OPEN EXECUTIVE SESSION TO REVIEW AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS
 ON PROPOSED LEGISLATION IMPLEMENTING THE U.S.-KOREA FREE
 TRADE AGREEMENT, THE U.S.-PANAMA TRADE PROMOTION
 AGREEMENT, THE U.S.-COLOMBIA TRADE PROMOTION AGREEMENT,
 AND ASSOCIATED PROPOSED STATEMENTS OF ADMINISTRATIVE
 ACTION

7 THURSDAY, JULY 7, 2011

8 U.S. Senate,

9 Committee on Finance,

10 Washington, DC.

The hearing was convened, pursuant to notice, at
9:07 a.m., in Room 215, Dirksen Senate Office Building,
Hon. Max Baucus (chairman of the committee) presiding.
Present: Senators Rockefeller, Conrad, Bingaman,
Kerry, Wyden, Schumer, Stabenow, Cantwell, Nelson,
Menendez, Carper, Cardin, Hatch, Grassley, Snowe, Crapo,
Roberts, Enzi, Cornyn, Coburn, and Thune.

18 Also present: Demetrios Marantis, Deputy United 19 States Trade Representative, Executive Office of the 20 President; Seth D. Harris, Deputy Secretary of Labor; 21 Gerri Fiala, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Department of 22 Labor; Sandra Polaski, Deputy Undersecretary for 23 International Affairs, Department of Labor; Tom Barthold, 24 Chief of Staff, Joint Committee on Taxation; Josh 25 LeVasseur, Deputy Chief Clerk; and Danielle Edwards, 26 Deputy Clerk. Democratic Staff: Ayesha Khanna, 27 International Trade Counsel; Hun Quach, International

| 1  | Trade Analyst; Mike Smart, International Trade Counsel; |
|----|---|
| 2  | and Chelsea Thomas, Professional Staff. Republican      |
| 3  | Staff: Paul DeLaney, International Trade Counsel; and   |
| 4  | David Johanson, International Trade Counsel.            |
| 5  |   |
| 6  |   |
| 7  |   |
| 8  |   |
| 9  |   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. MAX BAUCUS, A U.S. SENATOR FROM
 MONTANA, CHAIRMAN, COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

3

The Chairman. The hearing will come to order. The Committee meets today to consider draft bills to implement free trade agreements with South Korea, Panama, and Colombia.

8 President Truman once said, "In periods where there 9 is no leadership, society stands still. Progress occurs 10 when the courageous, skillful leaders seize the 11 opportunity to change things for the better."

12 We cannot afford to stand still or delay progress on 13 our grade agenda. Our competitors are gaining ground. 14 U.S. exporters are losing business and opportunities for 15 growth.

While we stand still, the European Union's trade agreement with Korea entered into force last Friday, and Canada's agreement with Colombia will take effect next month. Unless we act, these agreements will send the jobs we need to our competitors.

In these challenging times, the American people need leadership. It is time to work together to move the trade agenda forward. This means renewing trade adjustment assistance and approving the long awaited free trade agreements with South Korea, Colombia, and Panama.

1 This agenda is an opportunity to change our economy 2 for the better and we must seize it. The free trade 3 agreements will improve American competitiveness and 4 create jobs. It will open foreign markets, increase U.S. 5 exports that grow our economy. It is time to act. 6 American farmers, ranchers, workers, and businesses have 7 been waiting far too long.

8 Today, the waiting ends. Today, we deliver on our 9 promise to U.S. workers and exporters. Today, we deliver 10 on our promise to three important trading partners. 11 Korea is a strategic ally bordered by a dangerous and 12 repressive regime. It is also one of the largest 13 economies in the world.

14 The FTA will lower Korean trade barriers on U.S.
15 products, increasing our exports by one-third and cutting
16 our bilateral trade deficit in half.

17 Colombia is the world's comeback story of the 18 decade. Ten years ago, it was nearly a failed state 19 racked by violence and crime. Today, it is a strong and 20 vibrant nation with a growing economy.

21 Panama is the crossroads of global commerce. It is 22 a fast-growing economy that already accounts for our 23 second largest trade surplus in the Western hemisphere.

Approval of our free trade agreements with these important partners has been delayed by several concerns.

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

1 During the past year, we have worked with the

2 Administration to address all of them.

With Korea, we reached an agreement that will allow U.S. automakers to compete fairly and create a market. The Administration made a commitment, at my request, to promote U.S. beef sales in Korea and to consult with Korea on increased market access for American beef.

8 Colombia agreed to implement a labor action plan. 9 This groundbreaking plan commits Colombia to strengthen 10 labor rights, protect workers, and prosecute those who 11 commit violence.

12 And with Panama, we signed a tax transparency 13 agreement, which Panama has already approved and 14 implemented, along with important labor reforms.

15 With these concerns now resolved, it is time for 16 leadership. It is time to seize the opportunity to 17 change things for the better. We cannot afford to stand 18 still.

19 Trade agreements like the ones we are considering 20 today are good for our economy, promote growth, and 21 create jobs, but they also increase competition from 22 imports which can affect the labor market here at home. 23 That is why, after nearly 50 years, we provided 24 workers with trade adjustment assistance, otherwise known

25 as TAA. TAA gives job training, income support and

LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

health coverage tax credits to workers affected by
 foreign trade.

3 As President Kennedy said when the program was 4 created, TAA affords, quote, "time for American 5 initiative, American adaptability and American resiliency 6 to assert themselves," end quote.

Over the years, we have reformed TAA to keep pace with changes in the economy. In 2009, we extended TAA to service industry workers and workers displaced by production shifts to non-FTA countries, like China and India.

We also increased training funds in the health coverage tax credit. But these reforms expired in February.

We made clear that TAA must be extended in tandem with the FTAs. American workers must have the assurance that a TAA program that meets their needs in today's economy will be available when Congress votes on these FTAs.

That is why I worked with Chairman Camp and the White House to reach a strong, bipartisan agreement to extend the TAA. Our agreement restores the 2009 TAA reforms through 2013, while making necessary cuts to reduce the cost of the program in these tough budget times.

1 The provisions of this agreement are included in the 2 Korea FTA bill. The provisions are clearly necessary or 3 appropriate to implement the Korea FTA as required by 4 fast-track rules dating back to 1974.

5 Indeed, there is precedent directly on point. The 6 law implementing NAFTA also included provisions expanding 7 TAA, and the Korea FTA is the largest trade agreement 8 since that 1993 law.

9 I know there are differences of opinion on the 10 process for extending TAA. I have included it in the 11 Korea FTA bill for this markup. That does not foreclose 12 discussion of other options for extending TAA. I remain 13 open to those options; as long as they provide certainty 14 that the bipartisan TAA deal will be enacted in tandem 15 with the FTAS.

So let us not stand still. Let us demonstrate leadership and courage. And remember President Truman's wisdom, seize the opportunity to change things for the better. For the sake of American jobs, farmers, ranchers and businesses, let us approve these free trade agreements.

22 [The prepared statement of Chairman Baucus appears23 in the appendix.]

24 The Chairman. Senator Hatch?

25

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. ORRIN HATCH, A U.S. SENATOR
 FROM UTAH

3

Senator Hatch. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Today, we are meeting to discuss implementing
legislation for our pending trade agreements with
Colombia, Panama, and South Korea.
Despite what you may have read in the papers, I am
really glad to be here. Approval of these free trade
agreements --

11 The Chairman. Well, we are glad you are here, too,12 Senator.

13 Senator Hatch. I am not so sure about that.
14 [Laughter.]

15 The Chairman. You do not know how very happy we 16 are you are here.

17 Senator Hatch. I am glad to hear that. I might 18 just say this. Approval of these three free trade 19 agreements, in my opinion, is critical to helping our 20 economy recover, expand, and generate the jobs we so 21 badly need.

I really have appreciated working with Chairman Baucus and his staff throughout this process. Though we do not always agree and this is sometimes a sticky process, I certainly consider him a friend.

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

I understand that managing this Committee is not an easy job, and completing these trade agreements is a case study in difficulty of getting even popular proposals across the finish line.

5 Today's mock markup is an important step in a long 6 process, one which I hope will lead to a quick 7 presidential submission of the agreements and their 8 approval on the Senate floor.

9 It has been a long time since the Finance Committee 10 has considered trade legislation of this importance. So 11 I think it is useful to remind ourselves about the 12 significance of these mock marks. Although not binding 13 and not required by TPA, the Trade Promotion Authority, 14 the mock markup lies at the heart of the consultative 15 process.

16 It is the first and only opportunity for members to 17 debate and offer amendments. If the Administration and 18 congressional drafters have done their job well, 19 consulted effectively and developed a consensus draft, 20 the mock mark can be relatively quick and 21 noncontroversial. If not, we end up with a broken 22 process.

In my opinion, the process broke down last week.
Rather than consult with the minority about the process,
the Administration chose a different path, laying down a

partisan draft that contained a controversial domestic spending program that is only marginally related, at best, to the underlying implementing bill.

Now, this was done with virtually no notice and no
consultation, providing only 48 hours to review this
highly controversial program, and after the trade
representative told us that he would definitely bring
these trade agreements up before August. And there was
no mention of TAA at that time.

10 The Administration certainly took its sweet time to 11 ponder and deliberate over the fate of these FTAs, 12 holding them back for years, before finally taking the 13 steps to move them forward.

In contrast, they expect the Senate Finance Committee to be content with only hours to scrutinize this domestic spending program. To me, this is a clear double standard. It also shows one of the inherent flaws in the Administration's economic policy--slow to advance real job-creating programs, but quick to spend more taxpayer money.

21 We can do better. Today I will offer an amendment 22 to strike the extraneous TAA provisions from the South 23 Korea bill. To be clear, I support the South Korea 24 implementing bill, and want it to pass. I strongly 25 support it, but I cannot condone this abuse of trade

promotion authority or turn a blind eye to dubious
 domestic spending programs.

If my amendment fails, I will vote against the South Korea agreement, and I suspect many of my colleagues will do the same. This outcome should not come as a surprise, as every member of the Finance Committee joined with me in putting the President on notice that placing the TAA spending program in the South Korea bill was not an acceptable outcome.

10 TPA was not meant to establish a system where you 11 could just load up this trade agreement with anything you 12 want to load it up with.

I cannot understand why these clear signals are being ignored. Putting TAA in the South Korea bill risks support for that agreement and puts its trade promotion authority protections at risk.

17 There is some good news today. After years of 18 delay, the Senate Finance Committee will finally get an 19 opportunity to consider our pending trade agreements with 20 Colombia and Panama. For this, we owe the Chairman of 21 this Committee and his staff our appreciation. Their 22 steadfast support for our good friends and allies has 23 helped us to get where we are today, and I am pleased 24 that he will be joining me in supporting these important 25 agreements.

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

Mr. Chairman, I want to thank you for this opportunity today. I hope we will be able to find a reasonable bipartisan path forward for the South Korea agreement just as we have on our pending free trade agreements with Colombia and Panama. I want to thank you for your courtesy. [The prepared statement of Senator Hatch appears in the appendix.] The Chairman. Thank you, Senator, very much. I deeply appreciate that. Are there any Senators that wish to make opening statements? I presume we will follow the regular order and recognize Senators who wish to speak as they arrived. Under our early-bird rule, that would be Senator Conrad.

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. KENT CONRAD, A U.S. SENATOR
 FROM NORTH DAKOTA

3

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 Senator Conrad. I want 5 to commend you for showing real leadership on these trade 6 agreements. You have worked steadily and patiently and 7 effectively to bring them to us and you should be 8 applauded for it, because these represent a significant 9 step forward for the country and certainly for my State. 10 So I thank you for it.

Each of these agreements will open new markets, help create jobs, and help level the playing field for U.S. exporters. With our economic recovery moving more slowly than I think all of us would hope, these trade agreements should receive strong bipartisan support.

16 They are good for American businesses and American 17 workers. They will help create jobs in America, and they 18 will lower prices for American consumers.

In addition, the package before us contains a bipartisan agreement on trade adjustment assistance, which is particularly important in the context of the Korean agreement and the job dislocation that will occur in the context of overall job creation under that FTA. While the overall agreement will create jobs, there are parts of varying industries that will be hurt and

they deserve to be buffered from the harm caused to them by our enacting something that is good for the United States as a whole.

I, again, applaud the Chairman for reaching out to
his Republican counterpart on the Ways and Means
Committee to negotiate this bipartisan agreement. That
is the way we ought to do business in this town.

8 Mr. Chairman, I hope our friends on the other side 9 of the aisle will take a deep breath here and remember 10 their past history of support for trade adjustment 11 assistance and for the Korean FTA.

I would also like to congratulate the Chairman for his insistence on and his success in improving access for beef exports to Korea. It is very important to my State. I know it is important to his, as well.

16 The fact is the Koreans have not kept their 17 commitment to us. They have not lived up to the beef 18 protocol they agreed to. We need to make certain our 19 cattlemen and ranchers do not suffer as a result.

20 So I am very pleased the Administration has 21 committed additional resources to promote our rapidly 22 growing exports of safe American beef to Korea and to 23 continuing to work with Koreans to remove remaining 24 barriers to our exports.

25

Overall, the Korea FTA is a big win for the United

States and certainly a big win for producers in my State,
 and it is a plus for the American economy. I intend to
 strongly support it.

The Colombian agreement is particularly important to wheat and barley producers and other agricultural producers in my state. It will ensure they have a level playing field with Canada, Argentina, and the European Union, who would otherwise have an unfair advantage in the Colombian market.

10 A good commercial agreement has been improved by the labor action plan announced by the Santos government. 11 We 12 all recognize the huge progress Colombia has made on 13 strengthening labor rights and protecting labor leaders 14 from violence in the past decade, but there is still 15 important work to be done and the labor action plan will 16 help guarantee future progress. I will strongly support 17 this agreement, as well.

18 These trade agreements have languished for years. 19 This Administration has improved them and brought them to 20 the finish line. I very much hope our colleagues on both 21 sides of the aisle will support them and, again, 22 recognizes the good work of our Chairman in bringing 23 these agreements to us.

24 I thank the chair.

25 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator, very much.

I neglected to say I would like to encourage Senators to limit their statements to about 4 minutes. We have a lot of business today and some Senators have very tight schedules. Let us do the best we can. I also want to go back and forth, Republican side and Democratic side, as we speak. So next will be Senator Crapo. 

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. MIKE CRAPO, A U.S. SENATOR FROM
 IDAHO

3

4 Senator Crapo. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 5 It has been almost 4 years since we have approved and 6 acted upon a free trade agreement. Since the Senate last 7 approved the Free Trade Act, we have had a presidential 8 election, two congressional elections, two Olympics were 9 held, the solar system lost a planet, and about a quarter 10 of this Committee's members have changed.

It is time we act, and I think there is agreement -if we can agree on anything, there is bipartisan agreement that we need to engage on these trade agreements and act.

15 It is time that the United States has stood in place 16 on the trade front the way the rest of the world has been 17 busy at working and signing and developing new agreements 18 and expanding markets and creating new trade alliances.

Frankly, I think it is a shame that these agreements have been blocked while we have diverted our attention to other items, while we have engaged in months of additional negotiations with Korea when the pact itself could not be amended; when we have sought concession after concession from the Colombians on improving their labor laws and now even special programs that benefit

1 special interests, and so forth.

2 But, finally, we are at the next to the last step. 3 And still, we see problems arising as the effort is made to attach the trade adjustment assistance provisions to 4 these trade agreements. I believe the TAA provisions 5 6 probably could pass in both the House and the Senate on 7 their own, but the effort to utilize these trade 8 agreements as a vehicle to drive them through is an 9 effort that I think may cause, yet once again, a 10 stumbling block and put us back into the position of 11 where we will be looking to see where the next planet in 12 the solar system goes while we wait.

13 The Korean agreement alone is estimated to boost 14 American exports by \$10 billion. Nearly 95 percent of 15 the bilateral trade in consumer and industrial products 16 would become duty-free within 3 years and most remaining 17 tariffs would be eliminated within 10.

18 As has already been indicated, this is a huge issue 19 for the United States.

The Colombia pact, while a smaller agreement in potential trade volume, is equally important to many States. Colombia's geostrategic interest to the United States does not need repeating. In addition, more than 0 percent of Idaho wheat depends on export markets, and Colombia is the U.S. wheat industry's largest South

American market, with a market share of nearly 70
 percent.

But during the long lapse in Congressional action, Colombia has worked on other bilateral trade agreements, particularly with Canada and Europe. Unless Congress approves the FTA, farmers, manufacturers and service providers in other countries will secure preferential access to the Colombian market and undercut U.S. competitors.

Largely as a result of Colombia's earlier trade accord with Mercosur, U.S. farmers have already seen their share of Colombia's agriculture market fall to about a quarter today from three-quarters just a few years ago.

Panama is also one of the fastest-growing economies in Latin America, expanding 6.2 percent in 2010. And currently, the U.S. industrial goods face an average 7 percent tariff in Panama, with some tariffs as high as 81 percent. U.S. agriculture goods face an average 15 percent tariff, while some goods face tariffs as high as 260 percent. And the list goes on.

We need to engage in these three trade agreements and move forward aggressively on other trade agreements to get America much more aggressively back in the game. But still, we see these agreements jeopardized and

somehow it appears that we are once again going to get stuck in a political battle over approving trade agreements that everyone agrees we need to approve. I hope we do not do that.

5 Mr. Chairman, consideration of trade agreements by 6 the Finance Committee has a long and distinguished 7 history of bipartisan cooperation in behalf of the 8 American people, and it is time that we all continue that 9 tradition and reach a bipartisan solution without trying 10 to attach divisive measures that could once again throw a 11 roadblock in the face of our progress.

12 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

13 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator.

14 Senator Kerry, you are next.

- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. JOHN KERRY, A U.S. SENATOR FROM
 MASSACHUSETTS

3

Senator Kerry. Well, Mr. Chairman, as you know, I am one of those who hopes we can get to the votes fairly quickly here. And I do not see why we cannot, because I think everybody knows what they are going to do, and, hopefully, we will.

9 So I will just say, very quickly, obviously, 10 everybody is in agreement that these trade agreements are 11 going to -- I think almost everybody -- are going to open 12 up markets, help America competitively, do what we need 13 to do in order to compete with China, India and other 14 countries that are raging ahead of us in terms of 15 bilateral agreements. So we all agree with that.

Senator Hatch just said, quote, "I can't understand why these clear signals are being ignored" with respect to some of the opposition on the TAA. But for a lot of us, we cannot understand why the bipartisanship that has been part of TAA all through the past cannot be honored.

I heard Senator Hatch just call it a spending program. I mean, this is sort of part of what is going on here right now in terms of the posturing, frankly. We have historically had a consensus built up on trade that has brought a bipartisanship together based on the

notion that when we make a decision regarding tariffs and
 markets, businesses make decisions. Call centers moved
 to India. A whole bunch of people lost their jobs.
 Manufacturing plants moved to China, moved to Mexico. A
 whole bunch of people lost their jobs.

There is one simple question here, whether we are going to face the reality of the marketplace today. If you are 55 years old, 45 years old, 50, it is hard to find a job. We are not creating them fast enough.

10 The idea that we are just going to do this and 11 ignore the way in which we have created the fabric of 12 consensus around trade for the last 20 years that I have 13 been here is astonishing to me.

14 So I hope that we are going to recognize that those 15 workers are not making this decision. We are. We are 16 making a policy here and as a result of that policy, we 17 know some people are going to be thrown out of work. And 18 I think it is important for us to, therefore, recognize 19 that we ought to do something, minuscule compared to the 20 overall budget, miniscule compared to the budget and compared to our deficit, to help those workers transition 21 22 into some kind of new job.

That is what is at stake here, and I hope we are going to honor Ronald Reagan's, George Bush's, George Herbert Walker Bush's bipartisanship that existed on this

1 topic.

| 2  | And if, indeed, Senator Crapo, it can pass on its     |
|----|---|
| 3  | own, then why make this big issue about passing it as |
| 4  | part of this? Pass it.                                |
| 5  | Thank you, Mr. Chairman.                              |
| 6  | The Chairman. Thank you, Senator.                     |
| 7  | Next, Senator Roberts?                                |
| 8  |   |
| 9  |   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |
|    |   |

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. PAT ROBERTS, A U.S. SENATOR
 FROM KANSAS

3

Senator Roberts. I would tell the Senator from
Massachusetts I am not posturing. I have been a trade
advocate ever since I have had the privilege of public
service in Kansas. We have to export one-third of our
production, not only our cowboys, but in livestock.

9 And by the way, I want to congratulate the Chairman. 10 Nobody moved or worked any harder to get that agreement 11 done than the Chairman. We would not have an agreement 12 here with South Korea without the Chairman. If we would 13 have parachuted the Chairman into those talks a year ago 14 or 2 years ago, whenever it was, I think perhaps we would 15 have been more successful.

16 So I am not posturing, but I do have some things to 17 say.

18 It is with some disappointment that we are here 19 today to participate in this mock-up. What, in the past, 20 has been a bipartisan effort, as extolled by many of my 21 friends across the aisle, that is not the case today.

Despite the strong views of the minority members, the Committee moved to include the unrelated legislation and the former trade adjustment assessments in the U.S.-Korea FTA implementing bill, and that would also

eventually include Panama and Colombia, which has become
 an embarrassment, it has been so long.

TAA has nothing to do with the implementing bill. It is either necessary or appropriate as required by the rules of trade promotion authority, but it has been, I would say, loaded up, and I would identify with the comments of my colleague from Idaho in that regard.

8 This move to attach an expansion of Federal spending 9 to a bill with fast-track protection is particularly 10 telling. As a proponent of trade assistance, I think we 11 have to have the confidence that TAA can stand up to the 12 scrutiny and debate within the Committee. And the way it 13 is now, I am not sure that is the case.

I have the forms here in which you fill out your application, and it occurs to me that there are 156 weeks of guaranteed benefits. I do not know if that is good, proper, correct, or if we need to take a look at that or not. I think we do need to take a look at it.

In this economic environment, we have a duty, as elected public officials, to scrutinize legislation that adds costs to the taxpayer and a closer look at the proposed offsets for this. It is a little bit like robbing Peter to pay Paul. Last Congress, the majority took over \$500 billion, \$0.5 trillion, from Medicare to pay for the new entitlement in the Affordable Care Act,

1 what some people call PPACA. Others call it Obamacare.

Now, they propose to take an additional \$400 million Medicare funds to pay for another entitlement program, i.e., TAA, and that is not the road to take. After accusing Republicans for months of totally changing the way Medicare works, I just have to ask when will we stop paying for new programs and priorities on the backs of our seniors.

9 This was supposed to come out of imaging. Well, it 10 is not coming out of imaging. It is a bad image. It is 11 a penalty. It is a penalty that will be extended beyond 12 2015 to providers if they do not go along with Obamacare 13 health information technology, regulations and mandates.

Many of our small critical access hospitals simply do not have this equipment, and I wonder about the regs that will be coming down on that.

When will we stop proposing drastic and very draconian cuts to this program, especially when these dollars are not even used to produce the taxpayer burden or Medicare, but instead are funneled back into new entitlements?

Now, beyond the increased spending required to pay for TAA and the \$400 million rate on Medicare, which is apparently become sort of a piggybank that we use around here from time to time, the substance of TAA warrants a

1 full review.

Is it appropriate to provide more generous benefits to folks who lose their jobs, their employees shut down and moved outside the United States, than folks who lost their jobs because the factory moved to another State? Are the expanded TAA programs passed in the 2009 stimulus bill helping the beneficiaries retrain and find jobs? There is evidence that that is not occurring.

9 Are there better ways to structure the TAA program, 10 really to be of real help, to target the assistance and 11 to reduce the taxpayer burden?

12 These are all important questions to answer, which 13 will not happen, because TAA is beyond the reach of 14 congressional review. It is a done deal. That is, it is 15 now a package.

16 This attempt to jam TAA thwarts the standard process 17 in the Committee, the Senate process, and denies us, 18 i.e., Senators, our ability to fully represent the views 19 of our constituents.

I do not support this process and I strongly urge the Chairman to move forward on the U.S.-Korea implementing bill without the TAA, but with a promise that we can reform this assistance and, in the doing of this, we can strengthen and preserve this assistance as it is intended.

1 I thank the Chairman.

| 3I just might point out that in the mark here, the4period of time for which benefits may be given is not 1565weeks, actually, because of concerns that many Senators6have about budget provisions. To reduce assessment7spending, that has been cut it down to 130 weeks. It8might not be a big point, but it is a compromise with9Chairman Camp, and it is a significant compromise, agreed10to by Chairman Camp to address concerns about excessive11spending.12Next, Senator Wyden?13141516171819202122232425 | 2  | The Chairman. Thank you, Senator.                         |
|--|----|---|
| weeks, actually, because of concerns that many Senators<br>have about budget provisions. To reduce assessment<br>spending, that has been cut it down to 130 weeks. It<br>might not be a big point, but it is a compromise with<br>Chairman Camp, and it is a significant compromise, agreed<br>to by Chairman Camp to address concerns about excessive<br>spending.<br>Next, Senator Wyden?<br>Next, Senator Wyden?  | 3  | I just might point out that in the mark here, the         |
| <ul> <li>have about budget provisions. To reduce assessment</li> <li>spending, that has been cut it down to 130 weeks. It</li> <li>might not be a big point, but it is a compromise with</li> <li>Chairman Camp, and it is a significant compromise, agreed</li> <li>to by Chairman Camp to address concerns about excessive</li> <li>spending.</li> <li>Next, Senator Wyden?</li> </ul> 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24   | 4  | period of time for which benefits may be given is not 156 |
| <pre>7 spending, that has been cut it down to 130 weeks. It 8 might not be a big point, but it is a compromise with 9 Chairman Camp, and it is a significant compromise, agreed 10 to by Chairman Camp to address concerns about excessive 11 spending. 12 Next, Senator Wyden? 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24</pre>  | 5  | weeks, actually, because of concerns that many Senators   |
| <ul> <li>might not be a big point, but it is a compromise with</li> <li>Chairman Camp, and it is a significant compromise, agreed</li> <li>to by Chairman Camp to address concerns about excessive</li> <li>spending.</li> <li>Next, Senator Wyden?</li> </ul> Next, Senator Wyden?  | 6  | have about budget provisions. To reduce assessment        |
| <ul> <li>Chairman Camp, and it is a significant compromise, agreed</li> <li>to by Chairman Camp to address concerns about excessive</li> <li>spending.</li> <li>Next, Senator Wyden?</li> </ul>  | 7  | spending, that has been cut it down to 130 weeks. It      |
| <pre>10 to by Chairman Camp to address concerns about excessive<br/>11 spending.<br/>12 Next, Senator Wyden?<br/>13<br/>14<br/>15<br/>16<br/>17<br/>18<br/>19<br/>20<br/>21<br/>22<br/>23<br/>24</pre>   | 8  | might not be a big point, but it is a compromise with     |
| 11       spending.         12       Next, Senator Wyden?         13  | 9  | Chairman Camp, and it is a significant compromise, agreed |
| 12       Next, Senator Wyden?         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         20         21         22         23         24  | 10 | to by Chairman Camp to address concerns about excessive   |
| 13       14       15       16       17       18       19       20       21       22       23       24  | 11 | spending.   |
| 14         15         16         17         18         19         20         21         22         23         24   | 12 | Next, Senator Wyden?                                      |
| <ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>   | 13 |   |
| 16         17         18         19         20         21         22         23         24   | 14 |   |
| 17         18         19         20         21         22         23         24  | 15 |   |
| 18         19         20         21         22         23         24   | 16 |   |
| 19         20         21         22         23         24  | 17 |   |
| 20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24   | 18 |   |
| 21<br>22<br>23<br>24   | 19 |   |
| 22<br>23<br>24   | 20 |   |
| 23<br>24   | 21 |   |
| 24   | 22 |   |
|  | 23 |   |
| 25   | 24 |   |
|  | 25 |   |

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. RON WYDEN, A U.S. SENATOR FROM
 OREGON

3

Senator Wyden. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And let 4 5 me commend you, as well, Mr. Chairman. You and I talked 6 early on when I was selected as chair of the Trade 7 Subcommittee and talked about working in a bipartisan 8 way, and I would like just to make three quick comments 9 in that kind of regard, because my hope is, by the end of 10 this session, we can pick upon the tradition of the Finance Committee of being bipartisan in trade. 11

First of all, there is significant demand for our goods and services around the world, and the reason we ought to support these agreements is this gives us a chance to feed that demand and feed it through American exports.

17 That means good jobs, family wage jobs. The export 18 jobs often pay more than do the non-export jobs. 19 Clearly, this is a chance to accelerate our economic 20 recovery through exports and we can do it without raising 21 the deficit. That is point one.

Point two deals with this trade adjustment issue. Mr. Chairman and colleagues, recognizing early on, as chair of the subcommittee, that this trade adjustment issue would certainly be a source of debate, I wanted to

1 get some specific facts to go into this discussion.

