayne Vasey, National Association of Social Workers.	Do.
204	Council on Social Work Education, Inc	Do.
286	Clark W. Blackburn, Family Service Association of America.	Do.
333	George Hecht, American Parents Committee, Inc.	Do.
407	Rev. Dr. Henry C. Koch, National Council of Churches.	Do.
387	Msgr. Raymond J. Gallagher, National Conference of Catholic Charities.	Do.
587	National Association of Housing & Redevelopment Officials, Kansas City, Mo.	Do.
590	American Legion	Do.
580	Carl W. Haffenreffer	Do.
581	The Boys & Girls Aid Society of Oregon	Do.
582	National Association on Service to Unmarried Parents.	Do.
595		For entire public welfare program.
598	Family & Child Services of Washington, D.C.	Favors original provisions.
598	Family Service Association of Greater Boston	Do.

REMOVAL OF DOLLAR LIMITATION ON PUBLIC ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS TO PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
141	Abraham Ribicoff, Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.	Favors original provision.
592	National Association of Social Workers, Santa Clara Chapter.	Favors original provision. Also favors same matching percentage as for States and D.C.
571	Felisa Rincon De Gautier, Mayoress, San Juan Batista, Puerto Rico.	Favors original porovision. Also wants " a more favorable matching formula."
239	Marvin E. Larson, American Public Welfare Association.	Favors original provision.
340	Macon M. Berryman, Commissioner of Social Welfare of the Virgin Islands of the United States.	Favors original provision, or \$330,000 to \$500,000 increase in dollar limitation if elimination not feasible.

UTILIZING VOLUNTARY PRIVATE WELFARE SERVICES IN PROVIDING SERVICES TO PUBLIC ASSISTANCE RECIPIENTS (SEC. 101)

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
388	Msgr. Raymond J. Gallagher, National Conference of Catholic Charities.	Favors original provisions.
392	New York State Catholic Welfare Committee	Do.
549	Joseph H. Reid, Child Welfare League of America.	
409	Rev. Dr. Henry C. Koch, National Council of Churches.	Agrees with action of House deleting provisions from the bill.
581	The Boys & Girls Aid Society of Oregon	Favors original provisions.
589	The Salvation Army, Southwest Divisional Head- quarters, Phoenix, Ariz.	Do.
580	Carl W. Haffenroffer	Do.
570	Brooklyn Bureau of Social Service & Children's Aid Society.	Do.

RESPONSIBILITY FOR PLACEMENT AND FOSTER CARE OF DEPENDENT CHILDREN

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
562	Pennsylvania Department of Public Welfare	Favors original provision, which allows local public agency, under supervision of State agency, to provide for placement and care of foster children.
413	Hon. John F. Baldwin, Member U.S. House of Representatives (California).	Offers amendment (not in original bill) which would allow State to receive Federal matching if placement and care of the child is under the supervision (pursuant to State law) of a local public agency other than the welfare agency as long as the welfare agency can make the determination of need.
602	Wilbur J. Cohen, Assistant Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.	Concerned with the Baldwin amendment as it relates to responsibilities of State welfare departments for administration of ADC program. Independent nature of judicial process may pose difficulties in developing relationships between juvenile courts and State welfare departments which would not weaken the responsibility the welfare department must maintain in relation to children receiving ADC. Believes Mr. Wedemeyer [State welfare administrator] has made suggestions which offer the basis for the development of an arrangement satisfactory to the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Believes problem "can be satisfactorily handled without the need for Federal legislation."
414	Hon. Thomas H. Kuchel, Member U.S. Senate	Supports amendment offered by Representative Baldwin.
549 561	Joseph H. Reid, Child Welfare League of America. California Probation, Parole & Correctional Association.	Opposed to amendment offered by Representative Baldwin. Supports amendment offered by Representative Baldwin.
559	Hilton H. McCabe, Judge of Superior Court of California.	Do.
560	Mrs. Lee Cabell Johnson, San Diego, Calif	Do.
593	Kentucky Department of Child Welfare	No provision should require that such homes be supervised by or such placements made by the agency also responsible for the administration or supervision of the ADC program.
582	National Association on Service to Unmarried Parents.	Opposed to amendment offered by Representative Baldwin.

C. MISCELLANEOUS

Page No. in hearings	Name of witness and association represented	Comments
426, 431	Myles B. Amend, chairman New York State Board of Social Welfare	or review; (3) "substantial compliance" with Federal law by States. (See also text of New York Legislature Resolution No. 176 (text), p. 508.)
452 520	Henry A. Wise, New York State Senator	Supports recommendations of New York State Board of Social Welfare. Do.
438	Julius Horwitz	Do.
365	John F. Nagle, National Federation of the Blind	Recommends adoption of provisions of: S. 905, "to abolish the legally enforcible obligation of family members to contribute to the support of a needy blind person." S. 907, "to prohibit the States from requiring applicants for blind aid to accept a lien on their property as a condition for receiving assistance."
		S. 908, "to exempt all income and all resources of a blind-aid recipient needed to assist him in fulfilling an approved plan for achieving self-support."