1	EXECUTIVE SESSION
2	MONDAY, JULY 29, 1985
3	U.S. Senate
4	Committee on Finance
5	Washington, D.C.
6	The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 9:16 a.m.
7	in room SD-215, Dirksen Senate Office Building, the
8	Honorable Robert Packwood (chairman) presiding.
9	Present: Senators Packwood, Danforth, and Heinz.
10	Also Present: The Honorable Roger Mentz, Deputy
11	Assistant Secretary for Tax Policy, Department of the
12	Treasury; William Diefenderfer, Chief of Staff; Michael
13	Stern, Minority Staff Director; John Colvin, Chief
14	Counsel; David Brockway, Chief of Staff; Joint Committee
15	on Taxation; Leonard Santos, Committee on International
16	Trade; James Berg, Department of State; Bill Wilkins,
17	Minority Tax Counsel; and Jeff Lang, Minority Trade Counsel
18	(The press release announcing the hearing follows:)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Chairman. That's fine.

The Chairman. I will say, again, this bill is reported out today whether we report it out or not. We have it on a one-day referral. Am I correct, Bill?

Mr. Diefenderfer. That's correct.

The Chairman. We have it on a one-day referral. And whether we vote it out or don't vote it out, it is going out. There are some changes that I think we should make in the tax provisions alone. Many of those the Treasury agrees with. One or two, I don't know if they agree with, although we will find out as I ask some questions now.

I might ask Senator Danforth if he has any questions before I make some suggestions.

Senator Danforth. No, Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. All right.

One, on the trade provision. And, Mr. Secretary, you let me know one way or another. I would do it as the Ways and Means Committee did, but with a 15 percent U.S. origin rule.

Mr. Mentz. Mr. Chairman, on the trade provisions, I would like to call on my colleague, Jim Berg, from the State Department.

Would that be all right?

Mr. Mentz. I would seek to address just the tax provision.

The Chairman. All right.

Mr. Berg. Mr. Chairman, my name is James Berg. I'm a Department of State officer.

The Compact of Free Association contains a provision called "headnote 3(a)" treatment which allows for the duty-free entry of certains into the United States. That has been changed in the House-passed version to essentially generalized schedule of preferences with a 15 percent value-added provision for U.S. inputs into goods that eventually come into the United States.

We would strongly urge that the committee look at the Compact in the manner that it was first submitted by the President on March 30th of last year and February 20th of this year. We do want to stand by the provision of headnote 3(a) treatment for the Freely Associated States, even in light of the change that was made in the House. And we hope that this committee would support us in that.

The Chairman. Do you want to explain -- either you or John -- the difference that was made in the trade provisions between the bill as it went into the House and as it came out?

Mr. Colvin. Mr. Chairman, as the bill was proposed by the Administration, Micronesia and the Marshall Islands would

25

be effectively exempt from tariffs so long as 30 percent of the value of products from there originated in Micronesia or the Marshall Islands.

As it came out of the House, they would be effectively exempt if 35 percent of the value was added in Micronesia or the Marshall Islands.

The Chairman. What was the 15 percent about U.S. origin?

Mr. Colvin. Also in the House provision, 15 percent of the 35 percent could have come from the United States. In other words --

The Chairman. Which is a liberalization.

Mr. Colvin. Yes, sir.

And what you proposed just a moment ago would be like the House did it because it would include the 15 percent rule.

The Chairman. Well, I would suggest we adopt what the House did, which was a slight change from what the Ways and Means Committee reported. Any comments?

(No response)

The Chairman. Let's move on then to the -- we can come back to this.

Senator Heinz. Mr. Chairman, a question.

The Chairman. Go ahead.

Senator Heinz. Are you proposing legislation identical

Moffitt Reporting Associates Falls Church, Virginia 22046

to what the House did?

The Chairman. On this particular provision, this is like the Ways and Means report, but we had a 15 percent U.S. origin rule. And that's as far as we have gotten at the moment. We haven't gotten into the tax provisions yet.

Senator Heinz. All right. Thank you.

The Chairman. Let's move on, then, to the tax provisions, the Ways and Means anti-abuse provisions that were agreed to by the House on Section 253 and 254(a).

