2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE BUSINESS

WEDNESDAY MARCH 28, 1979

United States Senate, Committee on Finance,

Washington, D.C.

The committee met, pursuant to recess, at 11:15 a.m. in room 2221, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. Russell B. Long, chairman of the committee, presiding.

Present: Senators Long, Talmadge, Bradley, Dole, Danforth, and Durenberger.

The Chairman. Gentlemen, even though this is a hearing, not an Executive Session -- Mike, would you take the witness stand down there?

I would like to talk about something that is urgent, that needs to be discussed. Mr. Galvin can help you there, too.

Gentlemen, we passed a program some years ago where the Federal government would help mothers to collect payments for their children. Part of it is to collect payments of mothers who are on the rolls for families with dependent children. About half of that program is aimed at keeping families off the AFDC rolls on the theory that if the father supported the children the way he should, they should, they would not have to go on the

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

300 7TH STREET, S.W., REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

S

"

:>

_

rolls.

And so we are collecting about \$500 million a year, if I recall, or almost that much, for the family not on the AFDC rolls, the purpose being to keep them off the rolls.

Unfortunately, that program expired. We passed legislation through the Senate to continue the program. The House passed legislation to continue the program, but there was some ambition on the House side to add some things to that. Some very well-intentioned people thought it would be good for the country by adding on these additional amendments.

The bill did not pass; it got caught in the adjournment pressure in the last question and failed to pass.

So it is urgent that this program be continued now. We have a letter from the General Accounting Office advising us that no more payments can be made.

I fear that maybe some of the payments that have been made will be illegal under their ruling, so we need to pass this. We need to pass it now so that these mothers who are dependent upon services, they are paying for it, I understand. They pay a fee for these services, but it requires some funding to have the lawyers in place and the people available that they need this help to help support their families.

And we should extend this program.

I would like to offer this amendment on that countervailing duty bill that is out there on the floor. We do not have the

•

*)

· Paris

opportunity to meet in Executive Session and formally approve it, but I would like to explain it to the members who are here, and I hope to communicate with all the other members who are not here, hoping that they can understand and can at least support an extension of this program and could go along in offering this amendment.

Senator Talmadge. If the Chairman would yield at that point, I wholeheartedly concur in everything the Chairman has said. I think it is appropriate that we move expeditiously because, as he points out, this is a program that saves the Federal government and the taxpayers money, and I think that it is entirely in order that this committee act and authorize you to offer an amendment on the Senate floor when this bil comes up this afternoon on behalf of the Senate Finance Committee.

I have one further comment. I think we are involved in a similar situation with the WIN program, are we not, Mr. Galvin? Is there not a drafting error in the bill that we passed?

Mr. Galvin. There is such a drafting error, but it is not quite as serious as the child support. Child support will get no funding for the quarter starting the lst of April.

Senator Talmadge. The WIN program, now, through a drafting error we omitted certain language?

Mr. Galvin. The WIN program is the WIN tax credit that we are dealing. The drafting errors in that disallowed any payments under the tax credit for the period September 26th through

**

C

-

-

January 1st.

Senator Talmadge. Does the Chairman want to include that in his amendment, or would he prefer to wait?

The Chairman. If that is something where we could have a few days to work on it, I would rather hold up on that, because this matter expires completely. We only have a few days to take care of this matter before some things start happening that will be irresponsible.

Senator Talmadge. I will not press it at this time, Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. I will put it on the next vehicle.

Senator Talmadge. I will not press it at this point, but I think we have an identical situation on the WIN program. Through some drafting error, we did not provide for payments for the last quarter of last year.

Is that correct, Mr. Galvin?

Mr. Galvin. No payments on the tax credit, that is right, sir.

Senator Talmadge. I will not pursue it.

Mr. Galvin. This is something, Senator, that could be recovered by resubmitting the tax form. The child support money is going to close down that part of the program by the 1st of April.

When we had the crisis back on October 1st. what happened there, states were closing down their programs under the Child

_

ì

Support non-AFDC families only. It was dropped only because
HEW found that under the continuing resolutions, Public Law
95-482, that it could be continued to be covered under that, and
it has been covered for the first two quarters.

However, the General Accounting Office has now ruled that it cannot be covered under that, so you have a crisis period that is coming up no later than Monday morning.

The Chairman. We have not called an Executive Session for this purpose. What I would suggest is that we simply regard this as a discussion that took place among some of the committee members, not a committee meeting as such, but I will explain it. I have explained this to the members that I could reach, and I will try to explain it to the others so they will understand what we have in mind.

Frankly, it is something that should be discussed at a previous meeting. Any Senator can offer such an amendment. I will offer it and just explain that I discussed it with the members that I could reach.

Senator Dole. Mr. Chairman, I do not have any objection and I do not know of any on the Republican side except the general objection that Ambassador Strauss was very insistent that we not add any amendments to the countervailing duty proposal. I have agreed to withhold an amendment on carryover basis and add it to the legislative proposal, that we hope we can work out some consent agreement.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

ಾ

 \bigcirc

I think, perhaps, in the case you suggest where the legislation is about to expire, there probably should be an exception made. I personally do not have any objection, but I think that is one possible danger. If there is one amendment offered, it may invite other amendments from other fertile areas in the Senate.

The Chairman. If we had some other bill that was going to go down to the President's desk between now and April 1, which I thought the President was going to sign, I would be glad to hold off and offer it on that, but that is not quite the case, and I want the Senator from Kansas to know that I will help him to pass legislation that he thinks is important about the carry-over basis. I think he is right about it. I will help him reach a legislative decision on it.

I approve of what he is trying to do. He is trying to send it down there on a bill where it can be judged on its own merits and I think he is using a statesmanlike approach, knowing the President is likely to veto it, he is not going to put it on something that will face the problems of government, but he is entitled to an answer from the House, the Senate and the President. He will have my cooperation in getting an answer.

Senator Danforth. Mr. Chairman, it is my understanding that the extension of detoxification services under Title XX --

Mr. Galvin. Nothing is included in this except the child support amendment.

....

-

-

Senator Danforth. It could not be included?

Mr. Stern. There are three elements that are involved that have been linked together. One is the child support for non-welfare families; the second relates to hiring of welfare recipients in child care centers; a third relates to drug addicts and alcoholics.

My impression is that the chairman was talking about only that first element, child support and he would hope, in the near future, to act in these other areas also.

Senator Danforth. It has also expired, has it not?
Mr. Stern. Yes, sir.

Senator Danforth. Mr. Chairman, this just happens to be in my state a program which has been very successful in the past. I wonder -- it is sort of a part of the same package; I think it is totally noncontroversial -- whether it is possible to put it in.

The Chairman. Does anybody know whether there would be any objection to that?

Does that program expire April 1 also?

Mr. Stern. All of these programs expired: last October 1st. The difference in the case of child support was the Department of HEW said that last year's continuing resolution was going to carry the child support for non-welfare families along.

The Chairman. Now we have a ruling it does not?

Mr. Stern. Now the GAO has ruled the other way.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

つ つ

_

The Chairman. Does the continuing resolution carry the program to help alcoholism?

Mr. Stern. I believe that it has not.

The Chairman. Does that stand on the same basis with the same problem?

Mr. Stern. That and the third, the hiring of welfare recipients in child care centers. Those were the three programs that were extended in separate votes by both Houses.

The Chairman. I would hope that we could put all three of them on. I do not want to keep Mr. Strauss' bill from passing.

We do not have any other vehicle to go with. If we had something else -- maybe that horse will have difficulty carrying more bacgage, but that is the only horse we have. It seems to me if we are going to try to do something, we had better try to put it on that one.

We will try to limit this just to expiring programs. I hope Senator, you can support me and help me. If someone says, "Look, I have an amendment out there that is very important, that will save the country," I hope you will support me and say, "Hold on. We are only talking about expiring programs."

Obviously, these expiring programs are in the budget assumptions that these programs will be continued. I do not think that there would be a budget objection.

Mr. Stern. Yes, sir. I do not believe there is any objection from the administration. They assume these programs are

...

ಾ

£.,#

to be continued.

Senator Durenberger. Mr. Chairman, I support the concept and your tactics. I have one question on the child support.

I looked at the figures. Question number one, how long has it been in effect and can you account for the disparity in utilization between some of the states? My state is one of those that equally grants these kinds of programs; for some reason or another has not utilized them. I notice some of the states fall in that same category.

Mr. Galvin. The program has been in effect since August

1, 1975 for both AFDC and non-AFDC child support services. The

variances in the states is partially due to the legislative -
maybe differences between the Governors and the legislature,

maybe a desire that they not get started in a program too rapidly,

particularly the non-AFDC part that has been on a temporary one
year basis for the funding.

It could be the attitude of the people in the particular state. It may be that you have a state with a population that you cannot get to, for example, a program does not cover Indian Reservations and in the state is an Indian Reservation. They could not go there and collect child support.

The other could very well be either the lack of ability or lack of dedication on the part of the Child Support Administrators. In your particular state, we will be able to come up with a reason, because there has been an audit made in every state and

Ç.

* 67

″)

 \Box

those audits will be out within the next two months and I will be glad to find out exactly what the problems are in your state, if your state is lower in percentage than some of the others.

We have this booklet -- you perhaps have seen it -- which has different efficiency ratings of the child support programs over the three or four years.

Senator Durenberger. Thank you.

The Chairman. Thank you very much, gentlemen. I will plan to offer such an amendment as the Chairman of the committee and I will explain that I have discussed it with all the committee members I can find and I will try to contact as many as I can and explain this matter to them so they will know what it is when we offer it out there on the floor, and hopefully we can take care of this very urgent matter, maybe today.

I would sort of like to project an image for the Finance Committee that when the committee learns of a serious problem, it takes care of it before sundown, if we can do that.

In view of the fact that we agreed to take care of these other expiring programs, it is appropriate that we would take care of Senator Talmadge's amendment also and include what ewe had in mind, and for this amendment to take care of the matter raised by Senator Danforth.

I would offer an amendment that would include that as the other two items.

(Whereupon at 11;45 o'clock p.m., the Committee resumed its hearing.)

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.