# Calendar №. 344 

# PUBLIC DEBT LLMITT 

June 26, 1967.-Ordered to be printed

Mr. Smathers, from the Committee on Finance, submitted the following

## REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 10867]
The Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the bill (H.R. 10867) to increase the public debt limit set forth in section 21 of the Second Liberty Bond Act, and for other purposes, having considered the same, reports favorably thereon without amendment and recommends that the bill do pass.

## I. SUMMARY

H.R. 10867 provides a permanent debt limitation of $\$ 358$ billion effective on July 1, 1967. This limitation will be in effect for the entire fiscal year 1968. Beginning with fiscal year 1969, this debt limitation will be increased temporarily by $\$ 7$ billion during the course of each fiscal year, but the debt limit will fall back to the permanent $\$ 358$ billion limit on the last day (June 30) of each fiscal year. The additional intra-annual allowance is provided beginning with fiscal year 1969 in recognition of the seasonal fluctuations in the level of the debt subject to limitation. The debt normally reaches a peak in the late spring and then recedes to a lower yearend level.
The bill further provides that the face amount of any Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) participation certificates issued during fiscal year 1968 is to be taken into account, as long as the certificates are outstanding, in determining the debt subject to limitation. Issues of participation certificates totaling $\$ 5.0$ billion were projected in the budget for fiscal year 1968 submitted by the President in January.
Under present law the overall debt limitation is scheduled to fall from the present temporary limitation of $\$ 336$ billion to the permanent limitation of $\$ 285$ billion effective July 1, 1967. At that time it is expected that the debt outstanding will total approximately $\$ 327$ billion.

The administration initially recommended a permanent debt limitation of $\$ 365$ billion for the period beginning with fiscal year 1968 which was not to include any FNMA participation certificates. However, in testimony before your committee, the Secretary of the Ireasury requested that the Senate approve the bill in the form it was passed by the House.

The bill also permits the Treasury Department to issue Treasury notes with a maturity of up to 7 years rather than up to 5 years as is presently authorized. These notes are not subject to the $41 / 4-$ percent interest rate ceiling applying to bonds. This will facilitate better debt management by permitting the Treasury Department to take steps to reduce further shortening in the average maturity of the debt in periods when the interest rate on longer term debt exceeds the $41 / 4$. percent ceiling on the rate of interest which may be paid on Treasury bonds.

The actual debt limitations for the years since 1941, together with the proposed limitation under this bill, are shown in table 1, below.

Table 1.-Statutory debt limitations, fiscal years 1941 to date, and proposed limitation for the period beginning July 1, 1967
[In billions]

| Fiscal year | Statutory debt limitation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Permanent | Temporary additional | Total |
| 1941 through Feb. 18. | \$49 |  | \$13 |
| 1941: Febs. 19 through June 30. | 65 |  | 63 |
| 1942: through Mar. 27. | 65 |  | 65 |
| 1942: Mar. 28 through June 30. | 125 |  | 125 |
| 1943 through Apr. 10. . | 125 |  | 125 |
| 1943: $\mathrm{A}_{\text {Pr }}$. 11 through June 30. | 210 |  | 210 |
| 1044 through Juno 3. | 210 |  | 210 |
| 1944: Juno 9 through June 30. | 260 |  | 200 |
| 1945 through Apr. 2 . | 260 |  | 200 |
| 1945: Apr. 3 through June 30 | 309 |  | 300 |
| 1046 through June $25 .-$....... | 300 |  | 303 |
| 1946: June 26 througil Jume 30 | 275 |  | 275 |
| 1947-54... | 275 |  | 275 |
| 1955 through Aug. 27. | 275 |  | 275 |
| 1955: Aug. 28 through June 30. | 275 | \$6 | 281 |
| 1956 | 275 | 3 | 231 |
| 1957 | 275 | 3 | 273 |
| 1958 through Feb. 2 ã..... | 275 |  | 275 |
| 1958: Feb. 26 througit sume 30. | 275 | 5 | ${ }^{233}$ |
| 1935 through Sept. 1.- | 275 | 5 | ${ }_{28}^{283}$ |
| 1959: Scpt. 2 through Jume 20 | 233 | 5 | 238 |
| 1959: June 30. | 285 | 5 | ${ }^{29}$ |
| 1960 | 285 | 10 | 205 |
| 1961 | 235 |  | ${ }_{298}^{293}$ |
| 1962 thiough Mrar. $12 . . . . . .$. 1932: Mar. 13 through June 31$).$ | 285 | 13 | ${ }_{30}^{285}$ |
| 1932: Mar. 13 through June 31). | 285 285 | 15 23 | 300 308 |
| 1963 througin Mar. $31 . . . .-2$. | 285 | 23 20 | $3{ }^{30}$ |
| 1963: May 20 th:ough June 30 | 285 | 22 | 307 |
| 1964 through Nov. 30 | 255 | 24 | 309 |
| 1964: Dec. 1 through Juno 28 | 285 | 30 | 315 |
| 1964: June 29 and 30. | 285 | 39 | 32 |
| 1966. | 285 | 39 43 | $3 \times$ |
| 1967 through Mar. 1 | 285 | 45 | 330 |
| 1087: Mar. 2 through June 30 | 285 | 51 | 330 |
| Proposed |  |  |  |
| 1968 (including FNMA participation certificates issued in 1968). | 358 |  | 358 |
| 1950 (and later years) through June 20............................ | 358 | 7 | 36 |
| 1089 (and later years) June $30 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 358 |  | 358 |

## II. GENERAL STATEMENT

## Action taken with respect to fiscal year 1967

In June 1966, Congress provided an overall debt limitation of $\$ 330$ billion for the fiscal year 1967. This represented an increase of $\$ 2$ billion over the overall limitation in force during fiscal year 1966. The limitation of $\$ 330$ billion in effect consisted of two parts: the permanent debt limitation of $\$ 285$ billion and a temporary increase of $\$ 45$ billion.
When Congress approved the limitation of $\$ 330$ billion for fiscal year 1967, it acted on the basis of the only estimates of Federal expenditures then available; namely, those which were presented in the President's budget message delivered in January 1966. It was recognized, however, that the degree of uncertainty surrounding these estimates was unusually great and your committee's report referred to the possible need for a later reexamination of the debt ceiling in view of the various contingencies which could arise.

As the fiscal year progressed, it became apparent that actual expend. itures would exceed the January 1966 estimates by a substantial margin, because defense and, to a smaller extent, other expenditures would be increased nearly $\$ 10$ billion over the level estimated in January. Tax receipts, too, were expected to exceed the January estimates, but not to the same extent as the increase in expenditures.

As a result of the expenditure increase, Congress in February 1967, approved an increase of $\$ 6$ billion in the temporary debt ceiling, raising the overall limitation for the remainder of fiscal year 1967 to $\$ 336$ billion.