John, do you and Mr. Brockway want to go over those?

Mr. Colvin. On those points, the Ways and Means

Committee and the House of Representatives included identical provisions. Those were Sections 253 and 254(a).

The Chairman. I would move we adopt those provisions as were agreed to by the House and Ways and Means Committee.

Mr. Berg, I think we are done with trade so you can be excused.

Let's move on to Section 911.

We will move on to 911 as it applied to Micronesia and the Marshall Islands.

Mr. Colvin. The Ways and Means Committee did not recommend extending Section 911 to Americans employed in Micronesia and the Marshall Islands. But under the House of Representatives adopted provision, 911 would be extended.

The Chairman. This is the overseas, \$80,000.00?

Mr. Colvin. That's right.

_

The Chairman. I think it would be very wise. That's something we have fought over for a long time in this committee. I know Senator Chafee has a great interest in it, and I think it would be unwise to treat the Micronesians different from that standpoint. I mean Americans working there than it would any other place.

Mr. Mentz. And certainly the Treasury Department would agree with that. We agree with the House version and objected to the position of the Ways and Means Committee in that respect.

The Chairman. Let's move on, then, to the business incentives. These are Section 255 -- and there I would follow the Ways and Means Committee with the following exceptions. They have a negotiation procedure agreed to by the House, if we change, as I recall, Section 936. Is that right?

Mr. Colvin. That's right.

The Chairman. Nine thirty-six, Jack, is that the Puerto Rican argument that we are having about the businesses that move there?

Mr. Colvin. That's right.

The Chairman. The House had a provision, and correct me if I am wrong, Mr. Secretary or Mr. Brockway or John -- they had a provision extending 936, but if we changed the

law, then they had some arbitration procedure as it would apply to Micronesia. Am I correct?

Mr. Colvin. That's right.

The Chairman. And I have no objection, except I would like to have the Treasury Department be one -- there's a three-person arbitration board. Micronesia has one. We have one. And they jointly agree upon the third. I would like, because this is solely a tax matter, for the U.S. representative to be from the Department of the Treasury.

Mr. Mentz. Well, we would certainly agree with that. (Laughter)

Mr. Mentz. We would like to have them all from the Treasury Department.

(Laughter)

The Chairman. And I would drop the authorization for appropriations. This bothers me. And I think it would bother the Appropriations Committee also. It's an automatic change which would say if we change 936 and change it for Puerto Rico and Micronesia, you have this negotiating board and they would be authorized to direct appropriations to make up for, I assume, whatever the arbitration would find is the loss derivative from changing 936. Do I understand it roughly correctly?

Mr. Brockway. That's basically the idea, Mr. Chairman.

_

The Chairman. We may end up making an appropriation like that, but I'm not sure I want to write it into the law giving the arbitration board the power to reach that conclusion.

Now we had a provision in the House relating to tax-exempt bonds, depreciation investment tax credit, charitable donations and tax-haven incomes and possessions corporations, 5 points. The Ways and Means Committee applied to the five items listed at the left. What did the Ways and Means Committee do specifically, John? I know that the House took out the first four tax-exempt bonds, depreciation, charitable donations and tax-haven income.

Mr. Colvin. The Ways and Means Committee extended those five benefits to Micronesia and the Marshall Islands. The House dropped all but 936.

The Chairman. Let me say in a nutshell what happened here and I think why Treasury is representing the Administration and feels so strong. As the bill was initially negotiated, you had an extraordinary tax-haven opportunity. As I recall, if you lived there, if you became a Micronesian citizen -- resident, not citizen -- they could determine residency. And that could be whatever short a period, I guess, as the court would tolerate for being residency. They then were not taxed at all on passive income from the United States. Is that right?

Mr. Mentz. That's right under the original Compact.

The Chairman. And if they lived there for 181 days out of a year, they had further favorable tax advantages or were a resident there. I'm not going to get into an argument about living there, but were a resident there for 181 days. And it is these provisions that the Treasury felt very strongly about, and I haven't had a chance to talk with Mr. Brockway in the Joint Committees, but clearly we were giving, unless I misread it, a tax benefit to Micronesia that we extend to no other insular possession of the United States or any other possession of the United States or any other any relationship with.