2 Let me just share the most important one as we start 3 this debate.

I asked the staff of the independent International 4 5 Trade Commission for some data with respect to job gains and workers who would be displaced under the agreement. 6 7 What the results showed -- and Ambassador Marantis is 8 here from the office of the USTR. We all know him from 9 the Committee, has done excellent work -- is that we 10 would gain hundreds of thousands of jobs in our country as a result of this agreement. 11

12 But there is also no question that several thousand 13 workers would be displaced under this agreement. So it 14 seems to me what we have an opportunity to do on a 15 bipartisan basis is stick up for those workers and come 16 up with a bipartisan approach on trade adjustment so that 17 this program can be almost a trampoline to give an 18 opportunity to those workers who will be displaced, according to the staff of the International Trade 19 20 Commission, an opportunity to get ahead.

21 We know, as Senator Kerry noted, a lot of them are 22 older workers. These are folks that, without that kind 23 of assistance, would not have another chance to make a 24 good wage like they had before they were displaced. 25 Point number three. Mr. Chairman, you have been

very helpful and I think we have worked out an agreement
 on this.

There is an extensive bipartisan effort to deal with trade enforcement and what amounts to trade cheating. What we have seen, in particular, is Chinese trade sheets after being found guilty of dumping their goods in America, now launder these goods by illegally shipping them through Korea and other countries.

9 So Senator Snowe, Senator Portman, Senator Blunt on 10 the Republican sided, myself, Senator Schumer, Senator 11 Cardin, Senator Brown, we have come up with a bipartisan 12 approach that actually would allow us to enforce our 13 trade laws and raise revenue. I think we have got an 14 agreement on how to go forward. I will offer that down 15 the road.

But I very much want to see particularly building around those three areas, how we can get trade policy back in the tradition of the Senate Finance Committee and being bipartisan.

20 I thank you for the time.

21The Chairman.Thank you, Senator, very much.22Now, I would like to turn to Senator Grassley.

- 23
- 24
- 25

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. CHUCK GRASSLEY, A U.S. SENATOR
 FROM IOWA

3

4

Senator Grassley. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I know you believe in free and fair trade, and so I am not surprised that these agreements are here before us and I have known you have spoke positively about them other times. Particularly, in another hearing, you spoke positively about why Colombia should be brought up.

10 On the other hand, I thought we would be here 11 sometime in the year 2007, after then Speaker Pelosi made 12 an agreement with the Bush Administration to move 13 Colombia and other agreements at that particular time.

Unrelated to anything you had to do with it, they decided in the House of Representatives then that they were not going to move it. So we have been at this for the last 4 years, in a sense, waiting for this to happen.

So here we are and we ought to be very thankful for that, because we all know how important these agreements are. We can all cite statistics and data that tell us how much they mean to our economy. We do not need to go into those numbers, because we have all given speeches on the benefits of these agreements over the last 4 years.

24 But these deals have been kicked around and delayed 25 over partisan fights and, frankly, the American people

1 simply cannot afford that.

The Obama Administration has gotten the message about the importance of trade and increasing trade to be a part of our growing economy. That is why they are willing to move these deals forward now.

6 But now, as we are on the brink of a real action, 7 the President has moved the goalpost once again. Not 8 only are we told that these deals will not be sent to 9 Congress without a deal on trade adjustment assistance, 10 but we have the unprecedented move of putting TAA into 11 the implementing bill.

12 So let me be clear here. There are parts of TAA 13 that I support and, as Ranking Member of this Committee, 14 I helped draft some of those reforms that Congress passed 15 in the year 2009. But political gamesmanship with these 16 deals by the Administration has to stop. TAA is a 17 spending bill that should be debated and passed on its 18 merits.

19 It is a violation of the process to put this in the 20 implementing bill, but I know that the Chairman has 21 spoken of some flexibility that might be in that, and I 22 want to and I think that he knows that I have already 23 said I would like to help with that process of separating 24 and having an open and fair debate with the prospects of 25 passing trade adjustment assistance separate from being

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

1 part of one of the trade deals, and I hope we can move 2 forward with that flexibility.

3 So in telling the Chairman that, I would also like to urge the Administration to reconsider the current 4 5 approach. Instead, we should come to terms on an agreement for sequencing four separate bills, including 6 7 the three separate implementing bills and the fourth bill 8 that would address TAA. I would suggest including trade 9 promotion authority, and, also, the general system of preferences. 10

I was just contacted by an Iowa cattleman who took a trip to Korea just a few -- well, less than 3 weeks ago. He had a tremendous trip, promoting U.S. beef. But one of his takeaways was that all of Asia is watching how the United States handles these trade deals and, I think, wants to know does the United States still want to be in a leadership role on international trade.

18 They want to know if we are people of action or just 19 words. They want to know if we will follow through with 20 these agreements or let them languish.

This cattleman came away with that message very loud and clear. Either we get this done or our trading partners will be looking at other places for trading terms that they desire. We do not want that to happen for farmers or businesses or workers.

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

I appreciate the Chairman scheduling this session today and scheduling it so that we have adequate amount of time to address these important issues and at a time when more members of the Committee are able to be present. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The Chairman. Thank you, Senator. Next on the list, back and forth, Senator Nelson. 

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. BILL NELSON, A U.S. SENATOR
 FROM FLORIDA

3

7

4 Senator Nelson. Senator Crapo, we are not going to 5 lose another planet in the solar system. We are going to 6 go to one of those planets in the solar system.

[Laughter.]

8 Senator Nelson. We are going to go to Mars. But I 9 sure hope we pass these trade agreements instead of 10 waiting around until we go to Mars.

11 Mr. Chairman, I support these agreements. I just 12 want to say something positive about it. Obviously, 13 Florida has now become the gateway to Latin America for 14 so much of our trade, and the trade -- the economic 15 activity that would occur in our State, indeed, in the 16 Nation, as a result of Colombia and Panama, these trade 17 agreements are significantly salutary.

18 It is interesting that this Korean agreement would 19 equal the amount of economic activity to the United 20 States of all the past nine trade agreements. So it 21 looks like that we are sure going in the right direction.

My one little concern is that in the TAA, we take care of farmers, which we should, but we ought to be taking care of the fishermen and the shrimpers just like we take care of the farmers because of agricultural
| 1  | commodities. And that involves folks that are in Texas |
|----|--|
| 2  | all the way to Florida on the Gulf coast, it involves  |
| 3  | Washington State, and it involves the State of Maine.  |
| 4  | Thank you, Mr. Chairman.                               |
| 5  | The Chairman. Thank you, Senator.                      |
| 6  | Next will be Senator Coburn.                           |
| 7  |  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. TOM COBURN, A U.S. SENATOR FROM
 OKLAHOMA

Senator Coburn. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you for your hard work on these. I am generally supportive of all three trade agreements. I am extremely worried that we put North Korea at risk by TAA's inclusion, and I think that is unnecessary to address the needs of those who would be unemployed through the trade agreements. And with that, I will limit my comments. The Chairman. Thank you. Senator Stabenow? 

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. DEBBIE STABENOW, A U.S. SENATOR
 FROM MICHIGAN

3

Senator Stabenow. Thank you very much, Mr.
Chairman. I appreciate your leadership. And let me just
start by saying that I think it is critically important
in a global economy that we export our products, but not
our jobs, and that is really the basic philosophy for me
in moving forward.

And I want to first applaud the Administration for making a number of improvements related to manufacturing in the South Korean agreement, to allow us to be able to open up our products, produce them here and be able to send them to South Korea.

15 Let me speak, though, specifically today about trade 16 adjustment assistance, because I think it is very 17 unfortunate that we are in a situation where something 18 that has always been bipartisan is now something that 19 seems to be caught in a partisan divide, because TAA 20 really is a key safeguard for families, workers whose 21 jobs are outsourced, and it has been part of the deal 22 that the United States has made as we work in a global 23 economy and find that whether it is a small business, a 24 farmer, a worker, that there are people that get caught 25 in the middle of this.

And we have traditionally said to level the playing field and give workesr the resources to go back to school, build their skills, get an opportunity to compete in a global economy, that we would provide support for them to do that.

Just last week, workers at Ryder Integrated
Logistics in Highland Park, Michigan were denied TAA
benefits because they are service workers. The workers
lost their jobs when fewer goods were stored in the
warehouses they worked in as a result of what is
happening globally.

Last month, workers at Marelco Power Systems, which produces magnetic power products in Howell, Michigan, were denied TAA benefits because they lost their jobs to a country without a trade agreement with the United States.

Now, prior to February, when TAA benefits were blocked, on a partisan basis, workers at both of these firms would have received help. If we renew TAA as proposed here today, which I strongly support, these workers' petitions will be reconsidered and they will be able to get the help they need.

I am particularly concerned about older workers in this economy, people who, through no fault of their own, find themselves caught in losing their job related to

1 trade, needing to know that there is a path forward for 2 them to be able to care for their families and to be able 3 to move on.

Now, it is really true that Michigan is, in fact, the State that has more people involved with TAA benefits. Over 50,000 Michigan families have benefitted from the reforms that we passed in 2009. We have more people utilizing TAA than any other State; in fact, more people enrolled in the program than 30 States combined, Mr. Chairman.

11 So, obviously, this is incredibly important to me. 12 It is a key part. I will put the balance of my statement 13 into the record, Mr. Chairman, if I might. But let me 14 just say that for me and for the people in my State, this 15 is part of the deal and it is incredibly important to me 16 that TAA be passed as a part of this.

I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for your
commitment to do that on behalf of people in my State who
are counting on us to give them a path forward, to be
able to have a job and be able to care for their families
in a very difficult economy.

22 Thank you.

23 [The prepared statement of Senator Stabenow appears24 in the appendix.]

25 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator, very much. I

LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

| 1  | appreciate that very much. |
|----|----------------------------|
| 2  | Senator Enzi?              |
| 3  |                            |
| 4  |                            |
| 5  |                            |
| 6  |                            |
| 7  |                            |
| 8  |                            |
| 9  |                            |
| 10 |                            |
| 11 |                            |
| 12 |                            |
| 13 |                            |
| 14 |                            |
| 15 |                            |
| 16 |                            |
| 17 |                            |
| 18 |                            |
| 19 |                            |
| 20 |                            |
| 21 |                            |
| 22 |                            |
| 23 |                            |
| 24 |                            |
| 25 |                            |

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. MIKE ENZI, A U.S. SENATOR FROM
 WYOMING

3

4 Senator Enzi. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I am 5 glad we are having a markup even if it is a mock markup. 6 I have noticed that TAA is one of the main topics that we 7 have been talking about, and I cannot help but think 8 about Henry Clay when he was trying to work a grand 9 compromise for our country. And he worked on it so hard 10 and unsuccessfully that it put him in the hospital.

11 Some friends of his took it up, broke it into parts, 12 and passed every single part. But there were only four 13 people in the entire United States Senate that voted for 14 all of the parts.

We ought to be doing that on more of our legislation instead of trying to do it comprehensively. I have been working to improve job training programs for some time, both as Chairman and then as ranking member of the Health Committee, and I understand what it takes to help workers develop new skills and to find new employment after being displaced.

Some of my colleagues on the other side of the aisle have tried to make the case that the trade adjustment assistance program seems to be the only program out there that can help displaced workers.

1 One of the problems we have got in this country is 2 the cost of duplication, and that being the only problem 3 could not be further from the truth.

In addition to the standard unemployment assistance 4 5 we provide workers, there are one-stop-shops authorized 6 by the Workforce Investment Act that provide a long list 7 of services to workers, including referrals to standard 8 TAA programs in place today and authorized through the 9 end of the year. And that program could have a lot more 10 flexibility if we could pass the bill that we have been working on for about 5 years. 11

Over the course of several congresses, I have worked tirelessly to pass that Workforce Investment reauthorization. TAA is just one piece of the puzzle and when you compare the existing workforce programs, like WIA at the TAA, it is clear where our focus should be. WIA has more flexibility, where funds can be administered by States.

For example, those receiving TAA benefits can be limited if they are working even part-time to develop new job skills. WIA has no such limitations and allows workers to earn money and continue their education. WIA centers also impact more workers and offer more services than TAA can perform now or in the future.

25 Finally, we have actual data on the successes of

WIA, whereas we have little on the scope or how the new
 provisions of TAA have benefitted workers since it was
 drastically expanded in the stimulus bill.

Expanding programs with little baseline data and
creating redundant service programs is not where we
should be spending our precious time or our resources.

7 With that said, I have filed a number of amendments 8 to the TAA provisions in the Korea agreement. I do not 9 believe it belongs in the implementing legislation and 10 should be evaluated separately.

I have also filed a number of health care-related amendments because of how TAA is being offset, which I am also concerned about.

14 And I yield back the rest of my time.

15 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator, very much.

16 Senator Cantwell?

- 17
- 18

- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. MARIA CANTWELL, A U.S. SENATOR
 FROM WASHINGTON

3

25

Senator Cantwell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And
thank you for getting us to this point and your
leadership on these agreements. Washington State is a
very trade-dependent State, and this agreement for us
means a lot for Washington agriculture.

9 South Korea has 45 percent tariffs on apples and 10 pears and a 25 percent tariff on cherries, as well as 11 tariffs on wine and potatoes. But despite this, we have 12 \$11 million worth of cherries going to Korea in 2010.

So having these tariffs reduced could mean a huge increase in Washington agricultural products, maybe as much as a 45 percent increase in wine sales alone.

Beef production is our State's fifth largest commodity and the market for beef in Korea has the potential to be \$1 billion.

19 In Colombia, a similar situation, where there are 20 tariffs on apples, pears and cherries of 15 percent. 21 This free trade agreement would reduce those to zero 22 immediately. Combined, the potential for Washington 23 pears and apple sales to Colombia is over \$9 million. 24 Washington exports to Panama, the same situation,

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

tariffs on products like cherries, pears, apples, as much

1 as 5 percent. All would be reduced to zero.

2 So for me, these trade agreements are about opening 3 up markets to products that we are already seeing high 4 tariffs on and getting our products accepted into other 5 markets, something I think we need to continue to do in a 6 much more aggressive fashion with bilateral and 7 multilateral agreements.

8 As my colleague who just spoke, Senator Enzi, said, 9 I know he has frustrations with TAA. I think my colleague, Senator Stabenow, articulated why TAA is so 10 11 important. I think Washington State has probably been 12 one of the larger recipients of TAA funds in the past. 13 That is we have had the dislocation that went along with 14 TAA. We have had the upside and we have had the 15 dislocation, and TAA has made that work for us.

I would say that I think, Mr. Chairman, I have filed Cantwell Amendment No. 18. I do not know that we will get to that discussion. But I agree with Senator Enzi that we have to think about how we can more liberalize our process for training today.

We have a skills gap in America. There are probably 10,000 job openings right now in Seattle between Boeing and Microsoft, 10,000. Throw in Amazon, it is probably several thousand more. We need to figure out how we can more quickly, in this economy, get training dollars,

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

encourage employers to hire people and do the training, not as a replacement for WIA, not as a replacement, but as a way to supplement right now some of the needs to reskill the American workforce so that we can more quickly get them re-employed.

6 So I thank you for the tremendous amount of work 7 that has gone on to get these trade agreements where they 8 are today and your thoughtful process. I am glad we are 9 at this point and I am glad that TAA is a cornerstone to 10 the agreements.

11 Thank you.

12 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator.

13 Next, Senator Cornyn?

14

15

- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. JOHN CORNYN, A U.S. SENATOR
 FROM TEXAS

3

Senator Cornyn. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Mr. Chairman, I am glad we are here today talking
about these important free trade pacts, and it is
unfortunate it has taken us this long to get here.

8 I am worried, like many of my colleagues, that the 9 goalposts continue to move on conditions demanded for 10 passage of these agreements, the most recent one, of 11 course, the dramatic expansion of trade adjustment 12 assistance linked to the Korea agreement.

13 And by the calculation my staff has provided me, it 14 would mean that someone who claims to be displaced as a 15 result of these trade agreements could qualify, in 16 addition to unemployment coverage, for up to 2.5 years of 17 benefits. That seems to me to be an extraordinarily long 18 time for somebody to be able to claim that they cannot 19 find work because of a trade agreement. So I think that 20 needs a lot of work.

The other area that I am concerned about is the President's proposal to pay for this, in part, by Medicare. We have seen that not only in the President's health care plan that passed in the last year and a half, that \$0.5 trillion was taken from Medicare in order to

1 fund that, and now the President proposes, again, to take 2 more funding from Medicare, which the Medicare trustees 3 said will run out of money in 2024 already.

This at the same time when some of our friends across the aisle have said that the House proposal threatens to end Medicare, as we know it. Well, Medicare, as we know it, is going broke and, unfortunately, robbing from Peter to pay Paul by using Medicare to fund trade adjustment assistance is going to make Medicare's insolvency even that much more a concern.

I do think it is important we try to move these 11 agreements through, because, obviously, we are at a 12 13 disadvantage in global trade. My State happens to be the 14 top exporting State in the Nation. We are grateful for 15 that. We have recognized that these trade agreements 16 create jobs at home when you could sell goods or produce 17 to overseas, and last year we sold \$207 billion in 18 merchandise to foreign buyers.

19 Texas is the top exporter to Colombia, \$4.4 billion, 20 and the top exporter to Panama, \$1.8 billion, and the 21 second large exporter to Korea, \$6.4 billion.

22 So I do think that it is important for us to move 23 these free trade agreements. I do agree with those like 24 Senator Enzi and Senator Coburn and others who pointed 25 out the unnecessary duplication in some of our job

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

training programs, and I think that, unfortunately, tagging the TAA provision onto the Korean trade agreement makes it less likely that it will actually pass and adds to the unnecessary duplication, in addition to my concerns about funding the TAA from Medicare, which is already on a path to insolvency. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The Chairman. Thank you, Senator. Next, Senator Cardin? 

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. BENJAMIN CARDIN, A U.S. SENATOR
 FROM MARYLAND

3

25

Senator Cardin. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I very
much appreciate your leadership on this.

6 Let me make, first, a comment about trade adjustment 7 assistance. We should not be here today, because it 8 should have been extended in February. But it was 9 blocked by the Republicans, our efforts to get it done 10 earlier this year.

11 Trade adjustment assistance has never been a 12 partisan issue and we know that our colleagues here are 13 very sincere, and I mean that. I know that you would 14 assist us in getting this considered.

But the facts are that any one Senator could block it, and we understand that. We also have the House, which we are not sure exactly how they are going to respond when it is a separate bill.

So I think it is right to consider trade adjustment assistance with the trade agreements. There are positives to trade, no question about it. We will create jobs. But there are people who get dislocated by trade, and that is why we have had an agreement for a long period of time for trade adjustment assistance.

And I would hope my colleagues would work with us

1 and continue to move forward on the trade agenda.

Now, Mr. Chairman, let me say that since I have been in Congress, which has been 24 years, I was a Ranking Member of the Trade Subcommittee in the House, I think I have supported almost every trade agreement, because it is important for job creation. I understand that. I understand that in Maryland. I understand it around the Nation.

9 Maryland has the Port of Baltimore, which is 10 critically important to our economy. But I am going to 11 oppose the Colombia agreement and I want my colleagues to 12 know why.

13 I think that trade offers us an opportunity to 14 advance international human rights. The United States 15 has played a leadership role in the international 16 community in advancing human rights. I think about the 17 days with the Soviet Union, and I am sure Jackson-Vanik 18 was a very controversial issue when it was first 19 mentioned here, but it was responsible for freeing a lot 20 of people from the Soviet Union.

And the United States entered international leadership with the apartheid governments of South Africa. When many are saying engagement is all we needed to do to bring down apartheid, in fact, the trade embargoes were critically important to changing that

1 government.

2 So that brings me to Colombia, and I think Colombia 3 is not the right country at this time to offer a free 4 trade agreement to. Just 10 years ago, it was close to 5 being a failed state.

6 But let me guote from our State Department's 2010 7 Human Rights Report, when it says, and I quote, "that 8 activities in Colombia, unlawful and extrajudicial 9 killings, insubordinate military collaboration, new 10 illegal arm groups, forced disappearance, torture, and 11 mistreatment of detainees, arbitrary detentions, 12 impunity, and an inefficient judiciary subject to 13 intimidation, illegal surveillance of civilian groups, 14 political opponent and group agencies, occasional 15 harassment and intimidation of journalists, harassment of 16 human rights groups and activists, including unfounded 17 prosecutions, violence against women, including rape, 18 societal discrimination against women, indigenous persons, and Afro-Colombians, illegal child labor," and 19 20 the list goes on and on and on. That is the 2010 report. 21 Now, I know that the Santos administration is making

22 progress. They are making progress, and I am pleased 23 about the progress they are making. And I know we have 24 an action plan that is part of these negotiations, but it 25 is not in the agreement and its implementation is not

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

required from the point of view of what we are being
 asked to act on.

Based upon all those considerations, I cannot
support the Colombia agreement, and I wanted my
colleagues to know why.
The Chairman. Thank you, Senator.
I note that there is a vote, but I think only two
more Senators are here to speak. My thought is we would
get those two statements, then we would recess for the

10 vote, and then come back and do our work.

11 Next in line is Senator Thune.

410-729-0401

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. JOHN THUNE, A U.S. SENATOR FROM
 SOUTH DAKOTA

3

Senator Thune. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
These three trade agreements are no-brainers and
they are long overdue. We have wasted 4 years getting
here, 4 years of lost opportunities for American
exporters.

9 In the last 2 years alone, we have seen wheat 10 exports to Colombia collapse, literally collapse, and 11 once the agreement between Canada and Colombia goes into 12 effect on August 15, I think that market is going to 13 evaporate entirely for American exporters.

And the estimate today already is that it is costing U.S. wheat producers \$100 million a year in sales to Colombia every year that we wait to get this enacted.

A similar story in South Korea. The U.S. used to be South Korea's largest trading partner. But since 2004, we have fallen to fourth place behind China, Japan, and the European Union. Our share of Korea's market for goods has dropped from 21 percent to just 9 percent.

As I said, these are no-brainers. I would hope that we can move quickly to get these approved. I am concerned, as has been expressed by my colleagues, that attaching TAA to this complicates that process and we

should not do anything that complicates this any further. We need to expedite the passage of these trade agreements and get American exporters back in the game. So I hope that we can do that and that we can get clean votes on these three trade agreements and do what we should have done many years ago. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The Chairman. Thank you, Senator, very much. Senator Carper? 

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. THOMAS CARPER, A U.S. SENATOR
 FROM DELAWARE

3

4

Senator Carper. Thanks, Mr. Chairman.

As we gather here today, colleagues, one of the questions on the minds of the American people as they watch what is happening in our Nation's capital and even in this markup is can we govern -- can we govern.

9 Can we return to really what has been the tradition 10 of this Committee, and that is to work across the aisle 11 to find common sense approaches and to reconcile our 12 differences and to move forward, and we need to do that 13 here.

We really need to set an example, I think, for our colleagues both in the Senate and in the House and give some assurance to the people of this country that, yes, indeed, we can still govern. We can do what is right for our country.

Just going off these three trade agreements, I
believe they were negotiated in an earlier
administration, the administration of George W. Bush.
They have been modified somewhat in this Administration,
I think improved.

I shared with my colleagues yesterday a conversation I had with a fellow who -- actually, his family is from

Delaware. He is a trade economist. His name is Clyde
 Prestowitz.

He was talking a year or two ago with his counterpart in from South Korea, who was complaining about how tough this trade agreement, this proposed trade agreement was going to be on South Korea. And my friend, Clyde Prestowitz, said, "Well, look, don't you know, in the end, you guys will find a way to wiggle out of this?"

9 And the guy hesitated, the guy from South Korea 10 hesitated for a little bit and he said, "Yeah, you're 11 right. You're right."

12 And for those of us who think we do not need TAA, 13 whether it is with respect to South Korea or any other 14 trade agreement, I think there is actually a pretty good 15 argument that we do.

Now, my friend Tom Coburn over there points out that we have, what, 47 different programs, Federal programs that are designed to help equip American workers with the skills that they need to find jobs and be able to compete in the 21st century.

I just pledge to you, we work on a lot of stuff together to try to find ways to get better results for less money, let us go to work on that one. Let us go to work on that one.

25 Having said that, I would like for us to have a

1 chance to move forward here. I know the Chairman and the 2 Ranking Member have been working behind the scenes to try 3 to find a way to meet the Republican concerns about maybe 4 having the up or down vote on TAA, separating it from the 5 South Korea agreement.

6 At the end of the day, it is important to us and I 7 think it is important to some of our Republican friends, 8 we actually pass TAA. And if we can do that in a way 9 that gives you a free shot at that one and separate votes 10 on the other three free trade agreements, I think that is the way this place ought to work and my hope is it --11 12 Senator Coburn. Would the Senator yield? 13 Senator Carper. Yes. I will be happy to yield. 14 Senator Coburn. Just for the record, there are 47 different programs, nine different agencies, of which we 15 16 spend \$18 billion a year in job training in this country. 17 So this is somewhat duplicative. So it is another one. 18 So that does not include the 47, and now it is 48. 19 Senator Carper. And I appreciate that. But if, by 20 making -- agreeing to -- ultimately, agreeing to a 21 negotiation between the Chairman and Chairman Camp over

23 weeks, that is actually paid for, fully offset, that is 24 not a bad deal compared to some of the things we have 25 voted for around here.

in the Senate on a TAA extension for, what is it, 130

22

Let me just close with this. I remember sitting next to Bill Clinton, just like I am sitting next to Ben Cardin here, about 12 years ago. He was President, I was Chairman of the National Governors Association.

5 We were talking about NAFTA. He was telling us why 6 NAFTA was going to be such a good deal for this country, 7 and somewhere on the way to the forum, it did not turn 8 out to be quite the deal that we had hoped for.

9 And I was asking Ron Kirk, our trade ambassador, 10 what went wrong with NAFTA and how do we make sure that 11 we do not make the same mistake with respect to these 12 three trade agreements, and he said some of the side 13 agreements in NAFTA for environmental issues, labor 14 issues, they were side agreements, they were not embedded 15 within the agreements.

And he said, "One of the things we've learned from NAFTA is to put that stuff in the actual agreements," and we have done that. My hope is by doing so that we will address a lot of the -- actually, have learned a lesson from NAFTA.

The last thing I want to say. One of the things that Bill Clinton said to me that day that is stuck into my mind forever, he said, "You know, the reason why free trade agreements are good is we let other countries sell their stuff to us without impediment, without tariff

1 barriers, non-tariff barriers. But a lot of those

2 countries, when we try to sell our stuff to them, they
3 put up these barriers. There are tariff barriers and the
4 rare non-tariff barriers."

5 He said, "After we do a free trade agreement, the 6 idea is they can still sell their stuff to us without 7 impediment, but finally we can start selling to their 8 countries without impediment, too." He said it is a no-9 brainer.

10 And I think it was not exactly a no-brainer, but I think we are getting smarter in this stuff and I think 11 12 given the lessons we have learned, they have been 13 incorporated here. And some of the changes that are 14 going on in Colombia, the protections for life and liberty there, they are improvements. But can they do 15 16 better? Sure, they can do better. They need to do 17 better.

18 The question is do we encourage them to do better on 19 that front by passing this trade agreement or not, and I 20 think there is an honest argument there. But I think at 21 the end of the day, we do more for people's lives and 22 their safety than otherwise would be the case.

23 Thanks, Mr. Chairman.

24 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator.

25 Senator Hatch?

1 Senator Hatch. If I could just take about 30 2 seconds. I think the problem here is not that we should 3 stop these treaties. The fact of the matter is that 4 adding trade adjustment assistance under TPA sets a bad 5 precedent.

Now, true, they did it on the NAFTA agreement, but that was about the only one that I can think of. The fact of the matter is that you may very well win on TAA in both houses, but it ought to be decided separately on its own merits, without shoving it in here and then saying that we are not cooperating because we do not think that is the right thing to do.

I just wanted to make that one point. And I appreciate all my colleagues and I appreciate your feelings on this, but it ought to be done separately on its own merits.

17 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator.

18 We have to vote. The Committee will stand in recess 19 for 15 minutes, 10:25.

20 [Whereupon, at 10:11 a.m., the meeting was recessed 21 and convened back on the record at 10:39 a.m.]

- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

| 1  | AFTER RECESS   |
|----|--|
| 2  | [10:39 a.m.]   |
| 3  | The Chairman. The Committee will come to order.          |
| 4  | The next order of business is the South Korean mark.     |
| 5  | There is a modification before the Committee in          |
| 6  | connection with the mark, and the mark is so modified.   |
| 7  | The next order of business is to walk through the        |
| 8  | mark, the South Korean mark, as modified.                |
| 9  | Ms. Khanna, would you briefly describe the main          |
| 10 | features of the mark? And I think there is some interest |
| 11 | in the Committee here to move fairly quickly, so I urge  |
| 12 | you to respect those concerns.                           |
| 13 | Ms. Khanna. Yes. Thank you, Chairman Baucus.             |
| 14 | The Committee is considering two documents relating      |
| 15 | to the United States-Korea free trade agreement today.   |
| 16 | The first document is a statement of administrative      |
| 17 | action, which provides the Administration's views on the |
| 18 | proper interpretation of the agreement; and, the second  |
| 19 | document is the implementing bill, which makes the       |
| 20 | changes to U.S. law that are necessary or appropriate to |
| 21 | implement the agreement.                                 |
| 22 | The implementing bill is divided into six titles,        |
| 23 | which I will briefly summarize. Title I provides for     |
| 24 | congressional approval of the agreement and the          |
| 25 | accompanying statement of administrative action.         |
|    |  |

LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

1 Title II authorizes the President to modify tariffs 2 in accordance with the agreement, including on South 3 Korean auto imports, and establishes rules of origin that 4 define which goods are eligible for preferential 5 treatment under the agreement.