Under the House bill, the overall limitation of $\$ 336$ billion consisted of a permanent limitation of $\$ 285$ billion and a temporary increase of $\$ 51$ billion. The Senate changed this to a single, permanent limitation of $\$ 336$ billion. In the conference on the bill, the Senate conferees agreed to the House version after the House conferees agreed to the following statement, which appears in the statement of the Managers on the part of the House:

The conferees on the part of the House stated they will recommend that an increase in the permanent debt limitation be considered by the Committee on Ways and Means in connection with the committee's next review of the debt limitation, which must occur prior to July 1, 1967.

## Economic growth and the public debt

The growth in the public debt, as large as it may be, has not been as fast as the growth of national output since 1946. As a percent of gross national product, the debt fell from 133.9 percent at the end of fiscal year 1946 to 45.0 percent at the end of fiscal year 1966. Despite the increase in the debt during the current fiscal year, it is estimated the percentage relationship will decline to 43.0 percent by the end of fiscal year 1967 . The data shown in table 2 clearly indicate that the relationship of the public debt to gross mational product has been falling steadily since the peak of that ratio was reached in 1946. It also should be noted that the relationship of the public debt to gross national product at the end of fiscal year 1940 was 51.1 percent, which is a higher relationship than that anticipated in
1967. Thus the debt as a percent of GNP in 1967 is expected to be below the relationship which existed before the begiuning of World War II.

Table 2.--Public debt and the gross national product, 1940-67
[In billions of dollars]

| Fiscal year | Gross national product | Public debt at end of year ${ }^{1}$ |  | Fiscal year | Gross national product | Public debt at end of year ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | Percent of GNP |  |  | Amount | Percent of GNP |
| 1910. | 95.0 | 48.5 | 51.1 | 1054. | 362.1 | 271.3 | 74.9 |
| 1941 | 1199.4 | 55.3 | 51.6 | 19.55 | 378. 6 | 274.4 | 72.5 |
| 1942. | 139.2 | 77.0 | 55.3 | 1956 | 409.4 | 272.8 | 16. 1 |
| 1943. | 173.5 | 140.8 | 79.3 | 196is. | 431.3 | - 270.0 | 62.7 |
| 1944. | 201.9 | 202.6 | 100.4 | 1958. | 440.3 | 276.4 | 12.8 |
| 1945. | 216.8 | 259.1 | 119.5 | 1959. | 469.1 | 284.9; | C0.). 7 |
| 1946 | 201.6 | 268.9 | 133.9 | 1900. | 495.2 | 286.5 | 50.8 |
| 1947 | 219.8 | 258.4 | 117.5 | 1961 | 506.5 | 239.2 | 57.1 |
| 1948. | 243.5 | 252.4 | 103.6 | 1962. | 542.1 | 298.6 | 55. 1 |
| 1949. | 260.0 | 252.8 | 97.2 | 1963. | 573.4 | 3 mbj .5 | :33.4 |
| 19.50 | 283.3 | 257.4 | 97.7 | 1964 | 612.0 | 312.5 | 61.1 |
| 1951 | 310.5 | 255. 3 | 82.2 | 1965. | 651.8 | 317.9 | 48.8 |
| 1952 | 3378 | 259.2 |  | 1966 .-.-..... | 712.0 | 320.4 | 45.0 |
| 1953 | 358.9 | 266.1 | 74.1 | 1967 (estimate) | 761.0 | 327.0 | 43.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes Government enterprise debt guaranteed by the U.S. Treasury.
Source: Budget of the United States for the fiscal year 1888, p. 454.
Debt formation is a development necessary to finance a rising gross national product and increasing economic activity in all sectors of the economy. Since 1964, the net ${ }^{1}$ public debt (including Federal, State, and local governments) and private debt has increased at a rate close to $\$ 2$ of debt to $\$ 1$ of gross national product. On December 31, 1946, for example, total net debt was 179.5 percent of gross national product, and on December 31, 1966, there was almost the same percentage relationship between these figures, 179.6 percent. On the same date in 1940, total net debt was 176.5 percent of gross national product.

In this total debt, however, while the publicly held net Federal debt ${ }^{2}$ has become less important, the net debt of other sectors of the economy has been increasing relative to gross national product. Table 3 shows that since 1946, the net debt of State and local goverinments has doubled, rising from 6.1 to 13.3 percent in 1966; the corporate net debt has increased by more than half-from 42.2 to 65.8 percent; and the individual and noncorporate debt has grown from 27.4 to 64.5 percent-more than double the 1946 level.

[^0]Thble 3.--Ratio of net public and private debt to gross national product, end of the calendar year, 1946-66 ${ }^{1}$

| End of calendar year- | Gross nationsl product ${ }^{\prime}$ (billions) | Ratlos of net debt to gross national product (percent) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Federal | State and local | Corporate | Individual and noncorporate | Total |
| 1940. | \$107. 6 | 41.6 | 15.3 | 70.3 | 49.2 | 176.5 |
| 1945. | 196.0 | 128.9 | 7.0 | 43.5 | 27.8 | 207.3 |
| 1946 | 221.4 | 103.7 | 6.1 | 42.2 | 27.4 | 179.8 |
| 1951 | 338.2 | 64.6 | 6.9 | 48.0 | 35.4 | 154.9 |
| 1952 | 381.0 | 61.7 | 7.1 | 47.4 | 37.5 | 133.8 |
| 1953 | 360.8 | 63.2 | 7.9 | 49.8 | 41.6 | 162.6 |
| 1954. | 379.8 | 60.6 | 8.8 | 48.1 | 43.6 | 1 lil .1 |
| 1955 | 409.7 | 56.5 | 9.4 | 51.8 | 49.5 | 164. 1 |
| 1956. | 433.2 | 52. 0 | 9.8 | 53.5 | 47.9 | 163.3 |
| 193 a | 438.1 | 51.2 | 10.6 | 56.3 | 50.5 | 163.7 |
| 1958. | 469.2 | 49.6 | 10.8 | 55.3 | 51.0 | 16 ¢. 8 |
| 1454. | 496.8 | 49.0 | 11.2 | 57.0 | 53.2 | 170.3 |
| 1969. | 503.4 | 47.9 | 11.8 | 60.2 | 56.9 | 176.8 |
| 1961. | 542.8 | 45.7 | 12.0 | 59.7 | 57.2 | 174.6 |
| 1962 | 574.7 | 44.5 | 12.8 | 60.6 | 59.4 | 177.4 |
| 1963 | 611.3 | 42.7 | 13.0 | 61.5 | 62.2 | 179.4 |
| 1964 | 652.5 | 41.0 | 13.0 | 61.7 | 64.3 | 180.0 |
| 1945 | 712.8 | 37.8 | 13.3 | 62.5 | 64.5 | 178.9 |
| $1966^{3}$ | 761.5 | 36.0 | 13.3 | 65.8 | 64.5 | 179.6 |


#### Abstract

${ }^{1}$ Net public and private debt outstanding is a comprehonsive aggregate of the indeltedness of borrowers after elimination of certain types of duplicating governmental and corporate debt. For the Federal (lovermment, debt issues held by trust funds and other governmental investment accounts are deducted from the gross Federal debt. ${ }^{2}$ Implifed level end of year, calculated as the average of the 4th and lst calendar quarters at seasonally adjusted annual rates. ${ }^{3}$ Preliminary.