Mr. Brockway. That's correct, Mr. Chairman. What was provided was a more generous regime than any other foreign jurisdiction or any other possession of the United States, the combination of benefits under the Compact as negotiated.

The Chairman. Jack, any comments as we are going along?

Senator Danforth. No comments, Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. And I think we want to clarify that Micronesia and the Marshall Islands remain eligible for inclusion in the North American area for purposes of foreign convention rules.

Mr. Colvin. That becomes ambiguous under the House

version, and if you clarified that, it would avoid any problems from arising there in the future.

The Chairman. It just simply means that you can hold conventions there on the same basis that you can hold it in North America.

Mr. Colvin. That's right. They currently receive that treatment, and it would continue it for them.

The Chairman. Mr. Secretary, John and Norm, what other points are we missing?

Mr. Mentz. Well, we have got the exchange of information provision in the House and Ways and Means Committee bill. I assume that you would agree to include that.

Mr. Colvin. My understanding is that that would have been covered because a moment ago the Chairman said that he would follow the Ways and Means Committee except with a few modifications. So that would mean there would be no one-year guarantee of benefits and it would mean the exchange of information would be extended to Micronesia and the Marshall Islands.

Mr. Mentz. Now on the one-year guarantee of benefits, let me just address that for a moment, Mr. Chairman. As a matter of pure tax policy, it is hard to see why if Congress wishes to change 936, it should have a different effective date for any one area of the world than anywhere else. And

so purely tax policy, one would think that a one-year delay in effective dates for Micronesia doesn't seem to make much sense.

On the other hand, there is in the Compact this concept of working out substantially equivalent benefits if 936 is changed to the detriment of Micronesia. And the reason that the one year is in there is to try and provide some time period to determine what the substantially identical equivalent benefits are. That is the purpose for it. I guess considering that purpose, Treasury doesn't have a strong view that it's a bad idea.

The Chairman. Mr. Brockway, John, what are your views?

Mr. Colvin. I believe that the one-year guarantee

would extend special treatment to a particular beneficiary

and that Congress should make the decision of who should

benefit through 936, and it should not be done automatically.

Mr. Brockway. From a purely tax standpoint, it's hard to see why the Freely Associated States should have a more preferable treatment than you are providing the other possessions of the United States. And if you simply go without the one year, they will be receiving the same treatment, as you amend the law from time to time affecting possessions.

The Chairman. Any comments?

Senator Danforth. No comments.

Moffitt Reporting Associates
Falls Church, Virginia 22046

1 The Chairman. Without objection, we will adopt it. 2 We have taken care of 253(b), 254(b). 3 Mr. Brockway. Mr. Chairman, you have one last item 4 on the last page, the study that would be provided for in 5 the House bill of a study of the provisions. 6 The Chairman. Oh, yes. 7 Mr. Colvin. That was in the Ways and Means Committee 8 provision and also in the House provisions. 9 The Chairman. And I would move we adopt the same 10 study provision. 11 Is there anything else? 12 Senator Danforth. Mr. Chairman, it is my 13 understanding -- well, correct me if I am wrong -- I believe 14 we have adopted the House bill. Is that correct? 15 The Chairman. Not quite. We made some slight changes, 16 didn't we, from the provisions on the floor of the House? 17 Mr. Mentz. Well, certainly the one-year change is a 18 departure from the House bill. 19 The Chairman. That's correct. Excuse me. We made 20 some slight changes from Ways and Means. Where we made the 21 changes, we adopted what was on the House floor. 22 With the exception of the one year. Mr. Brockway. 23 The Chairman. With the exception of the one year. 24 Senator Danforth. That's the sole exception? 25 Mr. Colvin. Senator Danforth, there are a couple of

> Moffitt Reporting Associates Falls Church, Virginia 22046

other differences.

The Chairman. And the Treasury on the board.

Mr. Colvin. Treasury on the board, and the conventions clarification. And the fourth point is the bill as passed by the House of Representatives contained a provision affecting tuna. And this provision has not been approved yet by the committee.

The Chairman. Is that the canned tuna limitation?