6 Title III creates safeguard mechanisms to remedy any 7 import surges that may result from tariff modifications 8 and includes a separate safeguard mechanism to address 9 auto import surges.

10 Title IV gives eligible South Korean goods and 11 services access to U.S. Government procurement bidding 12 procedures.

13 Title V extends provisions of the Trade and 14 Globalization Adjustment Assistance Act of 2009 and 15 modifies that Act to reduce costs.

And, finally, Title VI provides offsets for thebill's projected revenue losses.

18 Mr. Chairman, your modification to the Korea 19 implementing bill contains several changes to the 20 offsets.

First, the modification amends the Korea implementing bill to raise the merchandise processing fee ad valorem rate from .329 percent to .343 percent.

Second, the modification extends the merchandise
processing feel until December 17, 2020.

Third, the modification provides that in 2020, 1 2 penalties assessed on Medicare providers who fail to 3 become meaningful users of health care information 4 technology will be diverted from the Medicare improvement fund. 5 6 Mr. Chairman, that concludes my summary of the 7 implementing bill, as modified. 8 The Chairman. Thank you, Ms. Khanna. 9 I want to call on Republican staff, if you want to 10 add any additional information. 11 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. DeLaney. 12 The Chairman. Mr. DeLaney, proceed. 13 Mr. DeLaney. We have nothing to add to the summary 14 given by our colleague. Thank you. 15 The Chairman. Do Senators have any questions about the modified mark? This is the Korean modified mark. 16 17 [No response.] 18 The Chairman. I see no Senators seeking to ask 19 questions. 20 It is now in order for Senators to offer amendments 21 -- I apologize. I did not see you, Senator. 22 Senator Rockefeller? Senator Rockefeller. Mr. Chairman, I apologize for 23 24 being late. I ask permission to put my statement in the 25 record.

[The prepared statement of Senator Rockefeller
 appears in the appendix.]

3 Senator Rockefeller. And, also, a letter from the
4 UAW in support of the South Korean trade agreement.

5 [The letter appears in the appendix.] 6 Senator Rockefeller. I have a question for Mr. 7 Barthold. We have discussed this and I am not going to 8 bring this to a vote, but I want to know a little bit 9 about it.

10 The Recovery Act made several improvements to the 11 health coverage tax credit and it went from 65 to 80 12 percent, which was a good thing. Even with that, 36 13 percent of the people said that they could not afford to 14 buy health insurance.

Now, that is about 30,000 potential people. So question one, would you agree that affordability is an important determinant of whether individuals participate in this program, keeping in mind that the vast majority, 73 percent, of HTC recipients are retired or unemployed? Mr. Barthold. Thank you, Senator.

21 We always think that price is an important 22 determinant and the relative credit rate is an important 23 determinant in our estimate of the receipts effect. So 24 the brief answer is, yes, we think that the change in 25 credit rate is important to participation.

Senator Rockefeller. And then, obviously, the 1 2 follow-on, would you agree that the Recovery Act improved 3 affordability, to a certain extent? Mr. Barthold. The credit rate was higher. 4 Senator Rockefeller. 5 Yes. 6 Mr. Barthold. So it made the net cost to the 7 individual lower. Yes, sir. 8 Senator Rockefeller. And would you also agree that 9 a subsidy level of 72.5 percent, as contemplated by this 10 free trade agreement, which I am going to vote for, will 11 mean that some workers who received help under the 12 Recovery Act will not under this? 13 Mr. Barthold. Our estimates reflect different 14 participation under the 72.5 percent rate compared to an 15 80 percent rate or compared to a 65 percent rate. Yes, 16 sir. 17 Senator Rockefeller. I thank you. 18 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator. 19 As noted, my modification removed an offset from the 20 Korea bill that would have reduced Medicare reimbursement for medical imaging services, done largely at the urging 21 22 of the Senator from Massachusetts. And I know that 23 imaging is very important, in fact, it is critical to 24 that State. It is to probably most States, especially 25 Massachusetts, and the Senator would like to say a few

1 words about that issue.

2 Senator Kerry. Mr. Chairman, what I really want to 3 do is thank you for listening to our concerns and 4 striking the provision in the mark that would have cut 5 the Medicare payments for medical imaging services by 6 \$400 million.

7 The reasons this is of enormous concern are several. 8 First of all, the innovators who make the cutting-edge 9 machines and put them out to the world class physicians 10 who rely on them find many of them are based in 11 Massachusetts; not alone in Massachusetts, there are 12 other places.

But if you lower the Medicare reimbursements for medical imaging, then that leads doctors to hold onto their old equipment longer, which means fewer patients get access to the newer technologies that are better at finding early stage diseases. And so we wind up with less diagnosis, less quality of care.

In addition, the imaging cuts also result in job losses, because manufacturers of this equipment have no choice but to respond to the increased demand or lack of it by laying off workers, shutting down factors and research facilities across the country.

24 So thousands of jobs are tied to that, and I think 25 the fact is that the imaging sector participated

enormously in the entire Affordable Health Care Act and has made significant contributions already in terms of reductions and cuts.

4 So I greatly appreciate your working with me with 5 respect to this and understanding how important it is.

6 Senator Rockefeller. Mr. Chairman, can I ask a 7 question?

The Chairman. Senator Rockefeller?

8

9 Senator Rockefeller. Of Senator Kerry. Senator 10 Kerry, I am not going to oppose this because you have 11 worked it out. But one of the absolutely blatant, potent 12 facts about higher costs of health care is the vast 13 overuse of medical imaging devices. So I do not 14 understand.

15 Senator Kerry. Well, I will acknowledge that there 16 can be an overuse, but that is not cured by dealing with 17 the reimbursement. That is cured by dealing with the 18 decisions that doctors make and, also, some larger reform 19 issues.

I would have liked to have seen us -- I say this as a Democrat -- have dealt with some form of tort reform effort, because defensive practice drives a lot of people to go out and do imaging when it is not necessary.

24 So that is deeper into the health care system. It 25 is not in the reimbursement process that is driving that.

So, again, there are things we could do to reform that, 1 2 but cutting the reimbursements is not one of them. Thank you. 3 Senator Rockefeller. The Chairman. Thank you, Senators. 4 It is now in order for Senators to offer amendments. 5 6 And I would like to go back and forth with amendments, 7 and start first on the Republican side and see if there 8 are any members on the Republican side who might have 9 amendments to the South Korean free trade agreement. Senator Hatch. Mr. Chairman, we do have a lot --10 The Chairman. And if you could give the number of 11 12 your amendments. 13 Senator Hatch. I will. We do have a number of 14 amendments. So I would call up Committee Amendment No. 15 28. The Chairman. No. 28. 16 17 Senator Hatch. It would be Hatch Amendment No. 7, 18 for those who have that. The Chairman. Hatch-7. 19 20 Senator Hatch. Yes. But it is 28 on the Committee 21 amendment. 22 The Chairman. Hatch-7. 23 Senator Hatch. Yes. It would be Committee-28, 24 though. 25 The Chairman. Is that on GAO report?

1

Senator Hatch. Yes. Can I go ahead?

2 The Chairman. Go ahead.

3 Senator Hatch. All right. Mr. Chairman, this does
4 involve the GAO report on congressional and private
5 sector consultations.

6 Now, I offer Committee Amendment No. 28, which 7 requires GAO to submit a report to the Committee on the 8 nature and effectiveness of the Obama Administration's 9 trade consultation process since January 2009 for 10 Congress and private sector trade advisory committees and 11 other stakeholders, as they relate to these three trade 12 agreements, the WTO DOHA round of trade negotiations and 13 the negotiation of the Trans-Pacific Partnership 14 agreement.

As you are aware, the bipartisan Trade Promotion Act of 2002 requires that the Administration consult with Congress and other stakeholders before, during and after trade negotiations.

Earlier this year, Ambassador Kirk referred to, quote, "extensive consultation with the business community, labor, and Congress," unquote, regarding the Korea FTA. In fact, in the President's 2011 trade agenda, they write, quote, "As the new agreement with Korea was announced, a claim for the Administration's transparent and inclusive process of consultations with a
broad range of stakeholders was widespread," unquote. A "claim," in quotes, really?

Many of the private sector stakeholders that I talk to certainly do not sound like they are offering a claim to me. In fact, many of the companies and individuals with some of the broadest experience in trade negotiations and knowledge of the industries at stake had been formally removed from the process.

9 In the case of the South Korea implementing bill, I 10 am really stunned by this description concerning the fact 11 that about one-third of the proposed implementing bill 12 for the Korea FTA contains offsets and a TAA program that 13 were not discussed with me, my staff or any Republican on 14 the Committee.

Now, if this qualifies as, quote, "extensive consultation," unquote, I would hate to see what this Administration considers to be hiding the ball. And this from the Administration who claims to be, quote, "committed to the unprecedented level of openness," unquote.

21 Well, at least part of that description fits. The 22 consultations on the implementing legislation for these 23 FTAs may not have been open, but it was certainly 24 unprecedented and I hope never to be repeated.

25 Given this, I think it is entirely appropriate to

ask GAO to examine whether the Administration met its
 mandatory consultation obligations and how effective its
 outreach has been in developing policy both with regard
 to these FTAs and other ongoing negotiations, such as the
 TPP and the WTO DOHA round.

6 Finally, this Administration has made significant 7 changes to the industry trade advisory committee process. 8 This report will also help to inform Congress as to the 9 effectiveness of these changes and aid us in ensuring 10 that appropriate procedures are in place for ongoing and 11 future negotiations.

So I will try to be brief on these amendments, but I hope that is brief enough.

14 The Chairman. Is there any debate?

15 Senator Rockefeller?

16 Senator Rockefeller. Mr. Chairman, this is an 17 amazing amendment. I have never quite heard of asking 18 the GAO to come forth with a report on whether or not the 19 President has been adequate in his reaching out to the 20 Congress. I think that is, in any administration, under 21 any circumstances, a very strange idea.

In any event, we certainly do not need a GAO report to do that. Members have their own views on that. And I oppose the amendment.

25 The Chairman. Any other debate?

This has a certain appeal, this amendment, but, 1 2 unfortunately, I am going to have to oppose it for a 3 couple of reasons; basically, because Chairman Camp and I have worked out an agreement which we hope will pass both 4 bodies and if there is a change -- and once there are 5 6 changes on this side, it is going to open up the House 7 bill for additional changes, and that is going to 8 jeopardize this agreement.

9 Senators can always ask for GAO reports. I do not 10 think, however, that should be a part of this 11 legislation; that is, we do not need special legislation 12 to ask for a GAO report. Senators can always ask for GAO 13 reports. I think that is the better way for this issue 14 to be handled.

15 But I think it would be unwise to go down the road 16 to start passing amendments to this legislation. There 17 may be some in the nature of not really getting to the 18 heart of agreements, but in my opinion, because any 19 Senator can ask for a GAO report anyway and because this 20 is legislation to request a GAO report and it would 21 change and open up the House to its own changes, that it 22 would be wider if this amendment is not passed.

Senator Hatch. Mr. Chairman, if I could just add
one thing. The GAO report -- this is for my good friend
from West Virginia, Senator Rockefeller.

1 The Cha

The Chairman. I am sorry.

2 Senator Hatch. Just for my good friend from West 3 Virginia. The GAO report was done in 2007. This would just bring it up-to-date. And it was done during the 4 5 Bush Administration. So there is a precedent, but I 6 understand you are going to oppose it, and I would be 7 happy to vote on it. 8 The Chairman. If there is no further discussion, 9 the vote will be on the amendment. 10 All those in favor of the amendment, say aye. [A Chorus of Ayes.] 11 12 The Chairman. Those opposed, no? 13 [A chorus of Nays.] 14 The Chairman. The noes appear to have it. The 15 noes have it. The amendment is not agreed to. I will now turn to the Democratic side. 16 17 Senator Wyden? 18 Senator Wyden. Mr. Chairman, this is Wyden 19 Amendment No. 7, I guess, also, my first amendment. 20 The Chairman. I am sorry. Senator, again, which 21 one is it? 22 Senator Wyden. It is No. 7, Mr. Chairman. 23 The Chairman. Thank you. 24 Senator Wyden. On the enforcement. 25 The Chairman. Wyden-7?

1 Senator Wyden. Yes. It is Wyden-1, Amendment 7.

2

The Chairman. All right.

3 Senator Wyden?

4 Senator Wyden. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

5 Mr. Chairman, this is a bipartisan amendment. It is 6 backed by Democrats and Republicans on and off the 7 Committee, and it is urgently needed because the trade 8 cheats are on a rampage and see our trade enforcement 9 efforts as toothless, and here is exactly what is going 10 on.

11 The foreign trade cheats, especially from China, are 12 found guilty of dumping their goods in our country. 13 Instead of stopping the dumping, the Chinese goods are 14 shipped into Korea, for example, where the goods get 15 repacked into boxes that say "Made in Korea."

16 This is essentially merchandise laundering. And 17 once the U.S.-Korea free trade agreement goes into force, 18 my concern is Korea will become a super-magnet for 19 merchandise laundering.

20 Why, for example, would any Chinese trade cheat 21 launder their merchandise through, say, Malaysia, when 22 going through Korea would bless their merchandise with 23 duty-free status?

24 So for some time, this bipartisan group -- and I see 25 Senator Snowe here and she and I have worked on this for

several years now, Senator Schumer has been supportive,
 Senator Cardin and others have been involved in an effort
 to force the customs office to get back into the
 enforcement business and to make sure that we actually
 bring these enforcement actions in a timely way.

6 What this amendment does specifically is set in 7 place concrete timetables for both launching and 8 completing these investigations. It is an amendment 9 backed by business. It is an amendment backed by labor, 10 the Association of American Manufacturing Workers and 11 Business, for example, both support the amendment.

And my view is, especially in light of the talks that I heard from members on both sides of the aisle, for those of us who see expanding trade as absolutely essential to growing the American economy, we also need to understand we have got to enforce the laws on the books.

18 This gives us an opportunity to do it. Even Alan 19 Bersin, who heads the Customs Service, says that this 20 process is completely broken.

21 So I am very hopeful. Your staff, Mr. Chairman, has 22 been very supportive and very constructive on this, and I 23 would be happy to yield to Senator Snowe for any 24 commentns she would like to make, Senator Schumer, 25 Senator Cardin or others.

But labor, business, it generates revenue a time when, obviously, we need revenue, one of the few proposals that does, and I think we are going to have Senators speak, and I think we have worked out an agreement, Mr. Chairman.

6 The Chairman. Senator Snowe?

Senator Snowe.

7

8 I want to compliment Senator Wyden for crafting this 9 legislation and, in particular, this amendment to the 10 South Korean free trade agreement.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

11 It is critically important. I have heard from 12 numerous industries, not only in my State, but across the 13 country, how countries are -- and, specifically, as the 14 Senator mentioned, China -- invading through trans-15 shipments to other countries to avoid the duties that are 16 levied on their imports, misrepresentation of the 17 merchandise, underreporting the value of the merchandise.

18 So there are approximately 300 anti-dumping and 19 countervailing duty orders in place on various products 20 from steel nails and natural bristle paint brushes to 21 certain types of coated paper.

Notably, roughly one-third of these orders in the United States are against Chinese merchandise, and, not surprisingly, China is also one of the countries most often linked with anti-dumping and countervailing duty

1 evasion.

2 Workers in my State, and I know it is true, as well, 3 nationally, depend upon trade remedy laws and strong 4 enforcement of trade remedy laws as a vital lifeline. We 5 have an obligation every time we are considering a free 6 trade agreement to ensure that our government is standing 7 four-square with the workers in this country and the 8 industries to remain competitive.

9 And that has not happened, frankly, and it is one of 10 the weaknesses that continue to persist. And so Senator Wyden has identified a major loophole; it is to make sure 11 12 that the Customs and Border Protection is not only 13 identifying those who are attempting to evade, but to 14 make rue to levy those tariffs and to make sure they are 15 collected in a timely fashion, and, also, to make sure 16 the Department of Commerce initiates timely action, which 17 is also a major problem and an impediment to strong 18 enforcement of our trade remedy laws.

I know, for example, in the paper, "Industry in the State of Maine," they initiated a case against China recently and, in fact, the International Trade Commission ruled unanimously in favor of the industry that, in fact, China had been engaged in market-distorting effects.

24 So as a result, the Commission's decision, the 25 Department of Commerce, in this instance, finally, has

issued anti-dumping and countervailing duty orders that
 rate equal to the net subsidy and dumping margins to
 offset these unfair trade practices.

Now, it is also going to be up to U.S. Customs and
Border Protection to make sure that they are collecting
these duties in a timely fashion. And it is also
important to know who is evading those duties.

8 And so I think that the Senator's amendment goes a 9 long ways at addressing many of the gaping holes in our 10 system. It is meant to level the playing field for our 11 workers and our manufacturers, and make sure they are on 12 a level and competitive playing field.

Mr. Chairman, I do think that there are so many issues that this Committee has to address with marketdistorting trade practices by our trading partners, and so this is one step in the right direction and,

17 hopefully, there will be many more in the weeks to come.

18 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator.

19 Senator Schumer?

20 Senator Schumer. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will
21 be brief.

I want to thank Senator Wyden for his leadership on this issue. He is the leading voice on trade issues in our Senate. Thank Senator Snowe, as well.

25 There are so many industries that are affected by

this and, as we know, China, when it comes to trade issues, just does not play by the rules up and down the line, and I think we are all derelict in not doing enough here.

5 We lose millions of American jobs and literally 6 trillions of American dollars over a longer period of 7 time because of this.

8 One little example where this amendment affects is 9 an industry you would not think would be subject to this, 10 which is honey. The U.S. honey industry is actually 11 under siege from imports of Chinese-origin honey trans-12 shipped through third countries to evade payments of U.S. 13 anti-dumping duties.

The Chinese honey is often inferior. It is laced with antibiotics and other things. We have labeled this "honey laundering." It is the intentional mislabeling of the country of origin, costs the U.S. millions of dollars, threatens the health of the U.S. honey industry, and the whole honey industry across the country is really upset about this.

In my State, it is not just the duties we do not get and it is not even just the honey producers. It is all the crops that need honey. Soybeans and grapes and cucumbers and so many others in my State are really endangered by the fact that inferior honey on which the

1 duty is not paid is mislabeled as coming from a country 2 that has far higher standards, but it is Chinese.

And the Chinese do not do this -- this just is not one out of five or one out of ten -- they do this by design.

6 So I would urge the Administration to consider 7 putting the Wyden-Snowe amendment in their proposal. I 8 do not think it has objection and it is the kind of thing 9 that makes these kinds of free trade acts a lot easier to 10 pass, because Americans are generally for free trade if 11 they know it is a two-way street.

But too many Americans these days think it is an advantage to the other countries, particularly China, and not an advantage to us because they do not play by the rules.

16 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

17 Senator Cardin. Mr. Chairman?

18 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator.

19 Senator Cardin?

20 Senator Cardin. Just briefly. We have some good 21 anti-dumping and countervailing duty laws. The problem 22 is it is difficult to get them enforced.

Other countries do everything they can to avoid our anti-dumping and countervailing duty laws. So I think we need to use every opportunity we can to strengthen the

enforcement of the laws we already have on the books that
 deal with illegal practices of other countries.

China has been our number one target as it relates to the use of trans-shipments, and I want to thank Senator Wyden, Senator Snowe and Senator Schumer for their leadership on this.

7 I think we have an opportunity to strengthen the 8 enforcement of laws that are currently on the books. And 9 I understand the concern about amending this, but I would 10 hope there would be a way that we could get the 11 provisions of the Wyden amendment incorporated in the 12 trade agreements.

I agree with Senator Schumer, I do not believe this is controversial. We owe it to American industries to enforce our laws.

16 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator.

17 Senator Snowe. Mr. Chairman?

18 The Chairman. Yes, Senator Snowe?

Senator Snowe. Yes. I just want to add an additional point to the issue that Senator Schumer raised with respect to the honey industry.

The point is it is spreading to a number of industries with the anti-dumping and countervailing duty evasion schemes and it poses a real threat to other sectors of our economy during a very difficult time for

1 many of our companies during this downturn.

| 2  | So the point is here if we do not begin to capture   |
|--|--|
| 3  | this problem now and to address it in an aggressive way  |
| 4  | and remedy it and to make sure that our agencies are   |
| 5  | going to uphold the existing laws and to identify those  |
| 6  | who are involved in these schemes, it does pose a real   |
| 7  | threat to our economy, because it is going to spread to  |
| 8  | other sectors, as it already has begun to do so.   |
| 9  | The Chairman. Thank you, Senator.  |
| 10   | There is no question our country has to do a much  |
| 11   | better job enforcing our trade laws, anti-dumping and CVD  |
| 12   | laws. It is a bit of an embarrassment, in my judgment,   |
| 13   | that we have not enforced our laws better than we already  |
|  | -  |
| 14   | have.  |
| 14<br>15                                     | have.<br>I believe, further, that our failure to do so is  |
|  |  |
| 15   | I believe, further, that our failure to do so is   |
| 15<br>16                                     | I believe, further, that our failure to do so is very much at our peril and we must act much more  |
| 15<br>16<br>17                               | I believe, further, that our failure to do so is<br>very much at our peril and we must act much more<br>vigorously as a country.   |
| 15<br>16<br>17<br>18                         | I believe, further, that our failure to do so is<br>very much at our peril and we must act much more<br>vigorously as a country.<br>I thank Senator Wyden and Senator Snowe and other  |
| 15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19                   | I believe, further, that our failure to do so is<br>very much at our peril and we must act much more<br>vigorously as a country.<br>I thank Senator Wyden and Senator Snowe and other<br>Senators for this legislation. It is needed. The  |
| 15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20             | I believe, further, that our failure to do so is<br>very much at our peril and we must act much more<br>vigorously as a country.<br>I thank Senator Wyden and Senator Snowe and other<br>Senators for this legislation. It is needed. The<br>question is when do we get it passed.   |
| 15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21       | I believe, further, that our failure to do so is<br>very much at our peril and we must act much more<br>vigorously as a country.<br>I thank Senator Wyden and Senator Snowe and other<br>Senators for this legislation. It is needed. The<br>question is when do we get it passed.<br>I pledge to you, Senator, that I will find a way to  |
| 15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22 | I believe, further, that our failure to do so is<br>very much at our peril and we must act much more<br>vigorously as a country.<br>I thank Senator Wyden and Senator Snowe and other<br>Senators for this legislation. It is needed. The<br>question is when do we get it passed.<br>I pledge to you, Senator, that I will find a way to<br>get this legislation passed this year. Perhaps we can |

We cannot amend this bill with your bill right now, 1 2 but I very much applaud your efforts. It is critically 3 necessary. 4 Senator Hatch. Mr. Chairman, I will help you to do 5 that. 6 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator, very much. 7 Senator Wyden? Mr. Chairman, if I can just 8 Senator Wyden. 9 respond. And I thank Senator Hatch, as well. 10 With your assurance that we will get it done this year, that is something that I accept. I will withdraw 11 12 it at this point. 13 And, colleagues, here is the bottom line. This 14 problem is growing. It is growing because technology is 15 boosting it. It is growing because China is playing a 16 bigger role in our economy. 17 The most recent numbers indicate we are collecting 18 about 20 percent of what is owed. So at a time when our 19 companies are getting shellacked in these tough markets 20 through unfair practices and we are owed this money at a 21 time when the government clearly has to secure the 22 maximum amount of revenue, that is the reason business 23 and labor have come together. 24 And with your assurance, Mr. Chairman, that we will 25 get this done this year, I will withdraw it at this time.

1 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator. You have my 2 assurance, and you heard Senator Hatch, too. We are 3 going to find a way.

Senator Schumer. I thank you both.
The Chairman. Thank you very much.
Are there other Senators who wish to offer

7 amendments?

8 Senator Roberts?

9 Senator Roberts. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The 10 good news is I have eight, and the better news is I am 11 only going to offer four, and they have no CBO impact. 12 The amendment is No. 63, and it would simply 13 sequence the pending trade agreements with the TAA. Ιt 14 does exactly what it describes. It requires the 15 President to certify that the trade agreements with Colombia, Panama and Korea enter into force before the 16 17 TAA provision is included in the implementing language 18 may go into effect.

I know that there will be some on the other side, my good friends and colleagues, who will claim that the TAA is absolutely necessary and appropriate to go into effect, but it is not clear that the pending trade agreement will, in fact, create job loss.

In fact, the U.S.-Korea FTA is expected to increase exports by more than \$10 billion, adding 70,000 jobs.

I just do not think it is fair that the TAA would go into effect immediately upon the President's signature while Kansas farmers and exporters or, for that matter, from any State have to wait on the President to certify that Colombia, Panama and Korea have met the obligations of the trade agreements.

Basically, the amendment simply keeps TAA and the
pending FTAs at the same pace. They are at the same pace
now. They should be then, as well.

10 The Chairman. Is there discussion?11 Senator Bingaman?

12 Senator Bingaman. Mr. Chairman, the way I am 13 thinking about trade adjustment assistance, it is we are 14 trying to put in place again some of the benefits under 15 the trade adjustment assistance program for anyone who 16 loses a job as a result of international trade, not just 17 those that lose jobs as a result of these particular free 18 trade agreements.

So I think I would oppose the amendment. Clearly, I think we should have in place these trade adjustment assistance provisions and trying to link it to the effective date or the implementation date of the trade agreements, I think, would not make good sense.

| 24 | Senator | Hatch. | Mr. | Chairman? |
|----|---------|--------|-----|-----------|
|    |         |        |     |           |

25 The Chairman. Senator Hatch?

LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401 88

Senator Hatch. Mr. Chairman, as I have made clear,
 I oppose any inclusion of TAA in the FTA implementing
 bill or trade agreement implementing bill.

But if the Chairman insists upon keeping TAA in his markup recommendation, I fully endorse my colleague's amendment to postpone the expanded benefits included in the implementing bill until after all three FTAs have entered into force.

9 Now, since the Administration has demonstrated that 10 it favors a bloated domestic spending program over 11 passage and implementation of the three FTAs, I think 12 that Senator Roberts' amendment will inject the necessary 13 motivation into the Administration to enter all three 14 FTAs into force as soon as possible and will prevent 15 dithering and delay.

Now, Senator Roberts correctly said simply jamming TAA into the implementing language of the U.S.-Korea FTA is, quote, "necessary and appropriate," unquote, despite the fact that the ITC believes the agreement will increase exports by more than \$10 bilion, adding 70,000 jobs.

Now, I also agree that it is unfair if TAA is expanded immediately upon the President's signature while Kansas farmers and exporters have to wait on the President to certify that Colombia, Panama and Korea have

1 met the obligations of the respective trade agreements,
2 and I feel the same about my farmers, as well.

It has been over 4 years since these agreements were concluded. Yet, U.S. exporters have been denied access to these markets all that time. TAA, on the other hand, has not only continued, it has been expanded.

So I think enough is enough. So I support my friendand colleague's amendment.

9

The Chairman. Senator Roberts?

10 Senator Roberts. I would just like to say to my distinguished friend from New Mexico that what he pointed 11 12 out is exactly why we should not have TAA in this bill. 13 He has pointed out that it is not -- that the assistance 14 is not really relative to the three trade agreements. It 15 is to try to give assistance to people who need it because of trade, period, or globalization, as I 16 17 understood it before.

Basically, we are back to 2002. We are simply trying to put a stimulus, \$1.6 billion, back into the assistance based on the fact that we are moving a trade bill, and he has indicated that they are not connected.

22 So why are we doing this in the first place? All I 23 am saying is if you are going to do it, make it fair and 24 simply keep pace with the TAA and the FTAs at the same 25 time.

I do not have any farmer or rancher coming into my office wanting trade assistance, per se. I think they are for it, very understandably, but they have been wanting the FTA and it has been, what, 4 or 5, 6 years. The same folks who want the assistance are the same folks that were holding this up.

So I just think that we ought to keep pace here.
The Chairman. All right. I think it is not wise
to adopt this amendment, for a couple of reasons.

10 One, the reason mentioned by the Senator from New 11 Mexico, TAA is applied to anyone displaced by trade, not 12 just person displaced by trade because of these trade 13 agreements.

Second, the 2009 provisions expired. The 2002 are in place, but 2009 has expired. Chairman Camp and I have worked out a compromise on what the provisions should be midway virtually between 2002 and 2009.