Source: Economic Report of the President, January 1967, p. 278:
Despite rising interest costs, the cost of maintaining the public debt during the past 10 years has remained at a fairly constant level relative to the gross national product. As shown in table 4, the ratio has fluctuated between 1.62 percent and 1.85 percent, respectively. The ratio rose to an estimated 1.76 percent for the fiscal year 1967. It will fall slightly to 1.75 percent for the fiscal year 1968, if $\$ 805$ billion is employed as the estimate for gross national product in the fiseal year.

Thble 4.-Ratio of interest payments on the public debt to gross national product, fiscal years 19:5~-6S

| Fiscal year | Gross national product (billions) | Interest on the public debt |  | Fiscal year | Ciross national (billions) | Interest on the public debt |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A mount (millions) | Percent of GNP |  |  | Amount (millions) | Percent of GNP |
| 1637 | \$431. 3 | \$3, 244 | 1. ${ }^{\text {as }}$ | 1963. | \$573.4 | \$9,895 | 1.73 |
| 1935 | 440.3 | ?, 问 | 1.73 | 1964. | 612.0 | 10. ifib $^{\text {d }}$ | 1. 14 |
| 1979 | 469.1 | - 1.593 | 1. 62 |  | 651.8 | 11,316 | 1. 74 |
| 1 len | 495.2 | 9,180 | 1.85 | 11963 | 712.0 | $12,014$ | 1. 1.76 |
| 191918. | 506.5 542.1 | $\times, 437$ 0,120 | 1.77 1.64 | 11967 | 762.5 $: 805.0$ | 1 113,400 | 1.76 1.5 |

[^1]
## Budget estimates for fiscal year 1968

The administration's current estimates indicate an administrative budget deficit of $\$ 11.1$ billion in fiscal year 1968, an increase of $\$ 3.0$ billion over the $\$ 8.1$ billion estimated in the budget presented in January. This change reflects revisions in the estimates of both receipts and expenditures. Receipts now are estimated at $\$ 125.4$ billion, a decline of $\$ 1.5$ billion from the earlier $\$ 126.9$ billion estimate. Expenditures are estimated at $\$ 136.5$ billion, an increase of $\$ 1.5$ billion above the $\$ 135$ billion estimate in the budget message. These estimates are presented in table 5.

Table 5.-Estimated adminietrative budget reccipts and expenditures, fiscal years 1967 and 1968
[In billions]


${ }^{1}$ Excludes tax changes proposed by the administration. In 1067 these proposals account for $\$ 0.2$ billion and in $1908 \$ 5,5$ billion.
${ }_{2}$ These are administration current estimates of expenditures. The joint committee staff does not prepare independent expenditure estinates.
Adjusted to show revenue effect of II.R. 6950.
Detail may not add to totals because of rounding.
For fiscal year 1967, the administration now estimates that the deficit may rise to $\$ 11$ billion, an increase of $\$ 1.3$ billion above the January estimate. The changed estimate reflects small reductions in several sources of receipts (adding to about $\$ 500$ million) which bring the receipts total to $\$ 16.5$ billion. Similar changes in the administration's estimates of expenditures-some of which move in offset ting directions--suggest a posible rise of as much as $\$ 800$ million, to a total of $\$ 127.5$ billion.

The staff of the Joint Committee on Internal Revenue Taxation has also prepared estimates of administrative budget receipts for fiseal year's 1967 and 1968. For fiscal year 1968, the joint committee staff estimates receipts at $\$ 117.4$ billion, which would give rise to a deficit of $\$ 19.1$ billion, assuming expenditures are $\$ 136.5$ billion as presently estimated by the administration.

A major part of the difference between the estimates prepared by the administration and those of the joint committee staff is accounted for by the fact that the administration's estimates take into account their recommendation for at 6 -percent surcharge on individual and corporation income tax payments effective July 1, 1967, and also their recommendation for a further -peedup in corporate income tax collections. These proposals are expected to increase collections in fiscal year 1968 by $\$ 5.5$ billion. The joint committee staff estimates do not take these proposed changes into account. In addition, the administration's estimate of corporation income tax receipts is based upon an estimate of a higher level of corporate profits in 1967 than is the joint committee staff estimate. This latter factor accounts for most of the $\$ 2.5$ billion difference remaining in the estimates of receipts.

Administration estimates of the statutory debt ceiling required for fiscal year 1968
In testimony on this bill, the Treasury presented a table, shown here as table 6, indicating the minimum debt limitation it believed would be required on the 15 th day and the last day of each month in the coming fiscal year without any allowance for contingencies. The table is calculated by assuming a constant $\$ 4$ billion operating cash balance.
The Treasury figures in table 6 reflect both the seasonal pattern of Federal receipts and expenditures and the overall deficit in the administrative budget accounts. The table indicates that the peak debt (as is usually the case) is expected on March 15, 1968, when it is expected to reach $\$ 345.2$ billion. This reflects the fact that, although expenditures are spread relatively evenly over a year, receipts tend to be concentrated in the spring. The :debt generally is expected to decline-with certain exceptions prior to dates when large revenues are received-to a level of $\$ 335.3$ billion as of June 30,1968 . This, of course, assumes a cash balance of $\$ 4$ billion on June 30 . In practice the actual cash balance on that date tends to be from $\$ 7$ to $\$ 12$ billion, which implies a debt subject to the limit on that date of $\$ 3$ to $\$ 8$ billion above the $\$ 335.3$ billion shown in table 6 .

Table 6.-Estimated public debt subject lo limitation, assuming a deficil of $\$ 11$ billion and with no allowance for contingencies (based on corstant minimum operating cash balance of $\$ 4$ billion), fiscal year 1968.
[In billions]

| Date | Operating cash balance (excluding free gold) | Public debt subject to inmitation | Date | Operating cash balance excluding free gold) | Public (lablt subject to limitation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1907: |  |  | 193S: |  |  |
| June 30. | ¢ 4 | S94.3 | Jan. 16 | S4 | \$339.3 |
| July 15. | 4 | $33^{3} 6.4$ | Jai. 31 | 4 | 3 3:4. 5 |
| July 31. | 4 | 397 | Feb. 15 | 4 | 3349.4 |
| A115. 31. | 4 | 331.8 | Mar. 15 | 4 | $34 \%$ |
| Supt. 16. | 4 | 335.0) | Mar. 31 | 4 | $34 \geq 1$ |
| Sept 30 | 4 | 330.9 | Apr. 15 | , | 844.9 |
| Oet 15. | 4 | 334.7 | Apr. 3!) | 4 | :38.3 |
| Oct. 31 | 4 | 334.8 | flas 15, | 4 | 337.4 |
| Yov. 15. | 4 | 3 | Mav 31 | 4 | 341). 2 |
| Nov. 30 | 4 | 314.3 | June 15 | 4 | 34: 7 |
| ${ }^{\text {Drece }} 15$ | 4 4 | 341.9 | June 30 | 4 | 3.3. 3 |
| Dee. 31. | 4 | 337.2 |  |  |  |

Source: Treasury Departa.ent.