Mr. Colvin. That's right. The Ways and Means

Committee had not given protection to tuna, but the House of Representatives did. And in that respect, so far this — the decisions this morning have followed the Ways and Means

Committee; not the House of Representatives.

Mr. Mentz. Although, Mr. Chairman, the additional tax benefits -- tax-exempt bonds, depreciation, investment credit and so forth -- that were in the Ways and Means bill but not in the House bill, my understanding was that you were going with the House version in that respect.

The Chairman. That's correct.

I think we ought to leave in, this is my personal opinion, the tuna provisions as they are in the House bill.

Mr. Colvin. As in the House bill?

The Chairman. Yes.

Mr. Colvin. I'm not aware of any other substantive issues.

Moffitt Reporting Associates
Fulls Church, Virginia 22046

Mr. Wilkins. No, Mr. Chairman.

3

2

Senator Danforth. Mr. Chairman, on the tuna

4

5

question, traditionally because there is a conflict of interest, I don't take a position one way or another on

The Chairman. Mr. Wilkins, any comments?

6

that.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Chairman. The record will show you absented yourself from the room.

Senator Danforth. The version of this bill that was reported out of the Energy Committee was criticized from both tax and trade standpoints. It was viewed as really providing a tax haven, and it was also viewed as conferring on these islands all the benefits of being part of the United States, in essence, in international trade and none of the responsibilities and liabilities. It was my impression that the House bill pretty well took care of these two major problems. And I just wanted to know if it was the collective view of the people at the desk whether the bill that we are about to report out of the Finance Committee takes care of the trade and the tax problems which were in the Energy Committee.

Mr. Mentz. That is certainly the view of Treasury, Senator Danforth.

Senator Danforth. And the Administration would support this version over what the Energy Committee did?

Mr. Mentz. Well, the version that came out of
Interior ended up getting compromised so that what was
ultimately adopted by the House was acceptable to the
Administration. Now on the Senate side what you propose
to do is acceptable. Yes, sir.

The Chairman. Any further comments?
(No response)

The Chairman. If not, the committee will stay in session until 1:00 to record votes, at which time we will report the bill out. Or if we haven't, we will be discharged of the bill with or without our consent.

Mr. Colvin. Mr. Chairman, you would order the bill favorably reported with amendments?

The Chairman. That is correct.

Mr. Colvin. There would not be a report filed?

The Chairman. There will not be a report filed so that, hopefully, we can finish it on the floor this week.

We are adjourned.

(Whereupon, at 10:33 a.m., the Executive Session was concluded.)

BOB PACKWOOD, OREGON, CHAIRMAN

BOB DOLE, KANSAS WILLIAM V, ROTH, JR., DELAWARE JOHN C. DANFORTH, MISSOURI JOHN H. CHAFEE, RHOOE ISLAND JOHN HEINZ, PENNSYLVANIA MALCOLM WALLOP, WYOMING DAVID DURENBERGER, MINNESOTA WILLIAM L. ARMSTRONG, COLORADO GEORGE J. MITCHELL, MAINE STEVEN D. SYMMS, IDAHO CHARLES E. GRASSLEY, IOWA

RUSSELL B. LONG, LOUISIANA HUSSELL B. LUNG, LOUISIANA LLOYD BENTSEN, TEXAS SPARK M. MATSUNAGA, HAWAII DANIEL PATRICK MOYNIHAN, NEW YORK MAX BAUCUS, MONTANA DAVID L. BOREN, OKLAHOMA BILL BRADLEY, NEW JERSEY MR PACKWOOD - CHAIRMAY, MR DANFORT MR HRINZ

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE WASHINGTON, DC 20510

WILLIAM DIEFENDERFER, CHIEF OF STAFF MICHAEL STERN, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR

July 29, 1985

MEMO

FROM:

TAX STAFF

TO:

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

SUBJECT:

HEARING AND EXECUTIVE SESSION TO

CONSIDER COMPACT OF FREE ASSOCIATION

At 10:00 a.m. on Monday, July 29, in SD-215, the Senate Finance Committee will hold a hearing on Title Two, Articles IV and V of S.J. Res. 77 and H.J. Res. The Compact of 187, the Compact of Free Association. Free Association defines the relationship between the United States and the Governments of the Marshall Islands and Micronesia.