18 It is a good compromise and we agreed to it. It is 19 bipartisan, obviously. And I go back to my first point, 20 too, namely, that TAA is for displaced workers. It is 21 not just for workers displaced on account of these 22 agreements.

I urge the amendment be not agreed to.
All those in favor of the amendment, say aye.
Senator Hatch. Can we have a roll call vote on

LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401 91

| 1  | that?  |
|----|--|
| 2  | The Chairman. A roll call vote is requested. The |
| 3  | Clerk will call the roll.                        |
| 4  | The Clerk. Mr. Rockefeller?                      |
| 5  | Senator Rockefeller. No.                         |
| 6  | The Clerk. Mr. Conrad?                           |
| 7  | The Chairman. No by proxy.                       |
| 8  | The Clerk. Mr. Bingaman?                         |
| 9  | Senator Bingaman. No.                            |
| 10 | The Clerk. Mr. Kerry?                            |
| 11 | The Chairman. No by proxy.                       |
| 12 | The Clerk. Mr. Wyden?                            |
| 13 | Senator Wyden. No.                               |
| 14 | The Clerk. Mr. Schumer?                          |
| 15 | The Chairman. No by proxy.                       |
| 16 | The Clerk. Ms. Stabenow?                         |
| 17 | Senator Stabenow. No.                            |
| 18 | The Clerk. Ms. Cantwell?                         |
| 19 | The Chairman. No by proxy.                       |
| 20 | The Clerk. Mr. Nelson?                           |
| 21 | The Chairman. No by proxy.                       |
| 22 | The Clerk. Mr. Menendez?                         |
| 23 | Senator Menendez. No.                            |
| 24 | The Clerk. Mr. Carper?                           |
| 25 | Senator Carper. No.                              |

| 1  | The Clerk. Mr.   | Cardin?       |
|----|------------------|---------------|
| 2  | Senator Cardin.  | No.           |
| 3  | The Clerk. Mr.   | Hatch?        |
| 4  | Senator Hatch.   | Aye.          |
| 5  | The Clerk. Mr.   | Grassley?     |
| 6  | Senator Hatch.   | Aye by proxy. |
| 7  | The Clerk. Ms.   | Snowe?        |
| 8  | Senator Snowe.   | No.           |
| 9  | The Clerk. Mr.   | Kyl?          |
| 10 | Senator Hatch.   | Aye by proxy. |
| 11 | The Clerk. Mr.   | Crapo?        |
| 12 | Senator Crapo.   | Aye.          |
| 13 | The Clerk. Mr.   | Roberts?      |
| 14 | Senator Roberts. | Aye.          |
| 15 | The Clerk. Mr.   | Enzi?         |
| 16 | Senator Enzi.    | Aye.          |
| 17 | The Clerk. Mr.   | Cornyn?       |
| 18 | Senator Hatch.   | Aye by proxy. |
| 19 | The Clerk. Mr.   | Coburn?       |
| 20 | Senator Hatch.   | Aye by proxy. |
| 21 | The Clerk. Mr.   | Thune?        |
| 22 | Senator Thune.   | Aye.          |
| 23 | The Clerk. Mr.   | Burr?         |
| 24 | Senator Hatch.   | Aye by proxy. |
| 25 | The Clerk. Mr.   | Chairman?     |

The Chairman. 1

No. 2 The Clerk will tally the vote, please. 3 The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the final tally is 10 4 ayes, 14 nays. 5 The Chairman. Ten ayes, 14 nays. It appears that 6 the amendment is not agreed to, and the amendment fails. 7 Senator Roberts. Mr. Chairman? 8 The Chairman. Senator Roberts, I would like to go 9 back and forth, if possible, on amendments. 10 Senator Roberts. All right. I am sorry. I thought we could take care of this pretty quick. This is 11 12 like 2:00 in the morning on the health care bill, and I 13 had 11 amendments and you simply defeated them all real 14 quick. 15 Let me just ask if any Democrats The Chairman. 16 would like to offer any amendments? 17 Senator Rockefeller? 18 Senator Rockefeller. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ιt 19 will come as no surprise this is a follow-on amendment to 20 my questions of Mr. Barthold. 21 And I am not going to insist on a vote on this, but

22 I want to say a couple of things. First of all, the 23 Chairman has been very good in that he has raised the health coverage tax credit -- tried to raise it to 80 24 25 percent, could not, and has settled at 72.5 percent.

So I want to make very clear that I am aware of his
 efforts on this.

I simply want to say that I think that this is incredibly important for people who are eligible for this. There is a big difference in the use between 80 and 72 and 65. They all involve human beings, and, where I come from, you darned well better care about that.

8 The 65 percent credit, the individual still has to 9 pay over \$2,000 out of pocket. That does not work in 10 West Virginia. When it was 80 percent after the Recovery 11 Act, still, 33 percent, as I indicated in my questions, 12 could not afford it.

So we have settled on 72 percent, and I would sure rather have 72 percent than 65 percent. I would rather have 80 percent than 72 percent. But I understand that the Chairman has worked hard on this and I do want to make that clear.

18 So I will not push my amendment for a vote. I do 19 want to, however, make that point that this is not just 20 about some ideological factor. This is about -- and 21 Senator Stabenow and I are together on this amendment. 22 This is about human beings. One other difference, I 23 guess, is that some care more about that than others. 24 I am not going to push my amendment for a vote, but 25 I do want to make the point, and I thank the Chairman.

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

95

The Chairman. Thank you, Senator, very much. 1 Ι 2 deeply appreciate the point you made. 3 Senator Roberts, do you wish to offer an amendment? Senator Roberts. Yes, sir. It is No. 64. It 4 5 requires that the Department of Agriculture and --6 Senator Stabenow. Mr. Chairman? I am sorry to 7 interrupt my friend, but I did want to speak on this 8 amendment. 9 The Chairman. I am sorry. 10 Senator Stabenow. I thought if I might ask my colleague and partner on the Agriculture Committee just 11 12 to withhold for a second, I did want to --13 The Chairman. Senator Stabenow? 14 Senator Stabenow. Thank you very much, Mr. 15 Chairman. 16 I did want to just register as a cosponsor of this 17 amendment, that the 80 percent health care tax credit has 18 really been a lifeline for people who have lost their jobs and health benefits as a result of trade-related 19 20 business, disruptions and jobs going overseas. 21 And there is a big difference between 65 and 70 and 22 80 percent when you do not have a job and are trying to 23 make sure you can keep your health care benefits. 24 It is also very critical in my State and a number of other States to thousands of retirees who lost their 25

health care and their retirement security when the PBGC took over their hard-earned pensions, and I am specifically thinking of Delphi retirees who have been hit very, very hard, and this credit has been incredibly important to them.

6 So I would like very much to see it at 80 percent. 7 I understand, Mr. Chairman, that you had to compromise in 8 order to get this into the bill. I congratulate you on 9 the fact that this enhanced credit is retroactive in the 10 underlying bill.

I think it is important to ensure that that is the case going forward. And I will continue to advocate for those who are in need of this tax credit, to make it the strongest opportunity to help them get health care that we can.

Thank you.

16

17 The Chairman. Senator Hatch, do you have an 18 amendment? 19 Senator Hatch. I thought you were going to Senator 20 Roberts. No, I think -- well, it is between 21 The Chairman. 22 the two of you. 23 Senator Hatch. If you want to go ahead -- I will 24 go ahead, if you do not mind. All right.

25 Well, I would call up Committee Amendment No. 30.

1 It would be Hatch Amendment No. 9, Committee Amendment 2 No. 30, for those who want to look it up. And this 3 regards the development of public outreach plans on the 4 effects of the international trade on U.S. workers.

5 Now, this amendment requires the Department of 6 Labor, on conjunction with the Department of Commerce and 7 the USTR, to develop and submit a plan to the Financed 8 Committee to improve outreach and education to TAA 9 eligible workers and the public on the benefits of 10 international trade to the U.S. economy and U.S. 11 competitiveness.

12 Unfortunately, polls show that the American people 13 are skeptical about the impact of international trade. 14 Many of them view it negatively. Given the information 15 out there in the popular media, it is easy to understand 16 why.

Every time a plant closes or a company moves part of its production overseas, there is an article in the local paper talking about how trade is hurting the community. Rarely do you see newspaper articles extolling the benefits of trade or talking about how international trade has resulted in an increased employment in a particular factory or community.

24 So my amendment would help to bring out this side of 25 the story so that the American people can have a better

understanding of the full effect of international trade
 on our economic prosperity both today and in the future.

Now, we also hear time and time again how trade adjustment assistance helps support the case for trade by helping those who may be dislocated by global competition. It is hard to understand how people say that TAA helps build support for trade. In fact, I think just the opposite is true.

9 Unions and other anti-trade zealots gleefully use 10 TAA data to make the case that trade causes outsourcing 11 and job loss. After all, the number of trade dislocated 12 workers is certified by the government.

As the program is expanded to include more and more people and entities, including community colleges, firms, farmers and fishermen, the myth that trade is bad for the American worker really finds ready fodder. Instead of helping build the case for trade, TAA certifications are used to show that trade is bad.

In the end, TAA is really just a government subsidy for anti-trade propaganda. If you do not believe me, just take a look at this report by Working America and the AFL-CIO, called "Outsourced."

23 Time and again, TAA certifications are cited
24 throughout the report to make the case that trade harms
25 workers and economy. And it is not just AFL-CIO. Take a

LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401 99

look at the newspaper article from the *Portland Tribune*,
 entitled "Are Workers Trading Down?" And that is an
 interesting article, at best here.

Now, the article cites example after example of TAA-4 certified workers as evidence of the negative effects of 5 6 trade. And if that point is not clear enough, it 7 provides a handy chart at the back, entitled "Where Jobs 8 Were Lost," citing specific TAA certifications for 9 companies like General Electric, Panasonic and Intel, 10 stating that, quote, "Here are Portland area employers 11 that are laying off workers. The U.S. Department of 12 Labor has certified that the layoffs were due to free 13 trade agreements, " unquote.

So I ask everybody, if TAA certifications are building support for trade and communities throughout the country, who needs enemies? Clearly, if we are going to continue providing special benefits for a particular class of workers, something has to be done to stop subsidizing anti-trade propaganda, which this certainly is, no question about it.

21 My amendment would be a good first step, requiring 22 DOL, Department of Labor, to develop a plan to better 23 educate the American worker and TAA-certified employees 24 about the overall effect of international trade on the 25 U.S. economy.

So I urge all my colleagues to support this 1 2 amendment. I think it is a step in the right direction 3 and it would certainly help to justify what we are trying to do with these trade agreements. 4 5 The Chairman. Any discussion? 6 Senator Bingaman? 7 Senator Bingaman. Mr. Chairman, I certainly do not 8 want to subsidize anti-trade propaganda. I do not know 9 that we want to subsidize pro-trade propaganda either, 10 though. Senator Hatch. That is what we are doing. 11 12 Senator Bingaman. Well, that seems to be what the 13 amendment does is to subsidize pro-trade propaganda. Ιt 14 calls on the Labor Department to put together a plan for 15 educating the public on the benefits of international 16 trade.

17 There are many benefits. I support these three 18 trade agreements, and I have supported every trade 19 agreement that has come before this Congress since I have 20 been in the Senate.

But there are also negative effects from trade and I would not want to support just a plan or direct that a plan be developed to talk just about the benefits.

24 Senator Hatch. Well, if I could answer. I think 25 my amendment just is talking about the impact of trade,

1 period. Now, it --

Senator Bingaman. That is not what the description
 says.

Senator Hatch. Well, I would be happy to work on
the language with you, if you have a better way of
expressing it. I would be happy to work with you on it.

Senator Bingaman. It says to improve public
outreach and education to eligible workers and the public
on the benefits of international trade.

10 And, certainly, as I say, I believe there are substantial benefits and I plan to vote for these trade 11 12 agreements because of that, but I just do not think it --13 I have an amendment that I hope to have considered where 14 we would call upon the International Trade Commission to 15 give us a report 10 years after each trade agreement is entered into or takes effect as to what the actual effect 16 17 of the trade agreement has been on the U.S. economy. I 18 think that is very useful factual information which 19 members of Congress ought to have and which the public 20 could benefit from.

But it would be a neutral requirement on them to give us a report on what the effect has been on the economy.

Senator Hatch. Well, let me just add this, Mr.Chairman.

What do we do about this kind of crappy propaganda 1 2 that literally is not accurate? And, frankly, I am 3 willing to work with people on the amendment, but we need to be able to talk about factual reality, how important 4 5 trade is, and get some of these sources to be a little 6 more perspicacious about what they are talking about. 7 Who put the outsource thing out? Senator Kerry. 8 Where is that coming from? 9 Senator Hatch. That was the AFL-CIO, if I 10 understand it. Well, it is not our job to be --11 Senator Kerry. 12 Senator Hatch. It is not our job to let them get 13 away with stuff like this either. 14 The Chairman. Wait a minute. That is it, let --15 Like us, we have an obligation, it Senator Hatch. 16 seems to me, to get the facts out here, and everybody 17 here admits that these trade agreements are absolutely 18 important, that they really create jobs, they are wonderful for our country, and yet we have stuff like 19 20 that and people think they are not wonderful because they 21 get this propaganda. The Chairman. First of all, let me say that much 22 23 of the outreach effort is already being conducted by the

to promote outreach under their 2011 national export

24

LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

Administration. In fact, the Administration is working

1 strategy.

| 2  | The Administration outlines the national outreach        |
|----|--|
| 3  | campaign strategy. They are doing this. Now, you may     |
| 4  | not like the way they are doing it. So we can work with  |
| 5  | the Administration to perhaps do it in a better way.     |
| 6  | If some organization has its own view about trade,       |
| 7  | certainly, that organization can say what it wants to    |
| 8  | say. But I think this amendment is duplicative, it is    |
| 9  | really unnecessary. The outreach is already being        |
| 10 | performed and I think it would be unwise to adopt this   |
| 11 | amendment. So I would urge us not to.                    |
| 12 | All those in favor of the amendment, say aye.            |
| 13 | Senator Hatch. Let us have a roll call vote on it.       |
| 14 | Let us have a roll call vote.                            |
| 15 | The Chairman. I am sorry?                                |
| 16 | Senator Hatch. Roll call vote.                           |
| 17 | The Chairman. You want a roll call vote on this.         |
| 18 | A roll call vote has been requested. The Clerk will call |
| 19 | the roll.  |
| 20 | The Clerk. Mr. Rockefeller?                              |
| 21 | Senator Rockefeller. No.                                 |
| 22 | The Clerk. Mr. Conrad?                                   |
| 23 | The Chairman. No by proxy.                               |
| 24 | The Clerk. Mr. Bingaman?                                 |
| 25 | Senator Bingaman. No.                                    |

| 1  | The Clerk. Mr.    | Kerry?        |
|----|-------------------|---------------|
| 2  | Senator Kerry.    | No.           |
| 3  | The Clerk. Mr.    | Wyden?        |
| 4  | Senator Wyden.    | No.           |
| 5  | The Clerk. Mr.    | Schumer?      |
| 6  | The Chairman. N   | No by proxy.  |
| 7  | The Clerk. Ms.    | Stabenow?     |
| 8  | Senator Stabenow. | . No.         |
| 9  | The Clerk. Ms.    | Cantwell?     |
| 10 | Senator Cantwell. | . No.         |
| 11 | The Clerk. Mr.    | Nelson?       |
| 12 | Senator Nelson.   | No.           |
| 13 | The Clerk. Mr.    | Menendez?     |
| 14 | Senator Menendez  | . No.         |
| 15 | The Clerk. Mr.    | Carper?       |
| 16 | Senator Carper.   | No.           |
| 17 | The Clerk. Mr.    | Cardin?       |
| 18 | The Chairman. N   | No by proxy.  |
| 19 | The Clerk. Mr.    | Hatch?        |
| 20 | Senator Hatch.    | Aye.          |
| 21 | The Clerk. Mr.    | Grassley?     |
| 22 | Senator Hatch.    | Aye by proxy. |
| 23 | The Clerk. Ms.    | Snowe?        |
| 24 | Senator Snowe.    | Aye.          |
| 25 | The Clerk. Mr.    | Kyl?          |

| 1  | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy.                      |
|----|---|
| 2  | The Clerk. Mr. Crapo?                             |
| 3  | Senator Crapo. Aye.                               |
| 4  | The Clerk. Mr. Roberts?                           |
| 5  | Senator Roberts. Aye.                             |
| 6  | The Clerk. Mr. Enzi?                              |
| 7  | Senator Enzi. Aye.                                |
| 8  | The Clerk. Mr. Cornyn?                            |
| 9  | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy.                      |
| 10 | The Clerk. Mr. Coburn?                            |
| 11 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy.                      |
| 12 | The Clerk. Mr. Thune?                             |
| 13 | Senator Thune. Aye.                               |
| 14 | The Clerk. Mr. Burr?                              |
| 15 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy.                      |
| 16 | The Clerk. Mr. Chairman?                          |
| 17 | The Chairman. No.                                 |
| 18 | The Clerk will report the vote.                   |
| 19 | The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the final tally is 11    |
| 20 | ayes, 13 nays.                                    |
| 21 | The Chairman. The amendment does not have         |
| 22 | sufficient votes. It fails                        |
| 23 | Are there further amendments?                     |
| 24 | Senator Stabenow?                                 |
| 25 | Senator Stabenow. Yes. Mr. Chairman, I would call |

up Stabenow Amendment No. 7. This relates to something 1 2 that is very important in the State of Michigan, and I 3 raise it in looking at the overall agreements, because we do address the customs fees between Canada and Mexico and 4 5 so on as part of paying for the agreement, which caused me to want to address something that is very important to 6 7 us in Michigan that relates to the fact that we are 8 receiving in Michigan about 90 percent of the foreign 9 waste that enters the U.S. in terms of trash trucks.

Former Homeland Security Secretary Ridge actually visited and others have visited since then looking at the security risk, because we are not adequately inspecting what are very dense trucks that do not have the ability to have the normal x-ray equipment see inside the trucks. It takes additional staff to be able to inspect them.

I have an amendment that would add an inspection fee so we could adequately address these shipments. I want to also indicate that Senator Levin and I worked with the government of Canada to actually reduce the number of trucks coming in through volunteer agreements with local municipalities, Toronto and the Ontario government.

We thank them for that. We have reduced the number of shipments coming in by 40 percent as a result of a voluntary agreement. They have increased landfills and increased our recycling and certainly have the capacity

1 to keep all their trash in their country. But at the 2 moment, it is still coming in.

3 So for us, this is a really important issue and the 4 ability to adequately -- we would like very much to stop 5 all the trucks, Mr. Chairman, but until we can do that, 6 making sure that they are adequately inspected and that 7 it is safe coming across our bridges is very, very 8 important, I believe, for homeland security.

9 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator. I know how 10 important it is. A few years ago, we were working on 11 legislation here in the Congress on interstate transport 12 waste and, obviously, the "not in my backyard" syndrome 13 was very popular.

I remember the Canadian issue, that those States on the Canadian border were very concerned about trash being imported into their States. And, frankly, I have forgotten how we finally resolved it, but I know then it was a huge issue. And listening to you, I can tell it is a big issue now today, as well. So we will figure out a way to solve.

Senator Stabenow. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I willnot ask for a vote.

23 The Chairman. I very sensitive to it. I know the 24 issue and we are trying to help out.

25 Senator Stabenow. I understand. I will not ask
1 for a vote, but I appreciate the Chairman's willingness

2 to work with me to get this resolved.

3 The Chairman. Thank you.

4 Further amendments?

5 Senator Roberts?

6 Senator Roberts. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is 7 Amendment No. 64. It simply requires the Department of 8 Agriculture and the USTR to meet with China and Japan 9 within the next 120 days to discuss unresolved beef trade 10 market access issues.

11 Our beef producers, as the Chairman knows, and 12 exporters have long suffered from unfair non-scientific 13 barriers that our foreign trade partners impose on our 14 exports of beef.

Despite the fact that the World Organization for Animal Health, that is called OIE, that is the acronym, has determined that U.S. beef is safe, countries like China and Japan refuse to allow U.S. beef exports based on sound science.

With regard to China, there has been no progress in resuming U.S. beef trade post-2003 due to the numerous hurdles posed by their government. In Japan, the export situation is a little bit better, but not much. Japan limits the U.S. beef exports from animals 20 months and under despite the fact that the OIE has determined that

all products from any age qualifies for safe export from 1 2 the United States.

3 My amendment merely requires the USTR and the USDA reengage their counterparts in both countries to reach 4 out for a resolution. 5

6 The Chairman. Is there discussion? 7 Senator Stabenow?

12

8 Senator Stabenow. Mr. Chairman, I would just 9 support my colleague's amendment. I could not agree more 10 that this needs to be addressed and I hope that we would accept the amendment. 11

The Chairman. Any other discussion? 13 Senator Roberts has raised a very good point. It is 14 very unfair that China effectively does not allow beef to be imported into their country. Japan, too, has 15 16 significant restrictions.

17 We had a very difficult time with Korea, getting Korea to just open up a little bit, despite a pledge by 18 19 Korea several years earlier to open to all ages, all cuts 20 of beef.

It is a huge issue and, obviously, we have to find a 21 22 way to address this effectively. It was my hope that 23 with the inclusion of the beef provision in the South 24 Korean agreement, that that would not only nudge South 25 Korea, but, also, China and other countries. That would

1 be a little more leverage.

2 We may have to address this a step at a time, but we 3 still have to address it very significantly

I will not take too much of the Committee's time here, but a good number of years ago, Korea was imposing many non-tariff trade barriers to prevent the importation of beef into Korea.

8 For example, they would keep their ships of American 9 beef out at sea for maybe a week or 2 before they could 10 dock, which meant the beef was rotten, or the cans on the 11 shelf were kept many, many months so that they were no 12 longer edible. Those were the non-tariff barriers that 13 Korea implemented at the time.

14 And many of us were quite upset with this. So I 15 organized many Senators to send a letter to -- I think it was President Li -- I have forgotten his name -- at the 16 17 time, who the president was at the time, saying, "We know 18 you are coming to the United States to address a joint 19 session of Congress. I am sure you do not want to be 20 embarrassed when lots of Senators stand up on the Senate 21 floor explaining how unfair you are, your country, in 22 allowing American beef in.

And guess what? Within 2 or 3 weeks, they backed
off. Within 2 or 3 weeks, they started allowing beef in.
Those non-tariff barriers were relaxed very

LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401 111

1 significantly. Now, many have been re-imposed.

It is really interesting and the real question is, how can web e effective here. It is not just beef, but it is all trade matters. No country, altruistically, out of the goodness of its heart, is going to lower a trade barrier. They just do not. They need leverage.

7 Talk does not do it. They need leverage. And one 8 minor problem I have with this amendment is it says we 9 have to meet and discuss, basically. My bitter 10 experience is that discussing does not do it and we, as 11 Americans, have to work harder to find the leverage that 12 is necessary to encourage other countries to do what we 13 know they should do.

14 That is why we have trade laws, for example. But is 15 a difficult issue, that is, these countries not taking 16 American beef and not taking American products on a fair 17 basis.

I would like to ask Ambassador Marantis if you could just tell us -- give us an update on the degree to which the USTR is meeting with China and Japan over the next l20 days to discuss beef.

22 What is your plan?

Ambassador Marantis. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And we share the frustrations of many of you about the slow pace of market access for our beef and beef products in

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

112

key Asian markets, like China, Japan, as well as Taiwan. 1 2 This is a huge priority for us. This is something 3 that we are working on on a daily basis. It has been frustrating. The progress has been slow, but we can 4 5 commit to you that we will continue actively to step up 6 our efforts to work in cooperation with USDA to get 7 China, Japan, Taiwan and our Asian partners to accept 8 U.S. beef.

9 The Chairman. I might say, too, knowing USTR the 10 way I do, I know that will be very tough. I might say 11 Ambassador Marantis is especially tough. He was formerly 12 my trade counsel and he is very effective and very good 13 at what he does.

14 Frankly, based upon the word of Ambassador Marantis 15 and USTR to undertake this effort, I do not see why this 16 amendment is really necessary.

Senator Roberts. Mr. Chairman, if I might. Mr.Chairman?

19 The Chairman. The Senator might withdraw the 20 amendment, because it is not going to advance the ball. 21 Senator Roberts. Could I ask the gentleman? When 22 is the last time that you met with China and Japan? 23 Ambassador Marantis. Senator, we raised --24 Senator Roberts. More particularly, China. 25 Ambassador Marantis. We raise this issue with our

Chinese and Japanese counterparts at every opportunity,
 whenever we meet them.

We had a team in China last week to conduct the midyear review of the JCCT, and this is an issue that is very much on the agenda.

6 Senator Roberts. But my point is that with these 7 three trade pacts, more especially with South Korea, that 8 it could be used as an important catalyst, segue, if you 9 will, to having -- to at least say let us discuss this.

All I am asking for is a meeting, Mr. Chairman. I mean, I do not know why there is any opposition to a meeting. We have meetings here to have meetings.

The Chairman. This amendment has a flavor of more
meetings. You might want to get results, frankly.

15 Senator Roberts. Well, I do not know what leverage 16 you are talking about, sir, but maybe you and I could sit 17 down and then when they had the meeting, we would offer 18 that leverage to them. I do not know. But it just seems 19 to me that if we let -- I mean, this is a god opportunity 20 to bring this up and say, within 120 days, let us give it 21 a shot.

The Chairman. Well, there is no practical effect of the amendment if nothing happens in 120 days.

I appreciate the concern. We all have the same concern. I urge us not to adopt the amendment.

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

114

1 All those in favor of the amendment --

2 Senator Hatch. Do you want a roll call vote? 3 Senator Roberts. Yes, sir. I just think expressing congressional support certainly is a good 4 5 start and they could go with that, and then more especially with the trade pacts. 6 7 So I would like a recorded vote. 8 The Chairman. Senator Nelson is recognized. 9 Senator Nelson. Mr. Chairman, this amendment 10 requires the USDA and the USTR meet with China and Japan 11 within the next 120 days to discuss unresolved beef trade market access issues, which hurts. 12 13 The Senator from Michigan, this practice hurts. A 14 lot of people do not know that Florida is one of the 15 largest beef States. 16 So I want to ask the Ambassador. Do you commit to 17 doing this within the next 120 days? 18 Ambassador Marantis. Senator, we will meet with 19 our Japanese and Chinese counterparts within the next 120 20 days to discuss these issues. 21 Senator Nelson. All right. That is good enough. 22 The Chairman. Senator Stabenow? 23 Senator Stabenow. Mr. Chairman, that was the same 24 question I was going to ask, because I -- certainly, Mr. 25 Chairman, you have been a champion on this issue and,

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

115

1 certainly, I understand it, I think, better than all of 2 us, although we certainly, those of us on the Agriculture 3 Committee, share the same concern that you have about 4 what is happening here.

5 I just want to make sure that we have an ironclad 6 commitment that this is going to happen and that you are 7 going to continue to focus on this.

8 I appreciate if the Chairman does not want to add 9 this in terms of this particular markup, but I know we 10 all share a great sense of urgency about this and I think 11 it is very important and we will monitor this and ask for 12 specific follow-up from you as to what happened -- if you 13 are meeting, what happened, and where we go from here, 14 because this is incredibly important to many of us.

Senator Roberts. Mr. Chairman, if I might.
Everybody has talked very favorably about this amendment
taking place and the USTR has indicated that they are
going to go over. Then we should all simply vote yes.

19 The problem is there has been no progress even since 20 2003. That is 8 years. So at least we have a provision 21 in here that you have congressional backing and 22 congressional intent certainly is important. I do not 23 quite get it in regards to this requirement.