## Contingencies affecling fiscal year 1968 estimates

During the past several years, it was possible-withome notable exceptions when the debt limit had to be reconsidered-- to make a reasomably accurate estimate of the necessary incease in the public debt limit by adding a $\$ 3$ billion allowance for contingencies to the peak debt level shown in a table computed in the manner of table 6 . Fiscal year 1968, however, appears to be a year during which the contingencies are abnormally numerous and large. In addition to the normal peacetime contingencies which can be expected year after year, there are four major contingencies in fiscal year 1968 which must be taken into account in establishing a debt limitation.

One important contingency relates to the 'Treasury's estimate of a $\$ 5.5$ billion increase in receipts in fiscal year 1968 associated with the proposal of the administration for a 6 -percent surcharge on individual and corporation income tax linbilities (\$4.7 billion) to be effective on July 1, 1967, and with the proposed requirement that corporations pay currently a larger portion of their estimated income tax liability, beginning in January 1968 ( $\$ 0.8$ billion). As of this date, the enactment of the surcharge to become effective on July 1, 1967, appears to be out of the question. The resulting shortfall in revenues will be $\$ 2.2$ billion, if the surcharge is enacted effective January 1, 1968, butit may be as large as $\$ 5.5$ billion if the revenue proposals are not enacted during the fiscal year.

A second contingency relates to receipts under' present law. The administration presently estimates that receipts in fiscal year 1968 will be about $\$ 1.5$ billion below the estimate appearing in the January budget. Joint Committee on Internal Revenue Taxation staff esti-, mates of receipts for fiscal year 1068 are $\$ 2.5$ billion lower than the present administration estimates (after eliminating differences due to proposed legislation) largely because the staff estimates are based upon an assumption of a lower level of corporate profits.

The third major contingency relates to the budget assumption of the issue of approximately $\$ 5.0$ billion of pooled participation certificates during all of fiscal year 1968, mainly through the Federal National Mortgage Association (for itself and other agencies) but also $\$ 1.0$ billion that may be issued directly by the Export-Import Bank. Under present budget accounting methods, proceeds from the issues of participation certificates, in all of the agencies where they are now used, are credited against the expenditures of the agencies whose lending programs initially generated the financial assets which form the pools of participation certificates. Thus, their effect is to decrease budget expenditures and the amount added to the debt. In the event the issue of participation certificates falls shy of the budgeted $\$ 5.0$ billion, the level of budget expenditures will be higher, and the reduction in receipts will have to be made up through sales of direct obligations of the U.S. Government. The House, in action it has already taken, did not agree to the authorization of $\$ 2.4$ billion of these projected participation certificates.

A fourth contingency relates to estimates of budget expenditures. The January budget message contained an expenditures estimate of $\$ 135.0$ billion. When the Secretary of the Treasury and the Director of the Bureall of the Budget appeared before the Finance Committee, they indicated that the estimate of expenditures had risen about $\$ 1.5$ billion. Moreover, in the past 2 months, there have been indications that military requirements in Vietnam may lead to substantially higher defense expenditures in the next fiscal year than the latest administration estimates show.

The debt limitation of $\$ 358$ billion will be needed on March 15, 1968, even if minimal allowances are to be made for the cumulative effect during fiscal year 1968 of the contingencies. The computation below demonstrates how the minimal figure of $\$ 358$. billion in the House bill was developed. The debt is expected to be $\$ 345.2$ billion on March 15, 1968, as shown in Table 6 above, without consideration of the following contingencies. First, receipts from the tax surcharge will fall short of the estimate in the budget message by $\$ 2.2$ billion, if it is adopted to be effective on January 1, 1968, instead of on July 1,

1967, the date assumed in the budget. Second, the difference between the Treasury Department and the Joint Committee staff estimates of receipts is expected to be $\$ 1.1$ billion on March 15, 1968. Third, a total of $\$ 3.5$ billion in participation certificates is projected for issue by March 15, 1968. Fourth, a $\$ 3.0$ billion allowance for a possible increase in military expenditures and, finally, the normal $\$ 3.0$ billion peacetime allowance for contingencies raise the total to $\$ 358$ billion. These allowances for contingencies obviously have been pared to the bare minimum. They may be summarized as follows:
Rillions
(1) Possible January 1, 1968, effective date on proposed tax surcharge . ..... $\$ 2.2$
(2) Possible shortfall in administration receipts estimates ..... 1. 1
(3) Possibility of borrowing being necessary to replace projected issuance of participation certificates ..... 3.5
(4) Possible increase in expenditures due to military needs ..... 3. 0
(5) Normal peacetime contingency allowance ..... 3. 0
Total contingencies ..... 12.8
Debt projected by Treasury on March 15, 1968, with $\$ 4$ billion cash balance but no allowance for contingencies. ..... 345. 2
Possible debt requirement on March 15, 1968, should all of these contingencies occur ..... 358.0

It is not possible to forecast which, if any, of the contingencies listed above will occur, but adequate provision for contingencies is necessary if there is to be any reasonable assurance that the debt limitation will not have to be reconsidered prematurely.
Committee action to provide a permanent debt limitation of $\$ 358$ billion
A limitation of $\$ 358$ billion for fiscal year 1968.- Your committee has approved the House bill (H.R. 10867) which increases the overall debt limitation to $\$ 358$ billion. Your committee has concluded that the bill will provide an adequate debt limitation for fiscal year 1968 based on the administration's estimates of receipts and expenditures and on an evaluation of the minimal contingencies to be faced during the fiscal year. Your committee expresses the hope that the limitation contained in this bill will prove to be adequate for more than 1 fiscal year.
A supplementary $\$ 7$ billion debt limit during subsequent fiscal years.The bill approved by your committee provides a supplementary increase of $\$ 7$ billion which will be available during fiscal year 1969 and subsequent fiscal years for debt management purposes only. The $\$ 7$ billion, however, will not be arailable for the public debt limit on June 30 of each of these following fiscal years, when the public debt. limit will be $\$ 358$ billion.

The Secretary of the Treasury must be provided with sufficient leeway during the course of the fiscal year to manage the seasonal fluctuations in the Government's fiscul affairs. Revenues flow into the Treasury with periodic peaks, but expenditures are made in a much more balanced monthly pattern. The leeway required for debt management purposes reaches several high points during the last 6 months of the fiscal year. One of these high points occurs as late as June 15, but after that date, individual and corporation income tax payments substantially increase the Treasury's cash balance and reduce the requirements for a higher debt limit. This pattern takes place within each fiscal year, and an allowance of this size for debt
management purposes would be necessary evon during fiscal years when the administrative budget were in balance or with a surplus. The Treasury estimates, based on the average experience of prior years, that an allowance of $\$ 7$ billion will be adequate for this purpose.