The Committee will meet in Executive Session on Monday following the hearing.

BACKGROUND

The Republic of the Marshall Islands and the Federated States of Micronesia are two of the four governments in the Trust Territory of the Pacific The Trust Territory was created in 1947 by an Islands. international agreement sponsored by the United Nations. The United States does not claim sovereignty over these territories under the agreement.

The Compact of Free Association is designed to govern the relationship between the United States an these two governments in the post-trustee period. These governments are called "Freely Associated States" (FAS) under the Compact.

The Compact was negotiated by the Administration, and transmitted to the 98th Congress. Action was not completed on the Compact at the end of the 98th Congress. S.J. Res. 77 was introduced in the Senate by Senator McClure at the request of the Administration on March 7, 1985, and reported by the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources on March 22, 1985.

Economic relations between the United States and the Freely Associated States are defined in Title II of the Compact. Articles IV and V of that Title contain trade and tax provisions. These provisions, which are the subject of the hearing, are described in the attached chart. The chart shows:

- 1. The Compact as proposed by the Administration
- The Compact as amended by the Ways and Means Committee

3. The Compact as passed by the House of Representatives.

This Compact was reviewed by numerous Committees in the House of Representatives, and major changes to the trade and tax provisions were made by the Ways and Means Committee.

Prepared by the Staff of the Committee on Finance July 28, 1985

COMPACT OF FREE ASSOCIATION

Proposed Compact

As Reported By House Ways and Means Committee

As Approved By House of Representatives

STATUS

S.J. Res. 77 introduced March 7, 1985; reported by Senate Energy Committee on March 22, 1985

Reported July 20, 1985

Passed House on July 25, 1985

SECTION 242 -- TRADE PROVISIONS

Would extend tarriff treatment to imports from FAS which is identical to that now provided U.S. possessions.

Duty-free entry permitted if at least 30 percent of the import is of FAS origin. Current law treatment of FAS would continue (treated as imports from foreign countries which may be designated to receive duty-free treatment on eligible articles under the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP)).

GSP duty-free if at least 35 percent of the appraised value of the imported articles represents costs of materials produced in FAS plus the direct costs of processing operations.

Same as Ways and Means with following exceptions:

In meeting 35
percent minimum
local content
required under GSP,
U.S. materials up to
15% may be counted;
in addition to GSP
statutory
exclusions of
textiles, watches,
footware, etc.,
canned tuna would be
ineligible for dutyfree entry.

SECTION 252 -- FAS TAXATION OF U.S. PERSONS

Allows FAS to tax U.S. persons on income earned in or products consumed in FAS.

Proposed Compact

No change.

No change.

SECTION 253 -- EXEMPTION FROM U.S. TAX ON PASSIVE INCOME AND ESTATE AND GIFT TAX

- (a) Exempts FAS
 citizens domiciled in FAS
 from U.S. tax
 on U.S. source
 passive income.
- (a) Overrides this provision. (Applies general rule that U.S. can tax any U.S. source passive income.)
- (a) Same as Ways and Means.

- (b) Exempts FAS
 citizens domiciled in FAS
 from U.S.
 estate, gift
 and generationskipping taxes.
- (b) Overrides this provisions for U.S. Citizens and U.S. residents. (This applies general rule that U.S. can impose transfer taxes on worldwide assets of U.S. citizens and residents).
- (b) Same as Ways and Means

SECTION 254 -- EXEMPTION FROM U.S. TAX FOR CERTAIN INCOME TAXED BY FAS

- (a) FAS may tax income that residents receive from sources outside FAS.
- (a) No change.

(a) No change.

- (b) Resident of
 FAS is exempt
 from U.S. tax on
 income taxed by
 FAS. Resident
 is any person
 physically
 present in FAS
 for 183 days
 during a year.
- (b) Override this provision. Consistent with rules applicable to U.S. possessions, no exclusion for foreign earned income (Sec. 911).
- (b) Same as Ways and Means except the Section 911 exclusion applies.

