RON WYDEN, OREGON, CHAIRMAN

JOHN D. ROCKEFELLER IV, WEST VIRGINIA CHARLES E. SCHUMER, NEW YORK DEBBIE STABENOW, MICHIGAN MARIA CANTWELL, WASHINGTON BILL NELSON, FLORIDA ROBERT MENENDEZ, NEW JERSEY THOMAS R. CARPER, DELAWARE BENJAMIN L, CARDIN, MARYLAND SHERROD BROWN, OHIO MICHAEL F. BENNET, COLORADO ROBERT P. CASEY, JR., PENNSYLVANIA MARK R. WARNER, VIRGINIA

ORRIN G, HATCH, UTAH
CHUCK GRASSLEY, IOWA
MIKE CRAPO, IDAHO
PAT ROBERTS, KANSAS
MICHAEL B, ENZI, WYOMING
JOHN CORNYN, TEXAS
JOHN THUNE, SOUTH DAKOTA
RICHARD BURR, NORTH CAROLINA
JOHNY ISAKSON, GEORGIA
ROB PORTMAN, OHIO
PATRICK J, TOOMEY, PENNSYLVANIA

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6200

JOSHUA SHEINKMAN, STAFF DIRECTOR CHRIS CAMPBELL, REPUBLICAN STAFF DIRECTOR

February 28, 2014

The Honorable Marilyn Tavenner Administrator Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Department of Health and Human Services 200 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20201

Dear Administrator Tavenner,

We write you to express our strong objections to the 2015 MA and Part D Proposed Rule that was published in the Federal Register on January 10, 2015. We have serious concerns that the proposed rule would disrupt care for millions of Part D beneficiaries and unnecessarily interfere with a successful program.

In the ten years since its enactment, Medicare Part D has proven to be a stable and strong public-private health care partnership. Part D provides affordable access to necessary prescription drugs for over 36 million Medicare beneficiaries, including eleven million seniors who lacked comprehensive coverage prior to the program's construction. Beneficiary satisfaction currently exceeds 90%, and average beneficiary premiums have been stable at about \$30 in the past four years.

Part D has also exceeded budgetary expectations with the Congressional Budget Office repeatedly reducing its estimates of the program's cost, which is now roughly 45% below initial projections. The competitive bidding model established by Congress and overseen by CMS to guarantee beneficiary protections and access while containing costs has been a resounding success. Such an accomplishment can be attributed to the fact that Part D recognizes the unique needs of Medicare beneficiaries, and allows plan options to be tailored to those needs while maintaining the foundation of the program: drug coverage should be accessible, comprehensive and affordable.

Given this remarkable success, we are perplexed as to why the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) would propose to fundamentally restructure Part D by requiring immediate, large-scale changes to the program that have direct consequences for beneficiaries. Many of the proposed changes are untested and unstudied and could result in significant loss of beneficiary choice, access, and consumer protections.

Therefore, we strongly oppose the implementation of these proposals. Instead, we urge you to begin a new dialogue with Congress, Medicare beneficiaries, and relevant stakeholders on how best to achieve the universal goal of a sustainable and successful Part D program.

Sincerely,

Ren Wyder

Jay Rohyeller

Rethil Fetersw

Bill Nebar

Polit Menuly.

Tom Carpe

Boh Carey, &.

Mark R Nomes

( Nun Habel

Chuck Grassley. Wike Cryso

fal Relute

Mike Enje

John Cormyn

355

Many July

Medicare Part D Rule Letter February 28, 2014

Robbatarin

fortroomen