While the debt subject to limitation may rise to $\$ 365$ billion during the course of the fiscal year 1969 and later fiscal years, the Treasury Department is required to conduct its dobt management operations so that the debt will fall to or below $\$ 358$ billion by June 30 of each successive fiscal year. If it becomes appurent that the outstanding debt cannot be reduced to $\$ 358$ billion by June 30 of a fiscal year, the administration will have to request an appropriate increase in the debt limitation.

The provision for a permanent limitation.-Since 1959, a permanent debt limitation of $\$ 285$ billion has been provided. Despite this limitation, the authorized Federal debt, subject to limitation has risen to $\$ 336$ billion. Authority for this increase in the outstanding debt has been provided by repeated extensions and increases in the supplementary temporary debt limitation. Since these temporary extensions generally expire at the end of the fiscal year to which they apply, use of this expedient makes it necessary to approve a new temporary limitation prior to the start of each successive fiscal year. This would be the case even if the overall debt limitation for the preceding year were expected to be sufficient for all, or a portion, of the new fiscal year.

Your committee, in its consideration of the debt limitation, has concluded, as it did in February, that retention of the existing permanent limitation serves no useful purpose. Congress would merely have to act before the end of each fiscal year to provide a new temporary debt limitation for the coming fiscal year. While a permanent debt limitation which is rensonable now may have to be revised from time to time in the future, it will not expire automatically at the end of each fiscal year.

The provision of a realistic permanent debt limitation will facilitate budgetary planning. In the past, the debt limitation for a given fiscal year was often established after the budget for that fiscal year was formulated. This was the case when a temporary limitation was provided that expired before the new fiscal year began. Under this bill, those responsible for formulating the budget will know in advance the debt limitation which will apply for the fiscal year under consideration. This knowledge will provide an added inducement to aline planned expenditures more closely to expected receipts.

Provision of a permanent limitation is not intended to preclude periodic reviews of the overall fiscal condition of the Government by your committee. The fact that a permanent limitation exists, however, will permit these reviews to be conducted in an atmosphere free from the threat of an imminent financial crisis arising from the impending expiration of the debt limit.

## Results of delaying the enactiment of an adequate debt ceiling

If provision is not made for a new debt limitation, the present limitation of $\$ 336$ billion will expire on July 1, and the overall limitation will fall to $\$ 285$ billion. On that date, of course, the debt subject to limitation will exceed $\$ 258$ billion by a substantial amount. While there would be no question concerning the legality of the outstanding debt in such a situation, the Treasury Department would be unable to issue any new securities. This prohibition would apply to issues
designed to replace maturing issues as well as to securities that would increase the overall debt.
As a result, savings bonds could not be issued and payroll savings plans would be disrupted. In addition, the Treasury cash balance would be depleted rapidly. The Treasury has indicated that $\$ 2.3$ billion of Treasury bills will become due on each of the dates July 6, 13, 20 , and 27 and that another $\$ 1: 5$ billion of such bills will mature on July 31. If new bills cannot be issued to replace these issues, the Treasury cash balance is expected to be exhausted between the middle and end of July.
Once the cash balance is exhausted, the Government would be compelled to delay full payment (or resort to partial payments) of contract obligations, Govemment salaries, various loan and benefit programs, grants to States, etc., when they become due. The economic hardships resulting from such action would be most severe in those areas where there are large concentrations of Federal employees or of employees; engaged in production under large Govermment contracts.
The rapid depletion of the Treasury's cash balance and the subsequent difficulties outlined above could occur even under a new debt limitation, if that limitation is insufficient. Morenver, an adequate debt limitation must not only accommodate essential expenditures, but it also must provide a margin for flexible debt management. The impact of insufficient flexibility for debt management would be spread extensively through the economy and its monetary system. There would be difficulty in handling trist fund receipts not needed immediately for disbursement. Investing such receipts in new issues requires a sufficient debt limitation. Holding them in cash would tend to deprive the trust funds of some interest income. A reduced Treasury cash balance would have to be concentrated in a few, large, centrally located banks rather than being spread throughout the country, as presently, in more than 11,000 commercial banks. These actions would disrupt virtually all sectors of money market throughout the country, and the costs of the adjustments to business firms and all levels of government in all probability would be high.

## Committee action to include certain participation certificates in the debt limit

One of the contingencies taken into account in determining the appropriate level of the debt limitation was the possible gap between the actual amount and the budget projections of issues of participation certificates in the fiscal year 1968. Doubt that the full $\$ 5.0$ billion of projected issues will be made has been increased by action the House has already taken removing the authorization to issue $\$ 2.4$ billion of these certificates. Since such certificates have not (before this bill) been included in the debt subject to limitation, failure to issue the projected amount of such certificates would, by forcing the Government to issue debt instruments in lieu of the certificates, result in an increase in the level of the debt subject to limitation.

Your committee endorses the provision in the House bill under which participation certificates issued by the Federal National Mortgage Association for itself or for any of the other agencies in the same pool during fiscal year 1968, as long as they rema in outstanding, are to be added to the amount otherwise taken into account in determining the debt subject to the limitation. The inclusion of any of these partici-
pation certificates issued in fiscal year 1968 in the debt limit means that there will be no difference-insofar as the debt limitation is con-cerned-whether FNMA participation certificates or debt instruments are issued. This provision, however, does not require any change in the method of accounting for beneficial interests or participations in the Federal budget accounts. Nor does this provision represent any change in the nature of the Government's legal obligation with respect to the certificates.

The fact that the FNMA participation certificates that will bo jssued in fiscal year 1968 will be included in the deht limit is not intended to imply that your committee necessarily believes this represents the appropriate treatment- for future issues of such certificates. The committee will consider this subject again when it has the benefit of the recommendations of the President's Commission on Budgetary Concepts.
Committee action to extend the maximum period to maturity for notes of the United States
Section 18 of the Second Liberty Bond Act authorizes the issuance of U.S. notes payable in not less than 1 year and not more than 5 years. Unlike the bonds authorized in section 1 of the act, these notes may be issued at rates of interest in excess of $4 \frac{1}{4}$ percent per annum.

The bill authorizes the issuance of U.S. notes payable in not less than 1 year and not more than 7 years.

The provision will provide the Treasury Department with greater flexibility in debt management to enable it to mitigate the tendency for the maturity of the debt to shorten during periods in which long-term interest rates exceed $41 / 4$ percent.

