1	EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
2	FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 1989
3	U.S. Senate
4	Committee on Finance
5	Washington, D.C.
6	The meeting was convened, pursuant to notice, at 10:15 a.m. in room
7	SD-215, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. Patrick Moynihan presiding.
8	Also present: Senators Bradley, Rockefeller, Daschle, Packwood,
9	Roth, Danforth, Chafee, and Heinz.
10	Also present: Joseph Humphreys, Professional Staff Member;
11	Ed Lopez, Legislative Assistant for Senator Movnihan; and Morgan J.
12	Frankel, Assistant Legal Counsel, Office of Senate Legal Counsel.
13	(The press release announcing the meeting follows:)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	·
24	

Senator Daschle. The meeting will come to order. Let the record 2 show that present are Senators Danforth and myself. 3 Those in favor of the amendment please make it known by saying aye. Senator Danforth. Ave. 5 Senator Daschle. Aye. 6 The meeting is in recess. 7 Senator Danforth. Why not just keep it going, not in recess. 8 Senator Daschle. All right. Why don't we do that. The meeting 9 will not stand in recess. It will remain open and we will keep a 10 rolling quorum. 11 Senator Moynihan. The meeting will come to order. 12 The purpose of this meeting is to give the Chairman of the committee authority to issue subpoenas to witnesses to appear and produce 13 documents at a hearing before the Subcommittee on Social Security and 14 Family Policy relating to mass mailings concerning Social Security. 15 We have asked persons to come. Most of the principal organizations 16 have voluntarily said they would do, a number of organizations have said 17 they will not. We want to let those organizations to know that if they 18 do not it is possible that they could be submorenaed to do. 19 Senator Packwood. Mr. Chairman. 20 Senator Moynihan. Yes, sir. Senator Packwood. Just looking at this list, they look like they are a conservative organization, at least Viguerie and Howard Phillips. Senator Moynihan. Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

Moffitt Reporting Associates (301) 350-2223

Senator Packwood. I hope that Pat is not appearing to be a shot at

right wing organizations. I don't know of some of the others.

Senator Moynihan. I never saw that list until just this moment.

Those are the lists of persons who were asked and said they would not come. Is that correct?

Mr. Humphreys. Yes. The invitations were issued by you and your staff, and I was informed by your staff that these individuals were the individuals who had either mostly I believe had indicated that they would not come.

Senator Packwood. Who is coming? Who has accepted the offer?

Senator Moynihan. The Roosevelt group, that national committee.

Mr. Humphreys. There was another that was taken off this list that had been on the original list.

Senator Rockefeller. What about the Coalition of Senior Citizens?

Mr. Humphreys. I don't believe they were invited.

Senator Packwood. I want to know who was asked.

Mr. Humphreys. Well all of them were invited.

Senator Packwood. Well I am confused.

Senator Chafee. The question was, how many individuals are there that they invited to testify, total?

Senator Packwood. What I am trying to get at, Pat, is this. If
Roosevelt was coming, and if the only other ones that were asked is this
list, and they are not coming, it looks like there has not been a
selective cross section. It looks like these are all convervative
organizations, that they were the ones that were zeroed in on, and that
democratic or liberal organizations that may be involved in the same

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

∙18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

kind of mailing, other than Mr. Roosevelt, were not. I don't know.

Senator Moynihan. Right. Well let me say to you how we went about this. I didn't pay too much heed to the specific parties invited. We wanted to first establish the subject of do we have a problem here, as I think we do. And Senator Pryor is going to testify and so is Mr. Stark. Then my first witness of importance to me is the Assistant Chief Postal Inspector to say what are the rules of mailing, because we do get horror stories of people, you know, President Roosevelt wrote them and said you're going to lose your check.

Gwendolyn King, the Social Security Administrator, — Senator Packwood. Commissioner.

Senator Moynihan. Commissioner. Got on a train and went up to New Jersey to see her 84-year-old mother to say no, mother, you're not going to lose your Social Security. Don't worry.

Senator Packwood. Well what I want to make sure--it was two things, Pat,--one, that this isn't sort of a witch hunt, and I know it wouldn't be from your standpoint of right-wing organizations.

Senator Moynihan. No, sir, it will not be.

Senator Packwood. Because I don't see any liberal organizations that were invited, other than Mr. Roosevelt. And I don't know if that is a liberal organization or what it is. I don't know much about it.

Senator Moynihan. Well I think it was.

Senator Packwood. All right.

Second, what is the relevance of their documents, and how much they spend on lobbying and all of that?

′

.;

Senator Moynihan. First of all, I would like to hear from Mr. Herst from the Postal Service about what can you ask. Are there boundaries? When I say mail fraud, it is not what Mr. Frankel would mean when he says mail fraud. It means something very specific to him. It means a generalized, you know, don't do this bad behavior.

Senator Packwood. But at the moment, I mean obviously these mailings aggravate us all, and we have all been the negative recipients of responses from these mailings and the mailings are outrageously misleading. But, one, is there any argument or is there any allegation that they have violated the current law?

Senator Moynihan. None by us. We would like to know what the current law is from Mr. Lopez who has been investigating these.

Senator Packwood. All right.

Then, second, -- and the current law is you cannot use the word "Social Security" and the emblems and whatnot. But are any of us thinking that they have violated the IRS laws?

Senator Moynihan. We go to the next question, which I think is a legitimate one, which I wish to raise, is how much money did you take in and what did you spend it on?

Senator Packwood. What is the relevance of that from the standpoint of misleading the public?

Senator Moynihan. Whether or not we might want to consider requirements of disclosure, because there is the increasing evidence of just plain fly by night, you know. You ought to see some of the things. Send your money. We'll get you the lobbyist. Then it turns out there

Ì

is no lobbyist.

2

Senator Packwood. Were these people asked to initially come in and meet with staff or chat before they were asked to testify?

3

Senator Moynihan. I don't know.

5

Senator Packwood. The reason I asked is I am told that is the way

6

the Government Operations Committee - I am told that the Government

7

Operations Committee goes through this subpoena issue quite often or the

8

problem of it, and that normally if they are thinking about subpoenaing

9

somebody they will ask the person to come in first, and the staff will

10

chat with them, and they often get what they need. And they do not go

11

the subpoena route. And I am curious if that was done here.

12

Senator Moynihan. Is it all right if Mr. Lopez comes down to join

13

Mr. Humphreys. Yes. Certainly.

15

14

Mr. Lopez. We have had several telephone conversations with

16

representatives from these organizations about these questins, but rhey

17

have not physically come into the office to meet with us.

18

to make it clear, any subpoena must be issued by the Chairman. Is that

Senator Moynihan. May I say that we do not intend -- first of all,

19 20

right Mr. Humphreys?

the way the Committee rules read.

you, Mr. Humphreys?

21

Mr. Humphreys. Yes. The motion that would be before the Committee

22

would be to authorize the Chairman of the Committee or another member of

23

the Committee designated by the Chairman to issue the subpoena. This is

24

Senator Moynihan. A specific subpoena. Not any subpoena, but just a

25

Moffitt Reporting Associates

(301) 350-2223

forth

forthright specific subpoena.

Mr. Humphreys. Yes. The motion names specific individuals and names what could be included in the subpoena.

Senator Moynihan. And our purpose, Mr. Chairman, is to let people know we do have the power. It is back there. And I would like to cooperate and see what we learn. And I don't know whether we will learn a lot or not. I tell you, some of the mailings I see will chill your blood, but they may just be part of —

Senator Packwood. I would be very frank. I think you could include in this net most of the campaign committees of both parties in terms of the kinds of mail that they send out.

Senator Moynihan. Of course, we are only interested in Social Security.

Senator Packwood. I understand that. But the mail is of equal fright and misleading on both sides as to civilization.

Senator Moynihan. We have in mind profit making enterprises. That is what we have in mind, profit making enterprises, and things like selling the list. And we have reason to think—and I think it is the testimony of this Committee—that the National Committee to Save Social Security and Medicaid began as a profit making activity of a mail order house in California. They just made money mailing things and they looked around for things you might mail out.

Senator Danforth. Can I just make a suggestion? We could check out the background of the Landis Foundation, of the Post Office, and Social Security version, and start out with Poosevelt. And then if it is

necessary to issue subpoenas to other organizations or to other individuals because they refuse to testify do so. I mean that might be one approach.

Senator Moynihan. That is exactly our approach. And we have to come back to this Committee and explain why. And if we do we would expect to see it in that regard in February.

Senator Danforth. But I thought we were voting today on whether to issue subpoenss to these designated organizations.

Senator Moynihan. This gives us the power to do. This is explaining why. No issues follow that. We have to go back to this whole procedure again.

Senator Rockefeller. What I think what Jack is suggesting is that you say that if the power is granted then it will not be exercised until after we have heard from Roosevelt and built some predicate.

Senator Moynihan. Yes, exactly.

Senator Packwood. It sounds like you are saying more than that though. Don't give the authority to issue them until you have had the first hearing.

Senator Danforth. Yes. I just thought that we might do one step at a time, because it does, coming from a Senate committee, have an accusatory ring to it if subpoenas are issued. I don't mind doing that if there are people who are really guilty of bilking the elderly. I think we should. I think this is a very promising inquiry. But what I am saying is that maybe we should find out what we could get without a subpoena, and who would testify without it, and then ask those organizations that

we think we need the evidence from to come and testify, and if they refuse issue subpoenas to them.

Senator Moynihan. All this does is put people on a list or something that says you could be subpoenaed. Nobody is subpoenaed by this action at all. We have to go back through this procedure again. And perhaps it may be we never will. I would hope we never will if we have to just get the start.

Senator Danforth. We have to meet twice just to issue a subpoena?

Senator Moynihan. Yes. Next February we might say, well, we really tried to get these people to testify —

Senator Danforth. What are we voting on then? What is the precise issue?

Mr. Frankel. This motion, as drafted, would authorize—not require, but authorize—the Chairman to issue subpoenas without further action by the Committee. The Committee could request that there be consultation before that action was actually taken. But as drafted, this would authorize the Chairman to issue subpoenas to the individuals.

Senator Moynihan. I would then like to amend it to say that the Committee should be consulted by the Chairman before he does so because we don't want to do anything that would not be --

Senator Packwood. Could we say that the Committee will meet again and vote before we authorize the Chairman to issue them?

Senator Moynihan. Yes.

Mr. Frankel. If that were the Committee's inclination I would suggest that perhaps the motion the Committee would want to act on today

would be a motion that instead of authorizing with conditions, indicated that the Committee would meet at a later time to consider the issurance of subpoenas to individuals who have not accepted the invitation of the Committee to testify.

Senator Moynihan. That's fine.

Senator Chafee. Well now I am not sure of exactly what we are doing. Let me just say, Mr. Chairman, I am uneasy at this procedure. Like you, I have been on the Committee I don't know how many years, but this is the first time I ever recall issuing subpoenas. And it goes beyond the individuals. If you will note on this motion offered by Senator Moynihan, it talks about the amount spent on lobbying efforts, the receipts from the mailings, tax returns, contracts. It is pretty broad. And I subscribe to the suggestion made by Senator Danforth that you start with the individuals, and then if there are problems, follow on, talk to us again. But I personally would be very reluctant to give power, or whatever vote I have got, for these broader —

Senator Moynihan. Not to keep you another five minutes, but we have got a proposal from Mr. Frankel, an amendment, that says the Committee indicates that we have our eye on these five people, and if they do not cooperate we will meet again to consider whether they should be subpoensed.

Senator Rockefeller. So there would be a second chance, John. And all that would do would be to lend more power out there, simply saying that this is out there in the wind. But it could not be exercised without the Committee's approval.

Senator Moynihan. By doing it like that. Fine. 2 Senator Danforth. If you want to do it, I would support it, Pat, 3 but I think that that is a very weak thing to do. Senator Moynihan. Well I would rather do more, but I am 5 responding to you. 6 Senator Danforth. Yes. But I mean I wonder whether you wanted to 7 just proceed with a hearing, bring in the witnesses that you do not 8 need subpoenas for, let the events unfold, and then determine what 9 action is necessary. I am for whatever you want to do, but that would 10 just be my suggestion. 11 Senator Moynihan. Maybe that is best. 12 Senator Roth. May I make a comment, Mr. Chairman. 13 Senator Moynihan. Yes, sir. 14 Senator Roth. What Jack Danforth has mentioned as the typical 15 approach. I mean we usually call the people in and then talk to them And then if it is necessary to get a subpoena afterwards --16 Mr. Lopez. Well, Senator Roth, these witnesses are the ones who 17 have refused to come in. We scheduled this hearing --18 Senator Roth. Well I would like to go back to the line that 19 Senator Danforth raised. I would like to know who all was asked. 20 Senator Packwood. And they refused to come in even for a meeting? 21 Mr. Lopez. Yes. The hearing was scheduled for Monday, 22 November 20th. They were sent letters of written invitations from 23 senator Moynihan, as Chairman of the Subcommittee, in early November, 24 and the only group that responded favorably to the invitation was the 25

National Committee -

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Senator Packwood. No. You don't hear my question. Have you asked to meet with them privately first?

Mr. Lopez. We have had numerous telephone conversations with them, and we have offered to provide all the information they would like. They have indicated that - one of them have said he would not provide any information or come to the hearing without a subcoena. And others have said ---

Senator Packwood. Mr.Chairman, I am not talking about a hearing. Do you hear what I am saying?

Senator Moynihan. He said they offered. The answer is no, I think. Senator Packwood. All right.

Senator Roth. Mr. Chairman, if I could just follow through on my question. I would like to know who the full list was that we invited, because we looked into this sometime ago, and there are a number of smaller organizations that I don't see included. So before I voted for any such power, I would like to know what the total list of who we invited is.

Senator Moynihan. I will tell you what we will do. Senator Heinz wants to speak.

Senator Heinz. Mr. Chairman, I have a question. In the motion we mention a number of specifics involving the receipts, the amounts spent on lobbying efforts, and so forth. The list is in the motion.

Are those witnesses who are coming on Monday going to bring all those documents with them?

Senator Moynihan. I met with Mrs. McPhee last night and did not say that I wanted her documents, but we are going to ask you how you got started. And you say you have changed. We would like to ask you how have you changed? Why did you change? We are going to ask you what you do with your money and how you divide it.

I will tell you what. I guess I am a little disturbed myself. When I see this list it looks too Republican.

Senator Packwood. Yes, it does.

Senator Moynihan. And I don't want to ask this Committee to be a bunch of Republicans. Let me go through this hearing, let me maybe go through yet another hearing, and come to you with what may be a longer list and —

Senator Heinz. Mr. Chairman, I wouldn't want to leave it at that because my objection is not that the list is Republican or Democrat or nonpartisan or bipartisan. My question is equal that we have — that the Committee have a consistent standard for the acquisition of information from the witnesses.

Senator Moynihan. In fact, we should --

Senator Heinz. And based on what the Chairman says, I am not sure that there is a consistent standard in mind at this time.

Senator Moynihan. Before you leave, one bit of information. On the day before it looked like we would not extend the debt ceiling, Social Security got 820,000 telephone calls. That is how much anxiety there is out there.

Senator Danforth. I think you have got a good point. And I think

Moffitt Reporting Associates

(301) 350-2223

1	it is an outrage that these people are bilking the public. And the
2	question is really how to proceed.
· 3	Senator Moynihan. Thank you very much.
4	Senator Roth. Pat, could I make one suggestion?
5	Senator Moynihan. Yes, sir.
6	Senator Roth. Dan Renzo, who is my staff director of PSI, and
7	Eleanor Hill, who is with Sam Nunn, I am sure they would be glad to
8	work
9	Senator Moynihan. Give you a feeling on how this is done?
10	Senator Roth. Yes.
11	Senator Moynihan. Good.
12	(Whereupon, at 11:05 a.m., the meeting was concluded.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 \	
20	
21	
22	
23	

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing proceedings of an Executive Committee Meeting of the United States Senate Committee on Finance, held on November 17, 1989, were transcribed as herein appears and that this is the original transcript thereof.

Official Court Reporter

My Commission expires April 14, 1994.