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## INTRODUCTION

The material that follows provides a summary oi iue uature and purpose of most of the major quota legislation introduced in the Senate during the first session of the 90 th Congress. In additicn, becikground material is provided on tariff treatment and trends in imports, exports, domestic production and consumption for the commodity with which the various bills refer. S. 2539 relating to electronic equipment and S. 2540 relating to footwear were introduced too late for the staff to analyze and collect the necessary data. However, the bills are reproduced in this material for the information of the committee. In the preparation of this document and the data included in it, the committee staff requested and was given by the U.S. Tariff Commission the full cooperation and assistance of its staff.
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## QUOTAS ON PETROLEUM AND PETROLEUM PRODUCTS

## 90tr CONGRESS <br> ler 8 - <br> S. 2332

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

## Arauer 23, 1967

Mr. Lona of Louisiana (for himself, Mr. Alumtr, Mr. Andereon, Mr. Bayn, Mír. Bennert, Mr. Bubdice, Mr. Brrd of West Virginia, Mr. Canleon, Mr. Cultia, Mr. Duninice, Mr. Fuithand, Mr. Elendiea, Mr. Fannin, Mr. Haxeen Mr. Harble, Mr. Hartie, Mr. Hruska, Mr. MoGer, Mr. Manefidd, Mr. Metcals, Mr. Monboney, Mr. Montoya, Mr. Morton, Mr. Peamon, Mr. Randolph, Mr. Stennle, Mr. Tower, Mr. Yabeomovoh, and Mr. Younc of North Dakota) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Finance

## A BILL

To promote the general welfare, foreign policy, and natioual security of the United States.

1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
3 That the national security provision ( 19 U.S.C.A. 1862)
4 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 be amended by adding
5 the following new subsections:
6
" (e) Imports of petroleum into districts I-IV shall not 7 exceed 12.2 per centum of production of crude petroleum and 8 natural gas liquids in those districts during the most recent
appropriate period for which Whited States Bureau of Mines statistics are available.
" (f) Imports of petroleum into district $V$ shall be limeted so as to encourage development of district $\mathbf{V}$ production and use of domestic supplies in that district, but in no event shall the maximum level of overseas imports, which shall be subject to allocation, le greater than the amount necessary, when added to domestic supply available to the district and to the volume of overland imports into the district which shall le exempt from allocation, to approximate total district $\mathbf{V}$ demand. Determination of supply-demand relationships in the district shall be made by the United States Bureau of Mines for appropriate periods.
" (g) Imports of petroleum into districts I-IV and district $V$, other than crude petroleum, shall be progressively reduced.
"(h) As used in this Act:
"(1) 'Petroleum' shall include crude petroleum and natural gas liquids, and finished and unfinished products thereof except residual fuel oil for use as fuel.
"(2) 'Imports' shall include 'imports for consumption' as reported by the United States Bureau of the Census and shipments (A) from Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam into the United States, and (B) into any foreign-trade zone located within the United States.

1 "(3) 'Districts I-IV' meaus the District of Colum2 bia and all the States of the United States except those States 3 within district V.

4 "(4) 'District V' means the States of Arizona, Nevada,
5 California, Oregon, Washington, Alaska, and Hawaii."
6 "(i) The President, upon a finding that a national
7 emergency threatens the adequacy of fuel supplies to meet
8 national requirements, may modify or suspend the quota
9 established by subsection ( $\theta$ ) for a period not to exceed six 10 months.


# Quotas on Petrolenm and Petroleum Prodncts 

## (S. 2332)

## Purpose of the bill

S. 2332 is designed to enact into statutory law, with cartain adjustments, the mandatory import quota restrictions presently epplicable to petroleum and certain petroleum products other than residual fuel oil for use as fuel. ${ }^{1}$ The present program of quotas is provided for by the President in a series of proclamations ${ }^{2}$ issued pursuant to the "national security" authority delegated to him in section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, and its predecessor provisions in section 2 of Public Law 464, 83d Congress (1954), as amended. ${ }^{3}$ The program is administered by the Secretary of the Interior.

The bill's purpose is the maintenance of a healithy, vigorous domestic industry in the interest of national security. To this end, the bill seeks to change certain existing provisions, and to prevent the adoption of certain pending proposals, which increase or would increase, the volume of imports of petroleum and petroleum products. These actions and proposals relate in particular to-
(1) Imports for petrochemical feedstocks;
(2) Imports into foreign trade zones;
(3) Imports of asphalt, No. 4 fuel oil, and low-sulfur fuel oil;
(4) The establishment of refineries and petrochemical plants in Puerto

Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam; and
(5) Imports from Canada.

With a view to curbing such increases in imports, the bill comprehensively defines the imports which would be included in the mandatory quotas as being comprised of-
(1) Imports for consumption as officially reported by the U.S. Bureau
of the Census;
(2) Shipments to the United States from Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam; and
(3) Shipments into any foreign trade zone in the United States.

The bill would also establish mandatory controls to apply to all five of the districts into which the United States has been divided for purposes of the petroleum import program. Imports into districts I-IV (the District of Columbia and all of the States of the United States except Arizona, Nevada, California, Oregon, Washington, Alaska, and Hawaii) would be limited in amount to 12.2 percent of the oil production in those districts. Imports into district $V$ (the States of Arizona, Nevada, California, Oregon, Washington, Aiaska, and Hawaii) would be limited so that the maximum level of overseas imports (which would be subject to allocation) would not be greater than the amount necessary, when added to domestic supply available to the district and to the volume of overland imports into the district (which would not be subject to allocation) to approximate district $V$ demands. Imports, except of crude petroleum, into all five districts would be progressively reduced.

The bill would authorize the President, upon a finding that a national emergency threatens the adequacy of fuel supplies to meet national requirements, to modify or suspend the quota which would be established for imports into districts I-IV.

[^0]
## Tariff treatment

The rates of duty applicable to petroleum, natural gas, and certain products derived therefrom are set forth in part 10 of schedule 4 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS), a copy of which is attached. The rates of duty in column numbered 1 apply to the prc. ใucts of all countries except certain countries designated by the President as being under Communist domination. The rates of duty in column No. 2 apply to such designated Communist countries.

Crude petroleum, topped crude petroleum, and distillate and residual fuel oils derived from petroleum, are provided for in TSUS items 475.05 and 475.10. The rates in column 1 reflect concessions granted in a bilateral trade agreement with Venezuela in 1952. The column 1 rates for the other products in part 10 of schedule 4 reflect concessions granted in the General Agreement on Tarifis and Trade (GATT). Asphaltum from all countries is free of duty under item 521.11 of the TSUS. Paraffin and other petroleum waxes are dutiable under item 494.22 at the rate of 0.5 cent per pound (col. 1) and 1 cent par pound (col. 2). The column 1 rate reflects a concession granted in the GATT. Butadiene, butylene, ethylene, and propylene from all countries are free of duty under item 429.50. Other hydrocarbons (derived from petroleum) are dutisble under item 429.52 at the rate of 10.5 percent ad valorem (col. 1) and 25 percent ad valorem (col. 2). The column 1 rate reflects concessions granted in the GATT.

## Additional information

Attached are tables 1 and 2, prepared by the Bureau of Mines, setting forth the supply of petroleum districts I-IV and district V for the years 1950-66, and U.S. imports of crude oil by districts and by countries of origin.

Also attached are tables 3-7, showing the quantity and value of imports of petroleum and certain products thereof, prepared by the Bureau of Defense Services Administration on the basis of data published by the Bureall of the Census. These tables have been compiled on a basis as nearly coincident as possible with the structure of the existing import controls. For example, overland receipts of crude oil and petroleum products from Canada and Mexico are exempt from quota control. Therefore, imports from these countries have been stated separately in each of the tables. Also, imports of unfinished oils, which come under the same import control category as crude oil, have been combined with crude oil import figures in table 3.

Licensed imports of all finished petroleum products are controlled according to a single quota category. However, these import statistics have been shown in three tables (tables 5, 6, and 7) because the quantity figures given in the Census data (barrels, long tons, and pounds) are not additive.

Section 2(e) of Presidential Proclamation 3279, as amended, excludes from quota controls certain withdrawals from bonded warehouses. Census data, however, include all withdrawals from bonded storage warehouses for consumption. Consequently, Census data on imports of petroleum and the data used by the Oil Import Administration are not strictly comparable. Also, Census and OIA data are at variance because they draw upon different reporting sources (U.S. customs import declarations in the one case and U.S. oil company reports in the other). The two sets of statistics also differ in cutoff date for recording entry and use somewhat different definitions of products.

Also attached are tables 8 and 9 on shipments of domestic producers, and imports and exports of petrochemical products, respectively, also prepared on the basis of data published by the Bureau of the Census.

Tariff Schedules of the U.S. Annotated (1965)
Schedule 4.-Chemicals and related products
PAIRT 10.-PETROLEUM, NATURAL GAS, AND PRODUCTS DERIVED THEREFROM :

| Item | Statistical sufix | Articles | Units of quantity | Rates of duty |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
|  |  | Part 10 headnotes: <br> 1. Any product described in this part and also in part 1 of this schedule is classifiablo in said part 1, except fuel oils, motor fucl, and lubricating oils and greases, containing by weight not over 25 percent of any product described in said part. 1. This part docs not oover <br> (i) parafin and other petroleum waxes (sec part 13B of this schedule), or <br> (ii) petroleum asphalts (see part 1J of schedule 5). <br> 2. For the purposes of this part- <br> (a) "Reconstituted crudo petroleum" (items 475.05 and 475.10 ) is a product which is essentially the equivalent of crude petroleum and which is made by adding fuel oil, naphtha, or other patroleum fractions to crude or topped crude petroleum; and <br> (b) "Motor fuel" (item 475.25) is any product derived primarily from potroleum, shale, or natural gae, whether or not containing additives, which is chicfly used as a fuel in internal-combustion or other ongines. <br> 3. For the purpoees of items 475.65 and 475.70 of this part- <br> (a) a product is considered to bo in liquid form if - <br> (i) the penetration is more than 350 units ( 35 millimeters) when tested by the procedure and equipment specifiod in American Society of Tcating Matcrials (ASTM) Deaignation: D-5 with the use of a $50-\mathrm{gram}$ load for 1 second at $77^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$., or when tested by a procedure which providos equivalent results, and <br> (ii) auch product is not in an cssentially gaseous state at a temperature of $60^{\circ}$ <br> F. and at a pressure of 14.65 pounds per square inch (abeolute), <br> regardless of the condition of the product at the time of importation; and <br> (b) in determining the relative weights of components of the mixturce provided for in iterns 475.65 and $\mathbf{4 7 5 . 7 0}$, naphtha and other petroleum derivatives which may be present in such mixtures ns solvents shall be diseregarded. <br> Part 10 elatistical headnote: <br> 1. For the purposes of this part the term barrel (bbl.) meane a berrel of 48 gallome. <br> Crude petroloum (including reconstituted crude petroleum) ; topped crude petroleum; orude shale oil; and distillate and rebidual fuel oils (including blended fuel oils) derivod from petroleum, shale, or both, with or withnut additives: |  |  |  |

Tariff Schedules of the U.S. Annotated (1965)-Continued
Schedule 4.-Chemicals and related products-Continued PART 10.--PETROLEUM, NATURAL GAS, AND PRODUCTS DERIVED THEREFROM

| Itom | Statintioal suffix | Articles | Unite of quantity | Rates of duty |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 475.05 | 10 | Tenting under 25 degrees A.P.I <br> Crude petroleum (including reconaituted crude petroleum) and crude shale oil-...Other: | Bbl | 0.125f per gal..- | 0.5\% per gal. |
|  | 20 | Certified by importer to be further refined. <br> Other. | $\begin{aligned} & B b l \\ & B 6 l_{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | 60 | Having a Saybolt Universal viscosity a $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. of 145 seconde or more: Certified by importer to be further refined <br> Other | $\begin{aligned} & B b l \\ & B b l \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 478. 10 | 10 | Testing 25 dogrees A.P.I. or more Crude petroloum (inciuding reconstituted cr ude petroleum) and crude shale oil......Other: <br> Having a Saybolf Universal viscosity at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. of less than 145 seconds: | Bbl.----- | 0.25\% per gal...- | 0.04 per gal. |
|  | 20 | Certified by importer to be further refined. <br> Other | $\begin{aligned} & B b l \ldots . . . . . . . \\ & B b l_{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | 40 | Having a Saybolt Universal viscosity at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. of 145 seconds or more: Cortified by importer to be further refined. Other. | $\begin{aligned} & B b l \\ & B b l \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 475. 15 |  | Natural gas, methane, ethane, propane, butanc, and mixtures thereof Liquified petroletom gases (propane, butane, and mistures thereof): Propane. | Bbl. | Free--.-.-.-.-. - | Free. |
|  | 10 50 | Other. | Bbl-..... |  |  |
|  | 40 | Natural gos, thane, methane, and mixfures thereof <br> Other. | MCF ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |  |
| 475. 25 | 20 |  |  | 1.254 per gai...-- | 2.54 per gel. |
|  | 40 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 00 | Other----7 ${ }^{\text {Keroseno }}$ derived from petroleum, shale oil, or both (except motor fuel) | Bbl-.-.- |  |  |
| 475. 35 | 0 | Naphthas derived from petroleum, shale oil, natural gas, or combinations thereof (except motor fuel). |  | 0.25d per gal.-.-- | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \text { per gai. } \\ & 0.5 \% \text { per gai. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 20 |  | $\begin{gathered} B b \\ B b l-\ldots . . . . . . . . . ~ \end{gathered}$ |  |  |


| 475.40 | 00 | Mineral oil of medicinal grade derived from petroleum, shalc oil, or both . Lubricating oils and greascs, derived from petroleum, shale oil, or both, with or without additives: | Gial. | 0.5¢ per gal. | 0.54 per gal. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 475.45 | 00 |  | Bbl. | 24 per gal. | 44 per gal. |
| 475.55 | 00 | Containing not over 10 percent by weight of salts of fatty acids of animal (including marine animal) or vegetable origin |  | $10 \%$ ad val. | 20\% ad val. |
| 475.60 | 00 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1\& por 1b. } \zeta \\ & 10 \% \text { ad val. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \neq \text { per lb. }+20 \% \\ & \text { ad val. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 475.65 |  | Mixtures of hydrocarbons not specially provided for, derived wholly from petroleum, shale oil, natural gas, or combinations thereof, which contain by weight not over 50 percent of any single hydrocarbon compound: <br> In liquid form. |  | 0.25¢ per gal. | 0.54 per gal. |
| 47.65 | 20 40 | Certified by importer to be further refi Other | Bbl. |  |  |
| 475. 70 | 00 | In other than liquid for | Lb... | Free | Free |

 U.S.C. 1352 ). Proc. 3279, as amended by Procs. 3290, 3328, 3386, 3389, 3509, 3531, $3541,3694,3779$, and 3794.

Thousand cubic feet.

Table: 1.-Supply of Petroloum Districte I-IV and District V, 1950-66
[Thousands of barrols daily]


| Receipts from Puerto Rico |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | --- | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imports: |  | 93 | 179 | 200 | 185 | 235 | 278 | 305 | 353 | 341 | 388 | 404 | 367 |
| Unfinished. |  | 93 | 178 | 260 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 16 | 23 | 20 | $\stackrel{27}{ }$ | 27 | 23 |
| Residual.- |  | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 |  | 10 | 44 | 39 | 18 | 26 | 29 | 17 |
| (Includes bonded and military offshore) |  |  |  |  | (2) |  | (19) | (40) | (36) | (16) | (23) | (25) | (15) |
| Other products |  | 6 | 10 | 8 | 23 | 12 | 8 | 10 (1) | 15 | 20 $(8)$ | (20) | (28) | $\begin{array}{r}41 \\ (21) \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Total imports. |  | 101 | 193 | 274 | 215 | 257 | 318 | 375 | 430 | 399 | 461 | 488 | 448 |
| military offshore).-.-.-...- |  | (1) | (1) |  | (2) |  | (19) | (41) | (42) | (24) | (32) | (38) | (36) |
| Total supply | 991 | 1,213 | 1,295 | 1, 354 | 1,267 | 1,336 | 1, 391 | 1,447 | 1,518 | 1,507 | 1,590 | 1,671 | 1,734 |

## ${ }^{1}$ Not available.

Source: Bureau of Mincs, Division of Petroleum, Sept. 20, 1967.
Note.-Figures in parentheses are not included in the totals.

Table 2.-United States crude oil imports by PAD districts-By countries of origin

| District, country of origin | 1947 | 1950 | 1955 | 1958 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1980 | 1061 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To the United States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada..... | 15 | 34 | 46 15 | 117 | 151 | $\begin{array}{r}83 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 92 | 113 3 | 183 10 | 233 10 | 248 10 | 278 10 | 295 | 347 |
| Duten West Indie | 14 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bolivia- |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Braxil ${ }_{\text {Color }}$ | 30 | 45 | 22 |  | 23 | 26 | 34 | 40 | 27 | 3 24 | 23 | 28 | 4 | 40 |
| Ecuador. |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Venesuela | 207 | 293 | 386 | $45 ß$ | 531 | 433 | 455 | 472 | 427 | 463 | 478 | $47 \overline{6}$ | $43 \overline{2}$ | 404 |
| Algoria.-. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1}{3}$ | - | 4 | ${ }_{5}^{1}$ | 6 3 | 9 | 4 |
| Lgypt.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 | 69 |
| Nigeria.-. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 11 |
| Aba Dhaba |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{68}^{3}$ | 14 78 | 88 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 26 | 12 | 24 | 21 | 17 | 20 | 4 | 1 |  | 16 | ${ }_{28}^{84}$ |
| Kuwate - |  | 73 | 134 | 139 | 141 | 186 | 162 | 130 | 121 | 112 |  |  | 55 | 28 |
| Neutral Zone |  |  | 18 | 8 13 | $\begin{array}{r}6 \\ 6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}33 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 41 | 45 4 | $\begin{array}{r}53 \\ 10 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}43 \\ \hline 26 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 44 16 | 48 20 | 12 | 19 |
| Saudi Arabia. | 1 | $40^{-1}$ | 80 | ${ }_{76}^{13}$ | 57 | 74 | 68 | 77 | 62 | ${ }^{26}$ | 79 | 207 9 | 132 | 125 |
| Sumatra..... |  |  | 33 | 36 | 65 | 58 | 55 | 73 | 62 | ${ }_{67}$ |  |  |  | 50 |
| Borneo.. |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, United States. | 267 | 487 | 782 | 934 | 1,023 | 953 | 966 | 1,015 | 1,045 | 1,126 | 1, 131 | 1,198 | 1,238 | 1,225 |
| To district I: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mexico. | 14 |  | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 8 | 10 | 17 | 22 9 | 27 | 40 |
| Dutch West Indies, | 14 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trinidad. |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colombia | $30^{-}$ | $45^{-1}$ | 22 | 24 | $23^{-1}$ | 25 | 32 | 37 | 27 | 23 | 22 | 25 | 39 | 40 |
| Venesuela | 208 | 280 | 365 | $41 i^{-}$ | 488 | 385 | $408{ }^{-1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Algeria <br> Egypt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $5$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | $\mathbf{9}$ $\mathbf{2}$ | $\frac{4}{2}$ |



Table 2.-United States crude oil imports by PAD districts-By countries of origin-Continued

| [Thousands of barrels daily] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District, country of origin | 1947 | 1950 | 1055 | 1958 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1981 | 1082 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, district V.- |  |  | 93 | 179 | 260 | 185 | 235 | 276 | 305 | 353 | $3+1$ | 388 | 404 | 368 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of Mines, Division of Petroleum, September 19, 1967.

Table 3.-U.S. imports of crude petroleum topped crude and unfinished oils
[In millions of barrels and millions of dollars]

| Year | Total imports |  | From Canada and Mexico |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value |
| 1960. | 5324 | 1, 2028 | 137.6 | 34.2 |
| 1985 | 5320 | 1, 190.7 | 1208 | 296. 0 |
| 1964 | 511.7 | 1,149.3 | 113.8 | 278 \% |
| 1963. | 479.5 | 1, 087. 5 | 103.5 | 257. 0 |
| 1962. | 472.4 | 1,070 4 | 95.9 | 235.2 |
| 1961. | 438.5 | 1, 005.9 | 74. 4 | 182.6 |
| 1960 | 421.1 | 951.2 | 41.7 | 98.1 |
| 1959 | 404.8 | 931.5 | 34.3 | 82.5 |
| 1957 | 387. 8 | 986.1 | 57. 0 | 153.0 |
| 1954. | 250.3 | 561.3 | 12.7 | 26.4 |

Source: U.8. Bureau of the Census reports on imports for consumption.
'Тable 4.-U.S. imports of residual vil
[In millions of barrels and millions of dollars]

| Year | Total imports |  | From Canada and Mexico |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value |
| 1966. | 373.2 | 7382 | 8.0 | 17. 0 |
| 1965 | 3423 | 703. 1 | 7.8 | 16.9 |
| 1964. | 2921 | 595. 7 | 8. 5 | 18.0 |
| 1963. | 2621 | 536. 6 | 7. 9 | 16. 6 |
| 1962 | 261.5 | 5460 | 9. 3 | 19.9 |
| 1961. | 240.1 | 521.7 | 6. 3 | 13.9 |
| 1960 | 2304 | 4825 | 5.4 | 11.4 |
| 1959 | 223.4 | 4544 | 13.0 | 27.7 |
| 1957. | 176.0 | 465. 0 | 11.7 | 34. 1 |
| 1954 | 132.3 | 240.2 | 9.8 | 16. 4 |

Source: U.B. Bureau of the Census reports on imports for consumption.
Table 5.-U.S. imports of finished liquid petroleum products
[In millions of barrels and millions of dollars]

| Year | Total imports |  | From Canada and Mexico |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value |
| 1966. | 58.4 | 159.3 | 9.1 | 15.4 |
| 1965 | 54.3 | 149.0 | 5.8 | 9.1 |
| 1964. | 43.3 | 118.1 | 4.6 | 9.5 |
| 1963. | 49.1 | 138.0 | 2.5 | 6.8 |
| 1962. | 45.2 | 137.7 | 3.6 | 10.6 |
| 1961.. | 31.9 | 97.8 | 2.7 | 8.6 |
| 1980.- | 30.3 | 98.6 | 2.7 | 9.5 |
| 1959.. | 38.0 | 130.2 | 1.7 | 5.8 |
| 1957. | 23.1 | 87.0 | 2.5 | 10.4 |
| 1954..... | 5.7 | 20.2 | . 1 | . 6 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census reports on imports for consumption.