Table 7.-Maturity distribution and average length of the marketable interest-bearing public debt
[In blllions of dollars]

| End of fiscal year or month | Amount out. standing | Maturity classes |  |  |  |  | Average length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Within 1 year | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { to } 5 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { to } 10 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 10 to 10 years | 20 years and over |  |
| June- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1939 1 . ....... | \$34.0 | \$4.3 | \$9.3 | \$8.3 | \$10.5 | \$1.5 | 7 years 11 months. |
| 1040 1-....-.... | 34.4 | 3.8 | 9.7 | 10.1 | 9.2 | 1.5 | 7 years 7 months. |
| 19411 | 37.7 | 2.4 | 13.6 | ¢. 9 | 11.8 |  | 7 years 9 months. |
| $1942{ }^{-}$ | 60.6 | 7.1 | 13.5 | 17.1 | 9.8 | 2.7 | 7 years 8 months. |
| 1943 ' | 95.3 | 33.1 | 19.6 | 23.1 | 10.3 | 9.3 | 6 years 3 months. |
| 19441 | 140.2 | 49.9 | 25.1 | 33.9 | 20 | 11.3 | 6 years 4 months. |
| 1945 | 181.3 | 60.6 | 34.8 | 41.5 | 31.0 | 13.4 | 6 years 7 months. |
| 19461 | 189, 6 | 62.1 | 35.1 | 32.8 | 37.2 | 22.4 | 7 years 4 months. |
| 1947 | 168.7 | 51.2 | 21.9 | 35.6 | 18.6 | 41.5 | 9 ycars 5 months. |
| 1948. | 160.3 | 48.7 | 21.6 | 32.3 | 18.2 | 41.3 | 9 years 2 months. |
| 1949. | 155.1 | 48.1 | 32. 6 | 16.7 | 22.8 | 34.9 | 8 years 9 months. |
| 1950 | 155.3 | 42.3 | 51.3 | 7.8 | $\stackrel{28.0}{ }$ | 25.9 | 8 years 2 months. |
| $1951{ }^{2}$ | 137.9 | 43.9 | 46.5 | 8.7 | 30.0 | 8.8 | 6 years 7 months. |
| 1952. | 140.4 | 46.4 | 47.8 | 13.9 | 25.7 | 6.6 | 5 years 8 months. |
| 1953 | 147.3 | 6.3 | 36.2 | 15.7 | 28.7 | 1.6 | 5 years 4 months. |
| 1935. | 150.4 | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ } 2.7}{7}$ | 29.9 | 27.5 | 28.6 | 1.6 | 5 years 6 months. |
| 1955 | 155.2 | 49.7 | 39.1 | 34.3 | ${ }^{28} 86$ | 3. 5 | 5 years 10 months. |
| 1956. | 155.0 | 58.7 | 34.4 | 28.9 | 28.6 | 4.4 | 8 years 4 months. |
| 1957. | 155.7 | 72.0 | 40.7 | 19.3 | 26.4 | 4.3 | 4 years 9 months. |
| 1958. | 166.7 | 67.8 | 42.6 | 21.5 | 27.7 | 7.2 | 5 years 3 months. |
| 1959. | 178.0 | 73.0 | 58.3 | 17.1 | 21.6 | 8.1 | 4 years 7 months. |
| 1960. | 183.8 | 70.5 | 72.8 | 20. ${ }^{2}$ | 12.6 | 7.7 | 4 years 4 months. |
| 1968. | 187.1 | 81.1 88.4 | 58.4 57.0 | 26.4 26.0 | 10.2 9.3 | 11.0 | 4 years 6 months. 4 years 11 months. |
| 1983 | 203.5 | 85.3 | 58.0 | 37.4 | 8.4 | 14.4 | 5 years 1 month. |
| 1964. | 206.5 | 81.4 | 65.5 | 34.9 | 8.4 | 16.3 | 8 years. |
| 1965. | 208.7 | 87.6 | 56.2 | 39.2 | 8.4 | 17.2 | 5 years 4 months. |
| 1966. | 209.1 | 89.1 | 60.9 | 33. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 8.4 | 17.0 | 4 years 11 months. |
| 1967-April | 217.1 | 99.7 | 68.5 | 25.6 | 8.4 | 16.8 | 4 years 5 months. |

[^2]Unless the Treasury is granted authority to sell a larger quantity of long-term securities at competitive interest rates, the average maturity of the marketable debt will contime to shorten. If the Treasury Department camot issue debt maturing in over a years during the next 18 months, the arerage maturity of the marketable debt will fall to 3 years 8 months by the end of the calendar year 1968. Roughly 85 percent of this debt will mature within 5 years and nearly a) percent within 1 year.

While a concentration of the debt in short-term issues would have adverse consequences, your committee also recognizes the adverse comsequences of high int erest rates on many segments of the economy. Furthermore, it recognizes that any general rise in the interest rate on Government bonds would be viewed by some as an endorsement of a high-interest-rate nolicy. Your committee's approval of this prorision of the House bill is based on the belief that extension of the definition of U.S. notes to those debt instruments with a maturity of not over 7 years by itself will not have any effect on interest rates, but it will merely assist the Secretary of the Treasury in preventing still further shortening of the maturity of the debt.

## III. APPENDIX

Table I.-Debt limilation under sec. 21 of the Second Liberty Bond Act,
as amended-History of legislation Sept. 24, 1917:

40 Stat. 288, sec. 1, authorized bonds in the amount of
40 Stat. 290, sec. 5, authorized certificates of indebtedness outstanding revolving authority
Apr. 4, 1918:
40 Stat. 502, amending sec. 1, increased bond authority to
40 Stat. 504, amending see. 5, increased althority for certificates outstanding to
July 9, 1918: 40 Stat. 844, amending sec. 1, increased bond authority to
Mar. 3, 1919:
40 Stat. 1311, amending sec. 5, increased authority for certificates outstanding to
40 Stat. 1309, new sec. 18 added, authorizing notes in the amount of
Nov. 23, 1921: 42 Stat. 321, amending sec. 18, increased note authority outstanding (established revolving authority) to.
June 17, 1929: 46 Stat. 19, amending sec. 5, authorized bills in lieu of certificates of indebtedness; no change in limitation for the outstanding
Mar. 3, 1931: 46 Stat. 1506 , amending sec. 1, increased bond authority to
Jan. 30, 1934: 48 Stat. 34.3, amending sec. 18, increased authority for notes ontstanding to
Feb. 4, 1935:
49 Stat. 20, amending sec. 1 , limited bonds outstanding (establishing revolving authority) to
${ }^{1} \$ 7,538,945,400$
${ }^{2} 4,000,000,000$
${ }^{1} 12,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 8,000,000,000$
${ }^{1} 20,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 10,000,000,000$
17,000,000, 000
${ }^{2} 7,500,000,000$
${ }^{2} 10,000,000,000$
${ }^{1} 28,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 10,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 25,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 20,000,000,000$
for certificates and bills (sec. 5) and authority for notes (sec. 18) ; same aggregate amount outstanding
49 Stat. 21, new sec. 22 added, authorizing U.S. savings bonds within authority of sec. 1.
May 26, 1938: 52 Stat. 447, amending sees. 1 and 21, consolidating in see. 21 authority for bonds, certificates of indebtedness, 'Treasury bills, and notes (outstanding bonds limited to $\$ 30,000,0(0), 000)$. Sime aggregate total outstanding
July 20, 1939: 53 Stat. 1071 , amending sec. 21 , removed limitation on bonds without ehanging total athorized outstanding of bonds, certificates of indebtedness, bills, and notes.
${ }^{2} 45,000,000,000$
June 2it, 1940: 54 Stat. $\mathbf{5} 26$, amending see. 21, adding new paragraph:
"(b) In addition to the amomet anthorized by the preceding paragraph of this section, any obligations authorized by secs. 5 and 18 of this Act, as amended, not to exceed in the aggregate $\$ 4,000,000,000$ outstanding at any one time, less any retirements made from the special fund made available under sec. 301 of the Revenue Act of 1940, may be issued under said sections to provide the Treasury with funds to meet any expenditures made, after June 30, 1940, for the national defense, or to reimburse the general flund of the Treasury therefor. Any such obligations so issued shall be designated 'National Defense Series' ${ }^{\prime}$
Feb. 19, 1941: 55 stat. 7 , amending sec. 21 limiting face amount of obligations issued under authority of act outstanding at any one time to.

Eliminated separete anthority for $\$ 4,000,000,000$ of National I efense Series obligations.
Mar. 28, 1942: 56 Stat. 189, amending sec. 21, increased limitation to
${ }^{2} 49,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 65,000,000,000$

${ }^{2} 125,000,000,000$
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Apr. 11, 1943: 57 Stat. 63, amending sec. 21, increased limitation to
$2 \$ 210,000,000,000$
June 9, 1944: 58 Stat. 272, amending sec. 21, increased limitation to
${ }^{2} 260,000,000,000$
Apr. 3, 1945: 69 Stat. 47, amending sec. 21 to read: "The face amount of obligations issued under authority of this act, and the face amount of obligations guaranteed as to principal and interest by the United States (except such guaranteed obligations as may be held by the Secretary of the Treasury), shall not exceed in the aggregate $\$ 300,000-$ 000,000 outstanding at any one time"
${ }^{2} 300,000,000,000$
June 26, 1946: 60 Stat. 316, amending sec. 21, adding: "The current redemption value of any obligation issued on a discount basis which is redeemable prior to maturity at the option of the holder thereof shall be considered, for the purposes of this section, to be the face amount of such obligation," and decreasing limitation to
Aug. 28, 1954 : 68 Stat. 895, amending sec. 21, effective Aug. 28,1954 , and ending June 30,1955 , temporarily increasing limitation by $\$ 6,000,000,000$ to
June 30, 1955; 69 Stat. 241, amending Aug. 28, 1954, act by extending until June 30, 1956, increase in limitation to..-
July 9, 1956: 70 Stat. 519, amending act of Aug. 28, 1954, temporarily increasing limitation by $\$ 3,000,000,000$ for period beginning July 1, 1956, and ending June 30, 1957, to

Effective July 1, 1957, temporary increase terminates and limitation reverts, under act of June 26, 1946, to -... Feb. 26, 1958: 72 Stat. 27, amending sec. 21, effective Feb. 26, 1958, and endinf June 30, 1959, temporarily increasing limitation by $\$ 5,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 275,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 281,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 281,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 278,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 275,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 280,000,000,000$
Sept. 2, 1958: 72 Stat. 17.58, umending sec. 21, inereasing limitation to $\$ 283,000,000,000$, which, with temporary increase of Feb. 26, 1908, makes limitation
June 30, 1959: 73 Stat. 156, amending sec. 21, effective June 30,1959 , increasing limitution to $\$ 2 S 5,000,000,000$, which, with temporary increase of Feb. 26, 1958, makes limitation on June 30, 1959
$2290,000,000,000$
Amending sec. 21, temporarily inereasing limitation by $\$ 10,000,000,000$ for period begiming July 1, 1059, and ending June 30, 1960, which makes limitation beginning July 1, 1959.
June 30, 1960: 74 Stat. 290, amending sec. 21 for perind begiming on July 1, 1960, and ending June :30, 1961, temporarily increasing limitation by $\$ 8,000,000,000$
June 30, 1961: 75 Stat. 148, amending see. 21, for period begimning on July 1, 1961, and ending June 30, 1962, temporarily increasing limitation by $\$ 13,000,000,000$ to..-
Mar. 13, 1962: 76 Stat. 23, amending sec. 21, for period begiming on Mar. 13, 1962, and ending Jume 30, 1962, temporarily further increasing limitation by $\$ 2,000,000,000 \ldots$
July 1, 1962: 76 Stat. 124 as aneuded by 77 Stat. 50, amending sec. 21, for period-

1. Beginning July 1, 1962, and ending Mar. 31, 1963..-
2. Beginning Apr. 1, 1963, and ending June 24, 1963....
3. Beginning June 25, 1963, and ending Jume 30, 1963.-

May 29, 1963: 77 Stat. 50 , amending sec. 21, for period-

1. Beginning May 29, 1963, and ending June 30, 1963.-
2. Beginning July 1, 1963, and ending Aug. 31, 1963

Aug. 27, 1963: 77 Stat. 131, amending sec. 21, for the period beginning on Sept. 1, 1963, and ending on Nov. 30, 1963
Nov. 26, 1963: 77 Stat. 342, amending sec. 21, for the period-

1. Beginning on Dec. 1, 1963, and ending June 29, 1964..
2. Of June 30, 1964
${ }^{2} 295,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 293,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 298,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 300,000,000,000$
$\left.{ }^{2} 308,000,000,000\right)$
${ }^{2} 305,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 300,000,000,000$
2 307, 000, 000, 000
${ }^{2} 309,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 309,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 315,000,000,000$
${ }^{2} 309,000,000,000$
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June 20, 1964: 78 Stat. 225, amending sec. 21, for the period beginning June 29, 1964, and ending June 30, 1965, temporarily increasing the debt limit to
$2 \$ 324,000,000,000$
June 24, 1965: 79 Stat. 172, amending sec. 21, for the period beginning July 1, 1965, and ending on June 30, 1966, temporarily increasing the debt limit to
${ }^{2} 328,000,000,000$
June 24, 1966: 80 Stat. 221, amending sec. 21 , for the period beginning July 1, 1966, and ending on June 30, 1967, temporarily increasing the debt limit to
${ }^{2} 330,000,000,000$
Mar. 2, 1967: 81 Stat. 4, amending sec. 21, for the period beginning Mar. 2, 1967, and ending on June 30, 1967, temporarily increasing the debt limit to
${ }^{2} 336,000,000,000$

## 1 Limitation on issua. <br> : Limitation on oatstandins.

Table II.-Summary of public debt and guaranteed debt outstanding, May 31, 196; [On the basis of daily Treasury statements]


Table II.-Summary of public debt and guaranteed debt outstanding, May 31, 1967-Continued
[On the basls of deilly Treasury statements]

| Title | Average interest rate 1 | Amount outstanding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matured debt on which interest has ceased. |  | \$238, 580, 882, 21 |
| Debt bearing no interest: |  |  |
| International M onetary Fund ...-.-.-. |  | 3, 328,000, 000.00 |
| Inter-A merican Derelopment Bank. .. |  |  |
| U.N. Special Fund. ................. |  | 5,000,000.00 |
| U.N./F.A.O. world food program |  | 3,000, 00.00 |
| Other... |  | 322,697, 155.30 |
| Total gross publle debt. |  | 330, 858, 180, 753. 11 |
| Guaranteed debt of U.S. Government agencies: Interest-bearing deht | \$3.754 | 503, 451, 600.00 |
| Matured debt on which interest bas ceased |  | 409,925.00 |
| Total guaranteed debt of U.S. Government agencies.. |  | 508, 801, 525.00 |
| Total gross public debt and guaranteed debt Deduct debt not subject to statutory limitation. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 331,397,042,278.11 \\ 265,855, * 02.22 \end{array}$ |
| Total debt subject to limitation |  | 331, 131, 186, 475.89 |

[^3]
## Table III.-Public debt subject to limilation at end of fiscal years 19.38-67

[In millions of dollars]

| Fiscal year | Public debt subject to limitation at end of year | Fiscal year | Public debt subject to limitation at end of year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1938 | 3), 882 | 1853. | 265, 522 |
| 1939. | 40,317 | 1954. | 270, 790 |
| 1940. | 43, 219 | 1955. | 273, 915 |
| 191. | 49, 494 | 1956. | 272,361 |
| 193 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 74, } \\ 1404 \\ \hline 109\end{array}$ | 1857. | 270, 188 |
| 1944. | 208, 077 | 1959. | 276,013 284,398 |
| 1945. | 268, 671 | 1960. | 286, 065 |
| 1946. | 268, 932 | 1961. | 288, 862 |
| 1947. | 257, 491 | 1962. | 298, 212 |
| 1048 | 251, 542 | 1963. | 306, 099 |
| 1949 | 252, 028 | 1064 | 312, 164 |
| 1950. | 256, 652 | 1965. | 317,581 |
| 1951. | 254, 567 | 1966 | 320,102 |
| 1952. | 258,507 | 19671 | 329, 725 |

[^4]
## IV. CHANGES IN EXISTING LAW MADE BY THE BILL AS REPORTED

In compliance with subsection (4) of rule XXIX of the Standing Rules of the Senate, changes in existing law made by the bill, as reported, are shown as follows (existing law proposed to be omitted is enclosed in black brackets, new matter is printed in italic, existing law in which no change is proposed is shown in roman):

Second Liberty Bond Act

SEc. 18. (a) In addition to the bonds and certificates of indebted. ness, and war-savings certificates anthorized by this Act and amendments thereto, the Secretary of the Treasury, with the approval of the President, is authorized subject to the limitation imposed by section 21 of this Act, to borrow from time to time on the credit of the United States for the purposes of this Act, to provide for the purchase, redemption, or refunding, at or before maturity, of any outstanding bonds, notes, certificates of indebtedness, or Treasury bills of the United States, and to meet public expenditures authorized by law, such sum or sums as in his judgment may be necessary and to issue therefor notes of the United States at not less than par (except as provided in section 20 of this Act, as amended) in such form or forms and denomination or denominations, containing such terms and conditions, and at such rate or rates of interest, as the Secretary of the Treasury may prescribe, and each series of notes so issued shall be payable at such time not less than one year nor more than [five] seven years from the date of its issue as he may prescribe, and may be redeemable before maturity (at the option of the United States) in whole or in part, upon not more than one year's nor less than four months' notice, and under such rules and regulations and during such period as he may prescribe.

Sec. 21. The face amount of obligations issued under authority of this Act, and the face amount of obligations guaranteed as to principal and interest by the United States (except such guaranteed obligations as may be held by the Secretary of the Treasury), shall not exceed in the aggregate [ $\$ 285,000,000,00{ }^{1}$ ] $\$ 358,000,000,000$ outstanding at any one time. The current redemption value of any obligation issued on a discount basis which is redeemable prior to maturity at the option of the holder thereof shall be considered, for the purposes of this section, to be the face amount of such obligation.

[^5]
[^0]:    See explanation of net publici and private debt in footnote 1 , table 3.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Federal debt held outside of U.S. Government trust find and other investment accounts.

[^1]:    1 Bureau of the Budget estimates.
    ? Estimate based on administration estimates through Dec. 31, 1967, and the assumption of modest increises in gross nationel product in the first half of 1968.

    Source: Budget of the United States for the fiscal year 1968, pp. 454, 459,

[^2]:    1 Calculated on 1st call basis 1030-46; thereafter on a fintal maturity with only partially tax-exempt issues to 1st call. On June 1046 the comparable call and anal maturiy average length figures are 7 years 4 months and 9 years 1 month.
    ${ }^{2}$ The decline from 1950 in the over 20 -year category and in the average length largely reflects the exchange of $\$ 13.6$ billion marketable bonds for nonmarketable investuent series B bonds.
    Source: Treasury Department.

[^3]:    ' Beginning with the statement for l)ec. 31, 1958, the computed average interest rate on the public debt is based on the rate of effective yidd for issues sold at prominms or discounts. Prior to Dec. 31, lass, the computed average rate was based upon the coupon rates of the securities. This rate did not materially differ from the rate computed on the hasis of effective yield. The Treasury, however, announced on Nov. 18, ip:S, that there may be more frequent issues of securities sold with fremiums or discounts whenever appropripte. This "effective yifld" met hod of computing the averace interest rate on the publie delt will more accurately reflect the interest cost to the 'ryeasury, and is felt to be in necord with the intent of Congress where legistithos has reguired the use of such rate for various purposes.
    ${ }^{2}$ Computed on true discount basis.
    IStatutory debt limit, established at $\$ 285,000,000,000$ by the act approved June 30, 1959, has beentemporarily increased to $\$ 336,000,(000,000$ through June 30, 1967.
    'Dollar equivalent of certificates issued and payablic in the amount of $800,000,000$ Deutsche marks, and i27,000.000 Swlss franes.
    inolhar equivalent of Treasury bonds Iesued and payable in the amount of $695,000,000 \mathrm{Sw}$ ise francs, $1,000,000,000$ Deutsche mirks, 1,950,000,000 Austrian schillings, 1,500,000,000 Belgian francs, and 88,000, 000,000 It alian lire.
    'Dollar equivalent of certificates issued and payable in the amount of $800,000,000$ Deutsche marks, © 93 ,000,000 Swiss franes, and $650,000,000$ Austrian sohililings.
    i' Dollar equivalent of 'Ireasury notes issued and payable in the amount of $577,000,000 \mathrm{Swiss}$ francs, fun?, 000,000 Deut sche marks, $650,000,(1) 0$ Austrlan schillings, and $78,000,000,0 \times 0$ Italian lire.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Debt subject to limitation June 20, 1067.
    Source: Table 1, "Annual Report of the Secretary of the Treasury on the State of the Finances, 1965," p. 489.

[^5]:    1 Temporarily increased to $\$ 336,000,000,000$ during the period beginning on Mar. 2, 1967, and ending on June 30, 1967, by Public Law 90-3, approved Mar. 2, 1967.