SECTION 255 -- BUSINESS INVESTMENT INCENTIVES

Various business investment incentives of Code that applied to possessions as of January 1, 1980 would apply to FAS. The business investment incentives of Code that apply to possessions as they change from time to time would apply to FAS. FAS and U.S must agree to exchange tax information by January 1, 1987. To extent incentives are reduced in the future, appropriations authorized to FAS.

Tax-exchange information same as Ways and Means; for other issues, see below.

SECTION 255 -- AREAS AFFECTED

Applies to:

- (1) Tax-exempt bonds
- (2) Depreciation/
- (3) Charitable Donations
- (4) Tax Haven Income
- (5) Possessions Corporations (Sec. 936)

Applies to five items listed at left.

Does not apply to items 1-4 listed at left; applies to possessions corporations (Sec. 936) as it changes from time to time (see below).

Proposed Compact

As Reported By House Ways and Means Committee

As Approved By House of Representatives

GUARANTEE OF BENEFITS

Tax benefits to continue for two years after Compact's date of enactment, with agreement to extend similar benefits beyond two years.

No guarantees for tax benefits, but appropriations are authorized to compensate FAS if tax benefits are cut.

No guarantee, except for Sec. 936. For Sec. 936, current rules apply with guarantee of continuation of benefits for one year past date of enactment of any Sec. 936 change, and with mandatory negotiation for extension of benefits, subject to implementing legislation.

EFFECTIVE DATE

Income earned and transactions occurring on or after October 1, 1985.

No change.

No change.

STUDY

No provision.

Treasury study on the effect of the tax provisions.

Same as Ways and Means.

*** 99TH CONGRESS ***

ALL

H.J.RES.187

DATE SUBMITTED: 03/07/85

SPONSOR: Fascell (BY REQUEST)

CALENDAR NO: U 148 H.REPT.99-188

H.REPT.99-188 H.REPT.99-188 H.REPT.99-188

REFERRED TO: House Foreign Affairs

House Interior and Insular Affairs

House Armed Services

House Merchant Marine and Fisheries

House Ways and Means

House Judiciary

REPORTED BY: House Foreign Affairs

House Interior and Insular Affairs House Merchant Marine and Fisheries

House Ways and Means

(CROSS REFERENCE BILLS EXIST)

PAGE 1 OF 6. READY FOR COMMAND, OPTION OR PG #(FOR NXT PG, RETURN):

H.J.RES.187 (LG99) continued:

COSPONSOR(S): CURRENT (5)

Udall; Seiberling; Solarz; Lagomarsino; Blaz (A-07/25/85):

LATEST OFFICIAL TITLE:

OFFICIAL TITLE AS INTRODUCED AS OF 03/08/85:

A joint resolution to approve the "COMPACT OF FREE ASSOCIATION", and for other purposes.

CROSS REFERENCE BILLS:

S.J.RES.77

LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS:

Jul 24, 85 Committee on Rules Granted a Modified Closed Rule Providing Three Hours of General Debate.

Mar 7, 85 Referred to House Committee on Foreign Affairs.

Apr 3, 85 Referred to Subcommittee on Asian and Pacific Affairs.

May 2, 85 Subcommittee Consideration and Mark-up Session Held.

May 2, 85 Forwarded by Subcommittee to Full Committee (Amended).

May 14, 85 Committee Consideration and Mark-up Session Held. (May 15, 85).

May 15, 85 Ordered to be Reported (Amended).

ALL, PAGE 2 OF 6. READY FOR COMMAND, OPTION OR PG #(FOR NXT PG, RETURN):

H.J.RES.187 (LG99) continued:

Jul 1, 85 Reported to House (Amended) by House Committee on Foreign Affairs. Report No: 99-188 (Part I).

Mar 7, 85 Referred to House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

Mar 21, 85 Referred to Subcommittee on Public Lands.

Jun 5, 85 Subcommittee Consideration and Mark-up Session Held.

Jun 5, 85 Forwarded by Subcommittee to Full Committee (Amended).

Jun 11, 85 Executive Comment Received From State.

Jun 19, 85 Committee Consideration and Mark-up Session Held. (Jun 26, 85).

Jun 26, 85 Ordered to be Reported (Amended).