If they are going to fulfill it, this gives congressional intent to back it up, other than making

speeches. And I understand making speeches is important, 1 2 but this says here is a deadline, please go meet with 3 your Chinese counterparts and let us get this thing 4 hammered out. This gives a little more enforcement or power or 5 6 whatever you want or congressional intent to indicate 7 that. 8 In any case, I want a record vote. 9 The Chairman. A recorded vote has been requested. 10 The Clerk will call the roll for a tally vote. 11 The Clerk. Mr. Rockefeller? 12 Senator Rockefeller. No. 13 The Clerk. Mr. Conrad? 14 The Chairman. No by proxy. 15 The Clerk. Mr. Bingaman? 16 Senator Bingaman. No. 17 The Clerk. Mr. Kerry? 18 Senator Kerry. No. 19 The Clerk. Mr. Wyden? 20 Senator Wyden. No. 21 The Clerk. Mr. Schumer? 22 The Chairman. No by proxy. The Clerk. Ms. Stabenow? 23 24 Senator Stabenow. Pass. 25 The Clerk. Ms. Cantwell?

| 1  | Senator Cantwell. No.        |
|----|------------------------------|
| 2  | The Clerk. Mr. Nelson?       |
| 3  | Senator Nelson. No.          |
| 4  | The Clerk. Mr. Menendez?     |
| 5  | The Chairman. No by proxy.   |
| 6  | The Clerk. Mr. Carper?       |
| 7  | Senator Carper. No.          |
| 8  | The Clerk. Mr. Cardin?       |
| 9  | The Chairman. No by proxy.   |
| 10 | The Clerk. Mr. Hatch?        |
| 11 | Senator Hatch. Aye.          |
| 12 | The Clerk. Mr. Grassley?     |
| 13 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy. |
| 14 | The Clerk. Ms. Snowe?        |
| 15 | Senator Snowe. Aye.          |
| 16 | The Clerk. Mr. Kyl?          |
| 17 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy. |
| 18 | The Clerk. Mr. Crapo?        |
| 19 | Senator Crapo. Aye.          |
| 20 | The Clerk. Mr. Roberts?      |
| 21 | Senator Roberts. Aye.        |
| 22 | The Clerk. Mr. Enzi?         |
| 23 | Senator Enzi. Aye.           |
| 24 | The Clerk. Mr. Cornyn?       |
| 25 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy. |

1 The Clerk. Mr. Coburn?

2 Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy. 3 The Clerk. Mr. Thune? Senator Thune. 4 Aye. 5 The Clerk. Mr. Burr? 6 Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy. 7 The Clerk. Mr. Chairman? 8 The Chairman. No. 9 The Clerk will report the vote. 10 The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the finally tally is 11 11 ayes, 12 nays, and 1 pass. The Chairman. There is an insufficient number of 12 13 votes for the amendment. The amendment is not agreed to. 14 Do other Senators wish to -- Senator Nelson? 15 Senator Nelson. Mr. Chairman, I have filed an 16 Amendment No. 20. I am not going to offer it, and I want 17 to engage in a colloquy with you and Ambassador Marantis. 18 As I said in some opening comments, I am concerned 19 about fishermen and shrimpers having the ability to get 20 trade adjustment assistance because of technicalities in 21 the law, and the TAA for farmers program is intended to 22 help farmers and fishermen address the increased import 23 competition by providing technical assistance and grants 24 to help them improve their competitiveness. 25 This is what TAA is all about. Well, the shrimpers

and the fishermen in not only my State, but all over the
 Gulf and all over the Atlantic, and I am sure the
 Pacific, as well, have faced significant hurdles in
 obtaining the benefits under this program.

5 And it is important that several eligibility rules 6 are clarified to ensure that the program works as 7 intended, and these are likely not to increase any cost 8 for the program--this is just clarification--so that 9 fishermen and shrimpers are eligible as intended.

10 The Department of Agriculture does not maintain 11 regional or county level information on fish prices. But 12 the Department of Commerce does.

13 So Ambassador Marantis, if the USDA does not 14 maintain this price information, what in the world are 15 the farmers, the shrimpers, the fishermen, what are they 16 going to do to demonstrate their eligibility under TAA 17 that traditionally has been for the farmers?

Ambassador Marantis. Thanks, Senator Nelson. And I fundamentally agree with you that we need to treat our farmers and fishermen the same by providing them equal access to the TAA program.

Individual farmers and fishermen have three options right now to demonstrate eligibility. As you mentioned, the first one is to use data maintained by USDA to demonstrate a price decline.

There are two other options to farmers and

2 fishermen. The second one is to allow them to show that 3 their production declined compared to the previous year.

And the third option allows farmers and fishermen to show that the price for their product decline compared to the average price in the previous 3 years.

In other words, farmers and fishermen do not need to
depend on USDA data, but can provide their own quantity
or price information to qualify for TAA benefits.

But I agree with you that this produces an administrative burden on small farmers and fishermen, and I will commit to you, Senator Nelson, that the Administration will continue to work with those fishermen in your State to produce the data needed to demonstrate their eligibility for the TAA for farmers program.

Senator Nelson. Not just my State, but the entire country.

18 Ambassador Marantis. Yes.

1

Senator Nelson. Mr. Chairman, what do you think?
The Department of Commerce has the data. What do you think?

The Chairman. It seems to me, Senator, that based on what Ambassador Marantis said, and it makes sense, that wherever the data is, we ought to get the right data so that he fishermen and shrimpers can get the benefits

1 that they are due, whether it is Commerce, USDA or 2 whatnot.

3 I mean, there are three tests there, but I just think that with extra effort by ambassador Marantis and 4 the Administration to find the right data, so that 5 shrimpers can get the right data, that should suffice. 6 7 I am in colloquy now with the Senator from Florida. 8 Senator Nelson. Does the Senator from Wyoming want 9 to ask me a question? Senator Enzi. Yes. 10 Senator Nelson. Well, may I finish here, and then 11 12 I would yield to the Senator? 13 Senator Enzi. Certainly. 14 Mr. Chairman, it seems to me like Senator Nelson. 15 it is a no-brainer. If existing law has this data for 16 fishermen and shrimpers in the Department of Commerce, 17 but existing law does not, in the USDA, but the existing 18 law says you use the USDA data or the individual shrimper 19 or fisherman's data, it seems to me that we ought to just 20 change the law so that that data that the U.S. Government 21 has can be used by those shrimpers and fishermen. 22 What do you think about that? 23 The Chairman. Let me ask Ambassador Marantis. То 24 what degree will fishermen efficiently, expediently get 25 the right data so they can get the benefits?

I understand the conflict between USDA and Commerce,
 but it comes down to getting the right data.

Ambassador Marantis. It does pose an administrative burden on small farmers and fishermen. We agree with that, and that is why we will work with you to redouble our efforts to help small farmers and fishermen access the data and produce the data that they need so that they are able to demonstrate eligibility for the program.

10 The Chairman. But still it is a good elusion. If 11 USDA has historical provided the data -- well, Commerce 12 has the data. So how are you going to get two agencies 13 together? Sometimes that takes a long time.

Ambassador Marantis. It would be good for farmers and fishermen to have access to U.S. Department of Commerce data, as well, because I think it would help them demonstrate eligibility. And this is something, I think, that we should collectively work on going forward as we contemplate future enhancements to the TAA for farmers program.

21 Senator Nelson. Then I would like to ask, Mr. 22 Chairman, does that mean that the gentleman -- the 23 ambassador, the former ambassador -- that you would --24 no. I guess in your present position, that is right. 25 You and the heads of USTR are called ambassador.

Well, Mr. Ambassador, does that mean that you all would support that technical minor change in the statute which USDA would be able to receive the Department of Commerce information that is already within the bosom of the United States Government to help out these poor little shrimpers and fishermen?

7 Ambassador Marantis. Sir, we would support the 8 substance of what you said. I think there are other 9 contexts that we would be able to address this rather 10 than right here now. But we do support the substance of 11 that.

12 The Chairman. I would say to my friend from 13 Florida, too, I will do all I can to help make sure that 14 the shrimpers get the right information and get justice 15 here.

16 There is a glitch, there is no doubt about it, and 17 the trouble is this would be a statutory change and once 18 you start changing the statute, then we are opening up a 19 huge can of worms here.

20 What you really care about is getting the results. 21 And I will work with you, with Ambassador Marantis and 22 others to make sure we get the right results so that the 23 shrimpers get their --

Senator Nelson. I want to thank you for that.Now, there is a second issue faced by these folks and

1 that is the definition of "farming income."

And under this program, farmers and fishermen cannot have more than \$750,000 in adjusted gross farm income. It is my understanding that USDA considers fishing income s farm income.

6 Mr. Ambassador, is that correct? Would the \$750,000 7 cap apply the same for fishing income?

8 Ambassador Marantis. Yes, Senator Nelson, that is 9 correct. The Administration interprets fishing income as 10 farm income and, therefore, the adjusted gross fishing 11 income is capped at \$750,000.

12 Senator Nelson. Mr. Chairman, this is, of course, 13 a minor matter, but it is pretty big. You know what 14 happened to the fishing industry after the Gulf Oil spill, and I have seen these people just barely able to 15 16 eke out a living, and not necessarily just on the Gulf 17 Coast, on the Atlantic Coast, as well. And with the cost 18 of fuel and everything, we definitely need to make sure 19 that these people have a break to get assistance under 20 TAA, and that is the whole point.

21 And I appreciate the assurance that you, Mr. 22 Chairman, have given me, and you, Mr. Ambassador.

23 The Chairman. I will repeat my assurance, because 24 you are right, we will find a solution.

25 We are going back and forth here.

Senator Enzi. Mr. Chairman, this is not for an 1 2 amendment. This is in regard to what he is raising. 3 The Chairman. Go ahead. Fine. So are we now saying that trade 4 Senator Enzi. 5 assistance should include Gulf Oil spills because that is a trade issue? 6 7 The Chairman. No, no. No, no, no, no. No, no, 8 no, no. 9 Senator Enzi. I am a little confused on that. 10 The Chairman. No, no. And I was also very surprised when 11 Senator Enzi. 12 I took at look at this farm provision to find out that it 13 is very limited assistance, because it only goes to 14 farmers who have asparagus, catfish, shrimp, lobster, or 15 blueberries. That is a pretty small part of our farming 16 industry, and I was kind of curious as to why that is 17 what is covered in here and how they would ever show a 18 problem. 19 The Chairman. Does anybody on the staff want to 20 answer that? 21 Well, let me take a crack at it, because, look, 22 shrimp is not just because it is in the Gulf. It is 23 shrimp that is displaced by trade. Other countries sell 24 shrimp or they -- I do not know what they all do. But 25 anyway, it displaces shrimpers' business in that State.

1 And those other products that you mentioned are in 2 the same categories. It is different from wheat, it is 3 different from other farmers, where it is very difficult 4 to show any displacement.

Shrimpers and blueberries, and I have forgotten 5 6 which country blueberries come from, but it is much more 7 identifiable to show -- it can more easily be shown that 8 the workers in those industries are displaced by trade. 9 Senator Enzi. Do we not just have to do like we did with the catfish and force them to rename theirs? 10 The Chairman. Senator Stabenow? 11 12 Senator Stabenow. Well, Mr. Chairman, I just 13 wanted to emphasize what you had said. There are 14 specific areas, asparagus being one by Peru where we have 15 seen our farmers really decimated and there are very 16 specific trade links to the categories that are included. 17 The Chairman. Senator Hatch? 18 Senator Hatch. Well, Mr. Chairman, I do oppose 19 this amendment, and I will tell you why. I understand 20 that my friend and colleague from Florida is trying to 21 achieve equity between fishermen and --Senator Nelson. I have not offered the amendment. 22 23 Senator Hatch. You have not offered it yet. 24 Senator Nelson. No, sir. 25 Senator Hatch. Are you going to offer it?

Senator Nelson. No, sir. I said that at the
 outset.

3 Senator Hatch. I missed that.

4 Senator Nelson. I wanted some assurance and I have5 the assurance.

6 Senator Hatch. Well, let me just make my point 7 then and let it go at that. I do appreciate your 8 feelings and what you are trying to at least get 9 assurance on.

One of my biggest concerns with the TAA program, in 10 general, is that it is not equitable. By its very 11 12 nature, some people get better benefits than others. 13 This is the problem as Ronald Reagan saw it, and let me 14 just give you his quote. "The purpose of TAA is to help 15 these workers find jobs in growing sectors of our 16 economy. There is nothing wrong with that. But because 17 these benefits are paid out on top of normal unemployment 18 benefits, we wind up paying greater benefits to those who 19 lose their jobs because of foreign competition than we do 20 to their friends and neighbors who were laid off due to 21 domestic competition. Anyone must agree that this is 22 unfair," unquote.

23 So his point was the program is, by its nature, 24 fundamentally unfair. And I find it interesting that the 25 TAA is where the Obama Administration chose to draw its

line in the sand, fighting for special benefits for the
 few at the expense of the many.

Now, nothing contrasts the governing philosophies,
it seems to me, between the Reagan Administration and the
Obama Administration better than their attitudes about
TAA.

Now, I do appreciate your interest in promoting
equity and fairness, but I think that we would all be
better off if we simply eliminated the program and found
a better way of doing it.

11 The Chairman. All right. There is no amendment in 12 order. I think our next amendment is on the other side. 13 Senator Kerry. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to ask 14 a question. It is my understanding, and we have sort of 15 gone through this process for a while, that if an 16 amendment were to pass, that this would have to be 17 resubmitted.

18 The Chairman. No. If it is going to pass, we can 19 act on the amendment.

20 Senator Kerry. I beg your pardon?

21 The Chairman. If the amendment were to pass, it

22 will have passed.

23 Senator Kerry. And what would be the state of play 24 with respect to the agreement?

25 The Chairman. Then they would be contained in the

1 agreement.

Senator Hatch. Well, the Administration can do 2 3 whatever it wants to do. The Chairman. And, again, this is --4 5 Senator Kerry. I understand. I understand. Ts 6 there any reason, Mr. Chairman, why we could not, as we 7 have in the past on many occasions in this Committee and in other committees, pass this out or have the vote on it 8 9 subject to amendment? 10 I realize it is important for a lot of Senators to raise these amendments and to have this discussion, but 11 12 could we not perhaps do that and facilitate the process, 13 which we often do? 14 The Chairman. Let me ask other Senators their 15 views on that subject. 16 Senator Hatch. Well, let me just make one other 17 point here, and that is that it is not in the agreement.

18 It is not in the free trade agreement. It is in the 19 implementing legislation. So that just needs to be 20 clarified.

21 The Chairman. But the question is Senator Kerry's 22 suggestion.

Senator Kerry. Right. I am not aware of any
amendment that, according to the early prognosis, is
going to pass. So we can have a lot of chatter about it,

1 if we want, but I am not aware of it.

2 It seems to me that it would be both courteous and 3 expeditious if we were to do what we have often done, as I said, in this Committee and in other committees, which 4 5 is pass this out subject to amendment, it might accelerate the process. 6 The Chairman. 7 Senator Hatch? 8 Senator Hatch. For the Senator's benefit, I will 9 do some checking on our side and see how many amendments we have and see what can be done here. But I think it is 10 important to have amendments and have votes on them, to 11 12 the extent that either side cares to do so. 13 T think both sides --14 Senator Kerry. It would not preclude you from 15 having amendments. 16 Senator Hatch. I know, and that is what I am 17 saying. Well, I will do my best to see what can be done. 18 The Chairman. Unless I am persuaded to the 19 contrary, we will proceed according to the usual course 20 of business; namely, we vote amendments, they are either 21 in the agreements or not in the agreements, and take them 22 in time. 23 Senator Thune? 24 Senator Thune. Mr. Chairman, thank you. And I 25 cannot believe the Senator from Massachusetts does not

1 think that he might be persuaded by the eloquence of our 2 arguments and actually vote for one of these.

3 The one thing that comes out of this discussion is how important trade is to our economy. I am very 4 5 sympathetic to the arguments made by Senator Wyden from 6 Oregon about enforcement of trade laws. As was noted, we 7 have a lot of honey producers, American honey production 8 that is dramatically impacted by the cheating that goes 9 on by the Chinese in trans-shipping honey through other 10 countries.

And Senator Roberts' point about expanding beef 11 12 imports to South Korea is a very relevant one, something 13 that I have a great interest in, as do, I think, most 14 States that have a significant amount of beef production. 15 And that is why I think it is so important that we 16 put in place trade promotion authority. And what this 17 amendment would do is simply extend trade promotion 18 authority through the end of 2015 so that this President and whoever is president after the next president has a 19

20 strong hand in our government as our government21 negotiates future trade agreements.

I think everybody here knows the most recent TPA expired on July 1 in 2007, which was near the end of the last administration. This Administration has had 2.5 years now to propose an extension, but has not done so.

And this amendment, as I said, would extend TPA for trade
 agreements entered into through December 31 of 2015.

Now, the Administration's stated goal of doubling U.S. exports within 5 years depends upon finalizing the Trans-Pacific Partnership and other new market opening trade agreements. It is highly unlikely that foreign governments are going to agree to any meaningful trade agreements with the United States unless the President has trade promotion authority.

10 If TPA is not included in this trade package, it is 11 unclear if there is going to be an opportunity to extend 12 TPA before the 2012 elections.

13 The 2002 trade promotion authority that this 14 amendment would extend has been incredibly successful in 15 providing new market access for American producers. 16 Under the 2002 TPA agreements between the U.S. and Chile, 17 Singapore, Australia, Morocco, Dominican Republic, 18 Central American nations, Bahrain, Oman, and Peru were 19 enacted, and the three agreements that are under 20 consideration today, which are Korea, Colombia and 21 Panama, were signed.

Including an extension of TPA in this trade package is every bit as necessary and appropriate as including trade adjustment assistance, a program without any direct linkage to the Korea, Panama or Colombia agreements.

1 TPA and TAA have historically moved together, such 2 as was the case in the trade bills in 1979, 1984, 1988, 3 and 2002. An aggressive U.S. trade agenda is important 4 to U.S. exports and U.S. jobs and while the U.S. runs a 5 considerable trade deficit, America runs a trade surplus 6 with the 17 nations with which we have free trade 7 agreements.

8 According to the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, 2010 9 marked the third straight year that the U.S. enjoyed a 10 surplus in manufactured goods in excess of \$20 billion 11 with our FTA partners.

So what I would say, Mr. Chairman, and to my colleagues on the Committee, is that if we are serious about advancing a trade agenda, if we are serious about doubling exports in 5 years, we have got to give the Administration the authority to negotiate these trade agreements.

Absent that, we are going to be locked out of so many opportunities around the world, and the numbers are very clear. They do not lie. Where we have free trade agreements in place, we run surpluses. American businesses and agricultural producers can compete and can win that war if they have a level playing field and a fair opportunity to get into the game.

25 So I think this is a perfectly relevant amendment,

an opportunity for us to get trade promotion authority
 extended. This amendment would do that through 2015, and
 I would urge my colleagues to support the amendment.

The Chairman. Thank you, Senator. I think it is a very appropriate subject and trade promotion authority is very appropriate at the appropriate time. Now is not the time.

8 Let me ask Ambassador Marantis if the Administration 9 is seeking trade promotion authority in this bill.

Ambassador Marantis. Senator, as you said, TPA is very important and at some point, the Administration is going to want to work very closely with this Committee to grant the Administration trade promotion authority.

Every president has had it in the past, but as you just stated, Mr. Chairman, now is not the time.

16 The Chairman. I might also add it takes time, too, 17 to negotiate the provisions for trade promotion 18 authority. It is not just an amendment we just pass. We 19 have to negotiate out environmental protections, labor 20 standards, intellectual property provisions.

There is a long list and duration and so forth, and that would take a long, long time, especially in the current context. It would also be an addition which would open up this legislation and force the House -- not force the House, but encourage the House to pass any

1 manner of amendments.

2 So I, frankly, think that now is not the time and 3 place, and I urge that -- frankly, if you want to, 4 Senator, you can withdraw the amendment.

5 Senator Thune. I am sure you would give me that 6 option, Mr. Chairman.

7 The Chairman. Or we can voiced it or whatever you8 want to do.

9 Senator Thune. Look, I mean, I think that if we 10 were having clean votes on these three trade agreements, 11 it would be one thing. But the trade adjustment 12 assistance which is being incorporate into this 13 discussion and, of course, into the Korea free trade 14 agreement, I think, historically has moved with TPA.

15 It strikes me, at least, that if we are going to 16 have the debate about trade adjustment authority, that 17 moving TPA makes a lot of sense.

18 If there was some hope that we would get to this in the not too distant figure, it might make sense. 19 But 20 like I said, I see the clock ticking, and, particularly, 21 the Trans-Pacific Partnership is so important to our 22 ability to grow the economy and create jobs and open 23 export opportunities for American business, and we are 24 not going to get that done unless we have TPA in place. 25 And I do not see a window to get this done if we do not

1 do it now, between now and the 2012 elections, and then
2 you are just putting this thing back even further and
3 further.

We have been without for 4 years and, as I pointed out, when we do that, we lose out on significant market opportunities.

So I would like to see us act on this and I wouldhope that you would, too.

The Chairman. Senator Hatch?

9

10 Senator Hatch. Mr. Chairman, I agree with the 11 distinguished Senator. It seems to me the Ambassador is 12 guaranteeing that they are going to bring TPA up sometime 13 in the future. I do not know why it should not be 14 brought up right now.

15 Tariff-negotiating authority has been the norm
16 rather than the exception for much for much of this past
17 century.

18 Congress first authorized reciprocal negotiating 19 authority in 1934 to help pull the U.S. economy out of 20 the depression. That authority was renewed 11 times 21 between 1934 and 1962.

In 1974, Congress first authorized the President to negotiate tariff and non-tariff barriers and bring them back for congressional consideration on an expedited basis without amendment.

Every President since 1974 has sough this authority
 from Congress, every one.

3 President Ford argued that the legislation, quote,
4 "enables the United States to play a leading role in
5 multilateral negotiations," unquote.

President Carter said that the legislation, quote,
"solidifies America's position in the international
community," unquote.

9 Ronald Reagan extolled the virtues of TPA, noting
10 that when properly used, it, quote, "manifestly serves
11 our national economic interests," unquote.

President H.W. Bush noted that the extension of TPA was, quote, "in the vital national interest," unquote, of the United States and, quote, "absolutely fundamental to our major foreign policy objectives."

President Clinton argued strenuously for TPA, making the case that, quote, "The legislation will give us the authority to increase access to foreign markets. If we don't seize those opportunities, our competitors surely will" and, quote, "America last strategy is unacceptable," unquote.

Now, President George W. Bush successfully made the case that the TPA was critical to opening up markets around the world and more often than not, Congress granted the President the authority sought. President

Bush used the authority to negotiate 11 trade agreements
 with 17 different countries.

Now, some criticized President Bush's approach and choice of negotiating partners, but let me make one thing clear. If it was not for President Bush's leadership in seeking TPA and negotiating agreements with Colombia, Panama and South Korea, we would not be here today.

8 In fact, President Obama, while touting the 9 importance of exports, has been virtually silent on the 10 need for TPA. This is the first time I have heard 11 anybody from the Administration say, "Well, we're going 12 to do this. Why not now? Why wait until we get totally 13 into this election process?"

And I think the Obama position stands in stark contrast to virtually every modern day president before him. Instead of leading on TPA, this President has consistently ducked the issue, avoided the debate, and let America continue to fall further behind, which is exactly what is happening.

The America last strategy is unacceptable. Now, this amendment would help our trade negotiators reestablish our economic leadership in the world and seize foreign markets before they are lost for good.

With unemployment at outrageously high levels, over
9 percent, we should be doing everything in our power to

1 create jobs and expanding U.S. access to 95 percent of 2 consumers who live outside our borders, that is one 3 obvious way to do it.

4 So I sure offer my support for this amendment. I 5 wish my colleagues on the other side would see the value 6 of it, and it would be good for the President to know how 7 we feel about this by passing this amendment.

8 The Chairman. I do not think any minds are going 9 to be changed by this debate.

10 Senator Hatch. Well, fine.

11 The Chairman. Senator Kerry?

Senator Hatch. That does not mean we should not make it --

14 The Chairman. You sought recognition.

15 Senator Hatch. I am not quite through yet.

16 The Chairman. Sorry.

17 Senator Hatch. I would just ask Ambassador

18 Marantis. Let me ask you this.

Do you think that the USTR should have trade promotion authority? Would it not make your job easier while negotiating Trans-Pacific Partnership? I would like an answer to that.

Ambassador Marantis. Senator, not necessarily. We have been engaged in negotiations for the Trans-Pacific Partnership now for over a year and we have had very

1 successful negotiations thus far.

There has been a lot of momentum with our TPP trading partners. We are up in your offices very often consulting on our negotiating position, and it has been working very smoothly so far, and we are confident that we will continue to make progress, particularly in the run-up to when President Obama hosts the APEC leaders in Honolulu later in November.

9 Senator Hatch. Well, does President Obama want
10 trade promotion authority? That is what I would like to
11 know, because he surely has been silent on it.

Ambassador Marantis. At some point, Senator, we will want to work with Congress on a grant of fast-track trade promotion authority, but we do not need to do it right now and we do not believe that this context that we are in today is the appropriate venue to have this discussion.

Senator Hatch. It is the only context you have.
You mean you are just going to sit back and do nothing on this?

Ambassador Marantis. Senator, we have a very active job-creating trade agenda. TPP is one part of it. Our enforcement initiatives are other parts of it. We have been working very closely with this Committee and this Committee's staff as we move our trade agenda,

1 including TPP, forward.

|    | 5   |
|----|---|
| 2  | Senator Hatch. But you admit that TPA is very             |
| 3  | helpful in getting things done for the Administration,    |
| 4  | and yet you are willing to put this off. This is the one  |
| 5  | chance you have to get TPA authority and you are the only |
| 6  | Administration I know of that has not vociferously argued |
| 7  | for it.   |
| 8  | Ambassador Marantis. Senator, we do not need it at        |
| 9  | this very minute.   |
| 10 | Senator Hatch. Give me a break.                           |
| 11 | Ambassador Marantis. What we need to do now are           |
| 12 | the FTAs, TAA, GSP, and ATPA. That is what is in front    |
| 13 | of us right now and that is what we need to get done as   |
| 14 | quickly as possible.                                      |
| 15 | The Chairman. Senator Kerry?                              |
| 16 | Senator Kerry. Well, I agree with you, Mr.                |
| 17 | Chairman, this is not the time. The Administration has    |
| 18 | not asked for it and it is authority for the President.   |
| 19 | But beyond that, I have supported this for every          |
| 20 | President in the past. I am likely to do so in the        |
| 21 | future.   |
| 22 | But there is more to it, as the Chairman has said.        |
| 23 | There is a whole lot of consideration about how we make   |
| 24 | it stronger, how we make it more effective, how it is     |
| 25 | more inclusive of Congress, all of which is important to  |
|    |   |

1 us and I think we ought to do the proper deliberation.

And the notion that we do not have time to do it somewhere in the future -- I would work bipartisanly with my colleagues, absolutely, to have a strong promotion authority. But we ought to do it the right way and not just throw it in here.

The Chairman. Senator Wyden?

7

8 Senator Wyden. Very quickly, Mr. Chairman.

9 I want to say to Senator Thune, and he has been my 10 partner on the Trade Subcommittee, that I want to work 11 very closely with him on it.

12 And here is the point. The last time this was dealt 13 with was 2002. The economy has changed very dramatically 14 and as we have looked at it in our subcommittee, there 15 are a couple of areas with enormous potential for more 16 family wage jobs; in particular, environmental goods and 17 digital goods, with the Internet really being the 18 shipping lane of the 21st century.

I think the Chairman's point is a valid one. We need the time to really think through how to make sure these clear instructions for 2011 are updated from essentially what they were in 2002.

I just want the Senator from South Dakota to know I
am very interested in working with him closely on it.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. All right. All those in favor of
 the amendment --

3 Senator Thune. Mr. Chairman, could I get a vote on that? If I was confident that we were actually going to 4 5 get on this at some point soon, it would be one thing. 6 But I think otherwise, we are missing an opportunity 7 here, because it is not very often we get a chance like 8 this to get a debate going about TPA, and everybody 9 acknowledges how important it is. Senator Roberts. Mr. Chairman? 10 The Chairman. Senator Roberts? 11 12 Senator Roberts. As the overweight person said as 13 he crawled through the barbed-wire fence, one more point 14 and I will be through. 15 I would like to ask the Ambassador. You say not 16 now. When, 2012, 2013? It is my opinion that most of 17 the negotiations, while they are very tough with other 18 countries, but you also have a lot of problems with the 19 Congress and a lot of people who do not support trade, 20 for obvious reasons, and that is what we have had for 2, 21 3, 4, 5, 6 years. 22 With Colombia, it is an embarrassment, a national 23 security embarrassment. This just goes on and on and on

and on, and TPA is now the time to do it.

25 This is like big hat, no cattle, more especially
with 100 days. I am tempted to say within a 120 days,
 could you do it, have a meeting, but I am not going to do
 that.

But what would you say to the distinguished Senator from Utah in regards to when you would see TPA? When would it be appropriate, because I do not think you are going to get a trade pact, other than these three, which I hope we can do, without that authority?

9 And it would be kicking the can down the road to 10 2013, and goodness knows what that would bring.

Ambassador Marantis. Senator, on trade promotion authority and on TPP, in particular, one of the things we have been doing is we have been up here a lot trying to work on it in a bipartisan way.

15 Senator Roberts. I know you have.

Ambassador Marantis. And that will help us at the point in time when it is time to seek trade promotion authority, because we are already working together to identify what our trade negotiating objectives for this major agreement will be.

21 It takes a long time. In 2002, it took about a year 22 and a half.

23 Senator Roberts. So you are negotiating with the24 Congress first to get TPA.

25 Ambassador Marantis. We are working together to

develop what mutually are trade negotiating objectives and at the point in time where it is appropriate to do TPA, hopefully, it will make, collectively, our work easier in determining what those objectives are.

5 The Chairman. All right. I think we have had a 6 pretty good discussion here.

Senator Hatch. Could I just ask for just -The Chairman. Well, we should vote, because we -Senator Hatch. I want to tell you about what the
distinguished Senator from Massachusetts has asked.

11 The Chairman. Go ahead.

12 Senator Hatch. From what I can see, we have maybe 13 4 or 6 more amendments on this side that should go 14 relatively quickly. I have checked our side trying to 15 accommodate all our colleagues. I know a lot of you 16 would like to get out of here.

Does anybody know anymore than this? Are those included? We have included you in that number. Between 4 and 6, I believe, and I will try and keep it in that. If we cannot, we will let you know.

21 The Chairman. The Clerk will call the roll.

22 The Clerk. Mr. Rockefeller?

23 Senator Rockefeller. No.

24 The Clerk. Mr. Conrad?

25 The Chairman. No by proxy.

| 1  | The Clerk. Mr. Bingaman?   |
|----|----------------------------|
| 2  | Senator Bingaman. No.      |
| 3  | The Clerk. Mr. Kerry?      |
| 4  | Senator Kerry. No.         |
| 5  | The Clerk. Mr. Wyden?      |
| 6  | Senator Wyden. No.         |
| 7  | The Clerk. Mr. Schumer?    |
| 8  | The Chairman. No by proxy. |
| 9  | The Clerk. Ms. Stabenow?   |
| 10 | Senator Stabenow. No.      |
| 11 | The Clerk. Ms. Cantwell?   |
| 12 | Senator Cantwell. Aye.     |
| 13 | The Clerk. Mr. Nelson?     |
| 14 | Senator Nelson. No.        |
| 15 | The Clerk. Mr. Menendez?   |
| 16 | Senator Menendez. No.      |
| 17 | The Clerk. Mr. Carper?     |
| 18 | The Chairman. No by proxy. |
| 19 | The Clerk. Mr. Cardin?     |
| 20 | Senator Cardin. No.        |
| 21 | The Clerk. Mr. Hatch?      |
| 22 | Senator Hatch. Aye.        |
| 23 | The Clerk. Mr. Grassley?   |
| 24 | Senator Grassley. Aye.     |
| 25 | The Clerk. Ms. Snowe?      |

| 1 | Senator Snowe.   | No.           |
|---|------------------|---------------|
| 2 | The Clerk. Mr.   | Kyl?          |
| 3 | Senator Hatch.   | Aye by proxy. |
| 4 | The Clerk. Mr.   | Crapo?        |
| 5 | Senator Crapo.   | Aye.          |
| 6 | The Clerk. Mr.   | Roberts?      |
| 7 | Senator Roberts. | Aye.          |

- 8 The Clerk. Mr. Enzi?
- 9 Senator Enzi. Aye.
- 10 The Clerk. Mr. Cornyn?
- 11 Senator Cornyn. Aye.
- 12 The Clerk. Mr. Coburn?
- 13 Senator Coburn. Aye.
- 14 The Clerk. Mr. Thune?
- 15 Senator Thune. Aye.
- 16 The Clerk. Mr. Burr?
- 17 Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy.
- 18 The Clerk. Mr. Chairman?
- 19 The Chairman. No.
- 20 The Clerk will tally the vote.
- 21 The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the final tally is 11

22 ayes, 13 nays.

23The Chairman.The noes have it.The amendment is24not agreed to.

25 Senator Hatch. Mr. Chairman, could I ask how many

1

amendments you have on your side?

2 The Chairman. It is my understanding that there 3 are two on this side. Senator Hatch. Two on that side. So somewhere 4 between --5 6 The Chairman. It is around two. 7 Somewhere between eight and ten, I Senator Hatch. 8 quess. 9 The Chairman. Two, apparently. All right. Who wishes to offer an amendment? Maybe zero. 10 Senator Bingaman? 11 12 Senator Bingaman. Mr. Chairman, I have a couple of 13 amendments I wanted to raise and get your view on. The 14 amendments are Committee No. 5 and Committee No. 6. They 15 are Bingaman No. 3 and Bingaman No. 4. 16 Committee Amendment No. 5 essentially tries to give 17 more resources to the U.S. Trade Representative. I think 18 this is one area -- I know that there is a great drumbeat 19 in Washington these days that we have got to cut spending 20 and cut the size of government. 21 I think this is one area where we are being 22 pennywise and pound foolish by trying to operate the 23 trade representative's office without adequate resources, 24 and that has been my view for a very long time. 25 It still is my view today. It seems that every time

we -- our economy continues to get more and more heavily dependent upon trade and, at the same time, we do not do near what we should to help the trade representative effectively implement the trade agreements that we agree to around here.

I think, as I understand it, the trade
representative has around 223 people in the agency today.
Their job is to prosecute and defend the U.S. in trade
dispute cases. There are a great many WTO disputes.
There are enormous numbers of these that we are involved
in both as respondent and as complainant, and, also, as a
third party, because they affect our interests.

So my amendment is very straightforward. It would just provide \$15 million, \$5 million a year for the next 3 years, from the merchandise processing fees and customs user fees so that the trade representative could hire additional people to actually enforce the agreements that we are talking about here.

So that is the first amendment. I would be interested in your views on that, and then I would like a chance to briefly talk about one other amendment.

The Chairman. Well, I am sympathetic to your concerns, Senator. Regrettably, it is not in this Committee's jurisdiction; therefore, it is not germane. It is appropriations, as I understand it, \$15 million.

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

150

1 We are not the Appropriations Committee.

I would have to rule the amendment, if you were to press it, not germane.

Senator Bingaman. Well, I had been informed that you would take that position. Let me just point out, we have adopted very similar provisions appropriating money in previous trade agreements and I do not know where we got the authority to do that, but it is in the books and I can point those out to you or your staff.

But based on your statement, I will not push that amendment to a vote.

12 Let me speak briefly about item No. 6, Committee No. 13 6, which I also think is a meritorious proposal. And the 14 issue here is we pass these trade agreements and then, 15 frankly, we do not ever come back and figure out what has 16 been the effect on our economy of these trade agreements 17 after they have been fully implemented, and this would be 18 a provision that would essentially direct that the 19 International Trade Commission do a report at the end of 20 a 10-year period after these trade agreements go into 21 effect with regard to each trade agreement and tell us 22 what has been the effect of that trade agreement on our 23 economy.

Has it created jobs? Has it been a net loser of jobs for our economy? I think one of the big

difficulties in the way we proceed here in the Congress is that we sort of deal with whatever is right on the plate in front of us and then we largely forget about it, and then we have political arguments later on about whether that was a good thing to do or a bad thing to do, without many facts.

7 And this would give us a factual basis 10 years 8 after each trade agreement goes into effect. It would 9 give us a factual basis for actually determining whether 10 or not we have done the right thing with these trade 11 agreements.

12 So I would be interested in your thoughts on that 13 amendment, as well. That is Bingaman Amendment No. 4. 14 The Chairman. Well, I think you are correct. Too 15 often, this place has very short-term memory and short 16 attention spans. We pass measures and we tend not to pay 17 much attention to their actual effects down the road. 18 Meantime, we pass something else.

19 That is, regrettably, the nature, somewhat the 20 nature of this organization and I think it is appropriate 21 and proper to, at the appropriate time, figure out 22 whether what we pass has worked or not worked and the 23 degree to which it has worked or not worked.

I very much appreciate the Senator's good efforts.I mean, he is one of the best Senators on this Committee.

I will not say others are not as good as you, but you are one of the best, Senator. You are very thoughtful. You think about things. You are very objective. You do not demagogue. You think very deeply in a way that all public servants should, and I deeply appreciate it very, very much.

I can always, as the Chairman of the Committee, ask
the International Trade Commission for such a study and
my thought is it may be better, working with you,
Senator, that we do that, that we ask the ITC to come up
with such a study.

I would rather not, in legislation, require it. I am, frankly, trying to keep amendments off, because I want to work with Chairman Camp and set the precedent that we are not going to muddy the waters with amendments and set the precedent for adopting an amendment here that would allow the House to do the same.

18 So it would be my hope, Senator, that this is not an 19 amendment that you would push, but, rather, I say to the 20 Senator that I will work with you requesting ITC to 21 conduct such a study.

22 Senator Bingaman. Well, Mr. Chairman, let me thank 23 you for your comments. I do think that this implementing 24 language that we are working on here today is going to 25 the Administration by way of advice on what they should

1 include in these free trade agreements when they send

2 them to Congress, as I understand.

3

The Chairman. That is correct.

Senator Bingaman. And I would hope that we would 4 send a message to the Administration that we believe they 5 6 should include a provision that says 10 years after the 7 effective date of each trade agreement, a report will be 8 prepared by the International Trade Commission advising 9 the Administration and the Congress on the economic 10 effects, economy-wide effects of the trade agreement, what they have been. 11

I think that would be a good signal to give the International Trade Commission at the time that we pass trade agreements, because that way they would know they are going to have to make an accounting, and I think it might stimulate more attention to enforcement of the provisions of the trade agreement, as well.

18 So I will defer to you and not offer the amendment, 19 but I do hope that the Administration will seriously 20 consider including that in each of the three trade 21 agreements when they send them to the Congress.

The Chairman. I appreciate that, Senator, and, frankly, I think it is appropriate for us to urge the Administration in other ways to include such a provision when they submit legislation to the Congress.

Senator Roberts. Mr. Chairman? Would the Senator
 yield very, very briefly?

Senator Bingaman. Certainly.

Senator Roberts. What about 5 years? In 10 years,
when you say to inform us, I do not know who the "us" is
going to be.

Senator Bingaman. Well, I am not sure who us is going to be in 5 years. But I am glad to have it changed to 5 years. I do think that most of the economists -- I hypertension the provisions of these trade agreements, in fact, do not become fully operate for 7 or 8 years down the road.

13 That is why I put 10 years in, but perhaps a 5-year 14 period makes more sense or maybe a report at the end of 15 each 5 years.

16 Senator Roberts. Well, I know you and I will be 17 here to oversee this, but that is --

18 Senator Bingaman. I do not plan to be, Mr.

19 Chairman.

3

20 Senator Roberts. Well, there you are. But you can 21 read the report.

Senator Bingaman. I will be looking veryassiduously at what the report says.

24 The Chairman. Senator Stabenow?

25 Senator Stabenow. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

First, just to concur with your comments about the
 Senator who just spoke and his contribution to the
 Senate.

4 The Chairman. Senator Roberts?
5 Senator Stabenow. Yes. Senator Roberts,
6 absolutely, as well as Senator Bingaman.

Mr. Chairman, I realize the concern about not adding
amendments and have respect for what you are trying to
accomplish here.

I have amendments that deal with issues around trade enforcement, as well, that I will not offer today. But I do want to support what Senator Bingaman has said.

From my standpoint, in a State where, from an agriculture standpoint, there is great benefit to trade, certainly nationally. The only trade surplus we have is in agriculture, very important to create those markets.

On the other hand, on the manufacturing front, a lot of problems and challenges around particularly whether or not we are exporting products versus jobs, whether or not the plant is picked up and sent overseas, for a variety of reasons.

We are in a spot where trade enforcement matters, and I think the ambivalence that many people feel or the objection to moving forward on trade agreements that certainly many people in my State feel is because of the

1 fact that they do not feel confident we have been as 2 aggressive as we need to be around enforcement, standing 3 up for our businesses, standing up for our workers, 4 standing up for our farmers.

I want to commend this Administration for doing a 5 6 number of very important things in that regard, but there 7 is still more to be done. And whether it is small 8 businesses having to deal with differential on currency 9 manipulation with China and the price differential that 10 comes with that, with our suppliers, whether it is patents being stolen, whether it is countervailing duties 11 12 and dumping, et cetera, as well as making sure these 13 trade agreements are fair, fair for our workers, fair for 14 our communities, fair for our people.

To me, it is a package and so we are debating it today as if trade agreements are part of a package about what happens with workers or businesses who are displaced in TAA.

19 It is all part of putting together something that 20 works for the United States, for our people, for our 21 workers, for our farmers, for our businesses, in a global 22 economy. And I wish that we would look at it in the 23 totality of what it takes in a global economy to make 24 sure that we are, in fact, keeping the jobs here and 25 exporting our products, which I believe, I hope is, I am

1 sure, as the goal of everyone.

2 And I think Senator Bingaman, in speaking to what we 3 need to do around enforcement, is coming to a very important piece here that we have to keep focused on if 4 5 people in our country are going to feel that we are on 6 their side in this global economy. 7 The Chairman. Any further discussion? 8 Senator Hatch? 9 Senator Hatch. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 Mr. Chairman, I offer Committee Amendment No. 26 to extend trade promotion authority through December 31, 11 2013. Now, this would coincide with the date to which 12 13 the Administration proposes extending TAA. 14 Now, to be clear, I think that we should strike any 15 reference to TAA from the implementing legislation. Ιt 16 has nothing to do with the free trade agreements. It is, 17 quite frankly, an unnecessary costly program that we can 18 ill afford, especially given the damage to the Nation's 19 fiscal health that this Administration profligate 20 spending has already caused. 21 But we hear proponents argue again and again and 22 again that TAA always accompanies FTAs. Now, I do not 23 know why people do not check the facts. That is simply 24 not true. 25 In fact, since 1962, TAA has been linked only once

to a pending free trade agreement. That agreement was the NAFTA agreement and that transitional TAA was explicitly NAFTA-specific and linked to trade with Canada and Mexico.

5 Yet, for some reason, this single example of a 6 geographically and time-limited extension of TAA has 7 become the basis for arguing that TAA always is linked to 8 passing trade agreements.

9 The facts show otherwise. TAA has been reauthorized or reformed over a dozen times since 1962 when it was 10 created, and four of those times when TAA was 11 12 substantially reformed, it was tied directly to a grant 13 of trade negotiating authority to the President, 14 including the 1962 Trade Expansion Act, the Trade Act of 15 1974, the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988, and the Trade Act of 2002. 16

Almost a dozen times, it was considered separately from both trade agreements and TPA. NAFTA was the exception, not the rule.

If TAA should accompany any trade legislation, it should be linked to TPA, not the South Korea agreement, and that is why I am offering this amendment. It extends TPA for the same period of time as TAA in accordance with past practice.

25

Mr. Chairman, I am happy to withdraw the amendment,

1 but I wanted to make those points.

2 The Chairman. Thank you, Senator. 3 Senator Rockefeller? Which I believe is the last amendment on this side. 4 5 Senator Rockefeller. Mr. Chairman, I am not going 6 to ask for a vote on this, but it is complex in its 7 importance. So I need to discuss it and hope the GAO and 8 the White House and everybody at the table is listening 9 closely. 10 This would be a simple amendment for GAO to compare the health coverage tax credit to coverage from the State 11 12 exchanges and other sources under the health care bill 13 which was passed. 14 It is interesting. The underlying bill would extend 15 the health coverage tax credit through January 1, 2014, 16 the beginning date of the health insurance exchanges. So 17 therein lies a conundrum. The amendment would require the GAO to study 18 19 comparing the benefits of HCTC with the exchanges. Right 20 now, we do not specifically know the coverage people --21 what the coverage people have now under the health 22 coverage tax credit will compare to the coverage under 23 the exchanges or under options otherwise, should they 24 appear.

Millions of people are involved in knowing what the

25

answer to this is. It is because the exchange coverage is means tested. State exchanges are going to be means tested, while the health coverage tax credit is not means tested, because it was created for a special reason, which is to help workers who have lost their jobs because of trade, they have lost their jobs.

I am concerned about making sure that people who have lost their jobs because of trade maintain good coverage. For example, right now, about half of health coverage tax credit recipients choose to use their tax credits for group COBRA coverage. Only 13 percent opt for individual coverage.

13 So will the number of people still choose COBRA 14 after health reform instead of choosing exchanges? All 15 of this, of course, based upon the fact that the bill 16 will pass.

17 I think our bottom line should be protecting 18 coverage and this difference between the enactment of 19 State exchanges -- that has been enacted, but the working 20 through of that, and they are starting already, as you 21 know, to build their programs, and this particular item 22 is a very important one and I would wish that the 23 Administration and those at the table and all of us would 24 consider that.

Having said that, I withdraw the amendment.

25

1

The Chairman. Thank you, Senator.

2 Further amendments?

3 Senator Cornyn?

Senator Cornyn. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would
like to call up my amendment No. 76.

6 The Chairman. Cornyn-76.

Senator Cornyn. Thank you. And very simply, Mr.
Chairman, in May, as we all know, the Medicare trustees
warned us that Medicare will be bankrupt or at least
insolvent in 2024, which is 5 years earlier than they
predicted last year.

12 They noted that Medicare's unfunded liabilities, 13 that is, the gap between future benefit costs and future 14 tax premiums, expects to collect are more than \$24 15 trillion and growing.

16 The funding mechanism, in part, for the trade 17 adjustment authority is a \$525 million transfer from 18 Medicare to pay for, at least in part, the trade 19 adjustment authority.

Yet, in Federal law that we have enacted in part of the prescription drug bill, the President is required, under certain circumstances, to submit a proposal that would address the funding crisis in Medicare. This is sometimes called the Medicare trigger.

25 In 2008, President Bush submitted, in response to a

2007 Medicare warning, he submitted Medicare legislation
 to Congress. President Obama has not submitted any
 proposed legislation to deal with the funding crisis in
 Medicare at all, even though the law, which is Section
 802 of Public Law 108-173, requires the President to
 submit legislation.

7 It is not advisory. It does not say "may submit" or 8 "could submit" or "only if you want to submit." It says 9 "shall," which is mandatory language, the President shall 10 submit legislation.

Well, the President has not done so and it strikes me as, frankly, irresponsible, absent a solution to the funding crisis in Medicare identified by the Medicare trustees and the law that we passed and that was signed into law that requires the President to come forward with a solution to this funding crisis.

17 It strikes me as bad policy, if not irresponsible, 18 to take \$560 million more out of Medicare to fund TAA. 19 So my amendment would prohibit the trade adjustment 20 assistance provision in the Korea trade agreement from 21 going into effect until the President does comply with 22 that requirement of law.

The Chairman. Senator, I appreciate what you are trying to do with this amendment. It does not deal with any subject matter in any direct way, and, if pressed, I

1 would have to rule the amendment not germane.

If you do not want to press it, of course, that makes that point moot. If you press the amendment, I would have to rule it not germane.

5 Senator Cornyn. Mr. Chairman, I understand what 6 you are telling me. But to deal with the offset of 7 Medicare, \$560 million to pay for TAA, my amendment, 8 which would require the President to come forward with 9 his funding solution before the GAA provisions go into 10 effect, that is not germane?

11 The Chairman. That is not germane.

12 Senator Cornyn. Well, you have to do what you have 13 to do, Mr. Chairman. So I do want to proceed with the 14 amendment. I think it is an important amendment.

15 The Chairman. The Senator has his right to press 16 the amendment, obviously, but I am constrained to rule it 17 not germane under the Committee rules. It will take a 18 two-thirds vote from the Committee to appeal the ruling 19 of the Chair.

20 Does the Senator wish to press his vote?
21 Senator Cornyn. I would do so, Mr. Chairman,
22 appeal the ruling of the Chair and ask for a record vote.
23 The Chairman. And I do rule the amendment not
24 germane.

The Clerk will call the roll.

25

| 1  | The Clerk. Mr. Rockefeller?                        |
|----|--|
| 2  | Senator Rockefeller. No.                           |
| 3  | The Clerk. Mr. Bingaman?                           |
| 4  | Senator Bingaman. Mr. Chairman, the no vote, it is |
| 5  | a vote to sustain the ruling of the Chair?         |
| 6  | The Chairman. Yes, because he is appealing the     |
| 7  | ruling of the Chair.                               |
| 8  | Senator Bingaman. All right. No.                   |
| 9  | The Clerk. Mr. Wyden?                              |
| 10 | Senator Wyden. No.                                 |
| 11 | The Clerk. Ms. Stabenow?                           |
| 12 | Senator Stabenow. No.                              |
| 13 | The Clerk. Mr. Nelson?                             |
| 14 | Senator Nelson. No.                                |
| 15 | The Clerk. Mr. Menendez?                           |
| 16 | Senator Menendez. No.                              |
| 17 | The Clerk. Mr. Cardin?                             |
| 18 | Senator Cardin. No.                                |
| 19 | The Clerk. Mr. Hatch?                              |
| 20 | Senator Hatch. Aye.                                |
| 21 | The Clerk. Ms. Snowe?                              |
| 22 | Ms. Snowe. Aye.                                    |
| 23 | The Clerk. Mr. Roberts?                            |
| 24 | Senator Roberts. Aye.                              |
| 25 | The Clerk. Mr. Enzi?                               |

1 Senator Enzi. Aye.

2 The Cerk. Mr. Cornyn? 3 Senator Cornyn. Aye. The Clerk. Mr. Chairman? 4 The Chairman. 5 No. 6 The Clerk. Ms. Cantwell? 7 Senator Cantwell. No. 8 The Chairman. The Clerk will tally the vote. 9 The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the final tally is 5 ayes, 9 nays. 10 11 The Chairman. Two-thirds not having voted to overturn the ruling of the Chair, the appeal is not 12 13 agreed to and the amendment is not germane. 14 Further amendments? 15 Senator Hatch. Mr. Chairman? The Chairman. Senator Hatch? 16 17 Senator Hatch. Mr. Chairman, I would like to call 18 up Committee Amendment No. 38 to strike TAA from the 19 implementing bill. 20 I am disappointed it has come to this. For months I 21 have been pleading for a fair and open debate on TAA and 22 even though I do not support TAA, I have been willing to 23 hold a markup and debate the issue on the floor. 24 I was very frustrated to learn that a Department of 25 Labor analysis of whether this program actually works has

1 been delayed 4 years. Now, according to their

2 spokesperson, the report will not be issued until the end 3 of this year.

Now, is that not convenient? It is beyond me how we
can expand a program that we do not even know if it works
without the analysis to prove its effectiveness.

7 Worse than that, this new program has been jammed 8 into an FTA to avoid full debate and scrutiny by the 9 Senate. We have seen this movie before. Once again, we 10 are being asked to vote on a piece of legislation, just 11 as with health care, and only after we vote on it will 12 the wisdom of the program be revealed.

Now, these free trade agreements have been pending for 5 years. Instead of working together in a bipartisan way to get them across the finish line, the Administration has chosen a different path, including this highly controversial and unrelated domestic spending program in the Korea bill seriously jeopardizes the bill.

19 It is contrary to clear intent of trade promotion 20 authority. The statute makes clear what can be in an 21 implementing bill. First, it must include a statement of 22 proof, approving the trade agreement and approving any 23 accompanying statement of administrative action to 24 implement the agreement.

25

And, second, if changes are required to existing law

1 or if new statutory authority is required, then the bill 2 must include such provisions that are necessary or 3 appropriate to implement the agreement. And even though 4 the term, quote, "necessary or appropriate," unquote, is 5 not defined in the statute, it is a clear limitation that 6 there are some things that should and some things that 7 should not be included in an FTA implementing bill.

8 The Finance Committee expressed its view pretty 9 clearly as to what constitutes necessary or appropriate 10 when we passed the Trade Act of 2002, which created the 11 trade promotion authority procedures.

12 The Committee's report on the Trade Act of 2002 13 states that, quote, "The Committee believes that for 14 constitutional reasons, it is important to make trade 15 promotion authority as tailored as possible so as to not 16 unnecessarily intrude on normal legislative procedure. 17 Trade authority procedures are exceptions to the ordinary 18 rules of procedure," unquote.

19 Quote, "The Committee believes that these procedures 20 should apply only to meet the special requirements of the 21 trade agreements," unquote.

22 Quote, "Trade authority procedures should apply only 23 to those provisions in an implementing bill that are 24 strictly necessary or appropriate to implement the 25 underlying agreement. To apply the procedures more

1 broadly would encroach on Congress' constitutional

2 authority to legislate. The Committee takes a strict 3 interpretation of this requirement," unquote.

Now, the Ways and Means Committee contained or
included similar language in its report on TPA. I would
also request that excerpts from both reports be placed in
the record at this point.

The Chairman. Without objection.

8

9 [The information referred to appears in the 10 appendix.]

11 Senator Hatch. To date, this guidance has never 12 been broadly abused by this Committee. In fact, I cannot 13 recall an instance under the Trade Act of 2002 where a 14 provision has been sprung on the minority with only 48 15 hours for review.

But here we are today reviewing a bill including whole changes to tax, pension, health care, and worker retraining programs, which are neither necessary not appropriate to implement the underlying Korea agreement.

Now, what has happened here is a fundamental abuse of process and an abrogation of the Senate's constitutional right to debate and consider legislation. It was done with virtually no prior consultation. Members of this Committee did not even see the language until 3:00 last Tuesday afternoon. Less than 48 hours

later, we were asked to vote on the Korea FTA and an
 entirely unrelated entitlement spending program that now
 accounts for 56 pages of a 142-page bill.

Now, this is clearly an attempt, in our opinion, to
hide this program from public scrutiny and Senate debate.
Worst of all, including it in this bill. Very well
cause the agreement to fail, as it will lose significant
Republican support, all of whom would have, I think,m
voted for it.

AS a strong supporter of the Korea bill, I would urge my colleagues to support this amendment remover these extraneous provisions from the bill and allow us to have an open and fair debate on the merits of trade adjustment assistance and on the merits of our trade agreement with South Korea.

And I would like a roll call vote.

16

17 The Chairman. Any further discussion?

I might say that everyone on this Committee and I think most members of the Senate would probably pass trade -- when all is said and done, pass trade adjustment assistance, as well trade promotion authority. And I think everyone realizes -- excuse me -- the trade agreements, not trade promotion, but the FTAs.

I think everyone agrees that this is essentially a package. That is, if the free trade agreements are

1 enacted and become law this year, the trade adjustment
2 assistance must also pass and become law this year.

3 Congressman Camp and I negotiated a bipartisan trade 4 adjustment assistance agreement. We hope that is the 5 framework for the trade adjustment assistance that the 6 President sends up when he submits the Korean agreement." 7 That is a bipartisan agreement.

8 In addition, I do believe that there is support of 9 majority members of the Senate to vote for the free trade 10 agreements.

We have to find a way to get this done, and I, 11 12 frankly, believe that we are at a point in our Nation's 13 history, to some -- I do not want to overstate the point 14 -- that somebody may ask, "Well, can we get our act 15 together? Where are we as a country. Can we move? Can 16 we do things? And we have to show that we can. WE have 17 to show that we can pass free trade agreements.

18 It is so important for our country's economy. And 19 we also have to pass the trade adjustment system 20 provision. It is so important for displaced workers, 21 and, clearly, this legislation, the GAA, is necessary or 22 appropriate. That language has been included as standard 23 in every fast-track since 1974.

And it is off this provision that the TAA is also an extension of current law, at least a modified extension

1 of current law.

And I believe that this is the best way at this time to assure, as best as possible, we are going to get the free trade agreements assed, as well as trade adjustment assistance passed.

6 So I would urge my colleagues not to support this 7 amendment. Now, I am not going to totally close the 8 door. I am open to ideas after we pass this legislation 9 here in this Committee, which include both TAA and FTA, 10 to how we might - -if there is another way to approach 11 this subject, which, unfortunately, has divided this 12 committee.

But having sia discontinuation that, I am not going to be open to other alternatives which will, in my judgment, result in failure to get TAA passed just for the same of getting the free grade agreements passed.

17 So I think at this point, this is a mock markup. 18 This Committee is acting, the Ways and Means Committee is 19 acting. This will not go to the Senate Floor, nor will 20 the House Ways and Means mock markup legislation go to 21 the House floor.

But there is opportunity between now, after this passes today and when the President sends up his final bill, to perhaps find another way to assure the passage of both TAA and the FTA. But at this point, I think it

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

172

1 is best that we include the TAA in the South Korean free 2 trade agreement.

3 Senator Hatch. Roll call.
4 Senator Snowe. Mr. Chairman?
5 The Chairman. Senator Hatch requests a roll call.
6 Senator Snowe?

Senator Snowe. Yes. Just a quick comment. I hope
we are not going to use this as the standard in the
future about enjoining trade adjustment assistance with
free trade agreements.

I, on the other hand, have a serious concern about the South Korean free trade agreement, and now it is combined with the trade adjustment assistance, which, as you well know, I have been a strong proponent. And we have had the better part of this year absent any really significant legislative initiatives on the floor of the Senate.

18 So, clearly, we could have had an opportunity to 19 debate and to amend this program. And I think that every 20 member of the Senate is entitled to that opportunity and 21 to foreclose it, I think, is unfortunate and does a 22 disservice both on the legitimate issues we may have on 23 the free trade agreement and for those who have issues on 24 the trade adjustment assistance or want to further modify 25 or amplify on the provisions. I would like to have had

1 that opportunity myself.

2 So I think it is unfortunate that we are in this 3 position with a Hobson's choice in making this 4 determination. And when I look at the Senate schedule 5 this year, 46 percent of the time, we have been in non-6 legislative business, quorum calls and morning business.

So when we knew that these provisions were expiring in February we should have taken the opportunity to figure out a way that this could be brought to the floor so that everybody has a chance to speak to this question.

11 This program is becoming ever more important and 12 profound to workers across this country. I think as we 13 see the ever-widening trade deficit and gap, most 14 certainly with China and even with South Korea, they only 15 grow exponentially.

According to a recent report, when we have a trade deficit with a country, it generally continues to become a greater trade deficit with a country.

So I think all of these issues we should have the opportunity to address on the floor of the Senate, and, unfortunately, was re denied that ability to do so and I regret that. So I am going to have to vote against the agreement and, regrettably, on the trade adjustment assistance, a swell, because they have combined.

25 Maybe there is a way in the meantime that we can

1 figure out a way to sever them so that we can have

2 independent votes and decisions on each of these issues 3 on their merits.

4 The Chairman. I hear you, Senator. Again, I 5 think, in my judgment, this is the best way to proceed at 6 this time. There may be other openings, ideas, pathways 7 between now and when the President sends the agreement. 8 The Clerk will call the roll. The Clerk. Mr. Rockefeller? 9 Senator Rockefeller. No. 10 11 The Clerk. Mr. Conrad? 12 The Chairman. No by proxy. 13 The Clerk. Mr. Bingaman? 14 Senator Bingaman. No. 15 The Clerk. Mr. Kerry? 16 Senator Kerry. No. 17 The Clerk. Mr. Wyden? 18 Senator Wyden. No. The Clerk. Mr. Schumer? 19 20 The Chairman. No by proxy. 21 The Clerk. Ms. Stabenow? 22 Senator Stabenow. No. The Clerk. Ms. Cantwell? 23 24 Senator Cantwell. No. 25 The Clerk. Mr. Nelson?

| 1  | Senator Nelson. No.          |
|----|------------------------------|
| 2  | The Clerk. Mr. Menendez?     |
| 3  | Senator Menendez. No.        |
| 4  | The Clerk. Mr. Carper?       |
| 5  | The Chairman. No by proxy.   |
| 6  | The Clerk. Mr. Cardin?       |
| 7  | Senator Cardin. No.          |
| 8  | The Clerk. Mr. Hatch?        |
| 9  | Senator Hatch. Aye.          |
| 10 | The Clerk. Mr. Grassley?     |
| 11 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy. |
| 12 | The Clerk. Ms. Snowe?        |
| 13 | Senator Snowe. Aye.          |
| 14 | The Clerk. Mr. Kyl?          |
| 15 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy  |
| 16 | The Clerk. Mr. Crapo?        |
| 17 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy. |
| 18 | The Clerk. Mr. Roberts?      |
| 19 | Senator Roberts. Aye.        |
| 20 | The Clerk. Mr. Enzi?         |
| 21 | Senator Enzi. Aye.           |
| 22 | The Clerk. Mr. Cornyn?       |
| 23 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy. |
| 24 | The Clerk. Mr. Coburn?       |
| 25 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy. |

1 The Clerk. Mr. Thune?

2 Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy. 3 The Clerk. Mr. Burr? Senator Hatch. 4 Ave by proxy. The Clerk. Mr. Chairman? 5 6 The Chairman. No. 7 The Clerk will tally the vote. 8 The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the final tally is 11 9 ayes, 13 nays. 10 The Chairman. Not enough votes to pass the amendment. It fails. The amendment is not agreed to. 11 Are there further amendments? 12 13 Mr. Enzi, I believe you have an amendment. 14 Senator Enzi. Mr. Chairman, I would call up 15 Amendment No. 74, which is Enzi No. 4. Of course, I 16 listened to Senator Kerry earlier and understood that 17 nothing is going to pass, and I would have gone into a 18 little bit more on TAA with some amendments so that I 19 could understand better what is being done there and with 20 the hope that possibly there could be some changes there. 21 I will not be doing that. I do not know why we have 22 the lengthy on it that we do, and if it is attached to 23 Korea, why it does not only affect Korea. 24 But I am going to skip to another part that I have a 25 lot more hope that there will be a change because the

Medicare chief actuary, Richard Foster, said that the
 provision in the new health care law expands Medicaid
 eligibility to early retirees, and that it just does not
 make sense.

So I have an amendment that would correct that as 5 part of the PAYFOR. So it would strike the Medicare 6 7 PAYFOR that is presently in there and replace it with a 8 proposal to amend the Internal Revenue Code to include 9 non-taxable Social Security and railroad retiree benefits 10 in the calculation of the modified adjusted gross income. That would result in Social Security benefits and 11 12 railroad retirement being counted as income for purposes 13 of determining eligibility for Medicaid and health 14 insurance subsidies under the new health care law, and it would conform the eligibility standard of these programs 15 16 with how most existing low income assistance programs 17 treat retirement benefits.

So it conforms the language and I think will solve the problem that was raised where some retirees can earn \$64,000 a year, including their income from retirement, and be eligible for Medicaid. And we are trying to preserve both Medicaid and Medicare and I think this amendment goes toward doing both of those things.

24 So changing this definition of income will prevent 25 several million early retirees from becoming Medicaid

eligible under the new health care law and, additionally, the change would eliminate the disparity in insurance subsidies between early retirees and working individuals of the same age with the same overall income level, including Social Security benefits.

6 So it would assure that Medicaid resources would be 7 available to those who needed it the most and it is about 8 ensuring fairness. As the health care law is now 9 written, those who take early retirement would get a 10 significant break on their health insurance premiums, and 11 this amendment changes that criteria so that it is fair.

Now, I would mention that I submitted this for a CBO score more than a week ago and I have not received any score back from them. And I am confident that my amendment will reduce Federal spending.

In addition to preventing three million early retirees from qualifying for Medicaid, it will also lower the insurance subsidies under the new health care law.

And all my amendment, as I said, will do is count non-taxable retirement benefits as income when determining eligibility for Medicaid and insurance subsidies. And that is how these benefits are already counted for other low income assistance programs like supplemental security insurance and the low income subsidies for the Medicare drug benefit.

1 So CBO's inability to provide a timely score should 2 not prevent us from adopting this amendment. To the 3 extent my amendment provides more savings than are needed 4 to eliminate the offset, these moneys could go to reduce 5 the Federal deficit and I believe this amendment would 6 provide more than enough savings to offset the PAYFOR in 7 the bill.

8 To the extent that the potential savings might be 9 insufficient, I would revise the amendment so that it 10 would propose using the existing PAYFOR on a pro rata 11 basis. So it would still solve the problem and still pay 12 for the program.

13 The Chairman. This is a somewhat complicated 14 amendment and --

15 Senator Enzi. It is the kind accountants really 16 like.

17 [Laughter.]

18 The Chairman. As you would explain it, instead I 19 was thinking there was a reason why you were proposing 20 this amendment, because you know this subject so well. We 21 do not know yet, though, how it scores, whether it raises 22 or loses revenue. We are not able yet to get a score 23 from CBO.

Based on that inability to know, I would urge you maybe to work with us and see if we can make -- there is
a problem, as I understand it, when couples attempting to get benefits under the Affordable Care Act -- I guess maybe it is under Medicaid, I am not sure which -couples are at \$64,000 or \$65,000 in income, some income is not -- Social Security income was not included.

Senator Enzi. Right.

7 The Chairman. And it is something that is going to 8 have to be addressed at the appropriate time, but I think 9 that is right. But since we do not know a score at this 10 point, I do not think it is advisable to pursue the 11 amendment at this point. And it was also brought up late 12 and I would have to think through it more clearly to 13 understand its ramifications.

14

6

Senator Hatch?

Senator Hatch. Mr. Chairman, Senator Enzi has made every effort to get a score. He reached out 2 weeks ago. So what he is asking us to do is vote on the policy.

This amendment ensures that Medicaid resources are available to those who need them the most. Medicare's chief actuary, Richard Foster, has said that the provision in the new health care law that expands Medicaid eligibility to early retirees, quote, "just doesn't make sense," unquote.

This amendment is about ensuring fairness. As the health care law is now written, those who take early

1 retirement will get a significant break on health
2 insurance premiums.

Now, this amendment simply brings this eligiblity criterion in line with other Federal low income assistance programs and it certainly does not create a new standard.

So just because CBO could not do its job on time is no reason that Senator Enzi should not have a vote on this amendment, because it is a germane amendment.

The Chairman. Senator, I am not sure it is
germane. It is borderline germane, in the first place.
Senator Hatch. I think it is germane.

13 The Chairman. Second, as I read the description of 14 the amendment, repeal the Medicare imaging PAYFOR and 15 replace it with a proposal to count Social Security 16 income in modified adjusted gross income.

Well, that means we would have to know a score. Wehave to know whether the replacement replaces.

19 Senator Enzi. I mentioned that I would be willing 20 to modify it so that if it did not cover it all, then on 21 a pro rata basis, we would use the PAYFOR that you had. 22 But this has the potential for saving Medicaid and 23 Medicare and it has already been pointed out as a problem 24 and since it is already a piece of the PAYFOR in the 25 bill, I think that it would be germane and we ought to

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

182

1 address it at this point.

|    | -  |
|----|--|
| 2  | It is a mock markup. If the Administration finds         |
| 3  | that it is different or that they want a modification,   |
| 4  | they will modify it. But it is a point that we ought to  |
| 5  | raise at this point and take care of at this point.      |
| 6  | The Chairman. I am going to say, Senator, this           |
| 7  | really is more in the nature of a health care act        |
| 8  | modification than it is FTA or TAA amendment, and,       |
| 9  | therefore, it seems to be, although it is a close call,  |
| 10 | not germane.   |
| 11 | Senator Enzi. I do not see how you can consider it       |
| 12 | to be non-germane. You used the PAYFOR. I am just        |
| 13 | modifying your PAYFOR.                                   |
| 14 | The Chairman. No. You are replacing it with a            |
| 15 | proposal to count Social Security income in modified     |
| 16 | adjusted gross income.                                   |
| 17 | Senator Hatch. And, Mr. Chairman, this is                |
| 18 | Senator Enzi. Well, it makes sense, but I guess we       |
| 19 | do not want to make sense on this bill.                  |
| 20 | Senator Hatch. This is a health care offset in the       |
| 21 | mark. So this health care amendment is germane.          |
| 22 | The Chairman. Well, to me, it is more in the             |
| 23 | nature of a health care bill than it is a replacement of |
| 24 | an offset. It is replacing it with an offset in the      |
| 25 | health care bill.  |
|    |  |

1 So I am going to have to rule it not germane. I do 2 so.

3 Senator Enzi. We should not be so set on not4 approving Republican amendments.

The Chairman. Well, I would like to approve 5 6 Republican amendments. We are not approving Democratic 7 amendments, either. It is because of the nature of this 8 process we took to move forward. It is a mock markup, 9 but we wanted to have a good form with the Ways and Means 10 mock markup, as well. And there will be, hopefully, 11 times to address some very legitimate issues that have 12 arisen.

Senator Enzi. I would ask to overrule the Chair.
The Chairman. The request has been made. The
Clerk will call the roll.

16 The Clerk. Mr. Rockefeller?

17 Senator Rockefeller. No.

18 The Clerk. Mr. Bingaman?

19 Senator Bingaman. Aye.

20 The Clerk. Mr. Kerry?

21 Senator Kerry. No.

22 The Clerk. Mr. Wyden?

23 Senator Wyden. No.

24 The Clerk. Ms. Stabenow?

25 Senator Stabenow. No.

| 1  | The Clerk. Ms. Cantwell?                                  |
|----|---|
| 2  | Senator Cantwell. No.                                     |
| 3  | The Clerk. Mr. Nelson?                                    |
| 4  | Senator Nelson. No.                                       |
| 5  | The Clerk. Mr. Menendez?                                  |
| 6  | Senator Menendez. No.                                     |
| 7  | The Clerk. Mr. Carper?                                    |
| 8  | Senator Carper. No.                                       |
| 9  | The Clerk. Mr. Cardin?                                    |
| 10 | Senator Cardin. No.                                       |
| 11 | The Clerk. Mr. Hatch?                                     |
| 12 | Senator Hatch. Aye.                                       |
| 13 | The Clerk. Ms. Snowe?                                     |
| 14 | Senator Snowe. Aye.                                       |
| 15 | The Clerk. Mr. Roberts?                                   |
| 16 | Senator Roberts. Aye.                                     |
| 17 | The Clerk. Mr. Enzi?                                      |
| 18 | Senator Enzi. Aye.  |
| 19 | The Clerk. Mr. Chairman?                                  |
| 20 | The Chairman. No.   |
| 21 | The Clerk will tally the vote.                            |
| 22 | The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the final tally is 5             |
| 23 | ayes, 10 nays.  |
| 24 | The Chairman. Not having voted to overturn the            |
| 25 | ruling of the Chair, the appeal was not agreed to and the |

1 amendment is not germane and it falls.

2 Are there further amendments?

3 Senator Roberts?

Senator Roberts. Mr. Chairman, I will try to make
this quick. It is the same argument made by Senator Enzi
in regards to opening up Medicare as an offset, over 500
million bucks.

8 And this is another borderline call and I think, 9 without question, the Chair will probably end up on one 10 side of the border and me on the other.

But at nay rate, circumventing the comment period for stakeholders and implementing regulations without their valuable input, I think we only set thing supto fail. We have seen a lot of that, whether it is everybody upset about the outpouring of regulations, the President even issuing an executive order January 18 and saying some of these regulations are just dumb.

18 What really bothers people are the interim final rule processes, where, in dealing with the Patient 19 20 Protection and Affordable Care Act, PPACA, and the Health 21 Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, what this 22 amendment would do, it would say to the Secretary, in 23 implementing those, you may not use an interim rule final 24 process for any regulatory authority granted by these 25 bills.

Instead, all regulations must be done through a notice of proposed rulemaking, with a minimum of 60 days for comment to begin on date of publication of the rule for the Federal Register, followed by a consideration of all comments received and issuance of a final rule, where Congress otherwise permitted sub-regulatory implementation.

8 These provisions are not impacted by this changes. 9 I think it is simple enough. I think everybody here 10 understands what happens when you get an interim rule, 11 interim final rule, especially with health care, 12 especially with the health care act.

We have had an explosion of regulations and an explosion of reaction around the countryside, not only on this, but this is probably the worst example all across the board.

17 So I would hope that the Chair would rule this 18 germane, and I would hope that we could have an 19 opportunity to at least grant the stakeholders on health 20 care 60 days to have their say.

Goodness knows, we might even change the regulation a bit so they would not have to grant all these waivers and get into all this controversy.

The Chairman. Senator, I appreciate your concern.
This is not a borderline issue. This is a way out in

the field, right or left field issue. This is so clear-1 2 cut, it is --3 Senator Roberts. Well, at least make it to right 4 field. 5 The Chairman. That is right. It is not at all 6 relevant. 7 [Laughter.] 8 The Chairman. You can choose whatever field you 9 want, but it is not borderline. I am going to have to 10 rule it not germane. 11 Senator Roberts. And I would ask a vote on 12 overruling the Chair. 13 The Chairman. It has been requested. The Clerk 14 will call the roll. 15 The Clerk. Mr. Rockefeller? Senator Rockefeller. No. 16 17 The Clerk. Mr. Bingaman? 18 Senator Bingaman. No. 19 The Clerk. Mr. Kerry? 20 Senator Kerry. No. 21 The Clerk. Mr. Wyden? 22 Senator Wyden. No. The Clerk. Mr. Schumer? 23 24 Senator Schumer. No. 25 The Clerk. Ms. Stabenow?

| 1  | Senator Stabenow. No.                         |
|----|---|
| 2  | The Clerk. Ms. Cantwell?                      |
| 3  | Senator Cantwell. No.                         |
| 4  | The Clerk. Mr. Nelson?                        |
| 5  | Senator Nelson. No.                           |
| 6  | The Clerk. Mr. Menendez?                      |
| 7  | Senator Menendez. No.                         |
| 8  | The Clerk. Mr. Carper?                        |
| 9  | Senator Carper. No.                           |
| 10 | The Clerk. Mr. Cardin?                        |
| 11 | Senator Cardin. No.                           |
| 12 | The Clerk. Mr. Hatch?                         |
| 13 | Senator Hatch. Aye.                           |
| 14 | The Clerk. Ms. Snowe?                         |
| 15 | Senator Snowe. Aye.                           |
| 16 | The Clerk. Mr. Roberts?                       |
| 17 | Senator Roberts. Aye.                         |
| 18 | The Clerk. Mr. Enzi?                          |
| 19 | Senator Enzi. Aye.                            |
| 20 | The Clerk. Mr. Chairman?                      |
| 21 | The Chairman. No.                             |
| 22 | The Clerk will tally the vote.                |
| 23 | The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the final tally is 4 |
| 24 | ayes, 12 nays.                                |
| 25 | The Chairman. Two-thirds not having voted to  |

overturn the ruling of the Chair, the appeal is not 1 2 agreed to. The amendment is not germane and the 3 amendment falls. 4 If there are no further amendments, I would 5 entertain a motion that the Committee report the 6 Chairman's mark on the South Korean agreement, as 7 amended. 8 [Moved and seconded.] 9 The Chairman. Does any Senator require a recorded 10 vote? 11 Senator Hatch. Yes. I would like a recorded vote. 12 The Chairman. A recorded vote has been requested. 13 The Clerk will call the roll. 14 The Clerk. Mr. Rockefeller? 15 Senator Rockefeller. Aye. The Clerk. Mr. Conrad? 16 17 Senator Conrad. Aye. 18 The Clerk. Mr. Bingaman? 19 Senator Bingaman. Aye. 20 The Clerk. Mr. Kerry? Senator Kerry. Aye. 21 22 The Clerk. Mr. Wyden? 23 Senator Wyden. Aye. 24 The Clerk. Mr. Schumer? 25 Senator Schumer. Aye.

| 1  | The Clerk. Ms. Stabenow?    |
|----|-----------------------------|
| 2  | Senator Stabenow. Aye.      |
| 3  | The Clerk. Ms. Cantwell?    |
| 4  | Senator Cantwell. Aye.      |
| 5  | The Clerk. Mr. Nelson?      |
| 6  | Senator Nelson. Aye.        |
| 7  | The Clerk. Mr. Menendez?    |
| 8  | Senator Menendez. Aye.      |
| 9  | The Clerk. Mr. Carper?      |
| 10 | Senator Carper. Aye.        |
| 11 | The Clerk. Mr. Cardin?      |
| 12 | Senator Cardin. Aye.        |
| 13 | The Clerk. Mr. Hatch?       |
| 14 | Senator Hatch. No.          |
| 15 | The Clerk. Mr. Grassley?    |
| 16 | Senator Grassley. No.       |
| 17 | The Clerk. Ms. Snowe?       |
| 18 | Senator Snowe. No.          |
| 19 | The Clerk. Mr. Kyl?         |
| 20 | Senator Hatch. No by proxy  |
| 21 | The Clerk. Mr. Crapo?       |
| 22 | Senator Hatch. No by proxy. |
| 23 | The Clerk. Mr. Roberts?     |
| 24 | Senator Roberts. No.        |
| 25 | The Clerk. Mr. Enzi?        |

1 Senator Enzi. No.

| 2  | The Clerk. Mr. Cornyn?                                 |
|----|--|
| 3  | Senator Hatch. No by proxy.                            |
| 4  | The Clerk. Mr. Coburn?                                 |
| 5  | Senator Hatch. No by proxy.                            |
| 6  | The Clerk. Mr. Thune?                                  |
| 7  | Senator Hatch. No by proxy.                            |
| 8  | The Clerk. Mr. Burr?                                   |
| 9  | Senator Hatch. No by proxy.                            |
| 10 | The Clerk. Mr. Chairman?                               |
| 11 | The Chairman. Aye.                                     |
| 12 | The Clerk will report the tallies.                     |
| 13 | The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the tally of members          |
| 14 | present is 13 ayes, 5 nays. The final tally, including |
| 15 | proxies, is 13 ayes, 11 nays.                          |
| 16 | The Chairman. The ayes have it. The bill is            |
| 17 | ordered reported.                                      |
| 18 | I think the next could go quickly. The next one is     |
| 19 | Panama. Mr. Clerk, Senator Conrad votes?               |
| 20 | Senator Conrad. Aye.                                   |
| 21 | The Clerk. Mr. Conrad, aye.                            |
| 22 | Senator Conrad. Thank you.                             |
| 23 | The Chairman. The next order of business is the        |
| 24 | Panama mark. There is a modification before the        |
| 25 | Committee in connection with the mark.                 |

1

The mark is so modified.

2 The next order of business is to walk through the 3 mark, as modified.

Mr. Smart, would you briefly describe the main
features of the mark? And I encourage you to be quite
brief.

7 I am not sure what amendments, if any, are going to8 be offered. That is one. We know one.

9 Senator Hatch. Mr. Chairman, I just move that we 10 vote on it.

11 The Chairman. Sorry?

Senator Hatch. I just moved that we vote on the Panama.

14 The Chairman. Well, no, because I think there are 15 amendments, Senator.

16 Senator Hatch. Not on Panama.

17The Chairman.On Panama.Well, there may be.I18see Senator Cardin waving his hand down there, which

19 indicates to me he has an amendment.

20 Senator Hatch. All right.

21 The Chairman. Does anybody want to walk through 22 the mark?

23 [No response.]

24 The Chairman. I see no interest in walking through 25 the mark.

- 1 The mark has just been walked through.
- 2 Amendments are now in order.
- 3 Senator Cardin?

Senator Cardin. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I had
two amendments. One I am not going to offer, which deals
with the Wool Trust Fund, which I understand that you
ruled that it was not germane, and I appreciate the
Chairman's help in regard to that issue.

9 So with that, I will not offer that amendment.10 The Chairman. Thank you.

11 Senator Cardin. I do wish to offer the Amendment 12 No. 90, which is co-authored by Senators Stabenow and 13 Menendez, which would include the labor action plan in 14 the Colombia implementing bill.

15 The Chairman. Senator, do you not wish to offer 16 that to the Colombia?

17 Senator Cardin. I thought we were on Colombia.

18 The Chairman. We are on Panama.

Senator Cardin. I apologize. You are right.
 There are no amendments on Panama.

The Chairman. If there are no amendments, theChair will entertain a motion to report the bill.

23 [Moved and seconded.]

The Chairman. A roll call has been requested. All those in favor, signify by voting aye. Excuse me. The

1 clerk will call the roll.

| 2  | The Clerk. Mr. Rockefeller? |
|----|-----------------------------|
| 3  | The Chairman. Aye by proxy. |
| 4  | The Clerk. Mr. Conrad?      |
| 5  | Senator Conrad. Aye.        |
| 6  | The Clerk. Mr. Bingaman?    |
| 7  | Senator Bingaman. Aye.      |
| 8  | The Clerk. Mr. Kerry?       |
| 9  | The Chairman. Aye by proxy. |
| 10 | The Clerk. Mr. Wyden?       |
| 11 | Senator Wyden. Aye.         |
| 12 | The Clerk. Mr. Schumer?     |
| 13 | Senator Schumer. Aye.       |
| 14 | The Clerk. Ms. Stabenow?    |
| 15 | Senator Stabenow. No.       |
| 16 | The Clerk. Ms. Cantwell?    |
| 17 | Senator Cantwell. Aye.      |
| 18 | The Clerk. Mr. Nelson?      |
| 19 | Senator Nelson. Aye.        |
| 20 | The Clerk. Mr. Menendez?    |
| 21 | Senator Menendez. Aye.      |
| 22 | The Clerk. Mr. Carper?      |
| 23 | Senator Carper. Aye.        |
| 24 | The Clerk. Mr. Cardin?      |
| 25 | Senator Cardin. Aye.        |

| 1  | The Clerk. Mr. Hatch?                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | Senator Hatch. Aye.                       |
| 3  | The Clerk. Mr. Grassley?                  |
| 4  | Senator Grassley. Aye.                    |
| 5  | The Clerk. Ms. Snowe?                     |
| 6  | Senator Snowe. Aye.                       |
| 7  | The Clerk. Mr. Kyl?                       |
| 8  | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy.              |
| 9  | The Clerk. Mr. Crapo?                     |
| 10 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy.              |
| 11 | The Clerk. Mr. Roberts?                   |
| 12 | Senator Roberts. Aye.                     |
| 13 | The Clerk. Mr. Enzi?                      |
| 14 | Senator Enzi. Aye.                        |
| 15 | The Clerk. Mr. Cornyn?                    |
| 16 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy.              |
| 17 | The Clerk. Mr. Coburn?                    |
| 18 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy.              |
| 19 | The Clerk. Mr. Thune?                     |
| 20 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy.              |
| 21 | The Clerk. Mr. Burr?                      |
| 22 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy.              |
| 23 | The Clerk. Mr. Chairman?                  |
| 24 | The Chairman. Aye.                        |
| 25 | I might correct the earlier vote. Senator |

1 Rockefeller wishes to vote no by proxy.

| 2                          | The Clerk. Senator Rockefeller, no by proxy.  |
|----------------------------|---|
| 3                          | Senator Grassley. Mr. Chairman?   |
| 4                          | The Chairman. Senator Grassley?   |
| 5                          | Senator Grassley. On the previous one, on three, I  |
| 6                          | would like to change my vote from no by proxy to no in  |
| 7                          | person, by unanimous consent.   |
| 8                          | The Chairman. Yes. Right. It is agreed to.  |
| 9                          | Senator Schumer. Mr. Chairman, I would like to  |
| 10                         | make a brief statement. I thought I would, because I  |
| 11                         | thought Senator Snowe was offering an amendment and she   |
| 12                         | is not. So I would just like to make a brief statement.   |
| 13                         | The Chairman. Can we record the vote first,   |
| 14                         | please?   |
| 15                         | Senator Schumer. Yes. I am sorry.   |
| 16                         | The Chairman. The Clerk will report the tallies.  |
|                            | _   |
| 17                         | The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the tally of members   |
| 17<br>18                   |   |
|                            | The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the tally of members   |
| 18                         | The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the tally of members present is 15 ayes, 1 nay. The final tally, including   |
| 18<br>19                   | The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the tally of members<br>present is 15 ayes, 1 nay. The final tally, including<br>proxies, is 22 ayes and 2 nays.   |
| 18<br>19<br>20             | The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the tally of members<br>present is 15 ayes, 1 nay. The final tally, including<br>proxies, is 22 ayes and 2 nays.<br>The Chairman. The ayes have it, and the bill is  |
| 18<br>19<br>20<br>21       | The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the tally of members<br>present is 15 ayes, 1 nay. The final tally, including<br>proxies, is 22 ayes and 2 nays.<br>The Chairman. The ayes have it, and the bill is<br>reported.                             |
| 18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22 | The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the tally of members<br>present is 15 ayes, 1 nay. The final tally, including<br>proxies, is 22 ayes and 2 nays.<br>The Chairman. The ayes have it, and the bill is<br>reported.<br>Now, we are on Colombia. |

1 Senator Schumer. Yes. I will make a brief 2 statement. I know people want to get moving here. I was 3 going to do this when Senator Snowe offered her amendment 4 about currency manipulation, an issue, as you know, of 5 great concern, of course, to the Senator from Maine, to 6 myself, and many others on this Committee.

7 And, again, let me reiterate free trade. Trade is, 8 of course, a two-way street, but so is free trade. And I 9 think the feeling of many Americans and many of us on this Committee is when other countries want the 10 advantages of free trade, they take it. When they do not 11 12 want the advantages of free trade -- when they have 13 liabilities from free trade that might give our country 14 advantages, they take it away.

And to me, at least, no issue -- no issue is more important in terms of trade than China's continued manipulation of currency. We are talking about thousands of jobs on these three trade agreements. We are talking about hundreds of thousands and millions of jobs in terms of China's currency manipulation.

We are also talking about hundreds of millions of dollars on these trade agreements, if you combine them. WS are talking about hundreds of billions of dollars over the years when China manipulates its currency and gives our workers an unfair advantage in both exporting and in

fighting imported goods or competing with imported goods,
 and it has gone on too long.

Administrations, both Bush and Obama, have put their head in the sand on this issue. They have basically, for, I think, diplomatic and other reasons, refused to brand China a currency manipulator, even though it is as plain as the nose on your face that they are.

8 My workers throughout New York State, whether they 9 be service workers in New York City or manufacturing 10 workers in Upstate New York, are dramatically hurt by 11 this.

12 Manufacturers tell me they can easily compete with 13 China, but not with a 35 percent advantage.

The point being China currency will never be allowed by the Administration on this bill. So, obviously, it would be foolish to offer it, even though I think it would probably pass this Committee. We have broad bipartisan in support of the legislation that myself, Senator Snowe, Senator Stabenow, Senator Burr, others on this Committee have cosponsored.

But I do believe it should be considered concurrently with trade legislation, this trade legislation. I have made that clear to the Chairman of the Committee. I have made that clear to the leader, Senator Reid, and I do believe that we will be conceding

1 our China currency bill in the next several months.

And I would tell my colleagues that there will be two sides to trade here, not just trade agreements which are important with countries that play by the rules, as these countries may, but, also, some things that require countries that do not play by the rules, China at the very top of the list, to finally tow the mark.

8 And I am not going to rest until we get this bill on 9 the floor and vote for it or against it and move it 10 forward, because, again, the issue of currency dwarfs 11 these three trade agreements combined, and I think we 12 have an obligation to our American workers and our 13 American prosperity to address it.

14 So I thank you, Mr. Chairman, and sorry to delay the 15 Committee a brief bit.

16 The Chairman. Thank you. That is a very important 17 issue, clearly.

18 Senator Snowe. Mr. Chairman?

19 The Chairman. Senator Snowe?

20 Senator Snowe. Thank you. And I appreciate the 21 comments made by Senator Schumer with respect to his 22 legislation, which I wholeheartedly endorse. And the 23 amendments that I was prepared to offer, but I understand 24 that they were not going to go very far in this Committee 25 at this point, but we really do have to evaluate the

> LISA DENNIS COURT REPORTING 410-729-0401

200

whole issue of currency manipulation, because we even -you are going to see it in the South Korean trade agreement, frankly.

In fact, the Treasury department issued a report and said view it as a warning that South Korea has intervened with the objective of smoothing won volatility and estimated that the Korean won could be undervalued relative to its equilibrium level by an estimated 5 to 20 percent.

10 So my amendment would have required that our 11 government would have to certify, prior to entering into 12 a trade agreement with any specific country, that they 13 have not been manipulating or changing the valuation of 14 their currency in the 10 previous years.

You might ask why the 10 previous s years. Because the Treasury Department does issue reports on a biannual basis. It is required under the Trade Act. And basically, these standards that is in that act is adopted in my legislation.

But it is truly something that we have to address, because it is becoming a greater challenge, particularly with China, and the magnitude of our deficit, but over time, with other countries, systematically. And, frankly, what they see that China is getting away with is going to encourage and incentivize other countries to do

the same thing and to penetrate those markets in a way because of their currency valuation.

And if they manipulate the rate of exchange, we all understand that it is certainly going to make our industries and our workesr less competitive and put at risk.

So I hope that we can have an opportunity to addressthe array of issues concerning this.

9 Senator Schumer. Would the gentlelady yield?10 Senator Snowe. Yes.

11 Senator Schumer. Leader Reid has argued that we 12 will bring this bill to the floor sometime this year, the 13 currency manipulation bill of China, which we could then 14 maybe amend and add other countries, as well.

15 Senator Snowe. Thank you.

16 The Chairman. I think we will dispense with walking 17 through the Colombia agreement.

We have a modification. There is a modification before the Committee in connection with the mark. The mark is so modified.

The next order of business, since we are not going to walk through, a virtual walkthrough, I am not going to ask for a walk-through unless a Senator requests it.

24 [No response.]

25 I see no Senator requesting the walk-through. I

1 will move to amendments.

2 The mark, as modified, is now open for amendments. 3 Senator Cardin? Senator Cardin. As I was saying, Mr. Chairman, now 4 that we are on the Colombia Free trade agreement. 5 Ι 6 would like to offer Amendment No. 90, which is offered 7 with Senators Stabenow and Menendez, which would include 8 the labor action plan in Colombia in the implementing 9 bill. 10 And let me say I think where we are, as we know. We know there is the labor action plan that has been entered 11 12 into. We know that it requires certain changes in 13 Colombia. We know the Santos administration is moving 14 forward with that. 15 The President has also indicated that he expects 16 that progress will be made before the plan is actually 17 implemented, the free trade agreement is actually 18 implemented. 19 We also had the International Labor Organization 20 standards that are in the body of the bill, and I 21 appreciate the fact that that has been incorporated into 22 the base bill. The problem is that in the implementing 23 legislation, there is no reference that the President has 24 to certify to us that Colombia has complied with the 25 labor action plan before the free trade agreement is, in

1 fact, implemented.

This amendment would correct, I think, that oversight, and I say this in all sincerity, Mr. Chairman. I think this helps the President of the United States. As we start looking at the implementation of the bill, once Congress has acted, the pressure is off. I think we all understand that.

8 We understand that once Congress has acted, that the 9 free trade agreement is going to take effect. And, yes, 10 we expect that there will be further progress made, but 11 there is really no way to enforce that. I think we have 12 to be realistic about it.

Including this provision within the base agreement, it means the President has to certify, it means that the people in Colombia need to take very seriously the labor action plans.

It also empowers the advocacy community that has been working on the ground to make sure that the reforms, in fact, take place.

20 So for all those reasons, this amendment, I think, 21 is critically important. I might tell you that the 22 ranking Democratic member on the Ways and Means 23 Committee, Congressman Levin, is going to move a similar 24 amendment. I do not know where they are in the process 25 in the House.

I mention that because I think there are people 1 2 genuinely concerned on this agreement as to whether the 3 labor action plan will be implemented unless it is mentioned in the underlying agreement. 4 5 So I really do think this helps the President. Ι 6 think it advances the likelihood of the legislation, and 7 I would urge my colleagues to support it. 8 The Chairman. Is there any discussion? 9 Mr. Chairman? Senator Enzi. 10 The Chairman. Senator Enzi? Senator Enzi. I have some serious concerns with 11 12 this. In fact, I have some serious concerns with the

13 labor action plan, and I have an amendment that I will 14 offer after this one.

15 I have no doubt as to its fate, but we are requiring 16 of them more than we require in the United States. Ι 17 just finished reading a book called The Imperial Cruise. It is when Teddy Roosevelt sent his secretary of state 18 19 and his daughter to Japan, China and the Philippines to 20 force -- force -- some treaties. And it says that or 21 implies that that is what caused Japan to take us on in 22 World War II, putting unusual requirements on them that we did not put on ourselves. And that is what we do with 23 24 this labor action plan.

We have requirements in there that we do not require

25

in America. I do not know why we think we should -well, they, obviously, want to have some kind of a treaty
with us or at least did until they got the one with
Canada, which means we are not the only supplier of goods
anymore.

6 So when we start putting things on that have a 7 disagreement in their country that goes beyond what 8 require in our country, I think we are making a huge 9 mistake.

10 The Chairman. Any further discussion?11 Senator Stabenow?

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 Senator Stabenow. I join 13 with Senator Cardin in this amendment, because I think it 14 is an opportunity for us to do what many of us have been 15 talking about in terms of solidifying a step in our labor 16 agreements to make sure that people who want to have the 17 right to exercise their ability to improve their working 18 conditions can do that without threat of violence or other kinds of threats. 19

20 And I understand from colleagues that there have 21 been improvements. We know of the improvements. We know 22 what the Santos administration has been working towards. 23 There is disagreement now on an action plan, which is a 24 major step forward. But without this amendment, there is 25 no way to ensure that Colombia will actually carry it

1 out.

In fact, in the hearing, when I asked about that, what happens if all of the good work and the words written down on paper are not actually completed, how do we enforce it, and there was silence. There is no way to enforce it.

7 And so we are very close and I think this amendment 8 would guarantee that we would be taking a very important 9 policy step to solidify what we say we believe in 10 America.

Last year, there were more than 50 labor leaders assassinated in Colombia. We hear from colleagues that, in fact, that is down. Bu the truth is that there have been 17 so far this year and no prosecutions.

And so the question is whether or not we are going to say unequivocally that we will support this kind of agreement if, in fact, the labor action plan is fulfilled, not just put into language that we hope would happen, but actually enforced.

20 And without this amendment, it is not enforceable, 21 and I have very deep concerns about this trade agreement 22 without this language.

23 Senator Hatch. Can I just have word or two, Mr.
24 Chairman?

25 The Chairman. Senator Hatch?

1 Senator Hatch. I have to say Colombia is one of 2 our greatest friends in this hemisphere. This has been 3 held up over and over and over again. I 4 certainly agree with Senator Enzi, we should not impose 5 on them laws that we do not impose on ourselves.

And it seems to me we have dragged this out,
humiliated that country like it should not have been
humiliated, not all of us.

9 But I have got to say there comes a time when you 10 have got to say -- you have got to look and see what 11 these heroic presidents have done, President Uribe, 12 President Santos. I have chatted with both of them, and, 13 I have to say, they have risked their lives to be able to 14 bring labor peace and to stop the FARC from doing what 15 they have been doing.

16 They have resurrected that country. It is a country 17 that we can all be proud of right now. Have they been 18 able to stop these criminals completely? No, just like 19 we cannot in our country.

But there comes a time when you really need to show solidarity and support for one of our greatest allies in this world, let alone in this hemisphere, that has been led by really courageous leaders who have risked their lives to do the things that they have done that should be applauded instead of criticized.

1 I just wanted to say that much, Mr. Chairman, and we 2 are prepared to vote.

The Chairman. Further discussion?

4 Senator Menendez?

3

5 Senator Menendez. Mr. Chairman, I share Senator 6 Hatch's view about Colombia's progress, and many of us 7 have stood with Colombia when there were many others who 8 would not, including putting our votes where it was 9 necessary to give them the wherewithal to regain the 10 sovereignty of their country.

But that does not mean that killing labor leaders with impunity in scores is, in fact, something that we should hide our commitment to.

I share Senator Cardin's view. I think that passage of this amendment is crucial to the Senate's endorsements of Colombia's free trade agreement.

17 The Colombia labor issue is the leading concern with 18 respect to passage of the agreement and, therefore, I 19 think it is necessary and appropriate to demand that the 20 Administration simply hold Colombia to its obligations 21 before the treaty enters into force, just as the 22 President is compelled to do with respect to other 23 provisions of the agreement before the treaty can enter into force. 24

25

So it makes zero sense that the President has to

certify that Colombia has taken measures necessary to comply with all of the provisions of the agreement before entering into force, but not with respect to its labor commitments, which is the primary concern so many of us have with this bill.

6 We are not imposing additional requirements or 7 making new asks. We are simply asking that the 8 Administration be certain that Colombia has taken all 9 measures agreed to by the date of determination in the 10 plan or by January 2012, whichever is later.

11 And then for those who say there is not anybody that 12 has greater respect for President Uribe, President 13 Santos. I have told President Uribe that personally. I 14 look forward to telling that to President Santos. But this amendment would help to ensure that Colombia's labor 15 16 leaders are not forgotten once the agreement is 17 implemented, because even after the action plan was 18 announced, violence against union activists and worker repression has continued unabated. 19

In fact, killings of trade unionists in Colombia this year has accelerated to a rate of approximately one union person being killed every 7 days during May and June -- one every 7 days during May and June.

Just to give you an example, on June 20, AlejandroJose Penata Lopez, a teacher and a member of the

1 Asociacion de Maestros de Cordoba, the Teachers

Association of Cordoba, affiliated to the CUT, was murdered. He disappeared after leaving school and his body was found with signs of torture. He had been hanged with barbed wire.

6 On June 9, Marguerite de la Salas-Bach, a judge on 7 the sixth circuit labor court and a member of the Asonal 8 Judicial Union was killed in Barranquilla after leaving 9 the courthouse. She is survived by her husband and 10 daughter.

11 On June 8, Jorge Eliecer De los Rios, a teacher and 12 environmental campaigner and a member of the SER Union, 13 was killed in Pereira. He was shot several times from a 14 motorbike while on his school's campus.

15 A leading member of the Meedrua nongovernmental 16 organization, he has led a campaign to expose the damage 17 reaped by open air mines belonging to multinationals.

18 And on May 29, Carlos Julio Gomez, a teacher, a 19 profession we revere in this country, a member of the 20 SUTEV Union, was shot and killed in Cali.

21 So I look at these, Mr. Chairman, and I sway to 22 myself, without the inclusion of an amendment of this 23 nature or the other one that I will offer if this one 24 does not pass at least for notification, I regrettably, 25 will not be able to support the Colombia free trade

1 agreement.

| 2  | I recognize that Colombia has made a real commitment      |
|----|---|
| 3  | to addressing labor violence through the labor action     |
| 4  | plan, but we need actually to know that beyond this       |
| 5  | initial commitment where all these killings have still    |
| 6  | taken place with impunity and without prosecution, that,  |
| 7  | in fact, there is a commitment by the United States.      |
| 8  | Senator Hatch. Mr. Chairman?                              |
| 9  | The Chairman. Senator Hatch?                              |
| 10 | Senator Hatch. Look, there have been deaths where         |
| 11 | people have been killed with impunity and it has not been |
| 12 | the administration of these two men who have been         |
| 13 | bringing this way down from where it was and continue to  |
| 14 | work hard to bring it down bring this type of violence    |
| 15 | down.   |
| 16 | It has been caused by leftwing group down there that      |
| 17 | just hate democracy and hate everything these presidents  |
| 18 | are doing. We ought to be supporting the presidents and,  |
| 19 | in the process, it seems to me, we would be able to       |
| 20 | overcome some of these things rather than making their    |
| 21 | jobs harder, and, at the same time, helping our own       |
| 22 | country to benefit from these trade agreements.           |
| 23 | Well, I understand my dear friend's feelings and I        |
| 24 | feel the same way with regard to any kind of murders      |

25 anywhere. But my gosh, let us give credit where credit

1 is due.

These two presidents risked their lives to bring this labor violence down and it has come down dramatically, and they are still going to keep bringing it down and hopefully someday they will completely clean out these vicious people who have been causing these things.

8 But it is not caused by the people of Colombia or, 9 should I say, regular citizens in Colombia and certainly 10 not by these two presidents who have done everything they 11 possibly can to bring this kind of violence down, and it 12 has come down considerably.

Is it still there? It is probably true in every country in this hemisphere, there is some of that, maybe not the same type of murders, but murders that can be easily condemned, and we certainly have some of that in our own country.

18 Senator Cardin. Mr. Chairman?

19 The Chairman. Senator Cardin?

20 Senator Cardin. That is exactly why I want this 21 amendment to pass, Senator Hatch. The labor action plan 22 institutionalizes in Colombia protections for the people 23 of Colombia.

It is difficult to change things and this action
plan helps Colombia make the political changes they need.

But I think without it referenced in the agreement, it is too easy to overlook the implementation, and that is why we have pressed this amendment.

The Chairman. Let me ask Ambassador Marantis a 4 5 question. Before I do, let me just -- whatever it is 6 worth. Many of us have spoken with President Uribe, we 7 have spoken with President Santos. Many of us have 8 visited Colombia. Many of us read a lot of books about 9 Colombia. I am thinking of one, The News of a 10 Kidnapping, by Gabrielle Marguez, and that book just gives you such a sense of what the violence as like a 11 12 good number of years ago.

When I visited Colombia, in Bogota, and walking the streets of Bogota and, also, at Cali, I was stunned. This is not the country that Marquez wrote about. And the country has changed so dramatically for the better.

It is not exaggeration to say not too many years ago, Colombia was on the brink of being a failed state. That is not an exaggeration.

They have come back so far to such a great degree, and, as such, a close ally of the United States and so respect the United States that I think it would be a tragedy, number one, if we were not to pass this FTA, but, number two, if we are going to snub them now at this point given all that they have done.

No, it is not perfect, but I can tell you, the conditions in Colombia are infinitely better than they were several years ago. And when this agreements passed, they will be even stronger. They will be in a better position to go along the route they have taken to prosecute murderers, to prosecute folks.

7 They still have a long ways to go. I talked to 8 Fiscalia, Fiscalia is the attorney general there, very, 9 very competent, very impressive lady, and all the things 10 that they are doing to address the problems that they 11 have. And even having said that, the violence rate, the 12 murder rate of labor unions, frankly, on a per capita 13 basis, is lower in Colombia than it is in many, many 14 other countries.

Now, any death is too much, no doubt, any murder is reprehensible. It is tragedy. But I believe very strongly, having spent a good bit of time working on this issue, thinking about it, trying to do what is right, that the best thing to do is for the United States to honor its commitment.

Also, just think of all the geopolitical considerations. Colombia has been waiting for the United States to negotiate and to ratify this agreement, waiting, waiting, waiting, and if we snub Colombia now, they are going to wonder what is the word of the United

1 States, is the United States as good as its word.

Now, the next question is the labor action plan. Now, the next question is the labor action plan. There have been very intensive negotiations with Colombia to get the very best possible labor provisions, a lot of negotiations going on in that regard, and one of them is the labor action plan.

7 The President has said publicly that he will not 8 certify this agreement. He said publicly he will not 9 allow the FTA to enter into force unless Colombia has 10 fulfilled all of its labor action plan obligations. That 11 is a public statement by the President of the United 12 States of America, and that is good enough for me.

13 The President made that commitment. That is good 14 enough for me. And I am quite confident that he will 15 live up to that public commitment and, for that reason 16 and for other reasons, one is it tends to upset lots of 17 different negotiations going on here, including 18 negotiations with the House, that I think it is only appropriate and the best course of action would be not to 19 20 adopt this amendment.

21 Senator Hatch. Mr. Chairman, I am going to put my 22 statement I want to make in the record at this point, 23 because I know that we are running out of time and I 24 would like to see us vote on this treaty, free trade 25 agreement.
[The statement appears in the appendix.] 1 2 The Chairman. Does the Senator wish to press his 3 amendment? All those in favor, say aye. 4 5 [A Chorus of Ayes.] 6 The Chairman. Those opposed, no? 7 [A Chorus of Nays.] 8 The Chairman. In the opinion of the Chair, the 9 noes have it and the amendment is not agreed to. 10 Senator Menendez? Senator Menendez. Mr. Chairman, since we are on 11 12 this topic and we have discussed it, I would like to ask 13 for my Amendment No. 2 to be called up. 14 The Chairman. Menendez No. 2. 15 Senator Menendez. Mr. Chairman, if I may speak to 16 the amendment. 17 The Chairman. Yes, go ahead. 18 Senator Menendez. And I will not belabor it long, 19 because I have made my major points in Senator Cardin's. 20 This is simply the equivalent of Ronald Reagan's 21 "trust, but verify." But it is a step below Senator 22 Cardin's amendment, because all we are asking in this 23 amendment is a reporting requirement on the 24 implementation and enforcement, which is different than 25 the other one where we had that it could not go into

force unless the President certified to the Congress that
the labor action plan and all that was necessary to
pursue. It had been pursued and enacted.

So it seems to me it is necessary because it is 4 5 important for Congress to know that the labor action plan 6 is and will be implemented and enforced as promised by 7 the government of Colombia. And the amendment would help 8 ensure that Colombia's labor leaders are not forgotten 9 once the agreement is implemented and, in essence, would 10 just simply give us a report by the Administration that, 11 in fact, hopefully, the progress that I have listened to 12 here -- even though one trade unionist gets killed every 13 7 days, somehow I find that hard to consider progress --14 but nonetheless, at least the progress that we all hope 15 for as a result of the agreement would be notified and 16 reported to the Congress.

17 I do not think that is too much to ask.

18 The Chairman. Any discussion?

19 [No response.]

The Chairman. I might say to the Senator that the labor action plan already contains extensive reporting requirements. It would allow the public, obviously, including the Congress, to gauge progress on

24 implementation.

25 The action plan requires the Colombian government to

provide quarterly reports on its enforcement efforts with respect to cooperatives and protection of labor unions, and there is no end date for this requirement, quarterly, ad infinitum.

5 The Administration is committed to making, 6 obviously, those reports public. In addition, there is 7 extensive monitoring of labor conditions by a wide range 8 of NGOs, labor unions, human rights groups, information 9 widely disseminated. I think this is not necessary, this 10 amendment.

Senator Menendez. Mr. Chairman, just simply a note 11 12 for the record. This is exactly similar reporting 13 requirements that exist in CAFTA and NAFTA implementing 14 legislation. If it was good enough for CAFTA and NAFTA, it should be good enough for Colombia. 15 16 The Chairman. I have to oppose the amendment. 17 All those in favor of the amendment, say aye. 18 [A Chorus of Ayes.]

19 The Chairman. Those opposed, no?

20 [A Chorus of Nays.]

21 The Chairman. In the opinion of the Chair, the 22 noes have it and the amendment is not agreed to.

- 23 Senator Enzi. Mr. Chairman?
- 24 The Chairman. Senator Enzi?

25 Senator Enzi. I have an amendment that we can

probably deal with quickly, and that is Amendment No. 94, 1 2 and that is the one that would prohibit the agreement 3 from requiring anything that goes beyond what U.S. labor law has. 4 5 I have already discussed it a little bit. I will not discuss it further, and I would accept a voice vote. 6 7 The Chairman. All those in favor of the amendment, 8 say aye. 9 [A Chorus of Ayes.] 10 The Chairman. Those opposed, no? [A Chorus of Nays.] 11 12 The Chairman. In the opinion of the Chair, the 13 noes have it and the amendment is not agreed to. 14 Are there any further amendments? 15 Senator Menendez. Mr. Chairman, I would like to 16 call Amendment 1, where I want to briefly state the 17 position of myself. I believe that some of my colleagues 18 here are supportive of this effort, as well, and 19 hopefully will get the Chair to give me some sense of how 20 we might act in the future. This deals with an effort to correct a tariff 21 22 inversion with reference to tariffs for imported dress 23 shirts that were removed while maintaining a high tariff, 24 as high as 13.5 percent, on shirt fabrics imported to

25 make shirts here in the United States.

So what we did is we told other countries, "Oh, 1 2 yeah, we will let you have a total elimination of the 3 tariff and you can send your finalized shirts into the 4 United States, with the very same product that U.S. manufacturers have to import to make those shirts, but 5 6 you will not pay a tariff, but the shirt manufacturer in 7 the United States, in places like New Jersey, Tennessee 8 and other States, ultimately have to pay a higher tariff 9 just to get the material to create the shirt so that they 10 can compete with all of the foreign entities abroad.

11 So the Congress ultimately passed something called 12 the Cotton Trust Fund. It did so to eliminate this 13 inversion and the consequences of costing American jobs 14 by not permitting an equal playing field at the end of 15 the day.

I have visited many of these factors where Americans are making high quality shirts here in the United States from the very same materials that are being imported from other countries in the world, sent to the United States.

We went from 600,000 shirts in 1999 that had been imported to 2.4 million shirts that have been imported by 2006. We should just simply give U.S. manufacturers of those shirts, and what we did in the Cotton Trust Fund that expired, the same opportunity to compete with anybody in the world, and that is what this would do.

And I would like to get a sense from the Chairman, 1 2 if he would work with us to try to ensure that we can 3 move not only to a markup, but hopefully to some vehicle to try to find passage on this on the floor. 4 Senator Hatch. Mr. Chairman? 5 6 The Chairman. First, I want to respond to my good 7 friend from New Jersey. He has that assurance. 8 Yes, we will work with you to address this issue. 9 This is a new issue to me, frankly. Of course, I will certainly, in good faith, work with the --10 Senator Menendez. Mr. Chairman, I would note that 11 12 you included it in your extenders bill. It did not move 13 forward. So I would certainly hope --14 The Chairman. That is a good start. 15 Senator Menendez. -- that that, in fact, seeing 16 that you had the propensity to do it on your mark, I hope 17 that we would have that plus at the end of the day. 18 The Chairman. That certainly helps. Mr. Chairman? 19 Senator Hatch. 20 The Chairman. Senator Hatch? Senator Hatch. Mr. Chairman, I agree with the 21 22 Senator. I want to work with him on this and see what we 23 can do with not only cotton, but wool and move ahead on 24 this, if we can. 25 There are some people that question then efficiency

1 of this, but I think that he is right.

2 Senator Menendez. I appreciate Senator Hatch. 3 The Chairman. Senator Cardin? And Senator Schumer and I had drafted Amendment 91. It falls within the same 4 5 category where you have an inverted tariff, where, 6 literally, American manufacturers are at a disadvantage 7 because the imported product makes it -- they cannot 8 compete with the imported final product. 9 So this was also included in your extender bill last 10 year, and I just want to encourage you to continue your 11 interest to get his extended. I think it is help save 12 jobs and create jobs here in America. 13 The Chairman. Senator Schumer? 14 Senator Schumer. Just briefly to add my remarks to 15 Senator Cardin's. We can still create fine clothing here 16 in America. Hickey-Freeman in Rochester New York, 17 employees 500 people making very nice suits. They even 18 make me a look at little better than I would normally. 19 Senator Hatch. I would not go that far, I will be 20 honest with you. 21 [Laughter.] Senator Cardin. They do their best with what they 22 23 have to work with. 24 Senator Hatch. Now, that is a better statement. 25 Senator Cardin. But in any case, wool inversion

make sit really through for them, tariff inversion makes 1 2 it really tough for them. So I hope we can find a way --3 I know I have talked to you about this -- we could find a way to move this along with Senator Menendez's and 4 5 Senator Hatch's same problem with a similar fiber cotton. 6 Thank you. 7 The Chairman. We will do so. 8 Are there further amendments? 9 Senator Hatch. No. 10 The Chairman. If there are no furrier amendments, I would entertain a motion that the committee report the 11 12 Colombia bill, as amended. 13 Senator Hatch. It has been moved by the Senator 14 from Utah. 15 Does any Senator desire a recorded vote? 16 Senator Hatch. Yes. Let us have a recorded vote. 17 The Chairman. A recorded vote has been requested. 18 The Clerk will call the roll. The Clerk. Mr. Rockefeller? 19 20 The Chairman. No by proxy. 21 The Clerk. Mr. Conrad? 22 Senator Conrad. Aye. 23 The Clerk. Mr. Bingaman? 24 Senator Bingaman. Aye. 25 The Clerk. Mr. Kerry?

| 1  | The Chairman. Aye by proxy.  |
|----|------------------------------|
| 2  | The Clerk. Mr. Wyden?        |
| 3  | Senator Wyden. Aye.          |
| 4  | The Clerk. Mr. Schumer?      |
| 5  | Senator Schumer. No.         |
| 6  | The Clerk. Ms. Stabenow?     |
| 7  | Senator Stabenow. No.        |
| 8  | The Clerk. Ms. Cantwell?     |
| 9  | Senator Cantwell. Aye.       |
| 10 | The Clerk. Mr. Nelson?       |
| 11 | Senator Nelson. Aye.         |
| 12 | The Clerk. Mr. Menendez?     |
| 13 | Senator Menendez. No.        |
| 14 | The Clerk. Mr. Carper?       |
| 15 | Senator Carper. Aye.         |
| 16 | The Clerk. Mr. Cardin?       |
| 17 | Senator Cardin. No.          |
| 18 | The Clerk. Mr. Hatch?        |
| 19 | Senator Hatch. Aye.          |
| 20 | The Clerk. Mr. Grassley?     |
| 21 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy. |
| 22 | The Clerk. Ms. Snowe?        |
| 23 | Senator Hatch. No by proxy.  |
| 24 | The Clerk. Mr. Kyl?          |
| 25 | Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy  |

1 The Clerk. Mr. Crapo? 2 Senator Crapo. Aye. 3 The Clerk. Mr. Roberts? Senator Roberts. Aye. 4 The Clerk. Mr. Enzi? 5 6 Senator Enzi. Aye. 7 The Clerk. Mr. Cornyn? 8 Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy. 9 The Clerk. Mr. Coburn? 10 Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy. The Clerk. Mr. Thune? 11 12 Senator Thune. Aye. 13 The Clerk. Mr. Burr? 14 Senator Hatch. Aye by proxy. 15 The Clerk. Mr. Chairman? 16 The Chairman. Aye. The Clerk will record the 17 tallies. 18 The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, the final tally is 12 19 ayes, 4 nays of the members present. The final tally is 20 18 ayes, 6 nays. 21 The Chairman. The ayes have it and the bill is 22 ordered reported. 23 I ask consent the staff have authority to make 24 changes for technical, conforming and budgetary reasons 25 to all measures that the committee has considered today.

Without objection, so ordered. Once again, I thank all Senators for their cooperation. And the Committee is adjourned. [Whereupon, at 1:54 p.m., the hearing was adjourned.] 

INDEX

|   | PAGE |
|---|------|
| STATEMENT OF:   |      |
| THE HONORABLE MAX BAUCUS<br>A United States Senator<br>From the State of Montana          | 3    |
| THE HONORABLE ORRIN HATCH<br>A United States Senator<br>From the State of Utah            | 8    |
| THE HONORABLE KENT CONRAD<br>A United States Senator<br>From the State of North Dakota    | 13   |
| THE HONORABLE JOHN F. KERRY<br>A United States Senator<br>From the State of Massachusetts | 21   |
| THE HONORABLE RON WYDEN<br>A United States Senator<br>From the State of Oregon            | 29   |
| THE HONORABLE DEBBIE STABENOW<br>A United States Senator<br>From the State of Michigan    | 39   |
| THE HONORABLE MARIA CANTWELL<br>A United States Senator<br>From the State of Washington   | 46   |
| THE HONORABLE BILL NELSON<br>A United States Senator<br>From the State of Florida         | 36   |
| THE HONORABLE THOMAS CARPER<br>A United States Senator<br>From the State of Delaware      | 58   |
| THE HONORABLE CHUCK GRASSLEY<br>A United Statse Senator<br>From the State of Iowa         | 32   |
| THE HONORABLE MIKE CRAPO<br>A Unted States Senator<br>From the State of Idaho             | 17   |

| THE HONORABLE PAT ROBERTS<br>A United States Senator<br>From the State of Kansas      | 24 |
|---|----|
| THE HONORABLE MIKE ENZI<br>A United States Senator<br>From the State of Wyoming       | 43 |
| THE HONORABLE JOHN CORNYN<br>A United States Senator<br>From the State of Texas       | 49 |
| THE HONORABLE TOM COBURN<br>A United States Senator<br>From the State of Oklahoma     | 38 |
| THE HONORABLE JOHN THUNE<br>A United States Senator<br>From the State of South Dakota | 56 |

229