Table 6.-U.S. imports of finished nonliquid petroleum products (primarily waxes and greases)
[In millions of pounds and millions of dollars]

| Year | Total imports |  | From Canada and Mexico |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value |
| 1966... | 17.5 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 0.3 |
| 1985. | 15. 4 | 3.1 | 3.7 | . 3 |
| 1964 | 12.5 | 3.0 | . 6 | . 1 |
| 1963. | 10.7 | 2.3 | . 9 | . 1 |
| 1962 | 27.3 | 2.4 | . 4 | (1) |
| 1961. | 9.5 | 2.2 | . 7 | . 1 |
| 1960 | 22.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | . 1 |
| 1959 | 15.3 | 2.1 | 5.4 | . 2 |
| 1957. | 3.9 | 1.0 | . 1 | (1) |
| 1954. | 4.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 1 |

' Negligible
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census reports on imports for consumption.
Table 7.-U.S. imports of solid asphalt
[In thousands of long tons and thoussinds of dollars]

| Year | Total imports |  | From Canada and Mexico |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Value | Quantity | Value |
| 1966. | 1, 028.1 | 13,870. 1 | 33.5 | 673.7 |
| 1965. | 1, 049.7 | 14, 8100 | 29.7 | 567. 7 |
| 1964. | 9950 | 14, 1008 | 24. 3 | 465. 3 |
| 1963 | 819.9 | 11, 861.8 | 123 | 263.3 |
| 1962 | 719.1 | 10, 1120 | 1.7 | 46.7 |
| 1961. | 837.8 | 11, 551.0 |  |  |
| 1960. | 7747 | 10, 4922 | . 1 | 1. 4 |
| 1959. | 1, 0288 | 12,772. 4 |  | . 5 |
| 1957 | 704.6 | 9, 896.7 | (1) | . 3 |
| 1954 | 553.3 | 6, 353.0 | (1) | 1. 0 |

${ }^{1}$ Negligible.
Source: CV.S. Bureau of the Census reports on imports for consumption.
Table 8.-U.S. shipments of petrochemical products, 1954, 1957, 1962-65 ${ }^{1}$


[^1]Table 9.-U.S. Foreign Trale in. Petrochemical Products,' 1954, 1957, 1968-66
[In thousands of dollars)

| SIC and produst | 1954 |  | 1957 |  | 1962 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports | Exports | Imports | Exports | Imports | Exports |
| 28150 Intermediates, dyes, crudes | 37, 123 | 196, 196 | 64, 355 | 245, 440 | [72,743 | $\begin{array}{r} 252,492 \\ 26,557 \\ 2,801 \end{array}$ |
| 28180 Organic chemicals, n . |  |  |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}2,783 \\ 16,727 \\ 9,411\end{array}\right.$ |  |
| 28410 Detergents, synethetic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28210 Plastics materials. | 537 | 74, 746 | 7, 339 | 159, 185 | 6, 098 | 239, 694 |
| 28220 Synethetic rubber. | 9, 791 | 25, 376 | 4, 064 | 122, 886 | 6, 688 | 169, 478 |
| 28240 Manmade fibers, noncellulosic. | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (3) | 1,721 | 28, 401 | 8, 866 | 21,816 |
| 28950 Carbon black (channel and furnace) $\qquad$ | 1,282 | 36, 163 | 1,341 | 40, 467 | - 49 | 41.801 |
| 29115 Liquefied petroleum gases | ${ }^{3} 342$ | 12,040 | ${ }^{3} 932$ | 13,545 | 47, 017 | 67, 436 |


| SIC and product | 1963 |  | 1964 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports | Exports | Imports | Exports |
| 28150 Intermediates, dyes, crudes. | 94, 680 | 298, 762 | 126,731 | 424, 615 |
| 28180 Organic chemicals, n.e.c...- | 17, 839 | 29, 563 | 21, 921 | 33, 246 |
| 28410 Detergents, synthetic. | 7, 611 | 2, 601 | 10, 308 | 3, 168 |
| 28210 Plastics materials. | 15, 379 | 250, 104 | 11,381 | 317, 592 |
| 28220 Synthetic rubber-....-. -- | 9, 119 | 155, 518 | 14, 541 | 179, 212 |
| 28240 Manmade fibers, noncellulosic. | 5,463 | 19,689 | 13, 158 | 36, 779 |
| 28950 Carbon black (channel and furnace) | + 215 | 35, 447 | 235 | 31,929 |
| 29115 Liquefied petroleum gases - | 34,831 | 65, 438 | 8,727 | 79,590 |


| SIC and product | 196.) |  | 1966 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports | Exports | Imports | Exports |
| 28150 Intermediates, dyes, crudes | 161,381 | 668, 954 | 220, 272 | 690, 335 |
| 28180 Organic chemicals, n.e.c...- | 26, 838 | 31, 427 | 35, 401 | 34, 738 |
| ${ }_{28210}^{28410}$ Detergents, 8ynthetic.... | 11,306 <br> 1881 <br> 1 | 2,412 340,133 | 11, 742 | 378,821 |
| 28220 Synthetic rubber. | 19, 039 | 161, 186 | 23, 649 | 175, 09,5 |
| 28240 lianmade fibers, noncellu- | 31, 942 | 33, 346 | 37, 667 | 38,916 |
| 28950 Carbon black (channel and | 37 | 26, 658 | 61 | 28, 407 |
| 2911.) Liquefied petroleum bases ${ }^{\circ}$. | 9, 275 | 24,977 | 10,988 | 26,75.i |

[^2]
## ADJUSTING IMPORT8 OP PETROLEUM AND PETROLEUM PRODUCTE INTO THE UNITED 8TATES

Substantive Provisions of Proclamation 3279, ar modified by Proclamations 3290, 3328, 3386, 3389, 3509, 3531, 3541, 3693, 3779, and 3794, and by Executive Order 11051.

Section. 1. (a) In Districts I-IV, in District V, and in Puerto Rico, no crude oil, unfinished oils, or finished products may be entered for consumption or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption, and no foreign crude oll, unfinished oils, or finished products may be brought into a foreign trade zone in Districts I-IV or in District $V$ for processing within the zone, except (1) by or for the account of a person to whom a license has been issued by the Secretary of the Interior pursuant to an allocation made to such person by the Secretary in accordance with regulations issued by the Secretary, and such entries, withdrawals, and shipments into foreign trade zones may be made only in accordance with the terms of such license, or (2) as authorized by the Secretary pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section, or (3) as to finished products, by or for the account of a department, establishment, or agency of the United States, which shall not be required to have such a license but which shall be subject to the provisions of paragraph (c) of this section, or (4) crude oil, unfinished oils, or finished products which are transported into the United States by pipeline, rail, or other means of overland transportation from the country where they were produced, which country, in the case of unfinished oils or finished products, is also the country of production of the crude oil from which they were processed or manufactured. (Procs. 3279, 3290, 3693.)
(b) The Secretary of the Interior may in his discretion, authorize entries without a license of small quantities of crude oil, unfinished oils, or finished products, including samples for testing or analysis, baggage entries, and informal entries. (Procs. 3279, 3290.)
(c) In Districts I-IV, District V, and in Puerto Rico, on and after April 1, 1959, no department, establishment, or agency of the United States shall import finished products in excess of the respective allocations made to them by the Secretary of the Interior. Such allocations shall be within the maximum levels of imports established in section 2 of this proclamation. (Proc. 3279.)

Sec. 2. (a)(1) In Districts I-IV, for a particular allocation pariod the maximum level of imports, subject to allocation, of crude oil, unfinished oils, and finished products (other than residual fuel oil to be used as fuel) shall be an amount equal to the difference between (i) 12.2 percent of the quantity of crude oil and natural gas liquids which the Secretary estimates will be produced in these districts during the particular allocation period and (ii) the quantity of imports of crude oil, unfinished oils, and finished products excepted by clause (4) of paragraph (a) of section 1 which the Secretary estimates will be imported into these districts during that allocation period plus the quantity estimated by the Secretary by which shipments of unfinished oils and finished products (other than residual fuel oil to be used as fuel) from Puerto Rico to Districts I-IV during that allocation period will exceed the quantity so shipped during a comparable base period in the year 1965. As used in this subparagraph (1), the term "nztural gas liquidn" means natural gas products and other hydrocarbons such as isopentane, propane, and butane, or mixtures thereof, recovered from natural gas by meaus urher than refining. Within such maximum leval, imports of unfinished oils shail aot exceed such percentum of the permissible imports of crude oil and unfinisised oils as the Secretary may determine and imports of finished products (other chan residual fued oil to be used as fuel) shall not exceed the level of imports of such products into these districts during the calendar year 1957. (Procs. 3279, 3386, 3509, 3541, 3693.)
(2) The maximum leved of imports of residual fuel oil to be used as fuel into District I, Districts II-IV, and District V for a particular allocation period shall be the level of imports of that product into those districts during the calendar year 1957 as adjusted by the Secretary as he may determine to be consonant with the objectives of this proclamation. (Procs. 3279, 3389, 3794.)
(b) In District $V$ the maximum level of imports of crude oil and finished products shall be an amount which, together with domestic production and supply and imports excepted by clause (4) of paragraph (a) of section 1 of this proclamation, will approximate total demand in that district as estimated
by the Bureau of Mines for periods fixed by the Secretary and, for the purposes of this limitation, imports of unfinished oils shall be considered to be the equivalent of imports of crude oil on the basis of such ratios as the Secretary may establish. Within this maximum level, imports of finished products shall not exceed the level of imports of such products into this district during the calendar year 1957. Imports of unfinished oils as such (without respect to the requirement of equivalence) shall not exceed such per centum of the permissible imports of crude oil as the Secretary may from time to time determine. (Procs. 3279, 3328)
(c) The Secretary, having taken into account the standards prescribed for allocation of imports of crude oil and unfinished oils into Puerto Rico, any actions taken pursuant to section 4, and shipments from Puerto Rico into Districts I-IV, shall establish for each allocation period a maximum leval of imports into Puerto Rico of crude oil and unfinished oils which, in his judgment, is consonant with the objectives of this proclamation. The maximum level of imports of finished products into Puerto Rico for a particular allocation period shall be approximately the level of such imports during all or part of the calendar year 1958 as determined by the Secretary to be consonant with the purposes of this proclamation or such higher level as the Secretary may determine is required to meet \& demand in Puerto Rico for finished products that would not otherwise be met. (Procs. 3279, 3509, 3693)
(d) The levels established, and the total demand referred to, in this section do not include free withdrawals by persons pursuant to section 309 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1309), or petroleum supplies for vessels or aircraft operated by the United States between points referred to in said section 309 (as to vessels or aircraft, respectively) or between any point in the United States or its possessions and any point in a foreign country. (Procs. 3279, 3509, 3794)

Skc. 3. (a) The Secretary of the Interior is hereby authorized to issue regulations for the purpose of implementing this proclamation. Such regulations shall be consistent with the levels established in this proclamation for imports of crude oil, unfinished oils, and finished products into Districts I-IV, into District $V$, and into Puerto Rico, and shall provide for a system of allocation of the authorized imports of such crude oil, unfinished oils and finished products and for the issuance of licenses pursuant to such system, with such restrictions -upon the transfer of allocations and licenses as may be deemed appropriate to further the purposes of this proclamation. (Proc. 3279)
(b)(1) With respect to the allocation of imports of crude oil and unfinished oils into District I-IV and into District V, such regulations shall provide, to the extent possible, for a fair and equitable distribution among persons having refinery capacity in these districts in relation to refinery inputs (excluding inputs of crude oil or unfinished oils imported pursuant to clause (4) of paragraph (a) of Section 1). The Secretary may by regulation also provide for the making of such allocations to persons having petrochemical plants in these districts in relations to inputs to such plants (excluding inputs of crude oil or unfinished oils imported pursuant to clause (4) of paragraph (8) of section 1). Provision may be made in the regulations for the making of such allocations on the basis of graduated scales of inputs. Provision shall be made in the regulations for the gradual reduction of allocations made on the basis of the last allocations of imports of crude oil under the Voluntary Oil Import Program, except that provision shall be made for a more rapid reduction of those allocations based on allocations under the Voluntary Oil Import Program which reflected imports of crude oil in the category now covered by clause (4) of paragraph (a) of section 1. (Procs. 3279, 3200, 3509, 3603.)
(2) Such regulations shall provide for the allocation of imports of crude oil and unfinished oils into Puerto Rico among persons having refinery capacity in Puerto Rico in the calendar year 1964 on the basis of estimated requiremente, acceptable to the Secretary, of each such person for crude oil and unfinished oils. The regulations shall provide also that if, during a period comprising the same number of months as an allocation period and ending three months before the beginning of the allocation period, any such person ships to Districts I-IV unfinished oils or finished products (other than residual fuel oil to be used as fuel) or sells unfinished oils or finished products (other than residual fuel oil to be used as fuel) which are shipped to Districts I-IV in excess of the volume
of unfinished oils or finished products (other than residual fuel oil to be used as fuel) which he so shipped or which he sold and were so shipped during the corresponding base period in the years 1964 and 1965 or in the year 1965, as the case may be, the person's allocation for the next allocation period shall be reduced by the amount of the excess. In addition the Secretary may provide by regulation for the making, in instances in which the Secretary determines that such action would not impair the accomplishment of the objectives of this proclamation, of allocations of imports of crude oil and unfinished oils into Puerto Rico to persons as feedstocks for facilities which will be established or for the operations of facilities which are established and which in the judgment of the Secretary will promote substantial expansion of employment in Puerto Rico through industrial development, and such regulations shall provide for the imposition of such conditions and restrictions upon such allocations as the Secretary may deem neecssary to assure that any imports so allocated are used for the purposes for which an allocation is made and that the holder of such an allocation fulfills commitments made in connection with the making of the allocation. (Procs. 3279, 3290, 3509, 3693.)
(3) Except for crude oil or unfinished oils imported pursuant to special relief granted pursuant to section 4, such regulations shall require that imported crude oil and unfinished oils be processed in the licensee's refinery or petrochemical plant, except that exchanges for domestic crude or unfinished oils may be made if otherwise lawful, if effected on a current basis and reported in advance to the Secretary, and if the domestic crude or unfinished oils are processed in the licensee's refinery or petrochemical plant. (Procs. 3279, 3290, 3509, 3693.)
(4) With respect to the allocation of imports of finished products, other than residual fuel oil to be used as fuel, into Districts I-IV, District V, and Puerto Rico, such regulations shall, to the extent possible, provide (i) for a fair and equitable distribution of imports of such finished products among persons who have been importers of such finished products into the respective districts or Puerto Rico during the respective base periods specified in section 2 of this proclamation, and (ii) for the granting and adjustment of allocations of imports of such finished products in accordance with procedures established pursuant to section 4 of this proclamation. (Procs. $3279,3328,3389$.
(5) With respect to the allocation of imports of residual fuel oil to be used as fuel into Districts II-IV, District V, and Puerto Rico, such regulations snall, to the extent possible, provided for a fair and equitable distribution of imports of residual fuel oil to be used as fuel among persons who were importers of that product into the respective districts or Puerto Rico during the respective base periods specified in section 2 of this Proclamation. In addition, in District V, and Puerto Rico, the Secretary by regulation may, to the extend possible, provide for a fair and equitable distribution of imports of residual fuel oil to be used as fuel, the maximum sulfur content of which is acceptable to the Secretary (i) among persons who are in the business in the respective districts or Puerto Rico of selling residual fuel oil to be used as fuel and who have had inputs of that product to deep-water terminals located in the respective districts or Puerto Rico, and (ii) among persons who are in the business in the respective districts or Puerto Rico of selling residual fuel oil to be used as fuel and have throughput agreements (warehouse agreements) with deep-water terminal operators. With respect to the allocation of imports into District I of residual fuel oil to be used as fuel, such regulations shall, to the extent possible, provide for a fair and equitable distribution of imports of residual fuel oil to be used as fuel (i) among persons who are importers of that product into such district during the calendar year 1957, (ii) among persons who are in the business in District I of selling residual fuel oil to be used as fuel and who have had inputs of that product to deep-water terminals located in District I, and (iii) among persons who are in the business in District I of selling residual fuel oil to be used as fuel and have throughput agreements (warehouse agreements) with deep-water terminal operators. With respect to the allocation of imports of residual fuel oil to be used as fuel into District I, Districts II-IV, District V, and Puerto Rico, such regulations shall also provide, to the extent possible, for the granting and adjustment of allocations of imports of residual fuel oil to be used as fuel in accordance with procedures established pursuant to section 4 of this proclamation. (Procs. $3389,3509,3794$.
(c) Such regulations may provide for the revocation or suspension by the Secretary of any allocation or license on grounds relating to the national security, or the violation of the terms of this proclamation, or of any regulation or license issued pursuant to this proclamation. (Proc. 3279.)
(d) The Secretary of the Interior shall keep under review the supplydemand situation with reepect to asphalt in District I, Districts II-IV, District V, and Puerto Rico, and, as he determines to be consonant with the objectives of this proclamation, he may in his discretion (1) establish, without respect to the levels of imports prescribed in section 2, a maximum level of imports of asphalt for District I, or Districts II-IV, or District V, of Puerto Rico and, notwithstanding the provisions of subparagraph (4) of paragraph (b) of this section 3, establish a special system of allocation of such imports, or (2) permit the entry for consumption or the withdrawal from warehouse for consumption of asphalt in District I, or Districts II-IV, or District V, or Puerto Rico, without allocations or licenses, not withstanding the provisions of section 1. (Proc. 3779.)
(e) Notwithstanding the levels established in section 2 of this proclamation and the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section, the Secretary may provide by regulation for additional allocations of imports of crude oil and unfinished oils to persons in Districts I-IV and District $V$ who manufacture in the United States residual fuel oil to be used as fuel, the maximum sulphur content of which is acceptable to the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Health, Education and Welfare. These allocations to each of such persons shall not exceed the amount of such residual fuel oil produced by that person. (Proc. 3794.)

SEc. 4. (a) The Secretary of the Interior is authorized to provide for the establishment and operation of an Appeals Board to consider petitions by persons affected by the regulations issued pursuant to Section 3 of this proclamation. The Appeals Board shall be comprised of a representative each from the Departments of the Interior, Defense, and Commerce to be designated respectively by the heads of such Departments. (Procs. 3279, 3531.)
(b) The Appeals Board may be empowered, within the limits of the maximum levels of imports established in section 2 of this proclamation (1) to modify, on the grounds of exceptional hardship or error, any allocation made to any person under such regulations; (2) to grant allocations of crude oil and unfinished oils in special circumstances to persons with importing histories who do not qualify for allocations under such regulations; (3) to grant allocations of finished products on the ground of exceptional hardship to persons who do not qualify for allocations under such regulations; and (4) to review the revocation or suspension of any allocation or license. The Secretary may provide that the Board may take such action on petitions as it deems appropriate and that the decisions by the Appeals Board shall be final. (Procs. 3279, 3328.)

Sec. 5. Persons who apply for allocations of crude oil, unfinished oils, or finished products and persons to whom such allocations have been made shall furnish to the Secretary of the Interior such information and shall make such reports as he may require, by regulation or otherwise, in the discharge of his responsibilities under this proclamation. (Proc. 3279.)

Sec. 6. (a) The Director of the Office of Emergency Planning shall maintain a constant surveillance of imports of petroleum and its primary derivatives in respect of the national security and, after consultation with the Secretaries of State, Defense, Treasury, the Interior, Commerce, and Labor, he shall inform the President of any circumstances which, in the Director's opinion might indicate the need for further Presidential action under section 2 of the act of July 1, 1954, as amended. In the event prices of crude oil or its products or derivatives should be increased after the effective date of this proclamation, such surveiliance shall include a determination as to whether such increase or increases are necessary to accomplish the national security objectives of the act of July 1, 1954, as amended, and of this proclamation. (Proc. 3279; E.O. 11051.)
(b) The Special Committee to Investigate Crude Oil Imports is hereby discharged of its responsibilities. (Proc. 3279.)

Sec. 7. The Secretary of the Interior may delegate, and provide for sucsuccessive redelegation of, the authority conferred upon him by this proclamation. All departments and agencies of the Executive branch of the Government
shall cooperate with an assist the Secretary of the Interior in carrying out the purposes of this proclamation. (Proc. 3279.)

Sec. 8. Executive Order 10761 of March 27, 1958, entitled "Government Purchases of Crude Petroleum and Petroleum Products" (23 F.R. 2067) is hereby revoked as of April 1, 1959. (Proc. 3279.)

Sec. 9. As used in this proclamation:
(a) "Person" includes an individual, a corporation, firm, or other business organization or legal entity, and an agency of a state, territorial, or local government, but does not include a department, establishment, or agency of the United States; (Proc. 3279.)
(b) "District I" means the States of Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Delaware, West Virginia, Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida, and the District of Columbia. (Proc. 3389.)
(c) "District II-IV" means all of the States of the United States except those States within District I and District V. (Proc. 3389.)
(d) "Districts I-IV" means the District of Columbia and all of the States of the United States except those States within District V (Procs. 3279, 3389);
(e) "District V" means the States of Arizona, Nevada, California, Oregon, Washington, Alaska, and Hawaii (Procs. 3279, 3328, 3389.);
(f) "Crude oil"' means crude petroleum as it is produced at the wellhead and liquids (under atmospheric conditions) that have been recovered from mixtures of hydrocarbons which existed in a vaporous phase in a reservoir and that are not natural gas products (Procs. 3279, 3389, 3509.);
(g) "Finished Products" means any one or more of the following petroleum oils, or a mixture or combination of such oils, which are to be used without further processing except blending by mechanical means (Procs. 3279, 3389.):
(1) Liquefied gases-hydrocarbon gases such as ethane, propane, propylene, butylene, and butanes (but not methane) which are recovered from natural gas or produced in the refining of petroleum and which, to be maintained in a liquid state at ambient temperatures, must be kept under greater than atmospheric pressures (Procs. 3279, 3693.);
(2) gasoline-a refined petroleum distillate which, by its composition, is suitable for use as a carburant in internal combustion engines (Proc. 3279.);
(3) jet fuel-a refined petroleum distillate used to fuel jet propulsion engines (Proc. 3279.);
(4) naphtha-a refined petroleum distillate falling within a distillation range overlapping the higher gasoline and the lower kerosenes (Proc. 3279.);
(5) fuel oil-a liquid or liquefiable petroleum product burned for lighting or for the generation of heat or power and derived directly or indirectly from crude oil, such as kerosene, range oil, distillate fuel oils, gas oil, diesel fuel, topped crude oil, residues (Proc. 3279.);
(6) lubricating oil-a refined petroleum distillate or specially treated petroleum residue used to lessen friction between surfaces (Proc. 3279.);
(7) Residual fuel oil-topped crude oil or viscous residuum which has a viscosity of not less than 45 seconds Saybolt universal at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. and crude oil which has a viscosity of not less than 45 seconds Saybolt universal at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. minimum viscosity and which is to be used as fuel without further proressing other than by blending by mechanical means (Procs. 3279, 3794);
(8) asphalt-a solid or semi-solid cementitious material which gradually liquefies when heated, in which the predominating constituents are bitumins, and which is obtained in refining crude oil (Proc. 3279);
(9) 'natural gas products' means liquids (under atmospheric conditions), including natural gasoline, which are recovered by a process of absorption, adsorption, compression, refrigeration, cycling, or a combination of such processes, from mixtures of hydrocarbons that existed in a vaporous phase in a reservoir and which, when recovered and without processing in a refinery, otherwise fall within any of the definitions of products contained in clauses (2) through (5), inclusive, of this paragraph (g) (Proc. 3509).
(h) "Unfinished Oils" means one or more of the petroleum oils listed in paragraph (e) of this section, or a mixture or combination of such oils which are to be further processed other than by blending by mechanical means. (Procs. 3279, 3389).

## Safequarding National Security-Section 232, Trade Expanbion Act of 1962, and Its Leoislative History

National security provisions in section 232 of the 1962 Trade Expansion Act read as follows:
Sec. 232. Safeguarding National Security.
(a) No action shall be taken pursuant to section 201 (a) or pursuant to secti n 350 of the Pariff Act of 1930 to decrease or eliminate the duty or other import restriction on any article if the President determines that such reduction or elimination would threaten to impair the national security.
(b) Upon request of the head of any department or agency, upon application of an interested party, or upon his own motion, the Director of the Office of Emergency Plann ng (hereinafter in this section referred to as the "Director") shall immediately make an appropriate investigation, in the course of which he shall seek information and advice from other appropriate departments and agencies, to determine the effects on the national security of imports of the article which is the subject of such request, application, or motion. If, as a result of such investigation, the Director is of the opinion that the said article is being imported into the United States in such quantities or under such circumstances as to threaten to impair the national security, he shall promptly so advise the President, and, unless the President determines that the article is not being imported into the United States in such quantities or under such circumstances as to threaten to impair the national security as set forth in this section, he shall take such action, and for such time, as he deems necessary to adjust the imports of such article and its derivatives so that such imports will not so threaten to impair the national security.
(c) For the purposes o this section, the Director and the President shall, in the light of the requirements of national security and without excluding other relevant factors, give consideration to domestic production needed for projected national defense requirements, the capacity of domestic industries to meet such requirements, existing and anticipated availabilities of the human resources, products, raw materials, and other supplies and services essential to the national defense, the requirements of growth of such industries and such supplies and services including the investment, exploration, and development necessary to assure such growth, and the importation of goods in terms of their quantities, availabilities, character, and use as those affect such industries and the capacity of the United States to meet national security requirements. In the administration of this section, the Director and the President shall further recognize the close relation of the economic welfare of the Nation to our national security, and shall take into consideration the impact of foreign competition on the economic welfare of individual domestic industries; and any substantial unemployment, decrease in revenues of government, loss of skills or investment, or other serious effects resulting from the displacement of any domestic products by excessive imports shall be considered, without excluding other factors, in determining whether such weakening of our internal economy may impair the national security.
(d) A report shall be made and published upon the disposition of each request, application, or motion under subsection (b). The $D$ rector shall publish procedural regulations to give effect to the authority conferred on him by subsection (b).

## LEGIBLATIVE HIBTORY

Amongst the numerous laws designed to preserve national security through the control of imports and exports is the national security amendment. This became a part of the statutory framework of the trade agreaments legislation in 1954.

## 1954 legislation

Section 2 of the Trade Agreements Extension Act of 1954 provided that no action was to be taken "to decrease the duty on any article" if the President found that such reduction "would threaten domestic production needed for
projected national defense requirements". ${ }^{1}$ This amendment was added on the Senate floor after the one-page one-section 1954 Trade Extension Act ${ }^{2}$ had passed the House and been approved by the Senate Finance Committee without amendment.

The section 2 amendment was proposed by Senator Symington and passed the Senate the following day. In the Congressional Record, the Senator briefly expressed his reasons for offering the amendment: ${ }^{2}$

I plan to offer an umendment, which in effect would require testing
tariff decreases against defense requirements.
I believe it should be mandatory for the administration to make
certain that no tariff should be reduced, whenever such reduction
would threaten continued domestic production necessary to meet our projected defense requirements.

I refer to articles identifiable as neressary for national defense.
In commenting on the favorable amendment, Senator George noted that there would be no objection to the amendment because the President already had the broad power the amendment provided, but such a statement of it would pinpoint it.4

The House subsequently modified the Senate security amendment to clarify and improve it. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ In doing so it insured that an article which is not important for defense, but is a prime part of a defense-essential industry, would be included in the provision. The rewording also insured that the President could exercise discretion in applying the provision.

In the Senate debate, prior to their acceptance of the House modification of the national security amendment, certain ramifications of the new provision were brought out.' The wide discretionary power of the President and the fact that an authority other than price could now be the determinator of imports was noted, as was the absence of any definite standards.

During the initial consideration by the House of the 1954 Trade Extension Act, various trade bills were proposed which included national security provisions. One such bill, H.R. 9178, introduced by Representative Van Zandt of Pennsylrania, connected the expansive oil and other products' imports to a need for a national security provision in the legislation.?

## 1955 legislation

In 1955 the law was amended by adding a new subsection providing procedure for investigation and action by the President in furthering the policy and purpose of the original section. Upon the advice of the Director of the Office of Defense Mobilization that any article was being imported in quantities so as to threaten to impair the national security, the President could investigate and subsequently take action deemed necessary to adjust the imports to a level that would not threaten national security. ${ }^{8}$

This amendment to section 2 of the 1954 Trade Extension Act was made in the Senate Finance Committee. No alterations of the 1954 security amendment

[^3]were made in the House bill. However, the Report of the Minority of the House Ways and Means Committee on H.R. 1 presents similar views on national security to those expressed by the Senate Finance Committee.' These views reflected a fear that American manufacturers and producers of raw materials whose products competed with imports would be discouraged by such imports from maintaining or increasing their output. Particular concern was expressed for the effect on certain products:

Preservation and expansion of domestic sources of essential raw materials are also vital to our Nation's security. Yet, our capacity to produce coal, oil, lead, zinc, tungsten, manganese, and a variety of other raw materials, has been damaged by imports. ${ }^{10}$
Other areas of needed protection that were commented on were: the chemical industry, electrical apparatus, and the machine tool industry. The minority report also noted the lack of standards or procedures to guide the President in implementing section 2 of the 1954 Act, and asserted that inadequate consideration was given to proposed national security amendments."

The Senate Finance Committee report ${ }^{12}$ stated that in lieu of specific action on the several proposals dealing with specific commodities the section 7 amendment was proposed for adoption. In doing so it asserted:

The committee believes that this amendment will provide a means for assistance to the various national defense industries which would have been affected by the individual amendments presented.
Of major consideration in adopting this legislation was a report before Congress by the President's Advisory Committee on Energy, Supplies, and Resources Policy, which pointed out the importance of a strong domestic petroleum industry. ${ }^{12}$ The serious situation in the lead, zinc, and fluorspar industries was also mentioned and it was noted that Congress could adopt legislation to aid these "essential industries" if protective action was not forthcoming."

In the conference committee report accompanying H.R. 1 the conferees emphasized that the amendment was not intended to diminish or impair the Presidential authority under other laws. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ It was also noted that the authority granted in this provision was independent of any contained in any other law. Thus, the broad grant of authority conferred upon the President to control imports in the national security interest was duly emphasized.

## 1957 subcommittec consideration of the legislation

Subsequent to the enactment of this 1955 amendment, a voluntary restraint was undertaken by the oil importers in June 1956 on the import of their products. This restraint was ineffective and thus the national security provision was invoked to curtail oil imports. ${ }^{16}$ A discussion of the usage of this legislation was presented before the Subcommittee on Customs, Tariffs, and Reciprocal Trade Agreements in 1957." There it was stated by the Director of the Office of Defense Mobilization that no procedural rules for investigations and no criteria for governing recommendations had been formulated or were contemplated. He also asserted that formal notice of investigations undertaken was not always given and that no investigation was instituted unless the domestic industry filed a complaint. ${ }^{14}$ These acknowledged deficiencies in due process and constitutional delegation of legislative authority became the subject of the 1958 Trade Extension Act national security amendments.

The subcommittee report also noted the possibil ty that the national security amendment could become a substitute for the escape clause and thus provide an easier vehicle for domestic industries to obtain protection, as well

[^4]as quota limits on competitive imports. ${ }^{10}$ In investigations the Director of ODM was not obliged to consider injury from imports, as required under the eccape clause.

## 1958 legislation

In considering the 1958 Trade Extension Act, ${ }^{2}$ considerable attention was given to the national security provisions in the hearings before the House Ways and Means Committee. ${ }^{\text {n }}$ Witnesses encouraged amendments to provide for speedier investigations as well as clarified and spec fic standards. This committee's report stated that the amendments proposed would resolve any inadequacies under the previous legislation. The report clarified one of the problems brought up in the 1957 subcommittee report ${ }^{22}$ by asserting that the national security amendment was not an alternative to the escape clause. Rather, its purpose was stated to be different since it provided those best able to judge delense needs with a way to take needed action to avoid a threat to national security through imports. It further noted that whereas serious injury is a primary consideration in escape-clause act:ons, it may also be a factor in particular national security cases, although a remedy for domestic industry injury is not the object per se in such national security amendment actions.

Other differences between the escape clause and national security procedures were brought out by this report of the Committee on Ways and Means on H.R. 12591. ${ }^{2}$ The national security provisions apply to all imports whether or not they are the subject of trade agreement concessions. In the choice of remedies for national security cases, the President was not limited to actions allowed under the authority delegated to him in the trade agreements legislation. However, the report noted he was limited to actions which would adjust imports. In considering any Presidential action in national security cases the committee emphasized:

*     * [T]hat any action, large or small, for a short or long time, can be taken only if warranted by national security considerations. The interest to be safeguarded is the security of the Nation, not the output or profitability of any plant or industry except as these may be essential to national security.
The results of the 1958 House Committoe on Ways and Means investigative work into the national security amendment was a complete revocation of the then existing statutory language. ${ }^{24}$ The new wording, ${ }^{2}$ however, was ouly intended to provide gieater clarity, and the necessary procedural requisites.* These amendments, thus, were to:
(1) Enumerate and expand the list of ways by which a national security investigation can be started.
(2) Require only an investigation by the Director of ODM and not an additional one by the President upon receiving the Director's report.
(3) Consider not only the quantities of imports as to their impairment of national security but also circumstances under which goods are entering, including their character and use.
(4) Specify that the President could take action for as little or as long a period of time as needed.
(5) Enumerate some factors to consider in arriving at a determination in an investigation.
(6) Require the Director of ODM to publish procedural regulations and provide for public hearings, when appropriate.
(7) Require a report to be made and published upon disposition of each case.

[^5](8) Provide for consultation and coordination with other Government agencies when considering cases and in preparing a report to Congress by February 1859 on the administration of the national secur ty amendment.
In the individual and minority views contained in the committee report on H.R. 12591, there is comment that the specific permissible tariff or quota action should be stated in the statute. ${ }^{27}$ Representative Frank Ikard's supplemental vielrs emphasize a need for affirmative action for oil imports and the inadequacy of the national security amendment in meeting this. His statement contains a reprint of the affirmative report of the President's Special Committee To Investigate Crude Oil Imports. ${ }^{24}$

The Finance Committee accepted the House provisions for the national security amendment, but amended it for the "express purpose of strengthening and increasing its affect.veveness." ${ }^{29}$ The Senate's amendments:
(1) Reversed the language so that unless the President concluded that products were not being imported so as to threaten national security he must take action to adjust imports.
(2) Directed the President to administer the provisions so as to consider the effect on the national security of a weakening of the general economy by excessive imports of compet tive products.
(3) Provided that adjustments be made in the derivatives of the raw materials or products concerned, as well as the products themselves, when nationul security is threatened.
In conference the House conferees accepted these Senate amendments to H.R. 12591 with one alteration, which the Senate agreed to. This alteration was the insertion of "substantial" before the enumerated requisites (i.e., unemployment, loss of revenue, etc.) indicative of a "weakened general economy". 5

## 1962 legislation

The next consideration of the national security amendment took place in the 1962 Trade Expansion Act. ${ }^{31}$ There the administration bill, H.R. 9900, retained the national security clause, but by generalized language left out several of the procedures, which were subsequently written into the clean bill, H.R. $11970 .{ }^{32}$ In the report of the Ways and Means Committee on H.R. 11970 it stated that section 232 (national security amendment) "is identical to, and continues in effect, the provisions of section 2 of the Trade Agreements Act approved July 1, 1954, as amended by section 8 of the Trade Agreements Extension Act of 1958".3

The Senate agreement with this view is brought out in the Finance Committee report ${ }^{4}$ where it states:

The bill retains the national security provisions of the present act governing the author ty of the President to take action to adjust the level of imports when he finds they threaten to impair the nat ona security.
Thus the present national security amendment is essential!y the language contained in the 1958 Trade Extension Act provisions. The 1958 statutory revisions were primarily a clarification and an inclusion of the procedural directives and requisites needed to abate any constitutional deficiencies of the previous legislation. While the 1955 statute contained the national security amendment commenced in the 1954 act, the 1955 legislation was the first expansive expression of the intent of the act and of a means for implementing it.

[^6]
## textile quota'

# Calendar No. 489  

## IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Argust 15, 1967
Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed

## AMENDMENT

Intended to be proposed by Mr. Holungs to H.R. 2155, an Act to amend the Tariff Schedules of the Lnited States with respect to the classification of Chinese gooseberries, viz: On page, line, insert the following:

1 The total quantity of testile articles (whether made of
2 natural or maumade fibers, or any combination or blends
3 thereof), including manmade staple fiber, filaments, and fila-
4 ment yarn, wool tops, aud spun yarn, fabric, apparel, house-
5 hold furnishings, and other finished textile articles of natural
6 or manmade filers or combinations or blends thereof, which
7 may be entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for con8 sumption during any calendar year shall not exceed the
9 average annual quantity of such articles cutered, or with-
10 drawn from warehonse, for consumption during the six

[^7]1 calendar years 1961-1966: Providel, That, commencing 2 with the calendur year leginning January 1, 1968, the total quantity of textile articles which may le entered or withdrawn from warehonse, for consumption for each ensuiug calendar year shall be increased or decreased ly an mumut proportionate to the increase or decrease (if more than :; per centumu) in the total l'nited States consmuption of such textile articles during the preceding calendar year in compurison with the average ammal consumption for the sixyear period 1961-1966 as detenuined lyy the Secretary of 11 Commerce: Provided further, That the portion of any int crease in the quantity of any such textile article which may le entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during any quarter of the calendar year shall not exceed the proportionate per centum share which the total quantity of imports of textile articles accounted for during the like period of the calendar year ended Decemiker :31. 1966. The quantities of any textile article which may be entered, or withdrawn from warehouse. for consumption during the Inlance of the calendar year in which this Act becomes effective shall he equal ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ that proportionate per centum share of the avernge a,minal imports of such artiche for the geas 1961-196if whith the mumber of days remaining in the calendar year liman to the full year. The Secretary of C'onmerce shatl determine and allocite the allowable
:
1 quantities of textile articles which may be entered, or
2 withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption among supply-
3 ing countries by category of product on the basis of the
4 shares such comutries supplied by category of product to
j) the l'uited States market during a representative period,

3 except that due account may be given to special factors
7 which huve affected or may affect the trade in any category
8 of such articles. The Secretary of Commerce shall certify
9 such allocations to the Secretary of the Treasury. Notwith-
10 standing the foregoing, in the case of textile articles originat-
11 ing in my comitry which has entered, or hereafter entens,
12 into all agreement with the lonited States governing the
13 anome of textile articles which may be imported into the
14 Vnited States from such country, the President by proclama-
15 tion may increase. decrease, or otherwise limit the quantity
16 of textile articles from such country which may le entered,
17 or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption in con-
18 formance with such agreement. All deteminations by the
19 President and the Secretary of Commerce under this section
20 shall be final. This section shall become effective 180 days
21 after emactment of this Act.
-

## Textile Quotas

## (H.R. 2155, H.R. 4768-SENATE AMENDMENT8; 8. 1786) ${ }^{1}$

## Purpose of the amendment(s)

The amendment intended to be proposed in the Senate to H.R. 2155 and H.R. 4765 (derived from S. 1796) apparently contemplates that each textile "article" or "category," with certain exceptions, would be subject to an import quota. ${ }^{2}$ The measure would limit the total quantity of imported textile articles which could be entered in 1968 or any subsequent calendar year to not more than the average annual quantity of such articles entered during the 6 calendar years 1961-66.

For each calendar year beginning with 1969, provision is made for the import quotas on textile articles to be increased or decreased by an amount proportionate to the increase or decrease (if more than 5 percent) in the total U.S. consumption of such textile articles during the preceding calendar year as compared with the average annual consumption for the 6 -year period 1961~66. Any increased quota for an article or category would have to be allocated on a quarterly basis during the calendar year in accordance with a ratio based upon the quarterly and the total imports of textile articles in the calendar year 1966.

The Secretary of Commerce would be authorized to determine each of the import quotas and to allocate it among supplying countries on the basis of the shares such countries supplied to the U.S. market during a representative period, taking into account any special factors affecting trade.

The exception from the proposed quotas of textile articles the imports of which into the United States are limited in conformance with an agreement between the United States and the supplying foreign country recognizes the outstanding Arrangement Regarding International Trade in Cotton Textiles (LTA) and is apparently designed to encourage additional similar arrangements for other textile products. An explanation of the LTA is appended at the end of this part.

## Tariff treatment of textile products

The textile products involved in the proposed amendment are numerous and for the most part are described and subject to the rates of duty set forth in schedule 3 and in certain provisions of part 1 of schedule 7 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States. Virtually all of these products are dutiable at reduced rates of duty reflecting concessions granted by the United States in the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), and additional rate concessions were granted on many of them in the recently concluded Kennedy Round of trade-agreement negotiations. The duty reductions resulting from these latter concessions will be staged over a 4 -year period; the first stage will probably become effective January 1, 1968.

## Additional information

The tables that follow deal with various phases of textile operations, including imports, exports, domestic production, and, where possible, the ratios of imports to domestic consumption.

Table 1 shows the dollar value of imports for consumption of textile fibers and manufactures between 1962 and 1966. During that period the value of all textile imports listed increased from $\$ 1.4$ to $\$ 1.9$ billion. There were some products, however, which registered sharper import growth than others, and some products in which imports have declined. The largest growth items are manmade fibers, textile yarn, and thread; and woven textile fabrics.

[^8]While the growth of imports of manmade fiber textiles has beell sharp, they still are a rather small percentage of domestic consumption; 3 percent in 1966 (see table 2).

Cotton and wool yarn imports (tables 3 and 4) have also increased rapidly (especially cotton yarn in 1966), and reachea 7.9 and 6.6 percent, respectively, of domestic consumption in 1966.

Imports of woven fabrics of manmade fibers and wool (tables 5 and 6) show a sharp increase between 1961 and 1966, reaching 4.4 and 11.8 percent of domestic consumption, respectively, in 1966.

Tables 7 and 8 show a rapid increase in imports of knit outerwear of manmade fibers. Other tables are included to round out the import picture.

Table 1.-Textile fibers and manufactures of textile fibers: U.S. imports for consumption, 1962-66

| Description | Value (in thousands of doilars) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1985 | 1966 |
| Grand total, textile fibers, yarna, fabrics, mado-up articles and relatod products, clothing (excopt fur clothing), and knit articles. | 1, 427, 175 | 1, 512, 938 | 1, 537, 135 | 1,765,028 | 1, 936, 288 |
| Subtotal, textile fibers (not manufactured into yarn, thread or fabrics) and their waste. | 410, 750 | 440, 249 | 403, 844 | 432,649 | 435, 868 |
| Silk | 27, 174 | 27, 764 | 23, 189 | 21, 229 | 23, 475 |
| Wooltand other animal hair | 252, 124 | 275, 802 | 252, 553 | 278, 481 | 276, 942 |
| Jute, raw, waste, and processed. | 13, 678 | 13, 129 | 10, 319 | 26,709 | 28, 101 |
| Fibers, vegetable, not eleowhere spocifed, | 42, 230 23, | 42, 956 <br> 31878 | 39, 3102 | 27, 312 | 22. 149 |
| Manmade ibors and their waste, except glaso....- | 23, 14, a31 |  | 39,765 14,809 | 52,119 19,045 | $\begin{aligned} & 63,085 \\ & 11,469 \end{aligned}$ |
| Subtotal, textile yarn, fabrics, mado-up articles and relatod products | 653, 835 | 679, 466 | 683, 156 | 795, 232 | 901, 269 |
| Textile yarn and thread. <br> Cotton iabrice, woven, not including narrow or special fabrics <br> Textile fabrices, woven, not including narrow or special fabrics, other than | $\begin{array}{r} 56,373 \\ 111,227 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57,187 \\ 111,007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53,277 \\ 104,998 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65,480 \\ 133,667 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 103,174 \\ & 156,713 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 314, 318 | 346,267 16,520 | 348, 511 | 420,738 16.597 | 446, 120 |
| Spocial textile fabrics and relatod products. | 55, 916 | 66, 595 | 75, 211 | 69, 001 | 68, 009 |
| Mado-up articles wholly or chiefly textilo materials, not elsewhere specified. Floor coverings, ${ }^{1}$ tapestries and articles of vegetablo plaiting maverials...... | 42,944 57,140 | 37, 149 | 32,323 54,372 | $\begin{array}{r} 35, \\ 5414 \\ 54,45 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46,843 \\ & 61,402 \end{aligned}$ |
| Subtotal, ciothing (except fur) ; elastic or rubberised knit fabric; knit house furniebthge and articles. | 362, 590 | 393, 223 | 450, 135 | 537, 147 | 599, 149 |

[^9]Source: Compiled frem official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Table 2.-Man-made fiber textiles: Ratio of imports to apparent domestic consumption,' 1961-66
[Millians of pounds of fiber)

| Item | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mill consumption | 2,060. 7 | 2, 418. 5 | 2,787.8 | 3, 174.3 | 3,624. 4 | 3, 997.7 |
| Lese exports.. | 86.4 | 90.5 | 97.1 | 108.5 | 136.0 | 146.9 |
| Consumption of domestic production. <br> Plus imports........................... | $1,974.3$ 23.5 | $2,328.0$ 30.6 | $2,690.7$ <br> 36.2 | 3, 065.8 | 3, 488.4 | $3,850.8$ 117.6 |
| Apparent domestic consumption. | 1, 997.8 | 2,358. 6 | 2,726.9 | 3, 115.8 | 3, 507.4 | 3,968. 4 |
| Ratio of imports to consumption (percent) | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 3.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Besed on fiber equivalents.
Source: Textlle Organon.
Table 3.-Cotton yarn, for sale: Ratio of imports to apparent domestic consumption, 1961-66
[1,000 pounds]

| Item | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1985 | 1966 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production ' | 804, 553 | 845, 570 | 832, 825 | 902, 845 | 977, 299 | 1, 049, 269 |
| Less exports. | 7, 326 | 6, 672 | 5,492 | 6, 694 | 6, 251 | 5,736 |
| Consumption of domestic production.. |  | 838, 898 | 827, 333 | 896, 151 | 971048 | 1, 043, 533 |
| Plus imports...-.-.........--- | 13, 905 | 28,453 | 23, 164 | 16, 246 | 21, 434 | 89, 369 |
| Apparent domestic consumption.... | 811, 132 | 867, 351 | 850, 497 | 912, 397 | 992, 482 | 1, 132, 902 |
| Ratio of imports to domestic consumption (percent) | 1.7 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 7. 9 |

${ }^{2}$ Including yarn produced on commission.
Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.
Table 4.-Wool yarn, for sale: Ratio of imports to apparent domestic comsumption, 1961-66
[1,000 pounds)

| Item | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1064 | 1965 | 1966 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ Led exports Lees | 162,473 232 | 167, 583 | 167,388 229 | 159, 558 | 189, 160 | 177, 891 |
| Consumption of domestic production <br> Plus importe ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 162,241 \\ 5,429 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} 167,362 \\ 8,892 \end{array}$ | 167,159 9,802 | 159,330 7,809 | 188,975 10,890 | 177,618 12,481 |
| Apparent domestic consumption | 167, 670 | 176, 254 | 176,961 | 167, 139 | 199, 865 | 190,099 |
| Ratio of imports to domestic consumption (percent) | 3. 2 | 5. 0 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 6.6 |

[^10]Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Table 5.-Wooen fabrics of manmade fibers: U.S. production, imports for consumption, exports of domestic merchandise, and apparent consumption, 1961-66
[Millions of square yards]

| Item | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1064 | 1965 | 1966 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic production ${ }^{\text {2 }}$. | 3,239 | 3,719 | 4. 146 | 4,798 | 5, 323 | 5, 708 |
| Less exports. | 137 | 137 | 153 | 181 | 147 | 154 |
| Consumption of domestic production <br> Plus imports. | 3, 102 | 3, 582 | 3,993 76 | 4,617 87 | .), 176 | 3, 354 |
| Apparent domestic consumption. | 3,142 | 3, 646 | 4, 069 | 4,704 | 5,337 | j, 809 |
| llatio of imports to apparent consumptior (percent) | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 4.4 |

${ }^{1}$ Converted from linear yards to square yards by using a factor of 1.38 square yards per linear yard.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce, except as noted.

Table 6.-Woven fabrics of woool: U.S. production, imports for consumption, exports of domestic merchandise, and apparent consumption, 1961-66

| Year | Production ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ | Imports ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Exports ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | Apparent consumption | Ratio of imports to consumption |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 sq. yds. | 1,000 sq. yds. | 1,000 aq: yds. | 1,000 sq. yds. | Percent |
| 1961. | 1,007, 835 | 42,662 | -661 | 509, 836 | 8.4 |
| 1962 | 503, 067 | 50, 210 | 790 | 552, 487 | 9.1 |
| 1963 | 457, 478 | 47, 815 | 882 | 504, 411 | 9.5 |
| 1964 | 413, 028 | 47, 423 | 970 | 459, 481 | 10.3 |
| 1965 | 430, 748 | 62, 309 | 1,061 | 491, 996 | 12.7 |
| 1966 | 418, 464 | 55, 704 | 916 | 473, 252 | 11.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Apparel fabrics principally wool, reused wool, or processed wool by weight. Converted from linear yards, as reported by the Bureau of the Census, to square yards assuming a $60-$ inch width per linear yard ( 1.67 times linear yards equals square yards).
${ }^{2}$ Fabrics wholly or in chief value of wool. It is estimated that 95 percent or more of these fabrics are apparel fabrics and are principally wool by weight.
${ }^{3}$ Fabrics in chief weight wool and/or fine animal hair.
Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.
Table 7.-Knit outerwear ${ }^{1}$ of manmade fibers: Ratio of imports to apparent domestic consumption, 1961-66
[In thousands of pounds]

| Item | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic production ${ }^{2}$ | 84, 000 | 87, 000 | 82, 000 | 92, 000 | 105, 00N | ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| Less exports. | 1,515 | 708 | 631 | 558 | 1,202 | 1,216 |
| Consumption of domestic production. Plus imports. | 82, 485 | 86,292 1,440 | 81, 369 | 91,442 4,381 | 103,798 10,303 | $\begin{gathered} (1) \\ 15,893 \end{gathered}$ |
| Apparent domestic consumption | 83, 153 | 87, 732 | 83, 561 | 95, 823 | 114, 101 | (1) |
| Ratio of imports to consumption (percent) |  | 1.6 | 2.6 | 4.6 | 9.0 | (1) |

[^11]Table 8.-Selected wearing apparel of manmade fibers: U.S. imports for consumption, 1961-66

| Item | 1961 | 1962 | 1863 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knit outerwear '-................- | Quantity (thousands of pounds) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 668 | 1, 440 | 2,192 | 4,381 | 10,303 | ${ }^{2} 15,893$ |
|  | Quantity (thousands of dozens) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men's and boys' shirts, not knit.- <br> Blouses, not knit. <br> Dreses, not knit. | (3) | 119 | 110 | 200 | 452 | -1,497 |
|  | (3) | 51 | 58 | 262 | 535 | ${ }^{8} 708$ |
|  | (2) | (2) | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 57 | 75 | - 163 |
|  | Value (thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knit outerwear ${ }^{1}$ | 2, 353 | 6,966 | 10, 162 | 16, 170 | 34,719 | 53, 858 |
| Men's and boys' shirts, not knit.- | (3) | 1,108 | 1,117 | 2, 339 | 4, 051 | 16, 014 |
| Blouses, not knit............ | (1) | - 393 | 574 | 1,931 | 3, 101 | 4, 474 |
| Dresses, not knit. | (1) | (2) | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2,314 | 2,564 | 3,787 |

[^12][ In millions of pounds]

| Item | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1066 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic production ${ }^{1}$ | 1,224. 5 | 1, 4:5. 2 | 1,523.7 | 1,734. 5 | 1, 945. 5 | 2 2, 111. 5 |
| Less exports. | 83.0 | 109.2 | 97.2 | 115.1 | 96.9 | 95.3 |
| Consumption of domestic production. | 1, 141. 3 | 1,346. 0 | 1, 426.5 | 1,619. 4 | 1,848. 6 | 2, 016.2 |
| Plus imports. | 5. 2 | 7.4 | 6.5 | 8.2 | 13.8 | 15.0 |
| Apparent domestic consumption | 1, 146. 7 | 1,353. 4 | 1,433. 0 | 1, 627. 6 | 1,862 4 | 2, 031.2 |
| Ratio of imports to domestic consumption (percent) | . 5 | . 5 | 5 | . 5 | . 7 | . 7 |

${ }^{1}$ P Partly estimated, based on "Textile Organon" data.
Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce, except as noted.

Table 10.-Yarns of noncontinuous manmade fibers (spun yarns): U.S. production for sale, imports for consumption, exports of domestic merchandise and apparent consumption, 1961-66
[In millions of pounds]

| Item | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic production ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | 230.5 | 306.5 | 360.0 | 418.3 | 464. 1 | ' 509.2 |
| Less exports. | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1. 9 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 1.4 |
| Consumption of domestic production. Plus imports....................... | 228.3 .5 | 304. 2 | 358. 1 | 415.6 .6 | 461.7 .3 | 507.8 2.1 |
| Apparent domestic consumption | 228.8 | 304.6 | 359.0 | 416.2 | 462.0 | 509.9 |
| Ratio of imports to domestic consumption (percent) | (3) | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |

${ }^{1}$ Includes yarns produced on commission.
${ }^{1}$ Preliminary.
${ }^{3}$ Less than 0.05 percent.
Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.
Table 11.-Furnishings of manmade fibers (excludingfloor coverings and bedding): U.S. imports for consumption, 1964-66

| Year | Quantity | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 pounds 548 | 1,000 dollars 161 |
| 19645 | $\begin{aligned} & 548 \\ & 680 \end{aligned}$ | 1,161 1,666 |
| 1966 | 11,063 | ${ }^{1} 2,303$ |

' Three-fourths of 1966 imports were from Japan.
Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.
Table 12.-Hosiery of manmade fibers: Ratio of imports to apparent domestic consumption, 1961-66
[1,000 daven pairs]

| Item | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production ' | 94, 500 | 97, 500 | 102, 200 | 113, 200 | 127, 900 | 147, 500 |
| Less exports. | 10, 038 | 981 | 1,120 | 922 | 687 | 777 |
| Consumption of domestic production. <br> Plus imports | 93, 462 | 96, 519 | 101, 080 | 112, 278 | 127, 213 | 146, 723 |
|  |  | 144 | 164 | 558 | 558 | ${ }^{2} 652$ |
| Apparent domestic consumption.Ratio of imports to domestic consumption (percent) | 93, 500 | 96, 663 | 101, 244 | 112, 836 | 127, 771 | 147, 375 |
|  | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 1 | 2 | . 5 | . 4 | . 4 |

[^13]Table 13.-Underwear: U.S. imports for conoumption, by type, 1964-66


[^14]Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Table 14.-Underwear: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1968-66

| Country | 1962 1 | 19631 | 1964 | 1965 | 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity (1,000 pounds) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 42 | 88 | 68 | 130 | 97 |
|  | 26 | 15 | 29 | 172 | 322 |
|  | 31 | 2 | 4 | 38 | 142 |
|  | (3) | 22 | (2) | 91 | 155 |
| Republic ofSpain |  |  | 194 | 137 | 99 |
|  | 206 | 64 | 27 | 82 | 89 |
|  | 80 | 174 | 212 | 153 | 139 |
| Total. | 385 | 365 | 534 | 803 | 1,043 |
|  | Value (1,000 dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| Republic of the PhilippinesTawanTang | 199 | 513 | 384 | 664 | 389 |
|  | 23 | 16 | 26 | 130 | 355 |
| Hong Kong | 38 | 4 | 14 | 65 | 211 |
|  | 6 | 28 | ()$^{3}$ | 83 | 133 |
| Republic of Korea |  |  | 168 | 146 | 113 |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Spain...- }}$ All other. | 170 | 53 | 33 | 93 | 110 |
|  | 292 | 562 | 678 | 712 | 456 |
| Total. | 728 | 1, 176 | 1,303 | 1,893 | 1,767 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes imports of underwear, not knit, of vegetable fibers except cotton, of wool, and of silk.
${ }^{8}$ Lees than 500 pounds.

- Lees than $\$ 500$.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.
Table 15.-Index of industrial production, 1961-66
$(1957-59=100)$

| Industrial group | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | $1966^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total industrial production | 109. 7 | 118.3 | 124.3 | 1323 | 1434 | 156.3 |
| All manufacturers....-. | 109.6 | 118.7 | 124.9 | 133.1 | 145. 0 | 158.7 |
| Tertile mill products | 107. 1 | 115.3 | 116.9 | 122.9 | 134.9 | 1423 |
| Apparel products.. | 1121 | 118.9 | 125.6 | 1341 | 145. 1 | 1503 |

[^15]
## Appendix

## Arrangemeat Regarding International Trade in Coton Textiles

Cotton Textiles.-Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended, authorizes the President, whenever he determines it to be appropriate, to negotiate with representatives of foreign governments in an effort to obtain agreements limiting the export from such countries and the importation into the United States of any agricultural commodity or product manufactured therefrom or textile or textile product. He is authorized to issue regulations governing the entry or withdrawal from warehouse of any such commodity, product, textiles, or textile products to carry out any such agreement. In addition, if a multilateral agreement exists among countries accounting for a significant part of world trade in the articles concerned, the President may also issue regulations controlling trade in products of countries not parties to the agreement.

Pursuant to this authority imports of cotton manufactures have been subject to restraint since 1962 under the provisions of the Long-Term Arrangement Regarding International Trade in Cotton Textiles (LTA), negotiated under the sponsorship of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT). The LTA is a multilateral agreement among some 30 nations having a substantial interest in international trade in cotton textiles. Prior to the inception of the LTA, Japan had (since 1957) voluntarily controlled its exports to the United States of a wide range of cotton textile items, and Italy had controlled its exports of cotton velveteen to the United States. However, shipments of cotton textiles to the United States were neither comprehensively nor equitably controlled by these early efforts. The overall purpose of the LTA was to guarantee to all textile-producing nations a share of the markets of the major importing nations, including the United States. without disrupting the market for any particular category of products.

An initial short-term arrangement (STA) controlled cotton textile trade from October 1, 1961, through September 30, 1962. The LTA became effective on October 1, 1962, initially for a period of 5 years; it was later extended for 3 additional years. Under the LTA, countries experiencing domestic market disruption resulting from cotton textile imports can control the level of such imports by implementing the provisions of article 3 of the LTA. An importing country can request an exporting country to limit shipments of the cotton textiles which are causing disruption in the requesting country. If the exporting country does not accede to the request within 60 days, the importing country can then impose an import quota on the specified products. A quota cannot be less than the level determined by procedures specified in the agreement; annual increases in the quota (usually 5 percent) are provided for if the restraints remain in force for additional 12 -month periods. Exports of participating countries cannot be restrained more severely than exports of nonparticipants.

Article 4 of the LTA permits mutually acceptable bilateral trade agreements which regulate cotton textile trade on terms not inconsistent with the basic objectives of the LTA. During the existence of the LTA, there has been a shift in emphasis away from the use of article 3 restraint actions on the part of the United States and toward an increased use of bilateral trade agreements. For example, in the first 12 months of the LTA (Oct. 1, 1962, through Sept. 30, 1963) the United States invoked the article 3 unilateral restraint provisions 115 times, while only four bilateral agreements involving the United States were in effect. During the second year of the LTA (Oct. 1, 1963, through Sept. 30, 1964), the number of article 3 restraints decreased to 67, while bilateral agreements involving the United States effective at the close of the year increased to 13 . Since then there have been an insignificant number of restrictions under the provisions of article 3 while bilateral cotton textile agreements involving the United States had come into effect with 22 governments by mid-1967. (See table 1.) Imports of cotton textiles from all
of these countries accounted for about 90 percent of total imports of such products during 1966.

In the administration of the LTA, imported cotton textiles have been classified under 64 separate categories. Table 2 lists these categories together with the index of the imports for each category during the STA year and each LTA year as compared with the level for fiscal year 1961. Table 3 shows total imports of cotton textile items for calendar years 1958 through 1966. Despite the restraints of the LTA, imports of cotton textiles have increased markedly, from 1.1 billion equivalent square yards during 1960 to 1.8 billion during 1966, an increase of 64 percent. However, total restraint levels are, in most cases, subdivided among all or most of the 64 various categories, thus reducing the tendency of harmful concentration of shipments of similar type articles.

Table 1.-Long-term arrangement regarding international trade in cotton textiles (LTA): Status of quantitative limitations on U.S. import trade, as of July 1, 1967

| Country of origin | Product categories involved ' (see table for description) | Current limitations on import trade |  | Limitation controlled by ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ```12-month period (except as noted) beginning-``` | Aggregate quantity (million equivalent square yards) |  |
| Controls under authority of article 3 of LTA: Brasil $\qquad$ <br> Malaysia |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9, 2, | Oct. 28, 1966 |  |  |
|  | 19-1.- | July 27, 1966 | 4.9 | United States. |
|  |  | June 9, 1967 |  | - |
|  | 45, | Aug. 30, 1966 | 1. 7 |  |
|  | 19, 26, 31, 34, 60. | Dec. 27, 1966 | 7.7 |  |
|  | $46$ <br> $15,50,51$ | Feb. 23, 1987 | . 4 | Do. |
|  | $15,50,51 .$ <br> 9.18 | Mar. 21, 1967 Apr. 28, 1967 | . 6 |  |
|  | 9, 18............. | Apr. 28, <br> May 24, |  |  |
| Bilateral agreements under authority of article 4 of LTA: ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Greece.-.-.-.-.-.-.-. | All. | Sept. 1, 1966 | 6. 4 | Greece and United Statea. |
| Hong Kong. | All | Oct. 1, 1966 | 338. 6 | Hong Kong. |
| India.-.-. | All | Oct. 1, 1966 | 79. 0 | India. |
| Israel. .-. - | All. | Oct. 1, 1966 | - 23.0 | Itracl. |
| Italy | 7 | Jan. 1, 1967 | 1.8 | Italy. |
| Jamaica. | All | Oct. 1, 1966 | 21.2 328 | Jamaica. |
| Japan--. | All. ${ }^{10}$------- | Jan. 1, 1967 | 342.8 | Japan. |
| Korca--- | All. - | Jan. 1, 1967 | 1128.7 | Korea and United States. |
| Malta--- | All--------------- - - - | Jan. 1, 1967 | 12.7 | Malta and United States. |
| Mexico-- | All - -.-...-.-.-...- | May 1, 1967 | 75. 0 | Mexico and United 8tates. |
| Nansei-Nanpo Islands. | All...---.-.------ -- | July 1, 1967 | ${ }^{1} 12.0$ | Nansei-Nanpo Islands. |
| Pakistan_- - - - - . | All--.-....-.-.-.-. | July 1,1967 | 63.8 | Pakistan and Unitod States. |
| Philippines. . | All | Jan. 1, 1967 | 52.4 | Philippines and Unitod States. |
| Poland...--- |  | Mar. 1,1967 | 5. 0 | Poland and United States. |
| Portugal. | All.-.-.-....-........ | Jan. 1,1967 | 102. 3 | Portugal and United States. |



1 Under the provisions of the LTA. all categorien from all countries are subject to limitation whenever market disruption exists; categories listed are those on which limitations were actually in force as of July 1987. In thoee cases where an aggregate limitation applies to all categories from a country, smaller limitations apply to itdms within each category.
U.S. Bureau of Customs controls imports in some cases, while foreign governments control exports in others

Period ends December 15, 1967.
Including an additional $6,000,000$ pounds of yarn allowed for this 12 -month period only.

- Duck only.
- Shop towels only.

T Many of the bilateral agreements for countrics listed superseded numerous restraints under article 3 of the LTA. The aggregate quantities shown for the current year are authorived to be increased by 5 percent in each of the succeeding yoars during which the agreement is effective.
a In addition, Colombia was permitted to export the equivalent of $11,600,000$ square yards of specified types of fabric and yarn

- In addition, Israel was permitted to export the equivalent of $5,500,000$ square yards of yarn during the 7 -month period beginning June 1,1968 .

10 Not all items in all 64 categorics are under reatriction.
" An amendment to the agreement provided that $1,900,000$ square yards of specified items shipped between Jan. 1, 1966, and Apr. 1, 1967, were not to be included in the limitations.
${ }^{13}$ Including an additional $1,000,000$ square yards allowed for this 12 -month perfod only.

Table 2.-Cotton textile categories used in the long-term arrangements regarding international trade in cotton textiles: Indexes of U.S. imports, July 1, 1960, through Sepl. S0, 1966
[July 1, 1960, to June 30, 1961 equal 100]

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Catogory } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July 1, 1960, } \\ & \text { through } \\ & \text { June } 30,1961 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. 1, 1961, } \\ & \text { through } \\ & \text { Sopt. } 30,1962 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. 1, 1962, } \\ & \text { through } \\ & \text { Sept. } 30,1963 \end{aligned}$ | Oct. 1, 1963, throush <br> Sept. 30, 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. 1, 196s, } \\ & \text { through } \\ & \text { Bept. 30, } 1865 \end{aligned}$ | Oot. 1, 1985, through Sept. 30, 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cotton yarn, cardod, aingles, not ornamented, etc. | 100 | 235.7 | 208.1 | 191.8 | 151.8 | 600.4 |
| 2 | Cotton yarn, plied, cardod, not ornmmontod, eto... | 100 | 150.9 | 208. 5 | 98.8 | 68.9 | 731.1 |
| 3 | Cotton yarn, aingles, combod, not ornamented, etc.- | 100 | 221.3 | 109. 9 | 65. 0 | 34.2 | 800.7 |
| 4 | Cotton yarn, plied, combed, not ornamented, etc..-- | 100 | 409. 2 | 202. 9 | 198.6 | 151.4 | 1,998.8 |
| 5 | Ginghams, carded yarn............. | 100 | 184. 3 | 123.0 | 103.0 | 203. 1 | 168.2 |
| 7 | Ginghams, combed yarn | 100 | 120.7 100.5 | 100. 98 | 73. 1 | 79. 5 | 97.1 |
| 8 | Corduroy.- | 100 | 147.3 | 375. 7 | 472.3 | 646.7 | 937.4 |
| 9 | 8heeting, carded yar | 100 | 146. 3 | 168. 9 | 131.7 | 142.0 | 158.8 |
| 10 | Sheeting, combed yarn | 100 | 35. 4 | (1) 5 |  | 10.4 | (1) 180.8 |
| 11 | Lawns, carded yarn.. | 100 | (1) |  | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| 12 | Lawns, combed yarn | 100 100 | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (3) |
| 14 | Voilcs, combed yarn | 100 | (1) | (1) |  |  |  |
| 15 | Poplin and broadcloth, cardod yarn | 100 | 159.9 | 88.9 | 73.3 | 107. 3 | 246.1 |
| 16 | Poplin and broadcloth, combed yarn | 100 | 391. 6 | 200. 9 | 226.1 | 305. 5 | 337.8 |
| 17 |  | 100 | 147. 5 | 121. 6 | 248. 6 | 53.8 4.8 | 56.0 3.891. |
| 18 | Print cloth type shirting, 80 by 80 type, carded yarn- | 100 | 189.4 | 630.7 | 2, 664. 8 | 4.543.2 | 3,891. 4 |
| 19 | Print cloth type ahirting, other than 80 by 80 type, carded yarn | 100 | 2, 117.7 | 6, 194. 9 | 6, 502.3 | 18, 047.1 | 20, 857. 5 |
| 20 | Shirting, carded yarn...--.-.-.-.-.-. | 100 | 2, 7171.9 | 609.0 | 0. 178.1 | 189. 5 | 315.6 |
| 21 | Shirting, combed yarn. | 100 | 222.7 | 178.8 | 115.9 | 30.7 | 31.3 |
| 22 | Twill and sateen, cardod yarn. | 100 | 1420 | 222.9 | 195. 3 | 299.3 | 331.7 |
| 23 | Twill and sateen, combed yann--x--.-.-.-.-.-. | 100 | 180.7 | 134. 1 | 138. 1 | 222.4 | 692.7 |
| 24 | Yarn-dyed fabrica, except ginghams, carded yarn--- | 100 100 | 81.2 119.1 | 197.3 124.8 | 136.6 99.1 | 81. 28 | 117.2 118.8 |
| 26 | Fabrics, n.e.s., carded yarn-....................-....-.-- | 100 | 99.3 | 124.9 | 101.1 | 138.9 | 191.0 |
| 27 | Fabrics, n.e.s., combed yarn | 100 | 84.5 | 54.4 | 74.4 | 76.9 | 217.7 |
| 28 | Pillowcases, plain, carded yarn. | 100 | 280.9 | 150. 6 | 150.6 | 254.4 | 353.5 |
| 39 | Plllowcases, plain, combed yarn. | 100 | 24.3 | 6. 2 | 29.4 | 95. 2 | 121.7 |
| 30 |  | ivo | 140.8 | 80.6 | 90.8 | 127.3 | 127.1 |
| 31 32 |  | 100 100 | 201. 3 | 148.6 100.5 | 191.8 101 | 228.4 | 271.9 |
| 83 | Table damaks and manufactures of | 100 | 92.2 | 78.2 | 71.8 | 83.9 | 83.7 |


| 34 | Sheots，carded y |
| :---: | :---: |
| 35 | Sheets，combed yarn |
| 36 | Bedspreads． |
| 37 | Braidod and woven |
| 38 | Fishing nets． |
| 39 | Gloves and mitte |
| 40 |  |
| 41 | Men＇s and boys＇all white T shirts，knit or crocheted |
| 42 | Other T shirts． |
| 43 | Knitshirts，other than $T$ shirts and sweatshirts（in－ cluding infants） |
| 44 | Sweaters and cardigan．．．．．． |
| 45 | Men＇s and boys＇shirts，drese，not knit or crocheted． |
| 46 | Men＇s and boys＇shirts，sport，not knit or crocheted． |
| 47 | Men＇s and boys＇shirts，work，not knit or crocheted． |
| 48 | liaincoats，three－quarter length or over．．．． |
| 49 |  |
| 50 | Men＇s and boys＇trousers，slacks and shorts（outer）， not knit or crocheted． |
| 51 | Women＇s，misses＇and children＇s trousers，slacks， shorts（outer），not knit or crocheted |
| 52 | Blouses，and blouses combined with skirts，trousers， or shorts． |
| 53 | Women＇s，misses＇，childron＇s and infants＇dresees （including nurses＇and other uniform dresses），not knit or crocheted |
| 54 | Playsuits，sunsuits，washsuits，creepers，rompers， ctc．（except blouse and shorts；blouse and trousers； or blouse，shorts，and skirt sets） |
| 55 | Dressing gowns，including bathrobes and beachrobes， lounging gowns，dusters，and housecoate，not knit or crocheted． $\qquad$ |
| 56 | Men＇s and boys＇undershirts，（not T shirts） |
| 57 | Men＇s and boys＇briefs and undershorte $\ldots-\ldots$. |
| 58 | Drawers，shorts and briefs（except men＇s and boys＇ briefs），knit or crocheted |
| 59 | All other underwear，not knit or crochetod． |
| 60 | Nightwoar and pajamas．．．．．．．．－．－． |
| 61 | Brassieres and other body supporting |
| 62 | Other knitted or crocheted clothing |
| 63 | Other clothing，not knit or crochete |
| 64 | All other cotton textile items．．． |

${ }^{1}$ Statistics included under categorien 26 and 27.

|  <br>  | Nmのrーrno <br>  NNA | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & c 1 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{1}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \dot{8} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \quad \text { e } \\ & \text { 8ig } \end{aligned}$ | のルのNNNか <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NNNONNTNO <br>  | NOODONO <br>  －NNT్ల్ల్స | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o } \\ & \dot{9} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | 犬্ণ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { 登 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON } \\ & \text { N్యి융 } \end{aligned}$ | － 10 nn 000 <br>  <br>  |
| OHMNーNNON <br>  | のOーーのトN திளががぁめ － ．．． | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { ぶ웅 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | Ono 중ㅇ | ヘmかONOD <br>  |
| かがれかんめかN <br>  | めんの円んN『 <br>  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { ๗ } \\ & \text { ć } \end{aligned}$ | $\pm$ |  | －mN <br>  － |
| 1－Onmacomor <br>  |  <br>  <br> このホニペーㅇ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \text { Bo } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \text { d } \\ & \text { din } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \$ \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { +N- } \\ & \text { סీ్లి } \end{aligned}$ | $\infty$ WNOOOれ <br>  <br> がこニベざコ <br> $=$ |
|  | ి్లిర్సళ్సిర్లిర్లి్రిర్తి | $8$ | 88 | 8 | \％ | ిర్లిర్లి | 888ర్రిర్రిరి |

Sourco：Compiled from official statistice of the U．B．Dopartment of Commerce．

Table S.-Textiles, wholly or in chief value of cotton: U.S. general imports,' by country of origin, 1958 through 1966
[In millions of equivalent square yards]

| Country of origin | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1064 | 1965 | 1966 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North America: | 0.9 | , | 1 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1. | 2.8 | 17.2 | 2 |
| Mexico | 1.8 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 9.2 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 14.0 | 152.7 |
| Jamaic | . 2 | 3 | 5.1 | 8.8 | 13.5 | 16.1 | 15.7 | 15.3 | 16.4 |
| Oth | 2 | . 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 4.2 |
| Tot | 3.1 | 5.0 | 10.6 | 15.4 | 25.8 | 26. | 28.7 | 47.8 | 189.5 |
| South America: Colombia Brasil Other | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \\ \text { (i) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14.5 \\ & ()^{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 26.0 |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{1.5}$ | $(7)^{8.6}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ \text { (i) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 8.7 \\ 3.1 \\ 3.5 \end{gathered}$ | 16.1 <br> 7.1 <br> 7.1 | ${ }^{20} 57.7$ | 34.295.61.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 2.1 | 1.5 | 8.6 | 3.2 | 14.6 | 15.3 | 29.0 | 83.7 | 131.1 |
| Western E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normart | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 148 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}.1 \\ .4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| United King |  | 18.5 | 13.5 | 10.7 | 11.7 | 11.0 | 11.7 | 13.0 | 14.5 |
| Netherian | 4.2 | 4.6 | 6.2 | 5. 1 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 12.6 |
| Belgium. |  | 23.6 | 23.8 | 18.9 | 25.2 | 25.9 | 27.9 | 34.5 | 42.6 |
| France | 19.9 3.1 | 14.7 | 38.0 | 22.3 | 26.8 | 7.2 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 7.6 |
| Weat | 3.1 <br> 9.4 <br>  | 13.2 | 14.7 | 13.2 | 15.0 | 16.4 | 18.4 | 17.4 | 32.1 |
| Austris |  | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 8witzer | 6. 2 | 8.6 | 11.7 | 8.4 | 9.1 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 8.5 | 18.4 |
| Spain | 1.2 | 10.1 | 61.2 | 14.0 | 18.7 | 34.1 | 19.4 | 24.0 | 44.2 |
| Portug | (1.1) | ${ }^{4.2}$ | 65.6 | 51.5 | 101.5 | 62.7 | 48.2 | 45.0 | 112.8 |
| Malta |  |  | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ( ${ }^{\text {I }}$ |  | . 2 | 1.7 | 19.0 |
| Italy | $\begin{gathered} 14.9 \\ \left.()^{\prime}\right) \\ \text { (). } \\ .2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ (2) \\ c \\ c \\ .2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.6 \\ & \left.{ }^{19}()^{2}\right) \\ & { }^{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.5 \\ (7)^{6} \end{gathered}$ | 19.9 14.6 | 14.1 <br> 16.5 | 14.315.3 | 13.9 8.9 |  |
| Yugoel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8. 9 | 13.4 |
| Greec |  |  |  |  | 4.1 | 7.4 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 18.9 |
| Oth |  |  |  |  | 4 | . 5 | 4 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| To | 78.2 | 117.8 | 259.0 | 167.4 | 256. | 210.6 | 175. | 185. | 354.9 |
| Abis and Oceania: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turke | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | $\text { ( }{ }^{2} .7$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} (n) \\ (2) \\ n \end{array}\right)$ | d | $\text { 2. } 1$ | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ \hline-8\end{array}$ | (2) |  |
| Iran. | . 9 | ${ }^{\text {(2) }} 1.3$ | ${ }^{(3)} 2$ | (2) | $\begin{gathered} (2) \\ 12.3 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{(3)} 11.7$ | ${ }^{(7)} 7$ | 6.9 |  |
| Israel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.6 |
| India |  | 28.1 | 52.7 | 11.5 | 35.5 | ${ }^{67.4}$ | 46.0 | 81.7 | 81.458.7 |
| Pakistan |  | 8.6 | 16.1 |  | 15.3 | 36.1 | 24.0 | 40.6 |  |
| Thailand | 19.0 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) 24.7 | $\begin{gathered} (2) \\ 38.3 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {(1) }} 4$ | (3) 44 | () |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}58.7 \\ \hline 1.2\end{array}$ |
| Philippine |  |  |  |  |  | 41.0 | 38.1 33 | 36.8 | 41.4 |
| South Kor | 67. 9 | 206.3 | 1389.7 | 183.0 | 269.4 | $\begin{array}{r} 34.9 \\ 257.8 \end{array}$ |  | 293. 8 | 24.0 |
| Taiwan. |  | 11.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 289.7 \\ 23.4 \end{array}$ | 243.9 |  | 257.8 <br> 35.7 | $\begin{array}{r} 264.2 \\ 46.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 293.8 \\ 52.3 \end{array}$ |  |
| Japan. | 309.0315 .5 |  | 273.3 |  | 84.8 | 304.8 | 323.6 | 404.2 | 412.0 |
| Nansei Nan İlands. |  | 3.7 | 9.4 | 4.4 | $8.7$ | 14.2 | 8.71.7 | $\begin{array}{r} 11.0 \\ 1.6 \\ 21.5 \\ .3 \end{array}$ | 9.824.039.7.7 |
| Malaysia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Singap |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | () | 1 | (2) | () | . 6 | . | . 2 |  |  |
| Total | 406.8 | 607.7 | 719. | 523.7 | 832.9 | 806. | 795. 2 | 976. | 132.3 |
| Africa: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nigeria. <br> South Africa | () | () | (3) | ${ }^{(2)} .2$ |  | (1) |  | ( ${ }^{-\cdots}$ | (3) ${ }^{3}$ |
| United Arab Republic | $\begin{array}{r} .9 \\ .3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.0 \\ .4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54.9 \\ .5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.9 \\ .3 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other. |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 31.6 \\ .3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41.7 \\ .4 \end{array}$ | 26.5 .4 | 17.8 .3 | 10.7 .5 |
| To | $\begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ .1 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 2.4 \\ 11 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.4 \\ .5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.4 \\ .1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.9 \\ 3.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.1 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.9 \\ 2.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.1 \\ 1.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}11.5 \\ 3.4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| er |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand tota | $191.5734 .6$ |  | $1,053.6720 .2 \mid 1$ |  | $1,164.7$ | $1,101.2$ | 7.5 | 1,312.8 | 822.8 |

[^16]Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.
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## 90tu (ONGRESS <br> lat Session: <br> S. 2537

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES
Octoser 16, 1987
Mr. Haktee ( for himself, Mr. Dirkeen. Mr. Allott. Mr. Bath. Mr. Bennett, Mr. Bible, Mr. Bocgb. Mr. Bazwstzr, Mr. Brad of West Vinginia, Mr. Carleon, Mr. Clike, Mr. Corton. Mr. Cretis, Mr. Doninice, Mr. Eaftinnd. Mr. Fannin, Mr. Haneen, Mr. Hil. Mr. Hollinge, Mr. Heerea. Mr. Joroin or Idaho, Mr. Iailiche, Mr. Milera, Mr. Montoya, Mr. Mundt. Mr. Mcaphy. Mr. Proitt. Mr. Rindolph, Mr. Rieicort. Mr. Scott, Mrs. Smith, Mr. Sparexin. Mr. Theamond, Mr. Towtr. Mr. Yocso of North Dakota, and Mr. Yocng of Ohio) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referrell to the Committee on Finance

## A Bill

To provide for orderly trade in iron and steel mill products.
1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa2 tives of the $U^{\prime}$ uited States of America in Congress assembled,

3 That this Act may be cited as the "Iron and Steel Orderly
4 Trade Act of 1967".
5 Sec. 2. The Congress finds that increased imports of pig 6 iron and steel mill products have adversely affected the

7 Inited States balance of payments, contributed substantially
8 to reduced employment opportunities for United States work-

## 2

9 basis to insure orderiy trade in pig iron and steel mill

14 United States iron and steel workers.
Bso. 3. As used in this Act-
(1) The term "category" means a seven-digit item number which appears in the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (1965) published by the United States Tariff Commission as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act and which is-

21 (A) within the range beginning with item 608.$22 \quad 1500$ and ending with item 610.5260 (except that an 23 item within such range which is specified in section 7 24 shall be included in the term "category" only as pro-

## 3

1
(B) one of the following item numbers:
607.1500
607.1800
642.0200
642.3500
642.8000
642.0100
642.9600
642.9700
646.25 m 9
646.2620
646.2640
690.2500
690.3000
(2) The term "imports" refers to United States imports in any category or categories within the meaning of paragraph (1).
(3) The term "consumption" means, with respect to any category or with respect to all categories, the sum of United States mill shipments plus imports minns United States exports.
(4) The term "year" means caleldar year.

Bbc. 4. The President may, after consultation with all nations having an interest in supplying pig iron and steel mill products to the United States, negotiate multilateral or bilateral agreements establishing, for periods beginning on or after the date of the enactment of this Act, annual quantitative limitations on United States imports of such products subject to the following provisions:
(1) Total imports for each year shall not exceed an amount determined by applying to the average annual

4
consumption during the three years immediately preceding the year in which the limitation is to be effective a percentage equal to the percentage of average annual consumption represented by imports during the years 1964 through 1966, inclusive.
(2) The percentage of total imports in any year represented by imports in a particular category shall not excoed the percentage of total imports during the years 1964 through 1965, inclusive, represented by imports in that category.
(3) The percentage of total imports in any year represented by imports from a particular nation shall not exceed the percentage of total imports during the years 1964 through 1966, inclusive, represented by imports from that nation.

Sbc. 5. For periods after the one hundred and eightieth day after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall, within the overall limits set forth in paragraph (2) of section 4, by proclamation restrict annual imports from each nation which is at any time on or after such one hundred and eightieth day not a party to an agreement then limiting current imports negotiated pursuant to section 4 to an amount determined by applying the percentage of consumption represented by imports from that nation during the years 1959 through 1966, inclusive, to the average an-

2 ceding the year in which the restriction is to apply.
3 SEC. 6. Within the overall limitations imposed under
4 section 4, the President may adjust the share of United States
5 imports in any category which may he supplied by any na-
6 tion. In making this adjustment the President shall be guided
7 priucipally by historical import pattems, but may modify
8 such patterns to accommodate interests of developing nations
9 or other changing conditions of international trade.
10 Sec. 7. If imports in any year in any of the following 11 item numbers appearing in the Tariff Schedules of the United

20 level was reached:

| 608.1000 | 610.8020 | 642.9300 | 652.9400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 608.2500 | 610.8040 | 846.2000 | 652.9500 |
| 608.2700 | 642.8810 | 646.2700 | 652.9600 |
| 609.1200 | 642.1020 | 646.2800 | 633.0260 |
| 609.1300 | 642.1040 | 646.3000 | 653.0300 |
| 609.1500 | 642.1200) | 64.4000 | 680.4000 |
| 609.8400 | 64.1400 | 646.5400 | 688.3000 |
| 609.8600 | 642.1620 | 648.5600 | 688.3500 |
| 609.8800 | 642.1800 | C52.9000 | 688.4000 |
| 609.0000 | 642.8000 | 658.9200 |  |

9 Act shall be administered by the Secretary of Commerce.
10 The Secretary may issue such regulations as may be neces-
11 sary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of this Act.
12 (2) Whenever the Secretary of Commerce determines 13 it to be necessary to avoid disruption of regional markets,

14 he shall provide by regulation that the proportionate share
15 of total imports and imports in any category from any 16 nation entering through any port of entry in or near such 17 regional markets shall not exceed the proportionate share 18 of such imports entering through such port daring the ap19 plicable base period. The Secretary shall conduct the review 20 required to make such a determination at least annually.

21 (3) Upon the expiration of five years after the date of 22 the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Commerce shall 23 submit a report to the Congress as to the effects of the import

24 limitations established under this Act on (1) the economic
Sbc. 8. (1) The amount of imports in any category in either half of any year shall not exceed 60 percent of the total permissible amount of import in that category for that year.
(2) Should any limitation imposed under this Act take effect on any day other than January 1 of a year, such limitation shall apply pro rata during the remaining portion of such year.

Sec. 9. (1) Import limitations established under this th pro by sonudness of the iron and steel industry and employment

7
1 opportunities in such industry, (2) the general economy,
2 (3) the United States balance of payments, and (4) the 3 national security, together with his recommendations as to
4 whether such import limitations should be continued, modi-
5 fied, or revoked. Before making such report, the Secretary
6 shall conduct a hearing at which all interested parties shall
7 have an opportunity to be heard.
$3$

## Steel Quotas

(8. 2587)

## Purpose of the bill

S. 2537 would provide for the imposition of quotas on imports of pig iron and so-called steel mill products, and also for separate quotas on imports of certain more advanced steel products. In each case, the import quotas would be (a) imposed by "categories" of products, as defined in terms of the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA), (b) established on a calendar year basis, and (c) allocated among supplying foreign countries. The import quotas would presumably be computed on a weight basis. The program would be administered by the Secretary of Commerce.

Under the bill, not more than 60 percent of the annual quota for any category of products could be admitted in either half of the quota year. In addition the Secretary would be empowered to allocate the import quotas by U.S. ports of entry if he determined such action to be necessary to avoid disruption of regional markets.

The provisions of the bill would become permanent legislation but provision would be made for the Secretary of Commerce to submit to the Congress 5 years after enactment a report, based upon public hearings, as to the effect of the import quotas, and recommendations as to whether they should be continued, modified. or revoked.

Proposed import quotas for pig iron and steel mill products.- Under the bill, the amounts of the import quotas for pig iron and steel mill products ${ }^{1}$ would depend upon the existence or nonexistence of agreements between the United States and the supplying foreign countries. If, during the first $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ days after enactment, agreements are entered into with supplying foreign countries, the total annual aggregate imports of such products from such countries would be limited to not more than 9.6 percent ${ }^{2}$ of average annual U.S. consumption for the 3 -year period preceding each quota year. Country quotas would be established for each TSUSA product category on the basis of each country's participation in the U.S. market during calendar years 1964-66. On the other hand, the import quotas for the products of any country not a party to such an agreement at any time on and after 180 days following enactment would virtually always be a smaller percentage ${ }^{2}$ of average annual U.S. consumption for the 3 -year period preceding each quota year.

The President would be authorized to adjust the share of imports for any country to accommodate developing nations or other changing conditions of international trade.

Proposed import quotas on adranced steel products.-In connection with imports of certain TSUSA categories of more advanced steel products, ${ }^{4}$ the bill

[^17]would provide for calendar year quotas to be established if and when during any calendar year imports in any product category reach 120 percent of the imports thereof during the calendar year immediately preceding the year of enactment. In such ovent, the import quota thereafter imposed for each such product category involved would be determined in the same manner as would be the quotas on imports of pig iron and steel mill products.

## Tariff treatment

Pig iron and steel mill products, on which quotas would be imposed immediatoly are covered in the Tariff Schedules of the United States by the item numbers listed below together with the current rates of duty applicable to most favored nations (col. 1), rate of duty applicable to products of countries designated by the President to be under Communist domination (col. 2), and the full concession rate of duty negot.ated in the recent Kennedy round of tariff negotiations if applicable:

Rates of duty


Rates of duty-Continued



## Rates of duty-Continued

|  | Columin 1 | Column 2 | Kennedy Round |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bale tics mado from wire: $642.90$ |  |  |  |
|  | Free- $19 \%$ nd val. | Free-.... |  |
| Milliners' wire: 642.96 |  | 45\% ad val | 9.5\% ad val. |
|  | 0.25t per lb. $1: \% \%$ ad val. | 0.rep per lb. |  |
| Brade, nails, spikcs, cte.: |  | 35\% ad viu. | 8.5\% ad val. |
| 646.25............... | O.5¢ per lb... | 0.75 d per lb. |  |
| Railroad axlos and parts: | 0.24 per lb | 0.4t per lt.. | 0.1f per lb. |
| Railroad wheels and parts: | 0.3f per lb. | 0.6¢ per lb | 0.1f per lb. |
| 690.30...---.-.-.-. | 0.4f per 1 | 1eper lb | Frec. |

For most TSUS items covered by the provisions of S. 2537, the recently concluded Kennedy Round tariff negotiations resulted in rate reductions substantially less than those authorized by the Trade Expansion Act of 1962. The duty applicable to the common grades of pig iron (607.15) was eliminated. Of the 94 rates applicable to so-called steel mill products only eight were reduced by the full authority and 30 remained unchanged. Imports of pig iron and steel mill products in 1966 on which any concession was made in the Kennedy Round amounted to about $\$ 782$ million.

The following TSUS item numbers cover the more advanced products of steel which would be subject to quota limitations under the proposed provisions if annual imports were to reach 120 percent of those during the year in which S. 2537 was enacted:

|  | Column 1 | Column 2 | Kennedy Round |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grit and ahot: |  |  |  |
| Forsings: |  |  |  |
| 608.27................ | 14.5\% ad val. | 33\% ad val.: | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \text { ad val. } \\ & 8 \% \\ & \text { ad } \end{aligned}$ |
| Platen, sheoth, strip, noareotangular: 80 ad |  |  |  |
| 609.13 | 9.5\% ad vai | $20 \%$ ad val |  |
|  | 13\% ad val. | $28 \%$ ad val | $10 \%$ ad val. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Angles, shapre, and scetions: $\quad$ ac, | 7.5\% nd val | 20\% ad val | $6.5 \%$ ad val. |
| ${ }^{609.86}$ | 11.5 \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ d val | $28 \%$ ad val. |  |
| 619.8 Kx 809.40 |  | 20\% ad val | 7.5 \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ a val. |
| Fittinge other than cast iron: | 12.7\% ud xal | 28\% nd vad | 9.5 \% nd val. |
| 810.80 | $19 \%$ ad val. | 4.\% nd val. | $11 \%$ ad val. |
| Strund, ropen, cables nud cordage: | $20 \%$ ad val. | 45\% ad val. |  |
| 642.10 | 15co ad val. | $35 \%$ ad val. | 7.5 cr ad val. |
| 682.12 | 1.1e per 1b- | 4.5t per lb. | 6.is per lb. |
| 642.16 | 8.5 \% nd val | 3.5\% ad val. | 4\%, nd val. |
| 642.18. | $15 \%$ ud val. | 3.\%\% ad val | 7.5 \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ad val. |
| Cloth, gulize, fabric, etc., not cut, etc., not woven (reinforciag fabrio): |  |  |  |
| Bale tiom made from strip: |  | 45 percent ad valorem | 0.\% pereat ad valorem. |
| Staplon in ntrip form: | 0.05 cents per pound. | 0.25 cent per pound | 0.02 cent per pouna. |
| Nails ${ }^{868.20 . . .}$ | 1 cent per pound. | 2 cents per pound. | 0.5 cent per pound. |
| 646.27 | 8 percent ad valore | 15 percent ad valore |  |
| 846.28 646.30 | 0.2 cent per pound. | 0.4 cent per pound. | 0.1 cent per pound. |
| Riveta, not brightened, not lathed, and not machined: <br> 648.40 | 1.2 cents per pound | 1.5 cents per pound. |  |
| Bolts and trolen and their nits: 646.54 | 0.is per b ... | 1t per ${ }^{\text {b }}$ b | 0.2\& per lb. |
| Nuts: 648.36. | 0.3t per lib. | 0.68 per 10 | 0.18 per lb. |



[^18]Of the 37 rates of duty applicable to the items shown above 21 were reduced in the Kennedy round by the full authority and four remained unchanged. Imports in 1966 of these more advanced products of steel on which concessions were made were valued at $\$ 128$ million.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The following tables provide information on U.S. iron and steel mill products.

Table 1 shows the quantity and value of U.S. exports and imports of steel mill products, and certain other products, since 1958, while tables 2,3 , and 4 show a commodity breakdown of U.S. trade in steal mill producta between 1957 and 1966. Imports as a percent of domestic consumption is shown, by commodity, in tables 5 and 6 . Table 7 provides data on the country origin of U.S. imports of steel mill products since 1962. Finally, tables 8, 9, and 10 provide data on U.S. trade in pig iron.

Table 1.-U.S. total exports and imports of steel products 1

|  | Quantity (thousands of net tons) |  | Value (millions of dollars) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exports | Imports | Exports | Imports |
| 1958. | 3,212 | 1,837 | 753 | 230 |
| 1959. | 1,983 | 4,627 | 498 | 578 |
| 1960 | $\begin{array}{r}3,224 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3,570 3 3 | 727 | \% 506 |
| 1962 | 2, 428 | 4,312 | 565 | ${ }^{3} 534$ |
| 1963 | 2,556 | 5,665 | 627 | 684 |
| 1984. | 3,735 | 6, 711 | 780 | 815 |
| 1965 | 2,837 | 10,749 | 721 | 1,268 |
| 1966 | 2,027 | 11, 166 | 635 | 1,313 |

[^19]Source: Amerioan Iron and Stoed Institute.

Table 2.-U.S. imports of steel mill products, 1957-66


Table: 3.-U.S. exports of steel mill products by product groups, 1957-66

| Steel mill products | [In thousands of net tons] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1967 |  | 1958 |  | 1959 |  | 1960 |  | 1961 |  |
|  | Net tons | Percent of total | Net tons | Peroent of total | Net tons | Percent of total | Net tons | Percent of total | Net tons | Percent of total |
| Semifiniabed products. | 721 | 13.5 | 124 | 4.4 | 35 | 2.1 | 129 | 4.3 | 185 | 9. 3 |
| Shapes and plates.... | 1, 075 | 20.1 | 554 | 19.6 | 306 | 18. 2 | 386 | 13.0 | 320 | 12. 1 |
| Ralle and zoocesorioe | . 235 | 4. 4 | 165 | 5. 8 | 82 | 4. 9 | 134 | 4.5 | 109 | 5.5 |
| Barn and tool atcel. | 215 | 4. 0 | 123 | 4. 4 | 68 | 4. 0 | 85 | 2. 8 | 91 | 4.6 |
| Pipe and tubing. | 1, 185 | 22.2 | 623 | 22. 1 | 266 | 15. 9 | 195 | 6. 6 | 211 | 10.6 |
| Wire and wire producta | 898 | ${ }^{0} 7$ | 35 | 1. 2 | 26 | 1. 5 | 6989 | 1. 0 | 26 | 11.3 |
| Tin mill producta. | 802 1,075 | 15. 20. | 495 703 | 17. 5 24. 9 | 460 435 | 27. 4 | 686 1,333 | 23.0 44.8 | 481 566 | 24.2 28.5 |
| Total. | 5, 347 | 100.0 | 2, 822 | 100.0 | 1,678 | 100.0 | 2,977 | 100.0 | 1, 989 | 100.0 |
|  | 1962 |  | 1963 |  | 1964 |  | 1965 |  | 1968 |  |
| Somifiniabed producta. | 281 | 14.0 | 331 | 15. 2 | 920 | 28. 0 | 696 | 27.9 | 352 | 20.4 |
| Ehapes and platem... | 279 | 13.8 | 301 | 13. 8 | 426 | 13.0 | 361 | 14.5 | 198 | 11. 5 |
| Raile and acocseorica | 117 | 5. 8 | 818 | 3. 7 | ${ }^{58}$ | 1. 8 | 63 170 | 2. 1 | 46 | 2.7 |
| Pipe and tubias. | 192 | 0. 5 | . 252 | 11. 6 | 286 | 8.7 | 240 | 9. 8 | 286 | 15. 4 |
| Wire and wire producta | 47 | 2.3 | 76 | 3. 5 | 54 | 1. 6 | 45 | 1. 8 | 39 | 2.3 |
| Tin mill producta.. | 394 | 19.6 | 413 | 19.0 | 411 | 12.5 | 306 | 12. 3 | 325 | 18.9 |
| 8heot and atrip. | 600 | 29.8 | 608 | 27. 9 | 950 | 29. 0 | 625 | 25. 0 | 392 | 22.7 |
| Total | 2, 013 | 100.0 | 2, 180 | 100.0 | 3,280 | 100.0 | 2, 496 | 100.0 | 1, 724 | 100.0 |

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce.

Table 4.-U.S. imports of steel mill products by product groups, 1957-66
[In thousands of net tons]

| Niteel mill products | 19.77 |  | 1958 |  | 19.50 |  | 1960 |  | 1961 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net toin | Pereent of total | Net tons | Percent of total | Net tens | Pcrcent of total | Net tons | Pereent of total | Net tons | Percent of total |
| Semifinished products. | 62 | 5. 4 | 199 | 11.7 | 340 | 12.4 | 477 | 14.2 | 631 | 19. 9 |
| Shapes and plates. | 291 | 25. 2 | 171 | 10.0 | 798 | 18.3 | 529 | 15.8 | 330 | 10.4 |
| Ilails and noocssorice | $\square$ | . 5 | 5 | . 2 | 10 | . 2 | 10 | . 3 | 23 | . 7 |
| Bars and tool stcel. | 263 | 22.7 | 649 | 38.1 | 1,339 | 30.6 | 840 | 25.0 | 906 | 28. 7 |
| Pipe and tubing - . | 191 | 16. 5 | 200 | 11.7 | 533 | 12.2 | 480 | 14.3 | 521 | 16. |
| Wire nnd wire products | 301 | 26.1 | 432 | 2.7. 3 | 703 | 16. 1 | .747 | 16.3 | 562 | 17.8 |
| Tin mill products.... | $41^{\circ}$ | \% 3.6 | . 0 | 0 2.9 | 67 386 | 1.5 8.8 | 39 436 | 1.2 13.0 | 171 | 5. 6 |
| Total. | 1, 1.54 | 100.0 | 1,707 | 100.0 | 4,396 | 100.0 | 3, 358 | 100.0 | 3,163 | 100.0 |
|  | 1962 |  | 1963 |  | 1964 |  | 1965 |  | 1966 |  |
| Semifinished products. | 819 | 20. 0 | 1, 066 | 19.6 | 1,208 | 20.2 | 1,566 | 15.1 | 1,374 | 12.8 |
| Shapes and plates.... | 52.7 | 12.8 | 833 | 15. 3 | 1,110 | 17.2 | 1, 703 | 16. 4 | 1,898 | 17.7 |
| Rails and accoasorica. | 12 | . 3 | 12 | . 2 | 1.14 | 18.2 | 1. 24 | . 2 | , 26 | . 2 |
| Bars and tool steel. | 098.2 | 24.3 | 1, 081 | 19.8 | 1, 174 | 18. 2 | 1,641 | 15.8 | 1, 718 | 16. 0 |
| Plpe and tubing.- | 66.7 | 16.0 | 778 | 14.3 | 790 | 12.3 | 930 | 9.0 | 1, 058 | 9.8 |
| Wire and wire products. | 665 | 16.0 | 75.5 | 13.9 | 809 | 12.6 | 866 | 8.3 | 862 | 8. 0 |
| Tin mill products. . | 56 | 1.4 | 94 | 1.7 | 88 | 1.4 | 145 | 1.4 | 134 | 1.2 |
| Sheet and strip.. . . . . . . | 383 | 9.4 | 827 | 15. 2 | 1,167 | 18. 1 | 3, 507 | 33.8 | 3, 683 | 34.3 |
| Total. | 4. 100 | 100. 0 | 5. 446 | 100. 0 | 6,450 | 100.0 | 10,382 | 100. 0 | 10,753 | 100.0 |

Source: U.S. Department of Commerec.

Table 5.-Imports of steel mill products as percent of domestic consumption, 1957-66
[In pereent i]

| Htoel mill product | 19.37 | 19.88 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 198.7 | 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Somiliniahod productan. | 1.9 | 7.9 | 16.0 | 15.1 | 21.1 | 24.8 | 27.4 | 28.2 | 29.0 | 28.5 |
| 8hapes and plates.. | 1.8 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 4. 6 | 3. 1 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 9.5 | 10.8 |
| Kaile and noocmorice | . 2 | . 6 | . 9 | . 9 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| Bame and tool ated. . | 2. 3 | 7. 0 | 11.3 | 7.4 | 8. 3 | 8. 4 | 8. 5 | 8.3 | 10.3 | 10.6 |
| Pipe and tubing. | 1. 9 | 3. 2 | 6.4 | 6. 5 | 7. 1 | 8.7 | 10.3 | 9.1 | 9.9 | 10.6 |
| Whe and wire prodicts | 8. 3 | 12.5 | 17.4 | 15. 7 | 15. 7 | 17.6 | 19.9 | 21.0 | 20.1 | 20.0 |
| Tin mill producta... |  |  | 1.2 | . 7 | . 3 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| 8heets and atrip. | 2 | . 2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | . 7 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 8. 9 | 9.5 |
| Total. | 1. 5 | 2.9 | 6. 1 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 10.3 | 10.9 |

1 Bnaod on data in tons.
Mourer: U.N. IDepartment of Commeren.

Table 6.-Market penetration of imported steel mill products, 1957-66

| Product oategory | Imports as percent of apparent domestic consumption ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1983 | 1964 | 1965 | 1963 |
| Wire rode. | 5.4 | 17. 1 | 31. 5 | 31. 0 | 32.7 | 39. 2 | 42.7 | 45. 1 | 49.3 | 45.9 |
| Other semifiniehed. | . 4 | 1. 3 | 4. 7 | 3.7 | 11.1 | 10.5 | 13. 2 | 13.8 | 10.1 | 9.6 |
| 8tructural chapes and pilin | 3.7 | 3. 6 | 108 | 6. 0 | 6. 1 | 7. 6 | 9. 8 | 9. 9 | 12.4 | 12.5 |
| Platee.-.-.-......-- | .3 8 | $10^{4}$ | 4.8 | 3.4 | ${ }_{19} 6$ | 2.4 | 37 | 53 | 7. 4 | 9.5 |
| Reinforeing bars.- | 6. 8 | 19.0 | 28.3 | 19.0 | 19.4 | 20.4 | 17.1 | 11.5 | 164 | 17.2 |
| Pipe and tubing- | 1. 9 | 3.2 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 8.7 | 10.3 | 9.1 | 9.9 | 10.6 |
| Drawn wire.. | 3.2 | 6.0 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 7. 5 | 9.7 | 11.1 | 13. 5 | 13. 0 | 18.9 |
| Wire naile and atap | 23.4 | 32.3 | 440 | 423 | 42.8 | 46. 1 | 48. 9 | 488 | 50.0 | 45.8 |
| Barbed wiso. | 52.2 | 51.9 | 61.9 | 52.8 | 53.0 | 47.7 | 50.7 | 47.9 | 41.6 | 31.4 |
| Woven wire fence | 8.2 | 12.8 | 24. 2 | 21.4 | 20.5 | 26. 9 | 30.1 | 27. 9 | 27. 4 | 29.8 |
| Bheete and strip..--..-....-.... | 2 | . 2 | 1.4 | 1.5 | . 7 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 8.9 | 9.5 |
| Rails and acoemories (including axen) | . 3 | . 6 | . 9 | . 9 | 3. 0 | 1. 3 | 1. 1 | 1.0 | 1. 6 | 1.5 |
| Tin mill produota............ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (1) | 1. 2 | .7 | $\stackrel{3}{4}$ | 1. 0 | 1. 7 | 1. 5 | 22 | 21 |
| All atad mill producta. | 1.5 | 2.9 | 6. 1 | 4. 7 | 4. 7 | 5. 6 | 6. 9 | 7.3 | 10.3 | 10.9 |

[^20]Table 7.-U.S. imports-Steel mill products by countries of origin

|  | 1968 |  | 1965 |  | 1984 |  | 1983 |  | 1982 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not tons | Percent | Net tons | Percent | Net tons | Percent | Net tons | Percent | Net tons | Percent |
| European Coal and Steel Community - | 3, 840,958 | 35. 7 | 4, 191, 327 | 40.4 | 2, 584, 543 | 40.1 | 2, 245, 278 | 41.2 | 2, 086, 513 | 50.9 |
| Bolgium-Luxembourg- | 1, 612, 256 | 15. 0 | 1, 751, 068 | 16. 9 | 1, 384, 014 | 21.5 | 1, 279, 326 | 23.5 | 1, 246, 367 | 30.4 |
| France | 1, 764, 417 | 7.1 | 1, 858, 238 | 8.3 | 1, 440, 305 | 6.8 | 1, 358, 805 | 6. 6 | 1, 299, 247 | 7.3 |
| Weat Germany | 1, 220, 180 | 11.3 | 1, 178, 293 | 11.3 | 676, 352 | 10. 5 | 539, 438 | 9.9 | 460, 343 | 11. 2 |
| Notherianda. Iraly...... | 73,988 170,117 | 1.7 1.6 | 1782,712 271,016 | 1.3 2. 6 | 48,735 35,137 | .8 .5 | 47,417 20,292 | .8 .4 | 51, 296 29,260 | 1.3 .7 |
| United Kingdom. | 748, 410 | 7.0 | 720, 148 | 6.9 | 285, 393 | 4.4 | 349, 431 | 6.4 | 249, 954 | 6.1 |
| Norway. | 14, 755 | . 1 | 27, 520 | . 3 | 23, 140 | . 4 | 15, 311 | . 3 | 13, 303 | . 3 |
| Swoden. | 75, 282 | .7 | 65, 118 | .6 | 66, 018 | 1. 0 | 58, 969 | 1.0 | 54, 783 | 1.3 |
| Yugoelav | 10,421 86.538 | .1 | 16,230 83 | .2 | 16, 339 | $\begin{array}{r}1.3 \\ \hline 10\end{array}$ | 23, 684 | . 4 | 24, 113 | . 6 |
| Canada | 691, 871 | 6. 4 | 64, 319 | 6. 2 | 63, 692, 076 | 10. 7 | 582, 932 | 10. 7 | 367, 168 | 9.10 |
| Mexioo. | 118, 124 | 1.1 | 123, 599 | 1.2 | 97, 403 | 1. 5 | 128, 530 | 24 | 27, 565 | . 7 |
| Argentina. | 27, 252 | . 3 | 18, 142 | . 2 | 60, 782 | 1. 0 | 144,879 | . 8 |  |  |
| Republic of South Afrioa | 36,509 $4,850,997$ | 45. 3 | 4, 417, 641 | 42.5 | 2, $\begin{array}{r}26,431 \\ \text { 24, } \\ \text { 273 }\end{array}$ | 38. 0 | (112,885 | 23.1 | 1, 070, 741 | 26.1 |
| Australia | -147, 002 | 1. 4 | - 28, 578 | 2. 3 | 2, 44, 425 | -38. 5 | 1,802, 3142 | 33. 7 | 1, 113, 574 | 2.8 |
| Other. | 105, 103 | 1. 0 | 46, 578 | .4 | - 43, 278 | .7 | 34, 085 | . 7 | 40,606 | 1.0 |
| Total | 10,753,022 | 100.0 | 10,383,021 | 100.0 | 6, 439,635 | 100.0 | 5, 446, 326 | 100.0 | 4, 100, 039 | 100.0 |

[^21]Table 8.-U.S. import:: Pig iron by countries of origin, 1957-66
[In net tons]

| Country | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| European Coal and Steel Community | 34 | 15, 059 | 78, 304 | 6, 369 | 719 | 56, 340 | 87, 435 | 51, 412 | 66, 285 | 86, 049 |
| Wcat Germany | 34 | 13,933 1,126 | 43,226 35,078 | - 386 1,575 | 719 | 56, 3 - | 87, 435 | 31,412 | 64, 220 | 79,750 4,506 |
| United Kingdom |  |  | 52 |  |  | 94 | 8 |  | 6, 595 | 58 |
| Norway-- | 3, 135 | 334 1,615 | 168 1,071 | 1,445 | 1,201 | 3,584 1,416 | 3,319 10,146 | 9, 1069 | 6.866 11,203 |  |
| Yugoalavia |  |  |  |  | 1, |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poland Cannda | 221, 166 | 182, 128 | 437, 096 | 281, 303 | 349403 | 386, 232 | 38 ${ }^{-1} 449$ |  | 48, 089 | 393, 593 |
| Mexico |  |  | 437, 096 | 261, 3.3 | 348, 403 | 386, 232 | 387, 449 | 395, 202 | 483, 089 | 393, 593 |
| Argentina- ${ }^{\text {Republic of South Africn }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kepublic of Bouth Africa |  |  | 70, 519 | 7,543 | 4,096 | i, 031 | 7\%, 100 | 68, $620^{-}$ | 12,888 | 133, 824 |
| Austrulin <br> Other. | 1, $0.3{ }^{-1}$ | 2,740 7,868 | 4, 168 99,723 | 3,913 29,984 | -2, ${ }^{2}$ | 4, 216 | $\begin{aligned} & 22,997 \\ & 56,880 \end{aligned}$ | - ${ }^{\text {210, }} 816$ | - ${ }^{\text {298, }} 5888{ }^{-1}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,241 \\ 559,975 \end{array}$ |
| Totn | 225, 387 | 200, 744 | 701, 77.5 | 330, 847 | 377, 180 | 500, 009 | 64.5, 334 | 736, 472 | 882, 095 | 1, 186, 740 |

Table 9.-Market penetration of imported pig iron, 1957-66

| Product oatocory | Imports as percent of apparent domeatic consumption : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1060 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1985 | 1968 |
| Pis tron...... | 3.8 | 5 | 11.5 | 7.8 | 9.8 | 14.1 | 17.2 | 17.3 | 18.6 | 24.2 |

${ }^{\text {' Apparent }}$ domeotio consumption equale ehipments from U.S. furnaces plua importe,
'Apparent domentic consumption
minus oxporta.
Source: U.s. Tarifir Commimion.

Table 10.-U.S. expots of pig iron, 1957-60
[In thousande of net tons]
Year Trembity
1957 ..... 880
1958 ..... 103
1959 ..... 10
1960 ..... 112
1961 ..... 416
1962 ..... 155
1963 ..... 71
1964 ..... 176
1985 ..... 28
1966 ..... 12
Source: U.S. Tariff Commission.
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 Mr. Finthind, Mr. Finsin. Mr. Minakin, Mr. Hamis, Mr. Hatrieid, Mr. Hickfnian体, Mr. Molana, Mr. Jurbin of Idaho, Mr. Iatecine. Mr. Mansfied. Mr. Mifief. Mr. Mefinghe. Mr. Metcaly, Mr. Muere. Mr. Monimene, Mr. Monmia. Mr. Mime, Mr. Menit, Mr. Mealeon, Mr. Sitensis, Mr. Tintre, Mr. Yiumesth chi, and Mr. Yucne of North Dakota) introluced the following hill; which was read inice and referred to the Commillie on Finame

## A BILL

'lu revise the quota-control system on the importation of certain meat and meat prolucts.

2 tires of the United Statex of Amorica in Com!rese assembled,
3 That (a) it is the priver of the Comgress that the ngegregate

5 frow. chillad, or frozen meat) and Ioki.20 (roliting to fresh.
6 chilled. or frozen meat of gats:mid herep (exarpt lambis))
7 of the Tarif ischedules of the Venited States which may be

1 imported into the L'inited Statex in nuy alkndar yenr legin-
2 uing after Deceminer :31, 1067, showild mot exceed 585,500,-
3000 pounds; except that this guantity shall lie increased or
4 decreased for any calcudur yenr lyy the wine percentage that
5 estimated average anmial domestic commercial production of
6 these articles in that calendar year and the two preceding
7 calendar years increnses or decrenses in comparison with the
8 average anmual domestic emmercial prosluction of these
9 articles during the years 1958 through I!Mis, inclusive.
10 (b) Before the heginuing of each calendar year after
11 1967, the Becretary of Agriculture shall estimate and publish
12 the aggregate quantity prescriled for such malendar year liy
13 sulsecetion (a).
(c) (1) The President shall by proclamation limit the 15 total funatity of the articles described in sulbection (a)

16 which may be entered, or withdmwn from warehonse, for 17 consumption during each quarter of any calendar year to 18 one-fourth the aggregate quantity estimated for such cal19 endar year ly the Secertary of Agriculture pursuant to 3) saissection (b).

21 (2) The Secretary of Agricalture shall nlloente the 22 total quantity proclaimed muder pamgraph (1), and any 23 increase in surfi quantity panalint to sulumection ( 1 ), 24 among supplying comutrics on the hasis of the shares such

25 muntrica sapplied to the V'uited Statex marhet during a rup-

21 Any such suspension shall be for such period, and any such

24 of this subsection.
resentative period of the articles described in subsection (a), except that due account may be given to special factors which have affected or may affect the trade in such articles. The Secretary of Agriculture shall certify such allocations to the Secretary of the Treasury.
(d) The l'resident muy suspend any proclamation made under subsection (c), or increase the total quantity prochaimed under such subsection, if he determines and proclaims that-
(1) such action is required by overriding ceonomic or national security interests of the United States, giving special weight to the importance to the Nation of the economic well-being of the domestic livestock industry;
(2) the supply of articles of the kind described int sulsection (a) will be inadequate to meet domestic demand at reasonable prices; or
(3) trade agreements entered into after the date of the euactment of this Act ensure that the policy set forth in subsection (a) will be carried out. increase shall be in such amount, as the President determines and proclaims to be necessury to carry out the purposes
(e) The Secretary of Agriculture shall issue such regu-
hations as he determines to lne ueressiry to prevent cirromevention of the pargmese of this wertion.

Sbx: 2. (a) Whenever the I'resident detemines that the imposition of gnotas on the quantity of any artiche connmerated in sulpmort 13 of part 2 of selhedule 1 of the Tarift Schedules of the linited States (reliting to meats other than bird meat), other than the atides anmerated in items 106.10 and 100.20 , is neerensary in order to prevent unwarranted increases in the yuantity of . such article imported into the Uuited States, lu is anthorized-
(1) to deternine the total quantity of sillitarticle which may be iniported into the linited States duriug such period or periods as he may specify, and
(2) to limit, by proclamation, the total quantity of such article which may be entered, or withdiawn from warcholse, for connumption during such period or periods to the total gntantity so determined.
(b) 'The President maly stispend any prochamation made under sulbection (a) and may inerease or decrease the total quantity proclaimed with respect to any article maler such sulsection.

SEC. 3. I'rior to the heginning of each calendar quarter the Secretary of Defense shall certify to the Secretary of Igriculture all extinate of the ghantity in poun ?s of meat to be aceepted for delisery during anch ptarter, procined from

1 appropriated funds by the Jefence lepartucint from forcign
2 somrees, of any of the articlex with respect to which plumiti-
3 tative limitations lave been ingrosed on ingerts under the
4 provinions herevof. The quotas established pursuant to sere-
5 tion 1 or section $\geq$ hereof shall be diminished by the anomint
0 of sude ment to lee accepted for delivery as estimated by
7 the Sereretary of Defence.
8 Si:c: 4. Ill deternimations by the l'resident, the Siecre-
5 tary of Defense, and the Secretary of Agriculture under this
10 Aet shall le final.
11 Sec. ©. Effective Jumary 1, 1968, section 2 of the Aet 12 entitled ".In Iet to provide for the free importation of cer13 tain wild animals, and to provide for the imposition of gumens 14 on certain meat and meat products," approved Augist 22,

151964 (l'ublic Laiw 88-482), is repenled.

## meat quota

## Purpose of the bill

(8. 1888) ${ }^{1}$
S. 1588 would provide new more restrictive quote controls for imported meats to replace the existing quota provisions in effect since January 1, 1965, under section 2 of Public Law 88-482. The bill would become effective January 1, 1968.

Under Public Law 88-482, imports of fresh, chilled, or frozen beef, veal, mutton, and goat meat provided for in items 106.10 and 106.20 in subpart B of part 2 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS) are not to exceed 725.4 million pounds or such quantity adjusted up or down in a manner directly proportional to changes in U.S. commercial production measured against the average annual production during 1959-63. S. 1588 would reduce the import base from 725.4 million pounds to 585.5 million pounds, and would change the base period of average annual production from 1959-63 to 1958-62.2 Further, the annual import quota would be reduced by the quantity of meat expected to be received during the quota period by the Department of Defense from foreign sources. The net effect of these changes can be seen in the following tabulation (in pounds, product weight):

| Year | Basic quantity adjusted or changes in commercial production under Public Law 88-482 | Basic quantity under 8. 1588 (entimated) adjusted for changes in commercial production | Adjusted basic quantity minus Defense receipts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1965 . \\ & 1966 . \\ & 1967 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 848,700,000 \\ & 890,1100,000 \\ & 904,600,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 712,800,000 \\ & 756,800,000 \\ & 757,300,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (1) } \\ & (1) \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ |

[^22]| Year | Beef |  | Veal |  | Lamb and mutton |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Domestio consumption | Domestic commercial production | Domestic consumption | Domestic commercial production | Domestic consumption | Domestic commercial produotion |
| 1964. | 18,879 | 18, 037 | 990 | 928 | 715 | 703 |
| 1965. | 19, 032 | 18, 325 | 992 | 936 | 651 | 639 |
| 1986 | 20, 108 | -19,493 | 881 | $\stackrel{882}{ }$ | 650 | 2639 |

[^23]Under Public Law 88-482, the President shall, by proclamation, establish a quota when he has been informed by the Secretary of Agriculture that imports are expected to eaual or exceed 110 percent of the adjusted basic quantity. Under S. 1588 , the amount permitted to enter the United States would be only 100 percent of that quantity. Furthermore, under S. 1588 , the President would be required to proclaim quotas for each calendar year, whether or not imports were expected to exceed such quota. The effect of these changes, together with the aforementioned reduction in the basic quota, can be seen in the following tabulation (in pounds, product weight):

| Year | Imports permitted prior to "triggering" Public Law 88-482 | Adjusted basic quantity under S. 1588 (estimated) | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 933, 569, 999 | 712,800, 000 | 220, 769, 999 |
| 1966 | 979, 109, 999 | 756, 800, 000 | 222, 309, 999 |
| 1987 | $995,059,999$ | 757, 300, 000 | 237, 759, 999 |

Public Law 88-482 does not require the allocation of an annual quota to specified importers nor does it impose limitations on the share of the quota that may enter during a given part of the year. S. 1588, however, spec.fies that the President shall limit the quantity during each quarter of any calendar year to one-fourth the aggregate quantity for such year. Had the quotas pursuant to S. 1588 been in effect since January 1, 1965, they would have compared to actual imports as follows (in pounds, product weight):

| Time period | Quota under 8. 1588 | U.S. imports for consumption | Imports in excess of quota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965: |  |  |  |
| January to March | 178, 200, 000 | 131, 333,775 |  |
| April to June. | 178, 200, 000 | 126, 795, 007 |  |
| July to September | 178, 200, 000 | 180, 636, 831 | 2, 436, 831 |
| October to December | 178, 200, 000 | 175, 439, 124 |  |
| 12-month total | 712, 800, 000 | 614, 204, 737 | (1) |
| 1066: |  |  |  |
| January to March. | 189, 200, 000 | 161, 157, 097 |  |
| April to June. | 189, 200, 000 | 215, 509, 855 | 26, 309, 855 |
| July to September. | 189, 200, 000 | 239, 907, 945 | 50, 707, 945 |
| October to December | 189, 200, 000 | 206, 860, 627 | 17, 660, 627 |
| 12 -month total | 756, 800, 000 | 823, 435, 524 | (1) |
| January to March. | 189, 325, 000 | 197, 755, 313 | 8,430, 313 |
| April to June. - | 189, 325, 000 | 179, 850, 367 |  |
| July to Septernber | 189, 325, 000 | : 275, 000, 000 | 85, 075, 000 |

[^24]In general, imports have been heaviest in the third quarter of the calendar year. Both the existing and proposed legislation require the quotas to be allocated among supplying countries on the basis of the shares such countries supplied to the U.S. market during a representative period.

Public Law 88-482 does not specifically limit imports of meats other than those classifiable under items 106.10 and 106.20 of the TSUS. S. 1588, however, permits the President to establish quotas on any other meats enumerated in subpart B of part 2 of schedule 1 of the TSUS. Trade in most of the other meats in this subpart was relatively small and has not increased materially in recent years.

## Tarif treatment

Meat (other than bird meat) whether fresh, chilled, frozen, prepared, or preserved is provided for in subpart 2B of schedule 1 of the TSUS (a copy of which is appended). Rates of duty in column 1 apply to all countries except those designated as being under Communist control. (Imports from these Communist countries are dutiable at the rates given in col. 2.) Most of the column 1 rates have been reduced (from the level established in 1930) pursuant to concessions granted by the United States in bilateral trade agreements and in the GATT. At the present time the rates of duty on only three items, 106.65, 107.10, and 107.35 have not been reduced or bound by concessions.

In the sixth round of trade negotiations (the Kennedy Round) recently concluded, the United States gave no concession on the two TSUS items presently under control-106.10 and 106.20; a reduction on lamb (106.30) was agreed to. It did agree to a binding of the existing rate on 107.35 and a reduction of the rate on 107.10. In addition it gave concessions on items 106.30, 106.40, $106.50,106.60,106.80,106.85,107.20,107.25,107.50,107.65,107.70,107.75$, and 107.80 . These concessions are mainly for a 50 -percent reduction of the column 1 rates of duty to be reduced in five stages. The first stage is expected to become effective January 1, 1968.

## Additonal information

Data relating to domestic production, imports, and prices of the principal types of meats follow. Also appended are data relating to production and exports of beef and veal from Australia, the principal source of U.S. imports. Tables 3 and 4 include data on imports under Public Law 88-482 since its enactment. Table 5 shows U.S. imports of the items, other than 106.10 and 106.20, in subpart 2B of schedule 1 of the TSUS.

Tariff Schidules of the United Statma Annotated (1965)
Schedule 1.-Animal and vegetable producto-Continued
PART 2.-MEATS


| 107. 10 | 00 | Sausages, whether or nut in airtight containers: Pork: <br> Fresh |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107.11 |  | If product of Cuba |
| 107. 15 | 00 | Other------- |
| 107.20 107.25 | 00 | Beef, in airtight containers Other. |
|  | 20 | Beef--- |
|  | 40 | Other |
| 107. 30 | 20 | Pork, prepared or preserved (except sausages) : <br> Not boned and cooked and packed in airtight containers. <br> Hams and shoulders. |
|  | 40 | Bacon--.-----..-.-.-. |
|  | 60 |  |
| 107. 35 | 20 |  |
|  | 40 | Bacun.---....-.---- |
|  | 80 | Other. |
| 107. 2.6 |  | If products of Cuba and other than bacon, harhs, or shoulders. Beef and veal, prepared or preserved (except sausages): Beef or veal. cured or pickled: |
| 107.40 | 00 | Valued not over 30 cents per pound. -----.-.-. -- |
| 107. 45 | 00 | Valued over 30 cents per pound. |
| 107. 50 |  | Beef in airtight containers Corned beef: |
|  | 90 | In containers holding not more than 2 pounds. |
|  | 40 | In contaizers holding more than 2 pounds Other: |
|  | 60 | In containers holding not more than 2 pounds. |
|  | 80 | In conlainers holding mors than 2 pounds. |
|  | 00 | Other: <br> Valued not civer 30 cents per pound |
| 107. 60 |  | Valued over 30 cents per pound...- |
|  | 80 | Prepared, whether fresh, chilled or frosen, but not otherw |
|  | 40 | Ocher meats and cdible meat offal, preparcd or preserved: |
| 107. 65 | 00 | Frog meat.------..........-. |
| 107.60 |  | If product of Cuba. |
| 107. 70 | 00 | Other: Valued not over 30 cents per pound. |
| $16: 75$ | 00 | Valued over 30 cents per pound..... |
| 10\%. 80 | 00 | Extract of meat, including fluid...-...... |


3.25 per lb.
$3.25 \neq \mathrm{per} \mathrm{lb}$. $30 \%$ ad val.
$\mathbf{2 0} \%$ ad val.
3.25 per lb.
4.54 per lb. $30 \%$ ad val.
6. per lb.
$20 \%$ ad val.

6f per lb. $20 \% \mathrm{ad} \mathrm{val}$
154 per lb.
(a) $=$ Suapended. See general headnote 3(b).

- Meata covered by the larify descriptions in items 106.10 and 106.20 may be made subject to an aboolute quota by Preaidential proclamation ahould the annual met of importe to demestic production increase over the analogous weighted average annual latio for the peliod 1959 through 1963 , incluaive. For more preaive cat io sec Public Law 88-482, TD 56253.

Table 1.-Beef, peal, mutton, goat meat, and lamb: U.S. commercial production, imports for consumption, and selected prices, 1964-67
[Production in million pounds carcass weight; imports in million pounds product weight; prices in dollars per 100 pounds liveweight]

| Item | 1964 | 1965 | 19661 | $1987{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beef: U.S. production: |  |  |  |  |
| January to March. | 4,227 | 4,472 | 4,724 | 4,961 |
| April to June. | 4,615 | 4,384 | 4,784 | 5,106 |
| July to September | 4,558 | 4,711 | 5,002 |  |
| October to December | 4,637 | 4,758 | 4,949 |  |
| 12 -month total ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 18, 037 | 18, 325 | 19,459 |  |
| U.S. imports: |  |  |  |  |
| January to March. | 181 | 123 | 143 | 181 |
| April to June.... | 194 | 116 | 186 | 161 |
| July to September | 166 | 166 | 222 |  |
| October to December | 146 | 160 | 189 |  |
| 12-month total ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 688 | 565 | 741 |  |
| Prices (choice steers, Chicago, 1,100 to 1,300 pounds.): <br> January to March | \$21.83 | \$24. 43 | \$28. 01 | (3) |
| April to June. | 21.30 | 26.98 | 27.03 | () |
| July to September | 25.00 | 27.21 | 25.77 |  |
| October to Decembe | 24.51 | 26.76 | 24.78 |  |
| 12-month average ${ }^{2}$ | 23.16 | 26.34 | 26.40 |  |
| Prices (canner cows, Chicago): |  |  |  |  |
| January to March. | 11.79 | 11.68 | 15.72 | (3) |
| April to June. | 12.67 | 12.82 | 17.63 | (3) |
| July to September. | 11.98 | 12.64 | 16.62 |  |
| October to December | 10.31 | 11.73 | 14.71 |  |
| 12-month average ${ }^{2}$ - | 11.69 | 12.22 | 16.17 | ----... |
| Veal: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. production: |  |  |  |  |
| January to March. | 210 | 228 | 224 | 192 |
| April to June.. | 206 | 213 | 205 | 176 |
| July to September. | 256 | 252 | 223 |  |
| October to December. | 256 | 243 | 211 |  |
| 12-month total ${ }^{1}$. | 928 | 936 | 863 |  |
| U.S. imports: |  |  |  |  |
| January to March. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| April to June..... | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| July to September- | 3 | 3 | 4 |  |
| October to December | 5 | 7 | 7 |  |
| 12-month total ${ }^{2}$ | 17 | 19 | 22 |  |
| Prices (choice calves, St. Louis National Stockyards): <br> January to March. | \$22. 29 | \$29. 18 | 834. 59 | (3) |
| April to June.... | 26. 00 | 27. 39 | 32.52 | (1) |
| July to September | 23.47 | 24. 98 | 28.66 |  |
| October to December | 24. 04 | 27.13 | 32. 17 |  |
| 12-month average ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ - | 26.45 | 27.17 | 31.88 | -...... |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 1.-Beef, real, mutton, goat meat, and lamb: U.S. commercial production, imports for consumption, and selected prices, 1964-67-Continued
|Production in million pounds carcass weight; imports in million pounds product weight; prices in dollars per 100 pounds liveweight]

| Item | 1094 | 1965 | 10681 | 10871 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mutton and goat meat: |  |  |  |  |
| U.8. production (estimated at 8 percent of |  |  |  |  |
| lamb and mution): <br> January to March. | 15 | 13 | 12 |  |
| April to June....................................... | 14 | 12 | 13 | 12 |
| July to 8eptember. | 14 | 13 | 13 |  |
| October to December. | 14 | 13 | 13 |  |
| 12 month total ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 57 | 52 | 51 |  |
| U.S. imports: |  |  |  |  |
| January to March... | 15 |  | 14 | 13 |
| April to June-- | 12 | ${ }^{6}$ | 23 | 14 |
| July to eeptember- | 3 4 | 119 | 14 |  |
| 12-month total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | 34 | 30 | 61 |  |
| Prices (slaughter sheep, Chicago): |  |  |  |  |
| January to March............ | 86. 92 | \$6. 50 | 87. 50 | (3) |
| April to June. | 6. 17 | 5. 83 | 7.67 | (3) |
| July to deptember- | 5. 54 | 6. 75 | Q 17 |  |
| October to December | 5. 92 | 6. 58 | 6.50 |  |
| 12-month average : | 6.14 | 6.42 | 6. 96 | -.-..... |
| Lamb: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. production (estimated at 92 percent of lamb and mutton): |  |  |  |  |
| January to March. | 170 | 149 | 143 | 163 |
| April to June-.... | 156 156 | 143 | 152 | 136 |
| October to December | 164 | 147 | 144 |  |
| 12-month total ${ }^{2}$ - | 647 | 588 | 588 |  |
| U.S. imports: |  |  |  |  |
| January to March. |  |  |  | 2 |
| April to June.---- <br> July to September | 3 3 3 | 3 3 | 6 3 | 2 |
| October to December | 2 | 4 | 2 |  |
| 12-month total ${ }^{2}$ | 10 | 13 | 15 |  |
| Prices (slaughtor lamb, Chicago): Januury to 'sarch |  |  |  | (a) |
| April to June..... | 23. 33 | 25.25 | 25.71 | (3) |
| July to September. | 23.13 | 23. 83 | 24.17 |  |
| October to December. | 20. 29 | 24.38 | 22.50 |  |
| 12-month average ${ }^{2}$ | 21. 93 | 24. 29 | 25. 00 |  |

${ }_{1}^{1}$ Preliminary.
${ }^{2}$ From unrounded data.
a Not available.
Source: Production and prices compiled from official statistics of the U.8. Department of Agriculture, except as noted; imports compiled from.official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Table 2.-Beef and veal: Australian production, exports, and exports to United States as percent of exports

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { (July-June) } \end{gathered}$ | Production | Exports |  | Exports to United States as percent of: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | To United States | Total exports | Production |
|  | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons |  |  |
| 1901-62. | 791.1 | 201. 2 | 146.8 | 73 | 19 |
| 1982-63. | 913.9 985.5 | 260.8 281.3 | 211.5 218 | 818 | 22 |
| 1904-65. | 1, 010.1 | 3160 | 141.7 | 45 | 14 |
| 1985-66 | 931. 4 | 278.5 | 164. 0 | 59 | 18 |
| 1986-67. | 867.5 | 245.6 | 178.1 | 73 | 21 |

Source: Intelligence Bulletin, the Commonwealth Economic Committee, U.B. Department of Agriculture-FAS; and Meat Producer and Exporter.

Table 3.-Meats subject to Public Law 88-488: Quotas, forecast of imports, U.S. imports for consumption, and imports as a percent of quota, 1960-67

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quota } \\ & \text { determina } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | Imports forecast |  | Actual imports | Actual imports as a percent of quota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial | Final |  |  |
|  | Mulion pounds product weight | Million pounds product weight | Million pounds product roeight | Million pounds product weight |  |
| 1961 |  |  |  | - 814 |  |
| 1962. |  |  |  | 925 |  |
| 1963. |  |  |  | 1, 049 |  |
| 1964 |  |  |  | 739 |  |
| 1965. | 8487 | 732 | 630 | 614 | 72 |
| 1968 | 890.1 | 700 | 800 | 824 | 93 |
| 1967.. | 904.6 | 960 | 860 |  |  |

Source: Quotas and import forecasts from the Federal Register; import data compiled from official statistics of the U.B. Department of Commerce.

Table 4.-Percentage distribution of annual imports of quota-type meats, by quarters, 1960-66

| Year | Calendar quarter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 tst | 2 d | 3d | 4th |
| 1960 | 22 | 27 |  |  |
| 1961. | 17 | 25 | 30 | 28 |
| 1962. | 24 | 18 | 30 | 28 |
| 1963. | 23 | 21 | 31 | 25 |
| 1964 | 27 | 29 | 23 | 21 |
| 1965. | 21 | 21 | 29 | 29 |
| 1966 | 20 | 28 | 29 | 25 |

Bource: Compiled from official statistics of the U.8. Department of Commerce.

Table 5.-Meats, other than bird meat (except beef, veal, mutton, and goat meot fresh, chilled, or frozen): U.S. imports for consumption, annual 1964-66 and January-August 1987
[In milliona of pounds product weight]

| TSU8 item | Description | 1964 | 1065 | 19681 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Janu- } \\ & \text { ary- } \\ & \text { Aurunt } \\ & 10.71 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Meat, fresh, chilled, or frosen: |  |  |  |  |
| 106. 30 | Lamb.-.-.-.............. | 10 | 13 | 15 | 6 |
| 106. 40 | Pork. | 39 | 48 | 42 | 32 |
| 106. 50 | Deer. | (1) | (2) | () | (1) |
| 108.55 | Other game anim | 1 | ( 1 | 1 | d |
| 106. 60 | Proge | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 106. 65 | Horsemeat. | 14 | 11 | 14 | 11 |
| 108.70 | Other, valued not over 30 cents per pound. |  | (1) | (3) | (1) |
| 106.75 | Other, valued over 30 cents per pound | ( ${ }^{(1)}$ | (I) | (3) | (1) |
| 106.80 | Edible offal, fresh, chilled, or frosen: Valued not over 20 cents per pound.- | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 106.85 | Valued over 20 cents per pound.....- | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 107.10 | Sausages: Fresh pork. | (c) | () | ( $)$ | (1) |
| 107.15 | Other pork. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 107.20 | Beef, in airtight containers. | 5 | 2 | 3 | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 107.25 | Other...-.-............... | 5 | 5 | 6 |  |
| 107.30 | Pork, prepared or preserved: <br> Not boned and cooked and packed in airtight containers. | 6 | 7 | 5 | 4 |
| 107.35 | Boned and cooked and packed in airtight containers. | 163 | 205 | 218 | 170 |
| 107. 40 | Beef and veal, prepared or preserved: Pickled or cured: <br> Valued not over 30 cents per pound. |  |  |  | (3) |
| 107. 45 | Valued over 30 cents perpound.- | (1) |  | (i) | ( |
| 107. 50 | Beef in airtight containers........... | 79 | 91 | 80 | 59 |
| 107. 55 | Other, valued not over 30 cents per pound. | (2) | () | (3) | () |
| 107. 60 |  | 11 | 24 | 36 | 22 |
| 107.65 | Other meats and edible offal, prepared or preserved: <br> Frog meat. | (3) | (2) | (3) | () |
| 107. 70 | Other, valued not over 30 cents per |  |  |  |  |
|  | pound | 5 | 7 | 8 | 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 107.75 \\ & 107.80 \end{aligned}$ | Valued over 30 cents per pound <br> Meat extract. | 2 | 5 | 15 | $\mathbf{9}$ 1 |

[^25]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The bill would apply to imports of "crude petroleum and natural gas liquids, and finished and unfinished products thereof except residual fuel oil for use as fuel."
    ${ }^{2}$ The substantive provisions of proclamation 3279, as modified by proclamations 3290, $3328,3386,3389,3509,3531,3541,3693$, s779, and 3794. Such provisions of proclamation 3279, as modified, are attached.

    Section 232, Trade Expansion Act of 1962, and the legislative history thercof, are aleo attached.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Petrochemical products as defined by Department of Commerce for purpose if its survey, press release July 14, 1967, G 67-153.

    2 Include ethane, propane, propene, butane, butenc, propane-butane mixtures, pentanc, butadiene, and other liquefied hydrocarbon gases. Items included in oil import control regulations are: ethane, propane, butanes, ethylene, propylene, and butylene. These fall in 29115, but 29115 includes items other than those under oil import controls.

    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Petrochemical products as defined by Department of Commeree for purpose of its survey, press release, July 14, 1967, G 67-153.

    Not available-included with cellulosics.
    ${ }^{3}$ Not separately showin.

    - Includes gas black.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes naphtha.
    " Approximately comparable to the product class defined for 29115 of "Shipments" table.
    Source: Compiled by BIDSA, U.S. Department of Commerce, from Bureall of Census datia and commodity trade statistics, United Nations, 1962-64.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Act of July 1, 1954, ch. 445, sec. 2, 68 Stat. 360:
    "Sec. 2. No action shall be taken pursuant to such section 350 to decrease the duty on any article if the President finds that such reduction would threaten donestic production needed for projected national defense requirements."
    ${ }^{2}$ H.R. 9474 ; II. Rept. No. 1777, 83d Cong., 2d sess. (1954); S. Rept. No. 1605, 83d Cong., 2 d sess. (1954).

    1100 Congressional Record 8599 (1954).

    - 100 Congressional Record 8873 (1954).
    ${ }^{5} 100$ Congressional Record 9114-9115 (1954).
    - 100 Congressional Record 9141 (1954).
    ${ }^{7} 100$ Congressional Record A3678 (daily ed. May 19, 1954, appendix, remarks by Representative Van Zandt).
    ' Act of June 21, 1955, ch. 169, sec. 7, 69 Stat. 166. The additional subsection is as follows:
    "(b) In order to further the policy and purpose of this section, whenever the Director of the Office of Defense Mobilization has reason to believe that any article is being imported into the United States in such quantities as to threaten to impair the national security, he shall so advise the President, and if the President agrees that there is reason for such belief, the President shall cause an immediate investigation to be made to determine the facts. If, on the basis of such investigation, and the report to him of the findings and recommendstions made in connection therevith, the President finds that the artice is being imported into the l'nited States in such quantities as to threaten to impair the national security, he shall take such action as he deems necessary to adjust the imports of such article to a leved that will not threaten to impair the national security."

[^4]:    H.R. Rept. No. 50, 84th Cong., 1st sees. 30-31 (1955).

    10 Ibid.
    : Ibid at 31.
    13. Rept. No. 232, 84th Cong., 1st sess. 4 (1955).

    4 Ibid.
    16 Ibid. at 5.
    ${ }^{4}$ Conf. Rept. No. 745, 84th Cong. 1 1st sees., 6-7 (1955).
    ${ }^{11}$ Presidential Froclamation No. 3279, 24 Fed. Reg. 1781 (Mar. 10, 1959), which has been modified but is still in effect. This is the only case in which affirmative action was taken under the national security provision.
    ${ }^{11}$ Subcommittee Report on Customs, Tariffs, and Reciprocal Trade Agreements to the Committee on Waye and Means of the House, 100-101 (1957).

    11 Ibid. at 101.

[^5]:    ${ }^{19}$ Ibid. at 102.
    ${ }^{20}$ Act of Aug. 20, 1958, Public Law 85-686, sec. 8, 72 Stat. 676.
    ${ }^{21}$ H. Rept. 1761, 85th Corg., 2 d ese., 13 (1958).
    ${ }^{2}$ Subcommittee Report on Customs, Tariffs, and Reciprocal Trade Agreements, supra, note 17 at 100-101 (1957).
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ H. Rept. 1761, 85th Cong., 2d sess., 14 (1958).
    ${ }^{n}$ Ibid at 41, 42.
    ${ }^{3}$ The 1958 statutory provision is nearly idkotical to the 1962 statute, sec. 232, quoted on the first page of the appendix. The only additional section contained in the 1958 act is ooe requiring a report to Congress in 1959 on the administration of the national security amendment.
    ${ }^{2}$ H. Rept. 1761, supra, note 23 at 14, 15.

[^6]:    ${ }^{n}$ Ibid. at 85, 86.
    ${ }^{31}$ Ibid. at 43-54.
    ${ }^{21}$. . Rept. 1838, 85th Cong., becond sess., 2, 5, 12 (1958).
    ${ }^{20}$ Conf. Rept. No. 2502, 85th Cong., 2 d sess., 3, 7, 8 (1958).
    ${ }^{31} 19$ U.S.C. sec. 1801 etc. (1964). The pertinent provision, sec. 1862, was enacted as quoted on the first page of this appendix.
    is An example is the language providing that hearings and investigative advioe be provided by "appropriate agencies" rather than the previous statutory language gpecifying the "Office of Emergency Planning" for this assistance. (H.R. 9900, sec. 232 [1962]; Summary of Comm. Decisions on H.R. 9900 , as of May 23, 1962).
    ${ }^{2}$ H.R. Rept. No. 1818, 87th Cong., 2 d sess., 41 (1962).
    ${ }^{4}$ 8. Rept. No. 2059, 87 th Cong., 2 d sess., 9, (1962).

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ The amendments intended to be proposed by Senator Hollings to H.R. 2155 and H.R. 4765 are identical. They are a modified version of Senator Hollings' bill, 8. 1796.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ On October 4, 1967, the President requested that the Tariff Commission make an investigation, pursuant to section $332(\mathrm{~g})$ of the Tariff Act of 1930, of the economic condition of the U.S. textile and apparel industries. The Tariff Commission was requested to complete its report by January 15, 1968.

    5 The import quotas would not apply to:
    (a) Unprocessed natural textile fibers such as raw cotton, jute, wool, or silk;
    (b) Any textile article entitled to entry free of duty; and
    (c) Textile articles the imports of which into the United States are limited in conformance with an agreement between the United States and the supplying foreign country.

[^9]:    1 Includes hard-surface ficor coveringe.

[^10]:    I Including yarn produced on commission.
    ${ }^{2}$ Including angora rabbit hair yarn.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Excludes hosiery, neckties, scarves, mufflers, shawls, headwear and footwear except infants', and gloves.
    ${ }^{2}$ Partially estimated, based on mill consumption of fibers.
    ${ }^{3}$ Not available.

    - Japan accounted for 65 nercent; Hong Kong, 14 percent; and Taiwan, 9 percent.

    Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce, except as noted.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Excludes bosiery, neckties, scarves, mufflers, shawls, headwear and footwear except infants', and gloves.
    ${ }^{2}$ About 55 percent from Japan, 14 percent from Hong Kong, and 9 percent from Taiwan.
    ${ }^{2}$ Not available.

    - About 44 percent from Hong Kong and 37 percent from Japan.
    about 61 percent from Japan and 23 percent from Hong Kong.
    - About 58 percent from Japan and 12 percent from Hong Kong.

    Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.
    Table 9.-Yarns of continuous manmade fibers and yarns of glass (filament yarns): U.S. production, imports for consumption, exporis of domesic merchandise, and apparent consumption, 1961-66

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Partly estimated from statistics compiled by the National Association of Hosiery Manufacturers.
    ${ }^{2}$ Two-thirds of 1966 imports were from Yugoslavia.
    ${ }^{3}$ Less than one-tenth of 1 perernt.
    Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce, except as noted.

[^14]:    ${ }^{2}$ Less than 500 lb .

    - Leas than $\$ 500$.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Preliminary.
    Sourco: U.S. Federal Reserve Byatem.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes merchandise released from customs custody immediately upon arrival plus merchandise entered into bonded storage warehouses immediately upon arrival.
    ${ }^{2}$ Les than 50,000 square yards.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the purposes of the bill, the steel mill products are those within the TSUSA descriptions for ingots, blooms, billets, slabs, and sheet bars; bars; wire rods; plates, sheete, and strip (except those in nonrectangular shape); wire; angles, shapes, and sections (except those drilled, or otherwise advanced and those cold formed and weighing not more than 0.29 pounds per foot); sheet piling; rails, joint bars, and tie plates; railway wheels and axles; pipes and tubes (except cast iron); barbed wire; certain galvanized woven wire fencing; bale ties made from wirc; covered wire (except electrical); and certain nails made from round wire.
    ${ }^{2}$ The ratio of average annual imports of pig iron and steel mill products during 1864-66 to average annual U.S. consumption during the same period. The ratio, of course, is an average ratio; hence the actual percentage for any product category for any country might be higher or lower than 9.6 percent. U.S. consumption, for the purposes of the bill, would be computed on the basis of domestic mill shipments, plus imports, less exports.
    ${ }^{1}$ Smaller than would apply under an agreement. The percentage would be the ratio of the average annual imports of such products from such country during the calendar years 1959-66 to average annual U.S. consumption during the same period. Average annual imports during the base period 1959-66 is, almost without exception, considerably less for cach category than average annual imports during the base period 1964-66.

    The more advanced steel products are those within certain TSUSA descriptions for grit and shot; rough forgings; plates, sheets, and strip in nonrectangular shape; angles, shapes, and sections drilled or otherwisc advanced and thoec cold formed and weighing not more than 0.29 pounds per foot; pipe and tube fittings (except cast iron); wire rope and strand; wire reinforcing fabric; bale ties made from strip; staples in strip form; cut nails; bolts, nuts, and rivets; fabricated structures and parts thereof; fence and sign posts; forged stoel grinding balls; clectrical conduit and fittings; and certain nonenumerated electrical articles.

[^18]:    1 Plum additional speoific duties applicable to the content of certain alloying metals provided in items 607.01 through 607.04.
    : Concession only with respect to additional specific duties (see footnote 1).

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Steel mill products plus other steel products as defined by American Iron and Steel Inctitute.
    ${ }^{3}$ Revised.

[^20]:    : Apparent domestic coneumption = 8hipments by U.S. mills + Importo-exports.

[^21]:    Souroe: Department of Commerce, Census Burcail.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Published data relating to Department of Defense receipts are not available. It is believed that the adjusted basic quantity would not be changed substantially for the ycars shown if such receipts were subtracted.


    #### Abstract

    : On Apr. 19, 1967 the Senate pasaed an amendment to H.R. ©950. The amendment, introduced by Senator McGovern was different from S. 1588 (introduced the next day and cosponsored by Senator McGovern) in three respects: (1) Domestic consumption rather than domestic commercial production would be used as the basis of the import quotas, (2) lamb meat, fresh, chilled, or frozen would be subject to mandatory controls rather than optional control, and (3) the quota applicable to a given type of meat would be diminished by the quantity purchased by the Department of Defense rather than by the estimated quantity to be procured from foreign sources. The amendment was subsequently deleted from H.R. 6950.

    2 Under the amendment proposed by Senator McGovern, domestic consumption is used as the basis of the import quota (rather than domestic commercial production as is provided for in Public Law 88-482 and S. 1588). As shown in the following tabulation, domestic consumption has been somewhat larger than domestic commercial production in rccent years (in millions of pounds, carcass equivalent):


[^23]:    Preliminary.
    Data for 1968 not comparable with previous years due to changes in definition between commercial and farm slaughter.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ Inasmuch as the quots would be administered on a quarterly basis, the total of any imports, in excess of quotas, is not necessarily the same as the 12 -month , difference between the quota and actual imports.

    Eatimated.

[^25]:    1 Preliminary.
    ${ }^{2}$ Less than 500,000 pounds.
    Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