Jul 15, 85 Reported to House (Amended) by House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs. Report No: 99-188 (Part II).

Jul 15, 85 Referred to House Committee on Armed Services Sequentially, for a Period Ending not Later than Jul 19, 85.

Jul 15, 85 Referred to House Committee on The Judiciary Sequentially, for a Period Ending not Later than Jul 19, 85.

Jul 17, 85 Referred to Subcommittee on Immigration, Refugees, and International Law.

Jul 18, 85 Subcommittee Hearings Held.

- H.J.RES.187 (LG99) continued:
- Jul 15, 85 Referred to House Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries Sequentially, for a Period Ending not Later than Jul 19, 85.
 - Jul 18, 85 Committee Consideration and Mark-up Session Held.
 - Jul 18, 85 Ordered to be Reported (Amended).
 - Jul 19, 85 Reported to House (Amended) by House Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries. Report No: 99-188 (Part III).
- Jul 15, 85 Referred to House Committee on Ways and Means Sequentially, for a Period Ending not Later than Jul 19, 85.
 - Jul 18, 85 Committee Consideration and Mark-up Session Held.
 - Jul 18, 85 Ordered to be Reported (Amended).
 - Jul 22, 85 Reported to House (Amended) by House Committee on Ways and Means. Report No: 99-188 (Part IV).
- Jul 18, 85 House Committee on Ways and Means Granted an Extension for Further Consideration Ending not Later Than July 22, 1985.
- Jul 19, 85 House Committee on The Judiciary Discharged by Motion.
- Jul 19, 85 House Committee on Armed Services Discharged by Motion.
- Jul 22, 85 Placed on Union Calendar No: 148.
- Jul 24, 85 Rules Committee Resolution H.Res. 235 Reported to House.
- Jul 25, 85 Rule Passed House.
- ALL, PAGE 4 OF 6. READY FOR COMMAND, OPTION OR PG #(FOR NXT PG, RETURN):
- H.J.RES.187 (LG99) continued:
- Jul 25, 85 House Agreed to Amendments Adopted by the Committee of the Whole.
- Jul 25, 85 Amendment HA 384 Offered by Representative Rostenkowski.
- Jul 25, 85 Amendment HA 384 Passed in Committee of the Whole by Voice Vote.
- Jul 25, 85 Passed House (Amended) by Yea-Nay Vote: 360 12 (Record Vote No: 261).

ABSTRACT:

Grants congressional approval of the COMPACT OF FREE ASSOCIATION between the United States, the Federated States of Micronesia, and the Marshall Islands. AMENDMENTS PROPOSED:

H.AMDT.NO.384 DATE: 07/25/85 PROPOSED BY: Rostenkowski DIGEST:

Grants congressional approval of the COMPACT OF FREE ASSOCIATION between the United States, the Federated States of Micronesia, and the Marshall Islands. Declares that the defense sites of the United States established in the Marshall Islands or the Federated States of Micronesia in accordance with such COMPACT and its related agreements are within the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States.

ALL, PAGE 5 OF 6. READY FOR COMMAND, OPTION OR PG #(FOR NXT PG, RETURN): H.J.RES.187 (LG99) continued:

Provides that upon the effective date of the COMPACT OF FREE ASSOCIATION the laws of the United States generally applicable to the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands shall continue to apply to the Republic of Palau. Makes the Republic of Palau eligible for such proportion of Federal assistance as it would otherwise have been eligible to receive under such laws prior to the effective date of the COMPACT.

INDEX TERMS:

TERRITORIES AND POSSESSIONS OF THE U.S./MILITARY BASE AGREEMENTS/TREATIES--PALAU/TREATIES--TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC IS/TREATIES--MICRONESIA/TREATIES--MARSHALL ISLANDS/TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS/MARSHALL ISLANDS/ COMPACT OF FREE ASSOCIATION /MICRONESIA/REPUBLIC OF PALAU/ELIGIBILITY FOR ASSISTANCE/TREATIES AND INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS/DEVELOPING COUNTRIES/MICRONESIA AND MARSHALL ISLANDS

ALL, PAGE 6 OF 6. READY FOR NEW COMMAND, OPTION OR PG #: