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## STAFF DATA AND MATERIALS ON THE STATUS OF SOCIAL SECURITY FINANCING

## INTRODUCTION

The social security programs of old-age, survivors, disability, and hospital insurance operate on a self-financing basis whereby benofit payments are met out of the revenues raised by earmarked payroll taxes. Because confidence in the security provided by the programs is based on the ability of the social security tax revenues to cover benefit obligations, Congreas has traditionally insisted on poriodic and thorough analyses of the actuarial status of the programs both over the short-range and over a long-range period extending well into the future. In particular, the law requires that such an analysis of the status of each of the trust funde be prepared annually under the direction of the Board of Trustees of those funds. (The Secretaries of Health and Human Services, Labor, and the Treasury constitute the Board of Trusteen.) The Trustees are required to transmit these annual reports to the Congress no later than April 1 of each year. Once transmitted, the Trustees' reports and their findings as to the financial status of the funds form the usual benchmarks for discussion of the short-range and long-range status of the programs.

The projections contained in the 1982 reports of the Board of Trustees reveal that the social security system is seriously underfinanced in both the short- and long-range. To ensure that benefits continue to be paid in a timely manner, corrective action will be required early in the 98th Congress.

This document describes the current manner of financing the social security programs, the means by which financial soundness is measured, and the current financial condition of the system both in the short and long term. For this latter purpose, the staff has obtained estimates from the Social Security Administration (SSA), the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), the Congretsional Budget Office (CBO), and the staff of the National Commission on Social Security Reform. The projections provided by SSA and HCFA are based on the intermediate (II-B) and pessimistic atsumptions contained in the 1982 Trustees' reports. The CBO estimates are based on CBO's Fall of 1982 economic assumptions. The forecasts provided by these organizations include the estimated effects of the "Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982" (P.L. 97-248).

## 1. Method of Financing the System

## BASIS OF SOCIAL SECURITY FINANCING

The Constitution provides that "no money shall be drawn from the Treasury, but in consequence of appropriations made by law." For most Federal programs, funding is made out of the general revenues on an annual basis in one of the several departmental appropriations acts. Social security operates on a totally different basis. The Social Security Act provides for an appropriation out of the Treasury and into specified trust funds of amounts exactly equal to the amount of social security taxes imposed on employers and employees and on self-employed persons. This is a permanent appropriation and transfers to the trust funds are made on a daily basis consistent with the pattern of tax collections. In addition, a relatively small amount of revenue flows into the trust funds from general revenue reimbursements and from interest on investments.

Once moneys have been transferred to each of the trust funds, they are available to be expended to meet benefit costs without any further action on the part of the Congress. (Trust fund moneys are also available for administrative costs of social security, but may be expended for that purpose only up to limits established in annual appropriations acts.) If benefit costs should exceed the available balances in the trust funds, there is no statutory authority to meet the deficit from general revenue appropriations.
Three social security programs are designed to operate on this self-sustaining basis. The old-age and survivors insurance (OASI) program pays benefits to retired workers and their dependent spouses and children and to the surviving spouses and children of deceased workers. The disability insurance (DI) program pays benefits to disabled workers and to their dependent spouses and children. The hospital insurance (HI) program, part A of medicare, provides for the costs of hospitalization and certain skilled nursing home and home health care for social security beneficiaries who are age 65 and older or who have been on the DI rolls for more than 2 years.

For each of these programs there is a separate trust fund which receives a share of the overall social security tax. The proportion of the tax each year that is allocated to each trust fund is specified by law.

## Interfund borrowing

Prior to legislation enacted in 1981 (P.L. 97-123), each social socurity program had to meet its benefit obligations through the balances in its own trust fund. That is, the financial operations of the OASI, DI, and HI programs were completely independent. The 1981 legislation authorized "interfund borrowing" whereby on a temporary basis the surplus balances in any one trust fund may be used to help finance benefits paid out of the other trust funds. Borrow-
ing is authorized only through December 31, 1982 in amounts not to exceed those which are required by the borrowing fund to ensure that benefits can be paid for an additional six months (i.e., as of December 31, 1982, the Secretary of the Treasury may borrow enough funds to cover benefits through June of 1983). Any such loans are to be repaid with interest.
The first such transfer, of $\$ 581$ million, was made from the DI trust fund to the OASI trust fund on November 5, 1982 to help pay retirement and survivors' benefits. A second transfer of $\$ 3.4$ billion, was made to the OASI trust fund from the HI trust fund on December 7.

## Supplementary Medical Insurance

Supplementary Medical Insurance (SMI), referred to as Part B of medicare, is a fourth social security program. A person who is entitled to HI benefits will be automatically enrolled in SMI, but he may decline coverage. Other persons 65 and older, who are not eligible for the HI benefits, can enroll in SMI at certain designated times. Generally, SMI covers the cost of services furnished by doctors, hospital outpatient facilities, home health agencies and various other medical services.

The SMI program, unlike the other three programs, is not compulsory and is not financially self-sustaining. This program receives no proceeds from the social security tax and is heavily supported by the general fund of the Treasury. Originally (in 1965), 50 percent of the cost of the program was borne by beneficiaries, through monthly premiums, with the other 50 percent borne by the general fund of the Treasury. Today, beneficiaries bear 25 percent of the cost with the remainder borne by the general fund. Because of its very different financing basis, SMI is generally not considered in discussions of social security financing.

## SOURCES OF INCOME

Currently, there are 116 million workers (including those in parttime and temporary jobs) and their employers who pay social security taxes. The social security payroll tax is a composite of three separate tax rates supporting OASI, DI, and HI. (Actually there are only two separate taxes in the law-OASDI and HI-but the OASI/DI allocations are statutorily specified). All of the receipts of the payroll tax are credited to the three social security trust funds. Excess amounts not required to meet current benefits and administrative expenses are invested in U.S. Government securities. Accumulated assets are not generally transferable between trust funds. However, the temporary interfund borrowing authority in present law does permit such transfers on a loan basis, subject to repayment with appropriate interest.
The three trust funds also receive payments from the general fund of the Treasury for various limited expenditures which the Congress believes are more appropriately financed by general taxation. For example, the trust funds are reimbursed from general revenues for costs attributable to social security credits which are provided on the basis of military service during World War II. In addition, the three trust funds receive payments consisting of interest on invested assets.

In fiscal year 1981, payroll tax revenues accounted for 97.8 percent of the income to the OASDI programs, transfers from the general fund for various expenditures accounted for 0.5 percent, and interest on investments accounted for 1.7 percent. As for the HI trust fund, 92.6 percent of receipts consisted of tax revenues, 2.5 percent represented transfers from the general fund for various expenditures, and 4.0 percent represented interest on investments.
Tables 43-45 in the final section of this print detail the composition of social security income over the years as among payroll taxes, general revenues, and interest income.

## CURRENTLY SCHEDULED TAX RATES AND TAXABLE EARNINGS BASE

## Tax rates

The tax on earnings is paid by employees, employers and the self-employed. The schedule of tax rates in present law is shown in the following table:

TABLE 1.-TAX RATES FOR THE SOCIAL SECURITY TRUST FUNDS, 1977 AND AFTER [In percent]

| Calonder years | OAS ${ }^{1}$ | 012 | Oasol | $\mathrm{HI}^{\circ}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMPLOYERS AND EMPLOYEES, EACH |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 4.375 | 0.575 | 4.95 | 0.90 | 5.85 |
| 1978.......................................... | 4.275 | . 775 | 5.05 | 1.00 | 6.05 |
| 1979. | 4.330 | . 750 | 5.08 | 1.05 | 6.13 |
| 1980. | 4.520 | . 560 | 5.08 | 1.05 | 6.13 |
| 1981 | 4.700 | . 650 | 5.35 | 1.30 | 6.65 |
| 1982-84............................................................ | 4.575 | . 825 | 5.40 | 1.30 | 6.70 |
| 1985....................................... | 4.750 | . 950 | 5.70 | 1.35 | 7.05 |
| 1986-89. | 4.750 | . 950 | 5.70 | 1.45 | 7.15 |
| 1990 and later ........................... | 5.100 | 1.100 | 6.20 | 1.45 | 7.65 |

SELF-EMPLOYED PERSONS

| 1977 | 6.1850 | 0.8150 | 7.00 | 0.90 | 7.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978. | 6.0100 | 1.0900 | 7.10 | 1.00 | 8.10 |
| 1979. | 6.0100 | 1.0400 | 7.05 | 1.05 | 8.10 |
| 1980. | 6.2725 | . 7775 | 7.05 | 1.05 | 8.10 |
| 1981 | 7.0250 | . 9750 | 8.00 | 1.30 | 9.30 |
| 1982-84. | 6.8125 | 1.2375 | 8.05 | 1.30 | 9.35 |
| 1985. | 7.1250 | 1.4250 | 8.55 | 1.35 | 9.90 |
| 1986-89. | 7.1250 | 1.4250 | 8.55 | 1.45 | 10.00 |
| 1990 and later... | 7.6500 | 1.6500 | 9.30 | 1.45 | 10.75 |

[^0]
## The earnings base

In 1982, the tax applies to the first $\$ 32,400$ of an individual's earnings. The amount of earnings subject to the tax rises each year
depending on the increase in average wages that occurs from one year to the next. The amount of earnings subject to social security taxes in 1983 will rise to $\$ 35,700$.

The table which follows shows the projected increase in the earnings base over the next several years.

TABLE 2.-ANNUAL EARNINGS SUBJECT TO SOCIAL SECURITY TAX

|  | Actual | CBO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Intermediate } \\ & \\|-B \end{aligned}$ | Pessimistic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calendar year: |  |  |  |  |
| 1980................................. | \$25,900 |  |  |  |
| 1981.................................. | 29,700 |  |  |  |
| 1982................................ | 32,400 |  |  |  |
| $1983{ }^{1}$.............................. | 35,700 .......................................................... |  |  |  |
| 1984.. |  |  |  |  |
| 1985. |  | 39,000 | 40,500 | 39,900 |
| 1986. | .......... | 41,700 | 43,800 | 42,900 |
| 1987. |  | 44,700 | 46,800 | 46,800 |
| 1988. |  | 47,700 | 50,100 | 51,000 |
| 1989. |  | 51,000 | 53,400 | 55,500 |
| 1990................................. | ............... | 54,600 | 57,000 | 60,300 |

${ }^{1}$ The taxcbin earnings base for 1983 was recently determined to be $\$ 35,700$.
Table 3 show how scheduled increases in the tax rate combined with expected increases in the amount of earnings subject to the tax are likely to produce sharp increases in the amount of taxes paid by average and maximum earners over the next several years.

TABLE 3.-PAYROLL TAXES PAID BY AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM EARNERS 1980-90 ${ }^{1}$

| Calendar years | Average earner ${ }^{2}$ |  | Maximum earner ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employer and Employee each | Seffemployed | Employer and Employee each | Sefiremployed |
| 1980. | \$767.08 | \$1,013.59 | \$1,587.67 | \$2,097.90 |
| 1981....................... | 904.02 | 1,264.27 | 1,975.05 | 2,762.10 |
| 1982.......................... | 971.21 | 1,355.35 | 2,170.80 | 3,029.40 |
| 1983. | 1,049.49 | 1,464.58 | 2,391.90 | 3,337.95 |
|  | 1,134.07 | 1,582.62 | 2,512.50 | 3,506.25 |
| 1985....................... | 1,275.99 | 1,791.81 | 2,855.25 | 4,009.50 |
| 1986....................... | 1,382.05 | 1,932.94 | 3,131.70 | 4,380.00 |
| 1987 ....................... | 1,473.58 | 2,060.96 | 3,346.20 | 4,680.00 |
| 1988....................... | 1,570.73 | 2,196.83 | 3,582.15 | 5,010.00 |
| 1989......................... | 1,670.50 | 2,336.36 | 3,818.10 | 5,340.00 |
| 1990....................... | 1,894.74 | 2,662.54 | 4,360.50 | 6,127.50 |
| 1980-90 Cumulative.. | 14,093.46 | 19,661.85 | 31,731.82 | 44,280.60 |

[^1]As illustrated, the worker with average earnings paid $\$ 971.21$ in taxes in 1982, matched by his employer. The same worker is expected to pay almost twice that amount, $\$ 1,894.74$, in 1990 . The tax payment of an employee with earnings equal to the taxable earnings base (i.e., one who pays the maximum tax) is expected to rise from $\$ 2,170.80$ in 1982 to $\$ 4,360.50$ in 1990 , matched by the employer's tax. The increase in taxes between now and the end of the decade reflect the 3 scheduled tax increases now in the law (for 1985, 1986, and 1990), and the continuous rise in the earnings base.

## BRIEF HISTORY OF PAYROLL TAX STRUCTURE

Collection of payroll taxes began in 1937. Since that time the financing of the system has been amended more than 20 times. The tax rate has risen 16 times as has the earnings base. Beginning with a tax rate on employees and employers, each, of 1 percent on earnings up to $\$ 3,000$ annually, the tax structure remained constant until 1950 when the rate rose to 1.5 percent. (Earlier increases had been scheduled, but legislation precluded them from going into effect.) In 1951, the earnings base increased for the first time to $\$ 3,600$, and the self-employed were brought under the system with a tax rate of 2.25 percent, i.e., 1.5 times the employee/ employer rate. The employee/employer rate rose again in 1954 to 2 percent. Coupled with many expansions in the system (the introduction of disability insurance and medicare foremost among them), more than a dozen changes in the financial structure of the system have been made sincè the early 1950's.

Today, the maximum employee tax is $\$ 2,170.80$ ( 6.7 percent times $\$ 32,400$ ) and the maximum tax for a self-employed worker is $\$ 3,029.40$ ( 9.35 percent times $\$ 32,400$ ). In 1983 , the maximum employee tax is scheduled to be $\$ 2,391.90$ ( 6.7 percent times $\$ 35,700$ ) and the maximum tax for a self-employed worker is $\$ 3,337.95$ (9.35 percent times $\$ 35,700$ ).

According to a study by the Social Security Administration, 24 percent of households paying social security taxes pay more to finance social security than they pay to the Internal Revenue Service in Federal income taxes. If it is assumed that the employee ultimately bears the cost of the employer's tax through depressed wages, 51 percent of taxpaying households pay more in social security taxes than in income taxes (based on 1979 data).

A summary of the year-by-year tax rates and taxable earnings bases since 1937 is provided in the following table.

## TABLE 4.-HISTORICAL SOCIAL SECURITY TAXES

| Calendar years | OASOH lax rate and laxable earnings base |  |  | Maximum OASOHI tax payment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tax rate |  |  | Emplover and employee. each | Seffemployed |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Taxabbe } \\ & \text { earnings } \\ & \text { base } \end{aligned}$ | Employer employee, each | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Self. } \\ & \text { employed } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 1937-49. | \$3,000 | 1.0 |  | \$30.00 |  |
| 1950 | 3,000 | 1.5 |  | 45.00 |  |
| 1951-53......................... | 3,600 | 1.5 | 2.25 | 54.00 | \$81.00 |
| 1954.............................. | 3,600 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 72.00 | 108.00 |
| 1955-56.......................... | 4,200 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 84.00 | 126.00 |
| 1957-58......................... | 4,200 | 2.25 | 3.375 | 94.50 | 141.75 |
| 1959. | 4,800 | 2.50 | 3.75 | 120.00 | 180.00 |
| 1960-61. | 4,800 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 144.00 | 216.00 |
| 1962.............................. | 4,800 | 3.125 | 4.7 | 150.00 | 225.60 |
| 1963-65......................... | 4,800 | 3.625 | 5.4 | 174.00 | 259.20 |
| 1966.............................. | 6,600 | 4.2 | 6.15 | 277.20 | 405.90 |
| 1967 ............................... | 6,600 | 4.4 | 6.4 | 290.40 | 422.40 |
| 1968. | 7,800 | 4.4 | 6.4 | 343.20 | 499.20 |
| 1969-70......................... | 7,800 | 4.8 | 6.9 | 374.40 | 538.20 |
| 1971 ............................... | 7,800 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 405.60 | 585.00 |
| 1972.............................. | 9,000 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 468.00 | 675.00 |
| 1973............................... | 10,800 | 5.85 | 8.0 | 631.80 | 864.00 |
| 1974 ............................... | 13,200 | 5.85 | 7.9 | 772.20 | 1,042.80 |
| 1975............................... | 14,100 | 5.85 | 7.9 | 824.85 | 1,113.90 |
| 1976................................ | 15,300 | 5.85 | 7.9 | 895.05 | 1,208.70 |
| 1977 ............................... | 16,500 | 5.85 | 7.9 | 965.25 | 1,303.50 |
| 1978............................... | 17,700 | 6.05 | 8.1 | 1,070.85 | 1,433.70 |
| 1979............................... | 22,900 | 6.13 | 8.1 | 1,403.77 | 1,854.90 |
| 1980. | 25,900 | 6.13 | 8.1 | 1,587.67 | 2,097.90 |
| 1981 ............................... | 29,700 | 6.65 | 9.3 | 1,975.05 | 2,762.10 |
| 1982 ............................... | 32,400 | 6.70 | 9.35 | 2,170.80 | 3,029.40 |
| Cumulative: 6170 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1937-82. |  |  | ............... | 16,936.49 | 22,876.50 |
| 1972-82 .................... | ........ | ......... | ............... | 12,765.29 | 17,385.90 |

As an indication of the growth of social security taxes in recent years, the maximum an individual who turns 65 next year (1983) could have paid into the system as an employee in the 46 -year period 1937-1982 is $\$ 16,936$, matched by his employer. Of this amount, three-fourths, or $\$ 12,765$, would have been paid in the period 1972-1982. Even after adjustment for inflation, well over half of such a worker's payments into the program would have
taken place in the last decade. (See Table 41 in Section VIII of this print which details social security taxes over the years for the minimum wage, average, and maximum earner.)
Table 5 below shows how increases in the amount of earnings subject to social security taxes have led to a steady increase in the proportion of workers whose total earnings are taxable. Whereas in 1950, 29 percent of workers in covered employment had earnings in excess of the earnings base, today the figure stands at less than 7 percent. In other words, 93 percent of the workers in covered employment now have their entire earnings taxed under social security.

TABLE 5. - TAXABLE EARNINGS BASE RELATIVE TO THE EARNINGS OF COVERED WORKERS, 1940-82

| Colendar year | Taxable earnings base | Average carnings in covered employment | Proportion of covered emplayeses with earnings in excess of aerning base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1940. | \$3,000 | \$1,195.00 | 3.4 |
|  | 3,000 | 2,543.96 | 28.9 |
| 1960 .............................................. | 4,800 | 4,007.12 | 28.0 |
| 1979. | 7,800 | 6,186.24 | 26.0 |
| 1975 .............................................. | 14,100 | 8,630.92 | 15.1 |
| 1980 .............................................. | 25,900 | 12,513.46 | 8.4 |
| 1981 .............................................. | 29,700 | 13,594.27 | 7.1 |
| 1982 ............................................... | 32,400 | 14,495.68 | 6.6 |

Source: Social Security Statistical Supplement, 1980, and Office of Ressarch and Statistics, SSA.

## WORKERS WITH COVERED EARNINGS

In 1940, approximately 35 million persons worked in employment covered by social security and thereby were paying taxes and gaining eligibility for future benefits. In 1982, 116 million people will work in a full-time, part-time or temporary job covered by social security. The growth in the number of people in employment covered by social security has resulted from overall growth in the labor force and employment, and legislated expansions in the number of occupations covered by social security.

Today, coverage, or participation in social security, is compulsory for most types of employment. However, about 10 percent of jobs are not covered by the program. The majority of these noncovered positions are in the Federal, State and local governments and nonprofit organizations. (Certain self-employed and part-time workers are exempted from the program largely because of their minimal annual net earnings, the irregularity of their work schedules and the administrative difficulty of maintaining their earnings records.)

## TABLE 6.-SOCIAL SECURTY COVERAGE 1

[In millions]

| Occupational group | Number of employeses (in milions) | Covered |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| Specifically exempt from coverage: |  |  |  |
| Federal civilian employees ...................... | 2.7 | 0.2 | 27.4 |
| Voluntary coverage: |  |  |  |
| State and local government.................... | 13.1 | 9.3 | 71.0 |
| Nonprofit organization ........................... | 6.5 | 5.1 | 78.5 |
| Mandatorily covered: |  |  |  |
| Industry and commerce.......................... | 77.0 | 76.8 | 99.7 |
| Farm ${ }^{3}$............................................... | 2.0 | 1.5 | 75.0 |
| Domestic ${ }^{3}$......................................... | 1.9 | . 5 | 26.3 |
| Self-employed ${ }^{3}$.................................... | 8.6 | 6.5 | 75.6 |

[^2]Tables 7 and 8 show how both the proportion of empleyment covered by social security and the proportion of wages subject to social security taxes have increased over the years. As shown in Table 7, the proportion of earnings subject to social security taxes has increased from about 56 percent in 1951 to about 80 percent. This is due to the increase in the taxable earnings base and the expansion of coverage that has taken place over the years.

## TABLE 7.-PROPORTION OF EARNINGS TAXABLE BY SOCIAL SECURITY, 1951-81

[Dollars in millions]

| Yex | Total earnings | Total taxsbib earnings | Percent taxabo earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1951. | \$214,496 | \$120,770 | 56.3 |
| 1955. | 254,549 | 157,540 | 61.9 |
| 1960 | 319,135 | 207,000 | 64.9 |
| 1965 ....................................................... | 418,941 | 250,730 | 59.8 |
|  | 614,942 | 415,600 | 67.6 |
| 1975...................................................... | 896,409 | 664,750 | 74.2 |
| $1980{ }^{2}$..................................................... | 1,472,400 | 1,174,167 | 79.7 |
| 1981 ²..................................................... | 1,618,700 | 1,296,206 | 80.0 |

[^3]
## TABLE 8.-PROPORTION OF JOBS COVERED BY SOCIAL SECURITY, 1939-81

1939 ..... 55.1
1949 ..... 60.5
1955 ..... 82.5
1960 ..... 86.2
1965 ..... 86.7
1970 ..... 89.1
1975 ..... 89.2
$1980^{1}$ ..... 89.2
$1981^{1}$ ..... 89.5

## 1 Estimate.

Source: Social Security Annual Statistical Supplement, 1980, Historical Statistics of the United States, and Office of Research and Sitatistics, SSA.

## II. The Short-Range Financing Situation

In order to meet social security's benefit obligations, the taxes allocated to each of the programs must be sufficient to cover benefit costs. The matching of revenues and benefits need not be exact in any given year (and rarely is) since the system may meet a deficit by drawing down reserves remaining from prior year surpluses. Over any given period of time, however, each program must have revenues which, when added to its reserves at the start of the period, at least equal expected benefits. In any case where revenues plus reserves fall short of this requirement, the program would be unable to fully meet benefits. This situation arose for the first time in history in November 1982 when the retirement program (OASI) was forced to borrow funds from the disability program (DI) under the temporary interfund borrowing authority. In the absence of new legislation, this inability to fully meet benefit obligations is now expected to continue in the retirement program and, if interfund borrowing is extended, occur ultimately in the entire system (OASDHI) within the next 18 months.

In the short range, the income and outgo of the social security funds are highly sensitive to changes in economic conditions. High rates of unemployment, for example, tend to depress social security tax collections (about $\$ 1.8$ billion in direct tax revenue loss for each 1 percent increase in unemployment)* while high rates of inflation increase tax collections but even more substantially increase benefit outgo (about $\$ 1.7$ billion annually for each 1 percent increase in inflation). To show a range of possible outcomes, the social security Trustees have traditionally used three paths to estimate the short-range status of the trust funds: an optimistic, a pessimistic, and an intermediate path. (In the 1982 Board of Trustees' reports, an additional path was presented: "intermediate II-A," which modifies the usual intermediate path to reflect the somewhat more optimistic economic outlook used by the Administration in preparing the President's FY 1983 Budget.) Congress also receives shortrange projections from the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) which provide yet another view of the possible financial condition of social security.

The projections made by the Board of Trustees and by CBO are no "better" or more useful than are their underlying economic assumptions (detailed in Section VIII of this print). The data presented in this print are based on either the intermediate (II-B) or pessimistic (III) assumptions of the Board of Trustees or the projections of CBO. The intermediate (II-B) projections, like those of CBO,

[^4]assume the economy will perform better than in the past 5 years (with CBO assumptions somewhat more optimistic). The pessimistic projections, by contrast, assume the economy's performance will be poor, much like in the recent past. The actual performance of the economy could, of course, be better or worse than any of these projections, with resulting deficits in social security that would be less or more severe than those shown in this print.

## CURRENT RESERVES

The reserve balance in the largest of the trust funds-the OASI fund-was approximately $\$ 21.5$ billion at the start of 1982 . This represents 15 percent of the expected $\$ 142$ billion in payments to be made during the year, or just under 2 months' worth of benefits. The DI and the HI balances stood at $\$ 3.0$ billion, or 21 percent of expected outgo, and $\$ 18.7$ billion, or 45 percent of expected outgo, respectively. The amount of OASI funds available to pay November benefits-prior to any borrowing from the other funds-was $\$ 11$ billion, less than the amount required to pay 1 month's benefits. The reserves of DI and HI were $\$ 6.8$ billion and $\$ 20.8$ billion, respectively, at the beginning of October 1982.

## STATUS OF INTERFUND BORROWING

Because outgo from the OASI fund continues to exceed income, only the availability of interfund borrowing from DI and HI will allow retirement and survivor benefits to be paid on time in the period November 1982 through June 1983. On November 5, OASI had to borrow-for the first time- $\$ 581$ million from the disability trust fund to meet full benefits. On December 7, the second transfer to OASI was made from the HI trust fund in the amount of $\$ 3.4$ billion. According to SSA, such borrowing will total $\$ 11.6$ billion by the end of the year (under intermediate II-B assumptions), with $\$ 6.2$ billion coming from the DI trust fund and $\$ 5.5$ billion coming from the HI trust fund. (Under pessimistic assumptions, the amount borrowed will be $\$ 12.4$ billion; $\$ 6.1$ billion from DI and $\$ 6.3$ billion from HI.)
Since the borrowing authority cannot be used to ensure the payment of benefits beyond June 1983, the OASI program will, in the absence of further legislation, be unable to pay benefits on time beginning in July 1983. This projection holds under the most recent forecasts issued by the Administration (intermediate II-B and pessimistic, updated to take account of the 1982 Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act (TEFRA)) as well as under the most recent CBO forecast (which also takes into account TEFRA).
An extension of the interfund borrowing authority would permit the timely payment of retirement and survivors benefits through 1983 under all three sets of assumptions. However, the size of the OASI program-amounting to more than 70 percent of OASDHI outlayg-and its heavy borrowing demands would soon lead to the insolvency of the entire system. The point at which full benefitsretirement and survivor, disability, and hospital-could not be paid on time would range from early to mid-1984, depending on how the economy performs.

## SHORT-RANGE FINANCIAL STATUS

The following tables provide detailed projections (through 1990) of income and outgo for the three social security trust funds under CBO assumptions, Trustees' intermediate II-B assumptions, and Trustees' pessimistic assumptions. Under each of the assumptions, OASI, which has been running a deficit since 1974, is expected to spend more than it takes in (i.e., run an annual deficit) in each year through 1990. Under CBO and Trustees' intermediate assumptions, the annual deficit in OASI is $\$ 20-\$ 40$ billion; under Trustees' pessimistic assumptions, the annual deficit is considerably higher, exceeding $\$ 50$ billion in 1987 and reaching $\$ 81$ billion by 1989. (See Tables 11-13.)

As shown in Table 9, the cumulative deficit in OASI for the period 1983-1989 totals $\$ 201.5$ billion under Trusteres' intermediate assumptions. Counting the $\$ 17.3$ billion in OASI reserves at the start of 1983, this leaves a cumulative deficiency of resources for OASI of $\$ 184.2$ billion by the end of 1989 . Under pessimistic assumptions, the cumulative deficiency of resources in OASI reaches $\$ 332.6$ billion. Importantly, these sums do not represent the amount of resources which must be raised to keep the system solvent. Actually, the amount required would be larger since the system requires a reserve cushion to meet benefits in a timely manner. (Exactly what level of reserves is required is discussed in the next section of this print.)

> TABLE 9.- RESOURCE CHANGES DURIMG CALENDAR YEARS $1983-89$ IN SOCIAL SECURTY TRUST FUNDS UNDER PRESENT LAW-VARIOUS ASSUMPTIONS ${ }^{1}$

| [mb bilicons] |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund |  |  | Cumultare defiod $1983-1989 ?$ |  | Mre deficency $1983-1909$ |
|  | \% | $b$ | 2-b | c | $(a-b)+c$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OASL.................................. | \$1235.3 | \$1411.7 | -\$176.4 | $\$ 18.0$ | 8-158.4 |
| OASI and DI............................ | 1513.3 | 1562.2 | -48.9 | 19.6 | -29.3 |
|  | 1927.4 | 1992.7 | -65.3 | 34.3 | -31.0 |
| 1982 Trustens' IL-8 assumptions: |  |  |  |  |  |
| OASI..................................... | 1261.4 | 1462.9 | -201.5 | 17.3 | -184.2 |
| OASI and DI............................ | 1550.9 | 1623.5 | -72.6 | 18.9 | -53.7 |
| OASt, DI, and hn....................... | 1982.2 | 2050.4 | -68.2 | 34.6 | -33.6 |
| 1982 ITustica' pasimistic assumptions: |  |  |  |  |  |
| OASI..................................... | 1242.8 | 1593.4 | -350.6 | 18.0 | -332.6 |
| OASI and DI............................ | 1539.2 | 1766.2 | -227.0 | 19.6 | -207.4 |
| OASI, OL , and HI......................... | 1971.9 | 2239.9 | -268.0 | 34.5 | -233.5 |

[^5]The situation is somewhat less severe in the short-range if the trust funds are viewed in combination. This is because the DI and

HI programs have reserves on hand now, and are expected to run annual surpluses through most of the decade. Between 1983 and the end of 1989, the cumulative deficiency of resources for OASDI is $\$ 53.7$ billion and, for OASDHI, it is $\$ 33.6$ billion under intermediate assumptions. Under pessimistic assumptions, the cumulative deficiency for OASDI is $\$ 207.4$ billion and, for OASDHI, it is $\$ 233.5$ billion. (As before, this allows for no reserve cushion.)
It must be remembered that the trust funds are statutorily separate. Legislation would have to be enacted to permit a surplus in one fund to continue to be used to meet a deficit in another fund. Also, the more favorable short-range situation of the combined funds largely results from very near-term surpluses in the HI trust fund. Over the next 25 years, however, that fund is seriously underfunded. Thus, any shifting of funds from HI to OASI will only aggravate the very serious deficits in the HI program in later years.
Table 10, which condenses the information in Tables 11-13, illustrates the status of the trust funds in a different way. Reserve ratios (i.e., assets at the beginning of the year as a percentage of outgo during the year) are shown for the two cash benefit programs (OASI and DI) combined, and for all 3 programs. Under each set of economic assumptions, reserves as a fraction of outgo (for OASI in combination with DI, as well as with DI and HI), are projected to fall continuously between now and the end of the decade, becoming negative for OASDI in 1985 and for OASDHI sometime between 1985 and 1989. Insolvency would occur some years prior to these dates-in 1984 or 1985 for OASDHI-when reserves first fall below the amount required to pay one month's benefits (about 9 percent of outgo).

## TABLE 10.—OASDI AND OASDHI RESERVE RATIOS UNDER VARIOUS ASSUMPTIONS, 1980$1990^{1}$

| Calendar year | Assets at beginning of year as a percentage of outgo during year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DASOI | OASOH |
| 1982 Trustees Report-"Il-B" assumptions: |  |  |
| 1980. | 25 | 29 |
| 1981 .............................................................................. | 18 | 23 |
| 1982............................................................................ | 15 | 22 |
| 1983.......................................................................... | 11 | 16 |
| 1984 ............................................................................. | 3 | 10 |
| 1985.......................................................................... | -4 | 5 |
| 1986.......................................................................... | -7 | 3 |
| 1987 .......................................................................... | -9 | 1 |
| 1988........................................................................... | -12 | -1 |
| 1989.......................................................................... | -15 | -4 |
|  | -17 | -8 |

## TABLE 10.-OASDI AND OASDHI RESERVE RATIOS UNDER VARIOUS ASSUMPTIONS, 1980-1990²-Continued

| Calendar year | Assets at beginning of year as a perceniage of outgo during year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OASDI | OASDH |
| 1982 Trustees Report-Pessimistic assumptions: |  |  |
| 1980 | 25 | 29 |
| 1981 | 18 | 23 |
| 1982. | 15 | 22 |
| 1983 | 11 | 16 |
| 1984 | 1 | 8 |
| 1985.......................................................................... | -11 | -2 |
|  | -19 | -10 |
| 1987 | -27 | -17 |
| 1988. | -36 | -26 |
| 1989. | -46 | -36 |
|  | -56 | -47 |
| CBO economic assumptions: ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| 1980. | 25 | 29 |
| 1981. | 18 | 23 |
| 1982. | 15 | 22 |
| 1983. | 11 | 16 |
| 1984. | 2 | 9 |
| 1985..................................................................... | -6 | 3 |
| 1986. | -8 | 2 |
|  | -8 | 2 |
| 1988. | -8 | 0 |
|  | -9 | -3 |
| 1990............................................................................ | -10 | -8 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Includes effects of the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982. (P.L. 97-248). The Trustees report estimates assume the continuation of the hospital cost containment provision of TEFRA beyond 1985, the expiration date in present law. CBO estimates do not. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Preliminary CBO estimates. Estimates for 1982 through 1985 are based on economic assumpticns used for the September 1982 CBO budget update. Projections for the remainder of the period are based on economic assumptions representing a quick return to a non-cyclical trend growth path which incorporates the average post World War II productivity growth rate of approximately 2 percent per year. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

TABLE 11.-ESTIMATED TRUST FUND OPERATIOWS: CBO ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS, CY 1980-90 ${ }^{2}$

| Corender yerm | maseme ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  | $00+0$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OMS | 0 | OSCOL | M | Tictal | OAS | 0 | OSSOI | HIN | Tatal |
| 1980. | 5105.8 | 513.9 | 5119.7 | 526.1 | 5145.8 | 5107.7 | $\$ 15.9$ | $\$ 123.5$ | S25.6 | \$149.1 |
| 1981 ....................................................................... | 125.4 | 17.1 | 142.4 | 35.7 | 178.2 | 126.7 | 17.7 | 144.4 | 30.7 | 175.1 |
| 1982 ........................................................................ | 138.6 | 17.1 | 155.7 | 31.5 | 187.2 | 142.0 | 18.6 | 160.6 | 35.6 | 196.2 |
| 1983....................................................................... | 134.7 | 25.2 | 159.9 | 42.7 | 202.6 | 156.1 | 19.5 | 175.6 | 39.4 | 215.0 |
| 1984 ..................................................................... | 145.3 | 28.0 | 173.3 | 46.8 | 220.1 | 169.4 | 19.7 | 189.1 | 44.1 | 233.2 |
| 1985....................................................................... | 162.7 | 35.3 | 198.0 | 52.7 | 250.7 | 182.9 | 19.8 | 202.7 | 50.1 | 252.7 |
| 1986....................................................................... | 176.2 | 40.3 | 216.5 | 60.9 | 277.4 | 198.7 | 20.5 | 219.2 | 59.4 | 278.5 |
| 1987 ........................................................................ | 190.3 | 44.7 | 235.0 | 65.7 | 300.8 | 215.7 | 21.6 | 237.3 | 69.1 | 306.4 |
| 1988...................................................................... | 205.4 | 49.7 | 255.1 | 70.4 | 325.5 | 234.8 | 23.5 | 258.3 | 78.8 | 337.1 |
| 1989........................................................................ | 220.7 | 54.8 | 275.5 | 74.8 | 350.3 | 254.1 | 25.9 | 280.0 | 89.7 | 369.8 |
| 1990......................................................................... | 254.5 | 67.8 | 322.3 | 79.0 | 401.2 | 274.4 | 28.3 | 302.7 | 102.1 | 404.8 |


|  | Met incrues in trats |  |  |  |  | Frams at and of yer |  |  |  |  | nases at minmas of your as a percembere of outpo drine you |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ONS | 0 | Oacen | M | 1004 | ans | 0 | OSM | M | Tam | Ons | 0 | OASOM | N. | Teat |
| 1980 | -\$1.8 | $-\$ 2.0$ | $-\$ 3.8$ | $-\$ 0.5$ | $-\$ 3.3$ | $\$ 22.8$ | 33.6 | \$26.5 | $\$ 13.7$ | 540.2 | 23.0 | 35.0 | 25.0 | 52.0 | 29.0 |
| 1981 | $-1.3$ | -0.6 | -1.9 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 21.5 | 3.0 | 24.5 | 18.7 | 43.3 | 18.0 | 21.0 | 18.0 | 45.0 | 23.0 |
| 1982 .................................................... | -3.4 | $-1.5$ | $-4.9$ | $-4.1$ | -9.0 | 18.0 | 1.6 | 19.6 | 14.6 | 34.3 | 15.1 | 16.4 | 15.3 | 52.6 | 22.1 |
| 1983. | -21.4 | 5.7 | $-15.7$ | 3.3 | $-12.4$ | $-3.4$ | 7.3 | 3.9 | 17.9 | 21.8 | 11.5 | 8.3 | 11.2 | 37.1 | 15.9 |
|  | -24.1 | 8.3 | $-15.8$ | 2.7 | $-13.1$ | $-27.6$ | 15.6 | $-12.0$ | 20.6 | 8.7 | -2.0 | 37.2 | 2.1 | 40.6 | 9.4 |
| 1985..................................................... | -20.2 | 15.5 | -4.7 | 2.6 | -2.0 | -47.8 | 31.2 | $-16.6$ | 23.2 | 6.6 | $-15.1$ | 78.9 | $-5.9$ | 41.1 | 3.4 |
| 1986..................................................... | -22.5 | 19.8 | -2.7 | 1.5 | -1.1 | -70.3 | 51.0 | $-19.3$ | 24.8 | 5.4 | $-24.0$ | 152.2 | -7.6 | 39.1 | 2.4 |
| 1987 ..................................................... | -25.4 | 23.1 | -2.3 | -3.4 | $-5.6$ | -95.6 | 74.1 | -21.5 | 21.4 | -0.1 | -32.6 | 236.1 | $-8.1$ | 35.8 | 1.8 |
| 1988..................................................... | $-29.4$ | 26.2 | $-3.2$ | $-8.4$ | $-11.6$ | $-125.0$ | 100.3 | $-24.7$ | 13.0 | $-11.7$ | $-40.7$ | 315.8 | $-8.3$ | 27.1 | $-0.1$ |
| 1989..................................................... | -33.4 | 28.9 | $-4.5$ | $-14.9$ | $-19.5$ | $-158.5$ | 129.2 | $-29.3$ | -1.9 | $-31.2$ | $-49.2$ | 387.6 | $-8.8$ | 14.5 | $-3.2$ |
| 1990..................................................... | $-19.9$ | 39.5 | 19.6 | -23.1 | -3.6 | $-178.5$ | 168.7 | -9.8 | -25.0 | $-34.8$ | $-57.8$ | 456.8 | -9.7 | -1.9 | -7.7 |

[^6]TABLE 12.-ESTIMATED TRUST FUND OPERATIONS: 1982 TRUSTEES' REPORT WTERMEDMTE (U-B) ASSUMPTIONS, CNLENDAR YEARS 1980-90 1


TABLE 13.-ESTIMATED TRUST FUND OPERATIONS: 1982 TRUSTEES' REPORT PESSIMISTIC ASSUMPTIONS, CALENDAR YEARS 1980-90 ${ }^{1}$

| Cinmer yen | moume |  |  |  |  | Outo |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oasi | 0 | asal | H | Toter | ans | 0 | OSSOM | H | Total |
| 1980 | \$105.8 | \$13.9 | \$119.7 | \$26.1 | \$145.8 | \$107.7 | \$15.9 | \$123.5 | \$25.6 | \$149.1 |
| 1981. | 125.4 | 17.1 | 142.4 | 35.7 | 178.2 | 126.7 | 17.7 | 144.4 | 30.7 | 175.1 |
| 1982 .................................................................................................. | 138.4 | 16.6 | 155.1 | 31.8 | 186.9 | 141.9 | 18.1 | 160.0 | 35.6 | 195.6 |
| 1983 .................................................................................................... | 133.3 | 25.6 | 158.9 | 43.0 | 201.9 | 157.7 | 19.1 | 176.8 | 40.8 | 217.6 |
| 1984 .................................................................................................. | 142.9 | 28.7 | 171.6 | 47.0 | 218.6 | 177.2 | 20.3 | 197.5 | 46.7 | 244.2 |
| 1985 .................................................................................................. | 162.3 | 37.1 | 199.4 | 53.7 | 253.2 | 199.8 | 22.2 | 222.0 | 54.2 | 276.3 |
| 1986.................................................................................................. | 177.3 | 42.4 | 219.7 | 63.1 | 282.8 | 224.0 | 24.3 | 248.3 | 63.7 | 312.0 |
| 1987 .................................................................................................. | 192.6 | 48.0 | 240.6 | 69.3 | 309.9 | 250.2 | 26.5 | 276.6 | 75.2 | 351.9 |
| 1988 ................................................................................................... | 208.8 | 54.0 | 262.8 | 75.3 | 338.2 | 271.7 | 28.9 | 306.6 | 88.7 | 395.3 |
| 1989 .................................................................................................. | 225.6 | 60.6 | 286.2 | 81.1 | 367.3 | 306.8 | 31.6 | 338.4 | 104.2 | 442.6 |
| 1990. | 262.5 | 76.1 | 338.5 | 86.5 | 425.0 | 337.5 | 34.4 | 372.0 | 121.7 | 493.6 |


|  | Mot morase no hats |  |  |  |  | Funds a end of yex |  |  |  |  | nosets at beximing of year as a percmare of outpo trine yer |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ons | 0 | Oascor | M | Tatal | OSS | 0 | 0 COH | M | Tecal | OAS | 0 | OASOI | W | Total |
| 1980 | -\$1.8 | -\$2.0 | -\$3.8 | $-50.5$ | $-\$ 3.3$ | \$22.8 | $\$ 3.6$ | $\$ 26.5$ | $\$ 13.7$ | 540.2 | 23 | 35 | 25 | 52 | 29 |
| 1981 | $-1.3$ | $-0.6$ | $-1.9$ | 5.0 | 3.1 | 21.5 | 3.0 | 24.5 | 18.7 | 43.3 | 18 | 21 | 18 | 45 | 23 |
| 1982 .................................................... | $-3.5$ | $-1.5$ | $-5.0$ | -3.8 | -8.7 | 18.0 | 1.6 | 19.6 | 15.0 | 34.5 | 15 | 17 | 15 | 53 | 22 |
| 1983..................................................... | -24.4 | 6.5 | -17.9 | 2.2 | $-15.6$ | -6.4 | 8.1 | 1.7 | 17.2 | 18.9 | 11 | 8 | 11 | 37 | 16 |
| 1984 .................................................... | -34.3 | 8.4 | -25.9 | . 2 | -25.7 | $-40.7$ | 16.4 | $-24.3$ | 17.5 | -6.8 | -4 | 40 | 1 | 37 | 8 |
| 1985 | -37.5 | 14.9 | -22.6 | $-.5$ | -23.1 | $-78.2$ | 31.4 | -46.8 | 17.0 | -29.9 | -20 | 74 | $-11$ | 32 | -2 |
| 1986 | -46.7 | 18.2 | -28.5 | $-.6$ | -29.1 | $-124.9$ | 49.5 | -75.4 | 16.4 | - 59.0 | -35 | 129 | $-19$ | 27 | -10 |
| 1987 ...................................................... | - 57.6 | 21.5 | -36.1 | $-5.9$ | -42.0 | -182.5 | 71.0 | $-111.4$ | 10.5 | -101.0 | -50 | 187 | -27 | 22 | -17 |
|  | -68.9 | 25.1 | -43.8 | $-13.3$ | - 57.1 | -251.3 | 96.1 | $-155.2$ | -2.9 | -158.1 | $-66$ | 246 | -36 | 12 | -26 |
| 1989 ..................................................... | $-81.2$ | 29.0 | $-52.2$ | $-23.1$ | -75.3 | -332.6 | 125.1 | -207.4 | $-26.0$ | -233.4 | -82 | 3 | -46 | -3 | -36 |
| 1990........................................................ | $-75.1$ | 41.6 | -33.5 | $-35.2$ | -68.6 | -407.6 | 166.8 | $-240.9$ | -61.1 | -302.0 | - 99 | 305 | - 56 | -21 | -47 |

[^7]
## III. Measuring Short-Range Financial Adequacy

Social security financing must provide revenues which are sufficient to meet planned benefit payments. To achieve that goal, Congress neds reliable projections of future income and outgo. In addition, a margin for error must be provided so that the system can accommodate fluctuations in income or outgo and so that there will be time for Congressional action in the event the projections prove seriously inaccurate.

There is no hard and fast rule as to what is either the minimum or the appropriate trust fund reserve level. The problem is that the financial shortfall can be defined in many ways depending upon what level of resources one considers sufficient to meet the system's needs. For instance, aiming at a reserve of one month's worth of assets ( 9 percent of annual expenditures) so that monthly benefits can be met on time requires far less in trust fund assets than a 3 -month ( 25 percent) or 6 -month ( 50 percent) reserve cushion. Furthermore, different sets of economic assumptions show that different amounts are needed to achieve the same reserve percentage. Finally, how much is needed depends upon how fast the reserve cushion is to be built up.

Prior to the 1972 amendments, the program had a built-in safety margin in that benefit increases could occur only through specific legislative action. In addition, actuarial projections were intentionally made on what was called a "level-wage" basis. This means that actuarial estimates were made on the basis of wage rates in effect the year the estimates were made with no anticipation of future growth. Thus, productivity gains were not predicted-and therefore not spent-until they actually developed. When productivity gains did occur, they were available either to compensate for errors in estimation or to pay the cost of benefit increases or other liberalizations.

The 1972 amendments required a shift to dynamic estimates of future income so as to account for the financing of the automatic cost-of-living adjustment of benefits enacted at that time. These changes made the system more sensitive to changes in economic conditions and therefore made estimates of its future financial condition much more uncertain.

The social security actuaries and other experts (including CBO) generally agree that if reserve levels drop below 13 percent at the beginning of a calendar year, "the probable inability of the fund to pay benefits when due becomes imminent." Actuaries consider 13 percent the critical point for the OASDI trust funds, because even a small error in the estimates or unforeseen fluctuations in the flow of income and outgo could cause the reserve ratio to drop below 8 to 9 percent, which is the amount needed to assure that monthly benefits can be paid. The actuaries point out that a minimum 4 to 5 percentage point spread between the potential danger
level ( 13 percent) and the actual level of insolvency ( 8 to 9 percent) is needed in order to avoid cash flow problems. A larger reserve (about 17 percent) is needed at the start of a fiscal year in order to assure that the fund can weather the initial October through December quarter. During that quarter, revenues lag because earnings of high income workers have reached the taxable wage base (and therefore their tax payments have ceased for the year) and benefits are relatively high because of the July cost of living increase.

As illustrated in Table 10, the combined reserves of OASDHI will amount to 16 percent of outgo at the start of 1983 . Under each of the 3 sets of assumptions presented, the system's reserves fall below 13 percent of outgo sometime during the second half of 1983.

Considerably larger reserve levels than 9-13 percent are needed if Congress is to be allowed a reasonable amount of time for legislative action to prevent trust fund exhaustion during periods of continued annual deficits, like the present. In the early 1970's the standard of adequacy in the short-term was a reserve level of 75 to 125 percent of annual outgo, or the equivalent of $9-15$ months of benefit payments. For example, the 1971 Advisory Council recommended a reserve goal of 100 percent of annual outgo.
The social security system has only recently operated at marginal reserve levels. As illustrated in Tables 14 and 15, reserves have historically been large and adequate. It was not until 1970 that the combined reserves of the OASDHI funds fell below 100 percent of annual expenditures. Then, in the next 5 years, the reserve ratio fell by 26 percentage points, and by 1981, reserves had fallen another 46 percentage points to a level of 23 percent of outgo. This sharp deterioration in reserves between 1975 and 1981 resulted despite new legislation which substantially increased income to the system during this period. (See Section V for estimates of effects of recent legislation.)
Evidently, if one expected Congress to take action annually on social security, as it does with appropriation bills for other activities of the Government, then a 25 -percent reserve based on "best guess" (intermediate) economic assumptions would be ample, for it is not likely that even under the most adverse economic conditions, such a reserve would be depleted in a single year. However, if it is desirable for the system to be self-sufficient for many years into the future, larger reserves must be accumulated.

TABLE 14.-HISTORICAL OASDHI RESERVE RATIOS, 1950-83
[Assets at the beginning of each year as a percent of outgo during the year]

| Calendar year | Trust funds |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OASI and ol | OSSI | a | H | OasoH |
| 1950....................... | 1,156 | 1,156 |  |  | 1,156 |
| 1955....................... | 405 | 405 |  |  | 405 |
| 1960....................... | 186 | 180 |  | 304 ........................ | 186 |
| 1965....................... | 110 | 109 |  | $121 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 110 |
| 1970 ...................... | 103 | 101 |  | $26 \quad 47$ | 95 |

TABLE 14.-HISTORICAL OASDHI RESERVE RATIOS, 1950-83-Continued
[Assets at the beginning of each year as a percent of outgo during the year]

| Calendar year | Trust funds |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OASI and DI combined | OASI | DI | HI | OASDHI |
| $1971 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 99 | 94 | 140 | 54 | 93 |
| 1972........................ | 93 | 88 | 140 | 47 | 87 |
| 1973 ......................... | 80 | 75 | 125 | 40 | 76 |
| 1974........................ | 73 | 68 | 110 | 69 | 73 |
| 1975........................ | 66 | 63 | 92 | 79 | 69 |
| 1976........................ | 57 | 54 | 71 | 71 | 60 |
| 1977 ......................... | 47 | 47 | 48 | 66 | 50 |
| 1978........................ | 37 | 39 | 26 | 57 | 40 |
| 1979......................... | 30 | 30 | 30 | 54 | 34 |
| 1980 ........................ | 25 | 23 | 35 | 52 | 29 |
| 1981........................ | 18 | 18 | 21 | 45 | 23 |
| 1982........................ | 15 | 15 | 17 | 53 | 22 |
| $1983{ }^{1}$...................... | 11 | 8 | 11 | 39 | 16 |

${ }^{1}$ Estimated using Trustees' intermediate (II-B) assumptions.
Source: 1982 OASDI and HI Trustees' Reports.

## TABLE 15.-HISTORICAL LEVELS OF OASDHI TRUST FUND ASSETS, NUMBER OF MONTHS' WORTH OF BENEFITS ON HAND

| Calendar year | Number of months' worth of expenditures on hand at beginning of year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OASOI | HI | OASOH |
| 1950. | 138.7 |  | 138.7 |
| 1960 ....................................................... | 22.3 | ........... | 22.3 |
| 1965. | 13.2 |  | 13.2 |
| 1970. | 12.4 | 5.6 | 11.5 |
| 1975 ......................................................... | 8.0 | 9.4 | 8.3 |
| 1980....................................................... | 2.9 | 6.2 | 3.5 |
| 1982 ....................................................... | 1.8 | 6.3 | 2.6 |

Further insight can be gained into the adequacy of various reserve levels by considering the fact that at the time of the 1977 Amendments, it was estimated that the changes made would assure a minimum OASDI reserve ratio of at least 25 percent of annual outgo in the near term-a reserve of 3 months' benefit payments under Trustees' intermediate assumptions. As shown in Tables 16 and 17, that projection proved wide of the mark and did not provide enough margin to avoid the need for further legislation
in the 96th and 97 th Congresses. The legislation since 1977 provided substantial additional financing for the system both through benefit reductions and tax increases. (See Section V of this print for revenue and savings estimates.)
Whereas in 1977 it was believed that the legislation enacted that year would allow trust fund reserves as a fraction of outgo to rise continuously through the 1980's, and subsequent legislation further improved the financing of the system, reserves are now expected to fall continuously.

This recent experience has shown that while a reserve ratio of 15,20 , or 25 percent may appear to be a safe planning level, it would not take much of a deviation from the assumptions to cause financial problems to arise again quickly.

## TABLE 16.-COMPARISON OF OASDH RESERVES PROJECTED UPON ENACTMENT OF 1977 AMENDMENTS AND VARIOUS CURRENT FORECASTS ${ }^{1}$

[In percent]

| Calendar years | OASDH reserves at beginning of year as percent of outgo during the year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1977 estimate | 1982 CBO | $\begin{aligned} & 1982 \text { trustees' } \\ & \text { \&II-8tions } \\ & \text { assumption } \end{aligned}$ | 1982 trustees' pessimistic assumptions |
| 1980 .................. | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| 1981 .......................................... | 38 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| 1982................................... | 34 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| 1983................................... | 39 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| 1984 ............................................... | 42 | 9 | 10 | 8 |
| 1985................................... | 43 | 3 | 5 | -2 |
| 1986....................................... | 47 | 2 | 3 | -10 |
| 1987 .................................... | 50 | 2 | 1 | -17 |

[^8][In percent]

| Calendar years | OASDI reserves at beginning of year as percent of outgo during the year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1971 estimate | 1982 CBO | $\begin{aligned} & 1982 \text { trustees' } \\ & \text { II-8-8 } \\ & \text { assumptions } \end{aligned}$ | 1982 trustees' pessimistic assumptions |
| 1980. | 26 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
|  | 25 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 1982................................... | 30 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 1983 ................................... | 36 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 1984 ............................................ | 41 | 2 | 3 |  |
| 1985................................... | 45 | -6 | -4 | -11 |

TABLE 17.-COMPARISON OF OASDI RESERVES PROJECTED UPON ENACTMENT OF 1977 AMENDMENTS AND VARIOUS CURRENT FORECASTS ${ }^{1}$-Continued
[In percent]

| Calendar years | OASDI reserves at beginning of year as percent of outgo during the year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1977 estimate | 1982 C80 | $\begin{aligned} & 1982 \text { trustees' } \\ & \text { N-B } \\ & \text { assumptions } \end{aligned}$ | 1982 trustees' pessimistic assumptions |
| 1986..................... | 52 | -8 | -7 | -19 |
| 1987 ........................ | 59 | -8 | -9 | -27 |

${ }^{1}$ All 1982 estimates insiude the effects of the recently enacted Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982.

## HOW MUCH MONEY DOES THE SYSTEM NEED?

The following tables illustrate the cumulative amount of new resources the cash benefits programs (OASDI) and the system (OASDHI) would need to reach various levels of reserves by the beginning of 1986 and, alternatively, by the beginning of 1990 . The tables show these amounts under three sets of economic assumptions (the 1982 Trustees' intermediate and pessimistic assumptions, and CBO assumptions). Alternatively, they show the approximate amounts required in outlay reductions to attain various levels of reserves. (Actually, if the system's financial condition were improved through benefit reductions, with no new income-producing measures, the aggregate amount of such reductions would be somewhat less than the resources required through revenue measures alone. See Tables 49 and 50 in section VIII of this print.) The tables below presume that interfund borrowing is reauthorized through the decade, either between OASI and DI or between all three trust funds.

TABLE 18.-ADDITIONAL RESOURCES REQUIRED IN THE NEAR-TERM TO BRING OASDHI RESERVES UP TO CERTAIN LEVELS ${ }^{1}$
[In billions]

|  | Adoditional resources required ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C8O | 1982 trustees' (II-B) | 1982 trustees pessimistic assumptions |
| Percent of 1 year's expenditures desired at beginning of 1986: |  |  |  |
| 9 percent (1 mo) .............................. | \$18.5 | \$16 | \$52 |
| 13 percent .................................... | 29.6 | 26 | 62 |
| 15 percent ..................................... | 35.2 | 31 | 67 |
| 20 percent ..................................... | 49.1 | 44 | 83 |
| 30 percent .................................... | 71.0 | 70 | 108 |
| 50 percent ( 6 mo ) ............................ | 132.7 | 123 | 163 |

## TABLE 18.-ADDITIONAL RESOURCES REQUIRED IN THE NEAR-TERM TO BRING OASDH RESERVES UP TO CERTAN LEVELS ${ }^{1}$ - Continued

[In billions]

|  | Additional resources required 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CBO | $\begin{array}{c}\text { 1982 trustees' } \\ \text { intermediate } \\ \text { (II-B) }\end{array}$ |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}1982 trustees' <br>

pessimistic <br>
assumptions\end{array}\right]\)

Percent of 1 year's expenditures desired at
beginning of 1990:

| 9 percent (1 mo) ................................. | 67.6 | 59 | 225 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 percent ....................................... | 83.8 | 70 | 239 |
| 15 percent | 91.9 | 75 | 244 |
| 20 percent | 112.2 | 93 | 264 |
| 30 percent | $15 ? .6$ | 123 | 301 |
| 50 percent ( 6 mo ) ........................... | 233.6 | 190 | 378 |


#### Abstract

${ }^{1}$ Table includes the effects of Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982. The Trusters' HI estimates assume the continuation of the hospital cost containment provision of TEFRA beyond 1985, the expiration date in present law. CBO estimates do not. Target reserve ratios are attained in even annual increments. ${ }^{2}$ CBO estimates and Trustees estimates are not directly comparable because CBO numbers include added interest on larger trust fund balances, while Trustees' numbers do not. Also, the Trustees' estimates assume the continuation of a hospital cost containment provision in P.L. 97-248 beyond 1985, the expiration date contained in present law.


## TABLE 19.-ADDITIONAL RESOURCES REQUIRED IN THE NEAR-TERM TO BRING OASDI RESERVES UP TO CERTAIN LEVELS ${ }^{1}$

[In billions]

|  | Additional resources required 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CBO | 1982 trustees' intermediate (II-B) | 1982 trustees' pessimistic assumptions |
| Percent of 1 year's expenditures desired at beginning of 1986: |  |  |  |
| 9 percent (1 mo) ................................ | \$36.3 | \$32 | \$60 |
| 13 percent ................................................. | 45.1 | 39 | 68 |
| 15 percent ......................................... | 49.5 | 43 | 72 |
| 20 percent ......................................... | 60.4 | 54 | 84 |
| 30 percent .......................................... | 82.3 | 74 | 105 |
| 50 percent ( 6 mo ) .............................. | 126.2 | 115 | 148 |
| Percent of 1 year's expenditures desired at beginning of 1990: |  |  |  |
| 9 percent (1 mo) ................................ | 56.6 | 62 | 187 |
| 13 percent ........................................ | 68.7 | 70 | 195 |
| 15 percent ........................................ | 74.7 | 74 | 200 |
| 20 percent ......................................... | 89.9 | 88 | 216 |
| 30 percent ......................................... | 120.1 | 113 | 246 |
| 50 percent (6 mo) ............................... | 180.7 | 163 | 303 |

[^9]Table 18 shows the condition of the overall system. It can be seen that, depending on the economic assumptions, anywhere from $\$ 75$ billion to $\$ 244$ billion in new resources is required between 1983 and 1989 to achieve a relatively minimum reserve level of 15 percent for OASDHI at the start of 1990. Under intermediate assumptions, $\$ 75$ billion is required; under CBO assumptions, $\$ 92$ billion is required. $\$ 120$ billion to $\$ 150$ billion would be required to reach a safer reserve level of, say, 30 percent at the start of 1990 , under intermediate II-B or CBO assumptions. Were pessimistic conditions to prevail, however, these sums would not provide even the minimum reserve level of 9 percent.

It is important to note that even if HI is omitted from consideration, the needs of the cash benefit programs are not much different than if all three programs are combined. The resource needs are higher in the next few years (as the opportunity to borrow from HI is foregone), but lower in the latter part of the decade (as the condition of HI deteriorates). As illustrated in Table 19, a 15-percent OASDI reserve level at the beginning of 1990 would require about $\$ 75$ billion in new resources over the period 1983-1989 under intermediate or CBO assumptions, and $\$ 200$ billion under pessimistic assumptions. A 30 -percent reserve level under intermediate or CBO assumptions would require $\$ 113-\$ 120$ billion.

The National Commission on Social Security Reform tentatively agreed (on November 11) that OASDI requires $\$ 150-\$ 200$ billion in new resources (over the period 1983-89) to ensure solvency through the decade. This is roughly the amount of resources required to achieve a 15 percent reserve goal under pessimistic assumptions. If the economy performs better, larger reserves would accumulate. For illustrative purposes, Table 20 shows the year-by-year needs of the system (OASDI and OASDHI) for this reserve goal under Trustees' intermediate and pessimistic assumptions.

## TABLE 20.-ESTIMATED NEW RESOURCES REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN A TRUST-FUND RATIO OF 15 PERCENT DURING 1983-89, UNDER ALTERNATIVES II-B AND III ${ }^{1}$

[In billions]

| Calendar year | Intermediate ( $1-8$ ) assumplions |  | Pessimistic (III) assumptions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OSSO1 | OASOHI | OSSOI | OASOH |
| 1983....................................... | \$22 | \$10 | \$26 | \$17 |
| 1984.................................. | 14 | 14 | 25 | 27 |
| 1985................................. | 7 | 6 | 20 | 23 |
| 1986................................... | 7 | 5 | 24 | 27 |
|  | 8 | 9 | 30 | 38 |
| 1988................................. | 8 | 13 | 34 | 49 |
| 1989................................. | 8 | 17 | 40 | 63 |
| 1983-89................................ | 74 | 75 | 200 | 244 |

[^10]
## IV. The Long-Range Financing Situation

Because social security is broadly viewed as a program for which those who pay the taxes are earning the right to future benefits, Congress has traditionally required long-range cost estimates and has set future tax rates with a view to assuring that the income of the system will be sufficient to cover outgo. Under current procedures, the long-range actuarial analysis of the cash benefit programs (OASI and DI) covers a 75-year period-generally long enough to cover the anticipated retirement years of those currently in the work force. Since the enactment in 1965 of the Hospital Insurance program, long-range actuarial analyses of that program have also been made, but official HI estimates are made only over a 25-year period.*

Whereas in the short-range, adverse economic factors (especially the lack of real wage growth) has been largely responsible for the poor financial condition of social security, demographic changes will be very important in the long-range. Fertility and mortality rates, for example, interact to determine the mix of beneficiaries (or retirees) to workers and the longevity of the beneficiary population. The Board of Tructees reports typically provide a range of possible outcomes by projecting long-range costs and income on the basis of three different sets of assumptions-optimistic, intermediate, and pessimistic. The 1982 report also included a fourth path, intermediate (II-A), based on the President's FY 1983 budget assumptions. (The underlying economic and demographic assumptions are included in Section VIII of this print.) While the longrange income and outgo projections illustrated in this section are based on the actuaries intermediate assumptions, as these are the ones Congress generally relies on for policymaking, it should be noted that the long-term cost of OASDI varies by a factor of 70 percent as between optimistic and pessimistic projections, from a surplus of 1.30 percent of payroll to a deficit of 6.46 percent.

## MEASUREMENT OF LONG-RANGE STATUS

The long-range status of the social security trust funds is ordinarily expressed in terms of "percent of taxable payroll" rather than in dollar amounts. This permits a direct comparison between the tax rate actually in the law and the cost of the program. For example, if the program is projected to have a deficit of "one percent of taxable payroll", this means that the social security tax rates now in the law would have to be increased by .5 percentage

[^11]points on the employee and employer, each, in order to pay for the benefits due under present law. (Alternatively, the program could be brought back into balance by an equivalent reduction in benefit outgo or by a combination of revenue increases and outgo reductions.) If the program is projected to have a deficit of 1.8 percent of taxable payroll and expenditures are projected to be, say, 10 percent of taxable payroll, then, under the given set of assumptions, 18 percent ( 1.8 divided by 10) of expenditures could not be met with that tax schedule. At the present time, total taxable payroll amounts to approximately $\$ 1.4$ trillion so that in 1982 terms, 1.8 percent of payroll (the estimated long-range deficit of the cash benefits program) represents about $\$ 25$ billion. In 1983, total taxable payroll is expected to be $\$ 1.5$ trillion, with each percent of payroll amounting to $\$ 15$ billion. When the entire program (including medicare) is considered, the average annual social security deficit over the next 75 years, expressed in 1983 terms, is somewhat above $\$ 100$ billion per year.

The long-range financial condition of the system is summarized in the table below.

## TABLE 21.—LONG-RANGE STATUS OF THE OASDHI TRUST FUNDS

[Percent of taxable payroll]

|  | 25-year periods |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 75-year } \\ \text { period } \\ 1982-2056 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1982-2006 | 2007-2031 | 2032-2056 |  |
| OASDI: |  |  |  |  |
| Income........................................... | 12.01 | 12.40 | 12.40 | 12.27 |
| Outgo.. | 11.35 | 14.08 | 16.79 | 14.07 |
| Difference.. | . 66 | -1.68 | -4.39 | -1.80 |
| HI: |  |  |  |  |
| Income........................................... | 2.86 | 2.90 | 2.90 | 2.89 |
| Outgo............................................ | 4.34 | 8.78 | 11.19 | 8.10 |
| Difference................................. | -1.48 | -5.88 | -8.29 | -5.21 |
| OASDH: |  |  |  |  |
| Income........................................... | 14.87 | 15.30 | 15.30 | 15.16 |
| Outgo.............................................. | 15.69 | 22.86 | 27.98 | 22.17 |
| Balance....................................... | -. 82 | -7.56 | -12.68 | -7.01 |

[^12]OASDI
Tables 21 and 22 provide estimates of the long-range status of the social security cash benefit programs over the next 75 years (based on the intermediate (II-B) assumptions used in the 1982

Trustees' report updated to take account of the 1982 Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act). The tables shows that the cash benefits trust funds, despite their deficit in the next few years, are projected to run a surplus over the next 25 years. In 1982, for example, the cost of OASDI is comparable to a tax rate of 11.76 percent (employee and employer combined), and the actual tax rate is 10.80 percent-a shortfall of 0.96 percentage points. Beginning in 1990, however, and continuing over the next two decades, the situation reverses and income substantially exceeds outgo. This is partly a result of increases in social security taxes scheduled under present law, partly a result of the fact that the cohort of workers retiring at that time were born in the 1930's and early 1940's, low birth rate years, and partly because of the assumption of a much improved economic picture.

## TABLE 22.-COMBINED OASDI OUTGO AS A PERCENT OF TAXABLE PAYROLL AND COMPARISON WITH SCHEDULED TAX RATE ${ }^{1}$

[In percent]

| Calender year | OASOI outgo | Tax rate | Difference | Trust fund ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981. | 11.30 | 10.70 | -0.60 | 18 |
| 1982 .................................... | 11.76 | 10.80 | -. 96 | 15 |
| 1983. | 11.64 | 10.80 | -. 84 | 11 |
| 1984 ..................................... | 11.59 | 10.80 | -. 79 | 3 |
| 1985.................................... | 11.66 | 11.40 | -. 26 | -4 |
| 1986 .................................... | 11.68 | 11.40 | -. 28 | -7 |
| 1987 ......................................... | 11.69 | 11.40 | -. 29 | -9 |
| 1988.................................... | 11.66 | 11.40 | -. 26 | -12 |
| 1989..................................... | 11.63 | 11.40 | -. 23 | -15 |
|  | 11.62 | 12.40 | . 78 | -17 |
|  | 11.57 | 12.40 | . 83 | -12 |
| 1992.................................... | 11.52 | 12.40 | . 88 | -5 |
| 1993. | 11.49 | 12.40 | . 91 | 2 |
| 1994 .................................... | 11.44 | 12.40 | . 96 | 9 |
| 1995.................................... | 11.40 | 12.40 | 1.00 | 17 |
| 1996................................... | 11.33 | 12.40 | 1.07 | 26 |
| 1997 .................................... | 11.24 | 12.40 | I. 16 | 35 |
| 1998.................................... | 11.16 | 12.40 | 1.24 | 45 |
| 1999. | 11.08 | 12.40 | 1.32 | 56 |
|  | 11.02 | 12.40 | 1.38 | 67 |
|  | 10.96 | 12.40 | 1.44 | 79 |
| 2002.................................... | 10.91 | 12.40 | 1.49 | 92 |
| 2003.................................... | 10.89 | 12.40 | 1.51 | 105 |
| 2004.................................... | 10.89 | 12.40 | 1.51 | 118 |
| 2005.................................... | 10.94 | 12.40 | 1.46 | 131 |
| 2010.................................... | 11.52 | 12.40 | . 88 | 179 |

## TABLE 22. - COMBINED OASDI OUTGO AS A PERCENT OF TAXABLE PAYROLL AND COMPARISON WITH SCHEDULED TAX RATE ${ }^{1}$-Continued

[In percent]

| Calendar year | OASD Outgo | Tax rate | Difference | Trust fund ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015. | 12.81 | 12.40 | -. 41 | 179 |
| 2020 | 14.44 | 12.40 | -2.04 | 127 |
| 2025.................................... | 15.96 | 12.40 | -3.56 | 33 |
| $2030 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 16.82 | 12.40 | -4.42 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) |
| 2035................................... | 17.01 | 12.40 | -4.61 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ |
| 2040.................................... | 16.79 | 12.40 | -4.39 | (2) |
| 2045 .................................... | 16.65 | 12.40 | -4.25 | (2) |
|  | 16.71 | 12.40 | -4.31 | (2) |
| 2055.................................... | 16.80 | 12.40 | -4.40 | (2) |
| 2060 .................................... | 16.80 | 12.40 | -4.40 | (2) |
| 25-year averages: |  |  |  |  |
| 1982-2006 ................. | 11.35 | 12.01 | . 66 | ................ |
| 2007-31 ........................... | 14.08 | 12.40 | -1.68 | ................ |
| 2032-56 ........................... | 16.79 | 12.40 | -4.39 | ................ |
| 75-year average: 1982-2056 ....................... | 14.07 | 12.27 | -1.80 | ................. |

[^13]As shown in Table 22, OASDI reserves as a fraction of outgo are projected to rise continuously from 2 percent in 1993 to 179 percent in 2010. Over the 25 -year period 1982-2006, this translates into a surplus of 0.66 percent, or in 1983 dollars, about $\$ 10$ billion per year.

The likelihood of surpluses in the 1990's. -It is extremely important to note that under none of the official projections presented in this print will the social security system as a whole-OASI, DI, and HI-be in surplus during the $1990^{\prime}$ s. Even under CBO assumptions, the most optimistic of the 3 presented, deficits in HI are projected beginning in 1987. These deficits are then expected to get progressively larger-large enough under intermediate assumptions to render the rest of the system insolvent during the 1990's if interfund borrowing were in place.

Even apart from the possible need to divert resources to HI, how likely is it that OASI and DI will run a surplus in the 1990's, as is now projected under Trustees' intermediate and CBO assumptions? Continued sluggish economic performance during the 1990's would eliminate surpluses in OASDI and, indeed, could result in substantial deficits. To illustrate this, using intermediate assumptions, but simply modifying the assumption pertaining to real wage growth (reducing it from 1.5 percent to 0.75 percent beginning in 1989) would virtually eliminate projected surpluses. Further reducing the real wage growth assumption to 0.5 percent would result in deficits on the order of 0.19 percent of payroll, or about $\$ 3$ billion per year.
(It should be noted that actual real wage growth averaged - 1.2 percent over the period 1977 to 1981 and - 0.5 percent per year over the period 1970 to 1981.) Evidently, while the Trustees' intermediate projections of reserve accumulation in the 1990's is one reasenable forecast, there is a reasonable probability that the OASDI trust funds could be in considerably poorer financial condition.

Even under the intermediate (II-B) projections which show surpluses in OASDI in the 1990's and through the balance of the 25year period, OASDI is projected to run large deficits beginning around 2015. As shown in Table 22, the cost of OASDI rises sharply after the turn of the century-about 50 percent between 2001 and 2030 -reaching 17 percent of payroll by 2035. (In other words, the combined employee-employer tax rate in 2035 would have to be 17 percent in order to finance retirement, survivors, and disability benefits alone. The cost of medicare would be another 11.17 percent in addition to this.) Under intermediate assumptions, the OASDI deficit becomes so large that the trust fund ratio is projected to fall in a 10 -year period from 179 percent in 2015 to 33 percent in 2025, and reserves would be totally exhausted a few years later.
Over the next 75 years, the cash benefits programs have a deficit of 1.80 percent of payroll. This means that-under the actuaries' best current estimates-social security taxes would have to be increased by a combined 1.80 percentage points (or $\$ 27$ billion in 1983 terms) for each of the next 75 years. This represents a total deficit of $\$ 2.0$ trillion over the next 75 years. A deficit of 1.8 percent, when compared to outgo of 14.07 percent, means that about 13 percent of future benefits ( 1.8 divided by 14.07 ) over the next 75 years cannot be paid under current law.

If the deficit in the OASDI program is not addressed in the near term, it will become substantially larger on an annual basis in the future. For the last one-third of the 75 -year period, for example, there is an average annual deficit of 4.39 percent of taxable payroll ( $\$ 66$ billion per year in 1983 terms). About 26 percent of benefits during the years 2032-2056 cannot be paid under current law.

## HI

Unlike the medium-range OASDI financial situation, the 25 -year forecast for the HI program is very adverse. As Table 23 shows, the HI program is projected to take in revenues that on average are equal to 2.86 percent of taxable payroll, while outgo on average will equal 4.34 percent of taxable payroll. Thus, the HI program is projected to have a medium-range deficit of 1.48 percent of taxable payroll, or more than twice the surplus projected for OASDI. In 1983 dollars, this is equal to about $\$ 22$ billion per year, amounting to $\$ 560$ billion over the 25 -year period.
As for ihe longer term, the HI deficits become progressively more severe. The cost of the program rises from 5.38 percent of taxable payroll in 2000 to 10.76 percent in 2030, whereas income is stable at 2.90 percent of payroll (the combined employee-employer tax rate in the law). Over the 75 -year period, the resulting deficit is projected to be 5.21 percent of taxable payroll-in 1983 dollars, about $\$ 80$ billion a year or $\$ 6$ trillion in total.

## OASDHI COMBINED

When the OASDI and HI trust funds are considered together, the programs become unable to pay benefits in 1984, under all assumptions, and the system does not recover financially during the 75year projection period. As shown in Table 24, OASDHI has a deficit equal to .82 percent of taxable payroll over the 25 -year period 1982-2006. On an aggregate basis, therefore, the three programs supported by the payroll tax are insufficiently financed over the next 25 years, and the system in aggregate would be insolvent.
When the 75-year deficit in HI is combined with the deficit in OASDI, the social security system's long-range deficit averages 7.01 percent of taxable payroll. In 1983 dollars, this is equivalent to $\$ 105$ billion a year or $\$ 8$ trillion over the entire 75 -year period. This would require a 3.5 percentage point increase in the schedule of social security taxes, for employer and employee, each, or a comparable reduction in the cost of the programs. The longer these changes are delayed, the larger the necessary tax increases or outlay reductions will become. Without substantial advance funding, the total cost of the 3 social security programs is projected to necessitate a 28 -percent payroll tax by 2035, in contrast to the 15.3 percent tax rate scheduled in the law for that time.

Evaluating the magnitude of the OASDHI deficit in terms of the proportion of benefits that can not be paid under present law. about 32 percent of OASDHI benefits ( 7.01 divided by 22.17 ) are unfinanced over the next 75 years.

## TABLE 23.-HI OUTGO AS PERCENT OF TAXABLE PAYROLL, AND COMPARISON WITH SCHEDULED TAX RATE (INTERMEDIATE II-B ASSUMPTIONS) ${ }^{1}$

[Percent of taxable payroll]

| Calendar year | HI outgo | Tax rate | Difference | Reserve ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981. | 2.39 | 2.6 | +. 21 | 45 |
| 1982. | 2.97 | 2.6 | -. 37 | 53 |
| 1983 ..................................... | 2.58 | 2.6 | +. 02 | 39 |
| 1984. | 2.67 | 2.6 | $-.07$ | 41 |
| 1985 .................................... | 2.74 | 2.7 | -. 04 | 41 |
| 1986 .................................... | 2.86 | 2.9 | $+.04$ | 40 |
| 1987 .................................... | 3.01 | 2.9 | -. 11 | 42 |
| 1988. | 3.17 | 2.9 | -. 27 | 39 |
| 1989................................... | 3.34 | 2.9 | -. 44 | 32 |
| 1990 ................................... | 3.51 | 2.9 | -. 61 | 21 |
|  | 3.69 | 2.9 | -. 79 | 7 |
| 1992.................................... | 3.87 | 2.9 | -. 97 | (2) |
| 1993................................... | 4.09 | 2.9 | -1.19 | ...... |
|  | 4.27 | 2.9 | -1.37 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . .$. |
| 1995.................................... | 4.47 | 2.9 | -1.57 | - |
| 1996.................................... | 4.66 | 2.9 | -1.76 | ............. |
| 1997 ................................... | 4.85 | 2.9 | -1.95 | ............... |

## TABLE 23.-HI OUTGO AS PERCENT OF TAXABLE PAYROLL, AND COMPARISON WITH SCHEDULED TAX RATE (INTERMEDIATE II-B ASSUMPTIONS) ${ }^{1}$ - Continued

[Percent of taxable payroll]

| Calendar year | H1 outgo | Tax rate | Difference | Reserve ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5.05 | 2.9 | -2.15 | ... |
| 1999.................................... | 5.21 | 2.9 | -2.31 |  |
| 2000 ................................... | 5.38 | 2.9 | -2.48 | ............... |
| 2001 .................................... | 5.55 | 2.9 | -2.65 |  |
|  | 5.72 | 2.9 | -2.82 | ............... |
| 2003..................................................... | 5.90 | 2.9 | -3.00 |  |
| 2004. | 6.09 | 2.9 | -3.19 |  |
|  | 6.29 | 2.9 | -3.39 |  |
|  | 7.20 | 2.9 | -4.30 |  |
|  | 7.94 | 2.9 | -5.04 |  |
|  | 8.89 | 2.9 | -5.99 |  |
| 2025............................................................... | 9.93 | 2.9 | -7.03 |  |
| 2030 .................................... | 10.76 | 2.9 | -7.86 | ...... |
| 2035. | 11.17 | 2.9 | -8.27 |  |
|  | 11.29 | 2.9 | -8.39 |  |
| 2045.................................... | 11.21 | 2.9 | -8.31 | ................... |
| 2050 .................................... | 11.19 | 2.9 | -8.29 | .. |
| 2055.................................... | 11.17 | 2.9 | -8.27 | ..... |
| 25-year averages: <br> 1982-2006 | 4.34 | 2.86 | -1.48 |  |
| 2007-2031..................... | 8.78 | 2.90 | -5.88 |  |
| 2032-56........................ | 11.19 | 2.90 | -8.29 | ......... |
| 75-year average: $1982-2056 .$ | 8.10 | 2.89 | -5.21 |  |

[^14]
## TABLE 24.-COMBINED OASDH OUTGO AS PERCENT OF TAXABLE PAYROLL, AND COMPARISON WITH SCHEDULED TAX RATE (INTERMEDIATE II-B ASSUMPTIONS) ${ }^{1}$

[Percent of taxable payroll]

| Calendar year | OASOH Outgo | Tax rate | Difference | Reserve ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981. | 13.69 | 13.30 | -. 39 | 28 |
| 1982.................................... | 14.73 | 13.40 | - -1.33 | 24 |
| 1983.................................... | 14.22 | 13.40 | -. 82 | 19 |
| 1984 .................................... | 14.26 | 13.40 | -. 86 | 14 |
| 1985................................... | 14.40 | 14.10 | -. 30 | 9 |

## TABLE 24.-COMBINED OASDHI OUTGO AS PERCENT OF TAXABLE PAYROLL, AND COMPARISON WITH SCHEDULED TAX RATE (INTERMEDIATE II-B ASSUMPTIONS) ${ }^{1}$ Continued

[Percent of taxable payroll]

| Calendar year | OASOH Outgo | Tax rate | Difference | Reserve ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986.................................... | 14.54 | 14.30 | -. 24 |  |
| 1987 | 14.70 | 14.30 | -. 40 |  |
| 1988. | 14.83 | 14.30 | -. 53 |  |
| 1989.................................... | 14.97 | 14.30 | -. 67 | -1 |
|  | 15.13 | 15.30 | $+.17$ | 5 |
|  | 15.26 | 15.30 | $+.04$ | -5 |
| 1992.................................... | 15.39 | 15.30 | -. 09 | NA |
| 1993. | 15.58 | 15.30 | -. 28 | NA |
| 1994. | 15.71 | 15.30 | -. 41 | NA |
| 1995 .................................... | 15.87 | 15.30 | -. 57 | MA |
| 1996.................................... | 15.99 | 15.30 | -. 69 | NA |
|  | 16.09 | 15.30 | -. 79 | NA |
| 1998..................................... | 16.21 | 15.30 | -. 91 | NA |
| 1999. | 16.29 | 15.30 | -. 99 | NA |
| 2000. | 16.40 | 15.30 | -1.10 | NA |
| 2001. | 16.51 | 15.30 | -1.21 | NA |
| 2002. | 16.63 | 15.30 | -1.33 | NA |
| 2003. | 16.79 | 15.30 | -1.49 | NA |
| 2004. | 16.98 | 15.30 | -1.68 | NA |
| 2005 .................................... | 17.23 | 15.30 | -1.93 | NA |
| 2010.................................... | 18.72 | 15.30 | -3.42 | NA |
|  | 20.75 | 15.30 | -5.45 | NA |
| 2020. | 23.33 | 15.30 | -8.03 | NA |
| 2025 ............................ | 25.89 | 15.30 | -10.59 | NA |
|  | 27.58 | 15.30 | -12.28 | NA |
| 2035. | 28.18 | 15.30 | -12.88 | NA |
| 2040. | 28.08 | 15.30 | -12.78 | NA |
| 2045. | 27.86 | 15.30 | -12.56 | NA |
| 2050. | 27.90 | 15.30 | -12.60 | NA |
| 2055. | 27.97 | 15.30 | -12.67 | NA |
| 25-year averages: |  |  |  |  |
| 1982-2006 ....................... | 15.69 | 14.87 | -7.82 | NA |
| 2007-31 ............................. | 22.86 | 15.30 | -7.56 | NA |
| 75-year average: $1982-2055^{\circ} .$ | 27.98 22.17 | 15.30 15.16 | -12.68 -7.01 | NA |

[^15]
## LONG-RANGE STANDARD OF FINANCIAL ADEQUACY

As discussed earlier, the long-range status of the trust funds is estimated on the basis of a variety of economic and demographic factors. Relatively small changes in demographics, moreover, have large long-term consequences. Consider, for example, the fertility rate, which affects the size of the work force that pays social security taxes and, thus, the tax rate required to finance the system. Under intermediate (II-B) assumptions, the ultimate fertility rate (reached in 2005) is 2.1 children per woman. Simply decreasing the fertility assumption to 2.0 , while maintaining other II-B assumptions; would increase the deficit by about 15 percent, or 0.27 percent of taxable payroll. Decreasing the fertility rate further to 1.7, as under the pessimistic assumptions in the 1982 Trustees' reports, would increase the deficit by two-thirds. Conversely, increasing the rate to 2.4 , as under the optimistic assumptions, would eliminate over 40 percent of the OASDI deficit.

It is unlikely, of course, that the actuaries will succeed in projecting a path which exactly predicts the net outcome of all the various elements over a 75 -year period. However, the intermediate projections do represent the actuaries' "best estimate" as of any point in time and are generally considered an acceptable gauge of long-range soundness. They provide a valuable guide to trends which indicate an imbalance in the system, allowing Congress to make necessary corrections gradually and thus avoid sudden shocks which the system would have difficulty absorbing, and to which taxpayers and beneficiaries would have difficulty responding.
The system is considered to be sound in the long-range if, under Trustees' intermediate assumptions, income is sufficient over the 75-year period to meet outgo, i.e., if the long-range deficit is zero. Social security currently falls substantially short of this standard with OASDI running a long-range deficit of 1.8 percent of taxable payroll and OASDHI running a long-range deficit of about 7 percent. As a result, the average cost of the cash benefits programs over the 75-year period is estimated to be 13 percent greater than the programs' income; the average cost of the system (OASI, DI, and HI) is estimated to be about 46 percent greater than the system's income.

## V. Savings and Revenue Impact of Recent Legislation

When considering the feasibility of alternative proposals for restoring the financial soundness of social security, the impact of measures already adopted by Congress in the last 5 years should not be overlooked. Tables 25 and 26 reveal that legislation enacted in 1977, 1980, 1981 and 1982 substantially increased revenues to the system, generated some short-range savings, and yet failed to restore solvency on either a short- or long-range basis. As illustrated in Table 26, some $\$ 467$ billion in new revenues will have been raised in the period 1979-90 as a consequence of this legislation, $\$ 438$ billion of which will result from the 1977 amendments.

TABLE 25.-ORIGINAL SHORT-RANGE ESTIMATES OF REDUCTION IN OASDI AND HI BENEFIT PAYMENTS DUE TO AMENDMENTS OF 1977, 1980, 1981, AND 1982
[In billions]

| Calendar years | Estimates of net reduction in benefit payments, made at time of enactment ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1971 <br> amend- <br> ments | $\begin{gathered} 1980 \\ \text { amendments } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{1981}{\text { reconciliation }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1981 \\ \text { minimum } \\ \text { benefitit bill } \end{gathered}$ | 1982 Tax Act |
| 1978........................ | \$0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 1979......................... | . 5 | ........... |  |  |  |
| 1980 ......................... | . 8 | (3) |  |  |  |
| 1981 ........................ | 1.4 | \$0.2 | \$0.1 |  |  |
| 1982......................... | 1.7 | . 7 | 3.5 | -\$0.9 |  |
| 1983 ........................ | 2.6 | 1.1 | 4.7 | -1.3 | \$0.8 |
| 1984 ......................... | 3.7 | 1.4 | 5.8 | -1.3 | 1.9 |
| 1985........................ | 4.9 | 1.8 | 6.4 | -1.3 | 3.7 |
| 1986 ........................ | 6.4 | 2.2 | 6.9 | -1.3 | 4.7 |
| 1978-86................... | 21.6 | 7.4 | 27.4 | -6.1 | 11.1 |

[^16]| TABLE 26.-ADDITIONAL TAX INCOME IN 1979 TO THE AMENDMENTS OF 1977, 1981, AND INTERMEDATE (II-B) ASSUMPTIONS | TO 1990 то 1982, ON Th | THE OASDHI PR BASIS OF 19 | DGRAMS DUE 2 TRUSTEES' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [In billicos |  |  |  |
|  |  | dotitional tax income |  |
| Calendar yers | $\begin{gathered} 1971 \\ \text { amendments } \end{gathered}$ | 1981 minimum benefit bili | 1982 Tax Act |
| 1979........... | \$6.6 |  |  |
| 1980 ................................................ | 10.0 | .............. | .............. |
| 1981 | 19.3 |  |  |
| 1982................ | 23.1 | \$0.6 | .............. |
| 1983. | 25.0 | . 7 | \$1.6 |
| 1984................................................................ | 27.8 | . 7 | 1.9 |
| 1985................................................ | 42.4 | . 8 | 2.2 |
| 1986.............................................................. | 45.1 | . 9 | 2.6 |
| 1987.................................................... | 48.9 | 1.0 | 2.8 |
| 1988....................... | 52.9 | 1.0 | 3.0 |
| 1989... | 57.0 | 1.1 | 3.3 |
| 1990................................................ | 86.2 | 1.3 | 3.1 |
| 1980-90................................................... | 437.7 | 8.1 | 21.2 |

## VI. Resolving the Financing Problem

In the simplest terms, restoring the soundness of social security must be achieved by increasing revenues, by reducing benefits, or by a combination of the two.

## INCREASING REVENUES

The most direct method of increasing revenues to the system is through an increase in the social security tax rate or the taxable earnings base (the maximum amount of annual earnings to which the tax rate applies). As noted earlier, the 1977 amendments already provided for significant increases in both of these elements. While further increases in social security tax rates could be enacted, there will be substantial rate increases occurring over the next few years under present law (in 1985, 1986 and 1990).
The 1977 increases in the taxable earnings base will produce a situation in which approximately 91 percent of all wages will ultimately be subject to the tax and 94 percent of all workers covered by social security will have their full earnings taxed. Increases above this level have frequently been opposed because they result in very large individual tax payments. Also, because of the relationship between earnings and benefits, a higher earnings base would produce higher future benefit levels and thus larger longrange benefit coats, which would substantially offset the additional revenue. (While a tax rate increase results in no additional future outgo, an earnings base increase will ultimately result in $\$ 1$ of additional outgo for each $\$ 2$ of additional income generated.)

TABLE 27.-ADDIIIONAL TAX CONTRIBUTION INCOME TO THE TRUST FUNDS RESULTING FROM SCHEDULED INCREASES IN TAX RATES AND THE TAXABLE EARNINGS BASE, 1982$1990^{2}$
[In billions]

| Calendar year | Additional tax contributions due to- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increases in the taxable earnings base over the 1981 level |  |  | Increases in tax rates over the 1981 level |  |  | Increases in both the taxable earnings base and tax rates over 1981 level |  |  |
|  | OASOI | H | Toial | OASOI | HI | Total | OASDI | HI | Total |
| 1982........... | \$1.3 | \$0.3 | \$1.6 | \$0.3 | ........ | \$0.3 | \$1.6 | \$0.3 | \$1.9 |
| 1983........... | 5.1 | 1.2 | 6.3 | 1.4 |  | 1.4 | 6.5 | 1.2 | 7.7 |
| 1984 ........... | 8.7 | 2.1 | 10.7 | 1.6 |  | 1.6 | 10.3 | 2.1 | 12.4 |
| 1985........... | 13.3 | 3.2 | 16.5 | 11.3 | \$1.6 | 12.9 | 25.4 | 4.9 | 30.3 |
| 1986........... | 19.0 | 4.6 | 23.6 | 12.6 | 5.2 | 17.7 | 32.8 | 10.2 | 43.0 |
| 1987 ........... | 25.4 | 6.1 | 31.5 | 13.3 | 5.6 | 18.9 | 40.4 | 12.4 | 52.7 |

table 27.-ADDITIONAL TAX CONTRIBUTION INCOME TO THE TRUST FUNDS RESULTING FROM SCHEDULED INCREASES IN TAX RATES AND THE TAXABLE EARNINGS BASE, 1982$1990^{1}$ - Continued
[In billions]

| Calendar year | Additional tax contributions due to- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increases in the taxable earnings base over the 1981 level |  |  | increases in tax rates over the 1981 level |  |  | Increases in both the taxable earnings base and tax rates over 1981 level |  |  |
|  | OASDI | HI | Total | OASDI | HI | Total | OASOI | HI | Total |
| 1988 ........... | 33.0 | 7.9 | 40.9 | 14.0 | 5.9 | 19.9 | 49.2 | 14.7 | 63.9 |
| 1989........... | 41.5 | 10.0 | 51.5 | 14.7 | 6.2 | 21.0 | 59.0 | 17.3 | 76.3 |
| 1990 ........... | 51.0 | 12.2 | 63.2 | 36.5 | 6.5 | 43.0 | 95.1 | 20.1 | 115.3 |

${ }^{1}$ The above estimates are based on the 1982 Trustees' intermediate II-B assumptions. The combined effect of both rate and base changes is larger than the sum of the components due to interaction. These estimates are based on an assumed earnings base of $\$ 35,100$ for 1983 . The actual earnings base will be $\$ 35,700$.

Additional revenue could also be achieved by expanding the coverage of the program. The major noncovered groups are Federal employees, those State and local employees who have not been covered under Federal-State agreements and employees of ncnprofit organizations who have not elected coverage.
Other potential revenue sources sometimes advocated include general revenues or earmarked revenues from some source other than the payroll tax, such as an income surtax or a value-added tax. Questions can be raised, however, as to whether such proposals should be viewed as providing additional revenues to the system or as representing a fundamental change in the self-contained, earn-ings-related nature of the program.

## DECREASING OUTGO

The other alternative for improving the financial condition of social security is changing the benefit structure or the way benefits are increased so as to lower future benefit payments. In general, proposals to improve the program's financial status by reducing benefit costs can be categorized as: (1) targeted proposals designed to eliminate features which the Congress has found to be inappropriate (as in the case of the general elimination of benefits for prisoners) or of relatively lower priority (as in the case of last year's phasing out of student benefits), or (2) general reductions which apply in a substantially equal way to all beneficiaries or all future beneficiaries. Examples of general reductions in the growth of benefits would be the 1981 change in the benefit rounding rules which will have a minor, but fairly uniform impact on ail beneticiaries, or any of the proposed changes in the annual cost of living adjustments.

Given the very large beneficiary population, about 36 million people, generalized reductions in future benefits generally produce more short-range savings than do more targeted adjustments.

## VII. Recent History of Underfinancing

Over the period 1973 to 1977, the actuarial forecasts prepared by the Board of Trustees repeatedly warned that the programs were not adequately financed. Moreover, these forecasts grew dramatically worse from one report to the next. In 1973, the Board of Trustees reported a long-range deficit in the OASI and DI trust funds of .32 percent of taxable payroll, an amount equal to slightly less than 3 percent of the expected expenditures. No financial difficulties were foreseen for the next 5 years, and it was estimated that trust fund reserves on hand at the beginning of 1977 would amount to more than 9 month's worth of benefits. In 1977, just 4 years later, the Trustees were projecting a long-range deficit of 8.2 percent of taxable payroll, an amount equal to more than 40 percent of the expected expenditures. Moreover, it was estimated then that the assets of the DI trust fund would be exhausted by 1979, the assets of the OASI trust fund would be exhausted by the mid1980's, and the assets of the HI trust fund would be exhausted by the late 1980's.

The changes enacted in 1977 were projected at that time to be sufficient to assure adequate funds to meet benefit payments in the cash benefits programs until sometime beyond the year 2025, although earlier action would be required to deal with the deficits in the HI program.

As illustrated in Tables 28 and 29 below, the economic assumptions underlying the 1972 and 1977 legislation were too optimistic, particularly with regard to CPI increases and real wage growthtwo essential determinants of the short-range condition of the trust funds.

TABLE 28.-COMPARISON OF ESTIMATED AND ACTUAL KEY ECONOMIC INDICATORS, 197273 FORECASTS 1
[In percent]

| Calendar year | Key economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CPI increase |  |  | Real wage differential ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Unemployment rate |  |  |
|  | Estimated |  | Actual | Estimated |  | Actual | Estimated |  | Actual |
|  | 1972 | 1973 |  | 1972 | 1973 |  | 1972 | 1973 |  |
| 1972........... | 2.75 |  | 3.3 | 2.25 |  | 4.0 | 4.2 |  | 5.6 |
| 1973........... | 2.75 | 3.3 | 6.2 | 2.25 | 2.9 | . 7 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.9 |
| 1974 ........... | 2.75 | 3.3 | 11.0 | 2.25 | 2.9 | -3.5 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 5.6 |
| 1975........... | 2.75 | 3.3 | 9.1 | 2.25 | 2.9 | -2.5 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 8.5 |
| 1976........... | 2.75 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 2.25 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 7.7 |
| 1977........... | 2.75 | 2.75 | 6.5 | 2.25 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 7.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (39) |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 28.-COMPARISON OF ESTIMATED AND ACTUAL KEY ECONOMIC INDICATORS, 197273 FORECASTS ${ }^{1}$ - Continued
[In percent]

| Calendar year | Key economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CPI increase |  |  | Real wage differential ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Unemployment rate |  |  |
|  | Estimated |  | Actual | Estimated |  | Actual | Estimated |  | Actual |
|  | 1972 | 1973 |  | 1972 | 1973 |  | 1972 | 1973 |  |
| 1978........... | 2.75 | 2.75 | 7.6 | 2.25 | 2.25 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 6.0 |
| 1979........... | 2.75 | 2.75 | 11.5 | 2.25 | 2.25 | -2.7 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 5.8 |
| 1980........... | 2.75 | 2.75 | 13.5 | 2.25 | 2.25 | -4.9 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 7.1 |

[^17]TABLE 29.-COMPARISON OF ESTIMATED AND ACTUAL KEY ECONOMIC INDICATORS, 1977 FORECAST ${ }^{1}$
[In percent]

| Calendar year | Key economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CPI increase |  | Real wage differential |  | Unemployment rate |  |
|  | Estimated | Actual | Estimated | Actual | Estimated | Actual |
| 1977................ | 6.0 | 6.5 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 7.1 | 7.0 |
| 1978................. | 5.4 | 7.6 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| 1979................ | 5.3 | 11.5 | 2.5 | -2.7 | 5.7 | 5.8 |
| 1980................ | 4.7 | 13.5 | 2.4 | -4.9 | 5.2 | 7.1 |
| 1981 ................ | 4.1 | 10.3 | 2.3 | -1.6 | 5.0 | 7.6 |

${ }^{1}$ The 1977 forecast was based on the intermediate set of assumptions in the 1977 Trustees' report.
Since 1977, the short- and long-range condition of the trust funds has deteriorated continuously. In large measure, this has been the result of prices-which determine benefit increases-growing more rapidly than wages-which determine income to the system. (From 1977 through 1981, price inflation outstripped wage growth by about 1.2 percent per year.) This also worsened the long-range deficit by increasing the level of benefits on which future increases would be based, and reducing on a relative basis the level of earnings subject to the earnings base now and in the future.

The experience of the last decade has shown that, given the way social security benefits are indexed to the CPI while income is determined by wages (and productivity), social security financing is extremely vulnerable to downturns in the economy and especially to situations in which prices grow more rapidly than wages.

TABLE 30.-LONG-RANGE OASDHI FINANCIAL FORECASTS IN PREVIOUS TRUSTEES' REPORTS, 1977 TO 1982 (INTERMEDIATE ASSUMPTIONS)
[In percent of taxable payroll]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { scheduled tax } \\ & \text { rate } \end{aligned}$ | Average expenditures | Difference (actuarial imbalance) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OASDI program-75-year forecast: Prior to 1977 Amendments ( 1977 Trust- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| ees' Report) .......................... | 10.99 | 19.19 | -8.20 |
| Just after enactment of 1977 .Amend- |  |  |  |
| ments ............................................ | 12.12 | 13.58 | -1.46 |
| Trustees' report: |  |  |  |
| 1978....... | 12.16 | 13.55 | -1.40 |
| 1979........................................ | 12.19 | 13.38 | -1.20 |
| 1980......................................... | 12.22 | 13.74 | -1.52 |
| 1981. | 12.25 | 14.07 | -1.82 |
| 1982......................................... | 12.27 | 14.09 | -1.82 |
| HI program-25-year forecast: |  |  |  |
| Prior to 1977 Amendments | 2.80 | 3.96 | -1.16 |
| Just after enactment of 1977 Amend- |  |  |  |
| ments ............................. | 2.70 | 3.71 | -1.01 |
| Trustees' report: |  |  |  |
| 1978........................................ | 2.74 | 3.86 | -1.12 |
| 1979........................................ | 2.78 | 3.82 | -1.04 |
| 1980........................................ | 2.81 | 3.80 | -. 99 |
| 1981 ......................................... | 2.84 | 4.28 | -1.44 |
| 1982........................................... | 2.86 | 4.83 | -2.07 |

## VIII. Summary Tables

## Program Data

The following tables provide historical and other summary program data:

TABLE 31.-SUMMARY OF CURRENT SOCIAL SECURITY INFORMATION

## 1. Retirement Test (Annual Exempt Amounts):

|  | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age 65 and over .................................................................. | \$6,000 | \$6,600 |
| Under age 65.......................................................... | 4,440 | 4,920 |

2. SMI Premium: $\$ 12.20$ per month (eff. 7/82).
3. SSI Payment Standard: $\$ 284.30$ individual, $\$ 426.40$ couple (eff. 7/82).
4. Benefit Formulas for 1982 Cohort

| PIA | Maximum Family Benefit |
| :---: | :---: |
| 90\% of first \$230 of AIME, plus | 150\% of first \$294 of PIA, plus |
| 32\% of AIME over \$230 thru \$1,388, plus | 272\% of PIA over \$294 thru \$425, plus |
| 15\% of AIME over \$1,388 | $134 \%$ of PIA over $\$ 425$ thru $\$ 554$, plus $175 \%$ of PIA over $\$ 554$ |

## 5. Benefit Formulas for 1983 Cohort

| PIA | Maximum Family Benefit |
| :---: | :---: |
| 90\% of first \$254 of AIME, plus | 150\% of first \$324 of PIA, plus |
| $32 \%$ of AIME over \$254 thru \$1,528, plus | 272\% of PIA over \$324 thru \$468, plus |
| 15\% of AIME over $\$ 1,528$ | $134 \%$ of PIA over $\$ 468$ thru $\$ 610$, plus |

## 6. Average Benefits in Current Pay Status:



|  | 1980 | 1981 | $\begin{gathered} 1982 \\ \text { (projected) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aged individual | \$3,949 | \$4,359 | \$4,603 |
| Couple, aged head | 4,983 | 5,498 | 5,806 |
| Family of four... | 8,414 | 9,287 | 9,807 |

${ }^{1}$ Office of Research and Statistics, Social Security Administration.

> TABLE 32.-TOTAL OASDI BENEFICIARIES OVER THE YEARS

| Caiendar year ${ }^{1}$ | Beneficiaries (in thousands) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OASI | D1 | Total |
| 1940 |  |  |  |
| 1945 | 1,106 |  | 1,106 |
| 1950 | 2,930 | ......... | 2,930 |
| 1955...................................................... | 7,563 |  | 7,563 |
| 1960.......................................................................................... | 13,740 | 522 | 14,262 |
| 1965....................................................... | 18,509 | 1,648 | 20,157 |
| 1970....................................................... | 23,185 | 2,568 | 25,753 |
| 1975....................................................... | 27,244 | 4,125 | 31,369 |

## TABLE 32.-TOTAL OASDI BENEFICIARIES OVER THE YEARS—Continued

| Calendar year ${ }^{1}$ | Beneficiaries (in thousands) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OASI | DI | Total |
| 1980....................................................... | 30,384 | 4,734 | 35,118 |
|  | 31,074 | 4,636 | 35,710 |
|  | 31,207 | 4,184 | 35,391 |

${ }^{1}$ As of June of each year.
2 Beneficiaries in current pay status.
Source: SSA.
TABLE 33.—OASDI CASH BENEFITS: NUMBER AND AVERAGE AMOUNT, AUGUST 1982

| Type of beneficiary | New benefit awards |  | Benefits in current-payment status ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Average amount ${ }^{3}$ | Number | Average amount ${ }^{3}$ | Monthly amount (in thousands) |
| Total monthly |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total adult men ${ }^{1}$... | 05,390 |  | 13,042,461 |  | 6,033,134 |
| Total adult women ${ }^{1}$.... | 161,746 |  | 19,134,849 |  | 6,356,666 |
| Retired workers, spouses and |  |  |  |  |  |
| children...................... | 194,947 |  | 24,005,145 |  | 9,255,533 |
| Retired workers | 148,620 | \$413.50 | 20,496,876 | \$416.60 | 8,538,944 |
| Men.............................. | 86,456 | 488.23 | 10,903,176 | 466.17 | 5,082,737 |
| Women. | 62,164 | 309.57 | 9,593,700 | 360.26 | 3,456,207 |
| Wives and husbands ............ | 32,486 | 202.21 | 3,024,259 | 210.91 | 637,861 |
| Children ........................... | 13,841 | 176.98 | 484,010 | 162.66 | 78,728 |
| Disabled workers, spouses |  |  |  |  |  |
| and children.. | 47,307 |  | 4,041,334 |  | 1,352,508 |
| Disabled workers ................ | 22,060 | 440.94 | 2,657,345 | 442.16 | 1,174,966 |
| Men.. | 15,369 | 490.06 | 1,784,380 | 486.87 | 868,766 |
| Women | 6,691 | 328.11 | 872,965 | 350.76 | 306,199 |
| Wives and husbands ............ | 6,193 | 119.94 | 385,351 | 130.55 | 50,306 |
| Children ........................... | 19,054 | 120.08 | 998,638 | 127.41 | 127,236 |
| Sunvivors of deceased |  |  |  |  |  |
| Widowed mothers and fathers $\qquad$ | 7,953 | 279.73 | 509,543 | 304.48 | 155,145 |
| Children ........................... | 34,740 | 275.01 | 2,086,474 | 290.33 | 605,762 |
| Widows and widowers | 47,068 | 378.49 | 4,439,567 | 377.72 | 1,676,906 |
| Disabled widows and |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parents ............................ | 46 | 364.05 | 12,843 | 335.52 | 4,309 |
| Special age-72 beneficiaries ..... | 58 | .......... | 66,588 | ........... | 8,305 |

[^18]
## TABLE 34.-SOCIAL SECURITY EXPENDITURES CALENDAR YEARS 1940-82

[In millions]

|  | OASI | DI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { OASDI } \end{aligned}$ | HI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total OASI, } \\ & \text { DI, HI, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OASOH } \\ & 1981 \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1940. | \$62 |  |  |  |  | \$402 |
| $1950 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 1,022 |  |  |  |  | 3,861 |
| 1960 | 11,198 | \$600 | \$11,798 |  |  | 36,735 |
| 1961 | 12,432 | 956 | 13,388 |  |  | 40,705 |
| 1962. | 13,973 | 1,183 | 15,156 |  |  | 45,568 |
| 1963............................. | 14,920 | 1,297 | 16,217 |  |  | 48,179 |
| 1964. | 15,613 | 1,407 | 17,020 |  |  | 49,912 |
| 1965. | 17,501 | 1,687 | 19,188 |  |  | 55,313 |
| 1966 ............................. | 18,967 | 1,947 | 20,914 | \$999 | \$21,913 | 61,415 |
| 1967 ............................. | 20,382 | 2,089 | 22,471 | 3,430 | ع. 25,901 | 70,556 |
|  | 23,557 | 2,458 | 26,015 | 4,277 | -30,292 | 79,195 |
| 1969 | 25,176 | 2,716 | 27,892 | 4,857 | 32,749 | 81,243 |
| 1970 | 29,848 | 3,259 | 33,107 | 5,281 | 38,388 | 89,923 |
| 1971. | 34,542 | 4,000 | 38,542 | 5,900 | 44,442 | 99,802 |
| 1972............................. | 38,522 | 4,759 | 43,281 | 6,503 | 49,784 | 108,226 |
| 1973........................................ | 47,175 | 5,973 | 53,148 | 7,289 | 60,437 | 123,694 |
| 1974. | 53,397 | 7,196 | 60,593 | 9,372 | 69,965 | 129,039 |
| 1975. | 60,395 | 8,790 | 60,185 | 11,581 | 71,766 | 121,267 |
| 1976............................. | 67,876 | 10,366 | 78,242 | 13,679 | 91,921 | 146,862 |
| 1977 .............................. | 75,309 | 11,946 | 87,255 | 16,019 | 103,274 | 154,996 |
| 1978 | 83,064 | 12,954 | 96,018 | 18,178 | 114,196 | 159,203 |
| 1979. | 93,133 | 14,186 | 107,319 | 21,078 | 128,397 | 160,878 |
| 1980 | 107,678 | 15,872 | 123,550 | 25,577 | 149,127 | 164,599 |
| 1981 | 126,695 | 17,658 | 144,352 | 30,726 | 175,078 | 175,078 |
| $1982{ }^{2}$ | 141,771 | 18,508 | 160,279 | 35,670 | 195,949 | ............. |

${ }^{1}$ Based on CPI, all items.
2 Estimated under alternative II-8 assumptions in 1982 Trustees' report.

## TABLE 35.-AMOUNT OF NET ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES AND NET ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES EXPRESSED AS A PERCENTAGE OF BENEFIT PAYMENTS, SELECTED FISCAL YEARS 1950-82

| Fiscal year | Net administrative expenses (in millions) |  |  |  | As a percentage of benefit payments |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OASI | DI | HI | OASOH | OASI | DI | HI | OASDHI |
| 1950 ............................... | \$57 |  |  | \$57 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 1960 | 202 | \$32 |  | 234 | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| 1970............................... | 474 | 149 | \$149 | 772 | 2 | 5 | 3 |  |
| 1975.............................. | 848 | 253 | 259 | 1,360 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  |
| 1980............................... | 1,160 | 334 | 497 | 1,991 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |
| $1982{ }^{1}$............................. | 1,443 | 550 | 564 | 2,557 | 1 |  | 2 |  |

[^19]Benefits and Taxes.-The following tables provide information on past and future benefits and taxes, and benefits in relation to inflation and wage growth.

## TABLE 36.--COMPARISON OF OASDI GENERAL BENEFIT INCREASES WITH INCREASES IN CONSUMER PRICE INDEX

## [In percent]

| Month when first effective | Benefit increase | Increase in CPI from previous effective date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excess of } \\ & \text { benefesitincrease } \\ & \text { over CPI } \\ & \text { increase } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 1950....................................... | 277 | 75.5 | $+1.5$ |
| September 1952.......................................... | ${ }^{3} 15$ | 9.3 | + 5.7 |
| September 1954. ................................... | ${ }^{3} 13$ | . 5 | +12.5 |
| January 1959........................................... | 7 | 7.9 | -. 9 |
| January 1965......................................................................... | 7 | 7.9 | -. 9 |
| February 1968......................................... | 13 | 9.3 | +3.7 |
| January 1970.......................................... | 15 | 10.8 | +4.2 |
| January 1971 .......................................... | 10 | 5.2 | +4.8 |
| September 1972....................................... | 20 | 5.9 | +14.1 |
| June 1974............................................... | 411 | 16.4 | -5.4 |
| June 1975.............................................. | 58.0 | 9.3 | -1.3 |
| June 1976.............................................. | 6.4 | 5.4 | +1.0 |
| June 1977.............................................. | 5.9 | 6.9 | -1.0 |
| June 1978.................................................. | 6.5 | 7.3 | -. 8 |
| June 1979............................................... | 9.9 | 11.1 | -1.2 |
| June 1980............................................... | 14.3 | 14.2 | $+.1$ |
|  | 11.2 | 9.5 | +1.7 |
| June 1982. | 7.4 | 6.9 | $+.5$ |

[^20][In percent]

| Period | OASD benefit increases ${ }^{1}$ | Increase in average wages ${ }^{2}$ | Excess of benefit increase over wage increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January 1940 to September 1950 | 37.0 | 12 | -44.8 |
| September 1950 to September 1952.............. | 15.0 | 15.8 | -. 8 |
| September 1952 to September 1954.............. | 13.0 | 5.9 | +7.1 |
| September 1954 to January 1959................. | 7.0 | 18.4 | -11.4 |

TABLE 37.-COMPARISON OF OASDI GENERAL BENEFIT INCREASES WITH INCREASES IN AVERAGE WAGE LEVELS-Continued
[In percent]

| Period | OASOI benefit increases ${ }^{1}$ | Increase in average wages ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excess of } \\ \text { benefitinincease } \\ \text { over wage } \\ \text { increase } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January 1959 to January 1965 | 7.0 | 22.5 | -15.5 |
| January 1965 to February 1968................... | 13.0 | 17.7 | -4.7 |
| February 1968 to January 1970................... | 15.0 | 11.3 | +3.7 |
| January 1970 to January 1971 .................. | 10.0 | 5.0 | +5.0 |
| January 1971 to September 1972 ................. | 20.0 | 13.7 | +6.3 |
| September 1972 to June 1974..................... | 11.0 | 10.9 | +. 1 |
| June 1974 to June 1975............................. | 8.0 | 7.4 | $+.6$ |
| June 1975 to June 1976............................. | 6.4 | 6.9 | -. 5 |
| June 1976 to June 1977 ............................. | 5.9 | 6.0 | - 1.1 |
| June 1977 to June 1978........................................ | 6.5 | 7.9 | -1.4 |
| June 1978 to June 1979 ............................. | 9.9 | 8.7 | +1.2 |
| June 1979 to June 1980............................... | 14.3 | 9.0 | +5.3 |
| June 1980 to June 1981............................ | 11.2 | 38.7 | +2.5 |
| June 1981 to June 1982......................................... | 7.4 | ${ }^{3} 6.7$ | +. 7 |

[^21]
## TABLE 38.-HISTORICAL COMPARISON OF AVERAGE WAGE INCREASES TO BENEFIT INCREASES AND CHANGES IN CPI

[In percent]

|  | Increase in wages ${ }^{1}$ |  | Increase in CPI |  | Benefit increases |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year to year | Cumulative since year of each benefit incraese to 1981 | Year to year | Cumulative since year of each benefit increase to 1981 | Increase during year | Cumulative since year of each benefit increase to 1981 |
| 1940 |  | 1,038.7 |  | 548.3 |  | 788.0 |
| 1950 | 112.9 | 434.9 | 71.7 | 277.6 | 77.0 | 401.7 |
| 1952. | 16.9 | 357.7 | 10.5 | 241.7 | 12.5 | 345.9 |
| 1954 .......................... | 6.1 | 331.2 | 1.0 | 238.3 | 13.0 | 294.6 |
| 1959. | 22.2 | 252.9 | 8.4 | 212.1 | 7.0 | 268.8 |
| 1965. | 20.8 | 192.1 | 8.2 | 188.4 | 7.0 | 244.7 |
| 1968 .......................... | 19.6 | 144.2 | 10.3 | 161.5 | 13.0 | 205.1 |
| 1970 .......................... | 11.0 | 120.0 | 11.6 | 134.3 | 15.0 | 165.3 |
| 1971 .......................... | 5.0 | 109.4 | 4.3 | 124.7 | 10.0 | 141.1 |
| 1972.............................. | 9.8 | 90.7 | 3.3 | 117.5 | 20.0 | 101.0 |
| 1974 .... | 12.6 | 69.4 | 17.9 | 84.5 | 11.0 | 81.0 |

TABLE 38.-HISTORICAL COMPARISON OF AVERAGE WAGE INCREASES TO BENEFIT INCREASES AND CHANGES IN CPI-Continued
[In percent]

|  | Increase in wages ${ }^{1}$ |  | Increase in CPI |  | Benefit increases |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year to year | Cumulative since year of each benefit 1981 | Year to year | Cumulative since year of each benefit increase to 1981 | Increase during year | Cumulative since year of each benefit 1981 |
| 19752 | 7.5 | 57.7 | 9.1 | 68.1 | 8.0 | 67.6 |
| 1976.......................... | 6.9 | 47.5 | 5.8 | 59.8 | 6.4 | 57.5 |
| 1971 .......................... | 6.0 | 39.1 | 6.5 | 50.1 | 5.9 | 48.8 |
|  | 7.9 | 28.9 | 7.6 | 39.5 | 6.5 | 39.7 |
| 1979.......................... | 8.7 | 18.5 | 11.5 | 25.1 | 9.9 | 27.1 |
| 1980......................... | 9.0 | 38.7 | 13.5 | 10.3 | 14.3 | 11.2 |
| 1981 .......................... | 38.7 | ............. | 10.3 | ............. | 11.2 |  |
| 1982 ............................ |  |  |  | ........... | 7.4 | .............. |

[^22]49
TABLE 39.-PAST AND FUTURE EARNINGS LEVELS, BENEFITS IN ACTUAL AND CONSTANT DOLLARS, AND REPLACEMENT RATES, FOR RETIRED WORKERS

| - | dempa n pemos per |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lmmme | max emm | Hemin mexem | Lememers | m | Mamme une | Imemer | nexe emen | 1 mamma emem | Lememe | mexe | nummeme |
| 1940 | 5337 | s1,142 | 33.000 | 5213 | 594 | 5994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{1}^{1995}$ | ${ }_{882}^{662}$ |  | 3.000 <br> 3.000 | ${ }_{3}^{2496}$ | 340 489 | cis | 1,4000 | ${ }^{1.1996}$ | 2,917 | 33.9 3,9 |  | ${ }^{127.3}$ |
|  | ${ }_{1}^{1.560}$ | 3.1565 | 3.600 | 774 | 1.091 | 1.182 | ${ }_{2}{ }_{2} 1.966$ | ${ }_{4}$ | 4,466 | ${ }_{49.6}^{49.6}$ | ${ }_{34.6}$ |  |
| 1960 | 2,880 | 3,886 | 4,800 | 936 | 1,284 | 1,228 | ${ }_{3,198}$ | 4,395 | 4.886 | 45.0 | 33.3 | 29.7 |
| ${ }_{7}^{19790}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2.360 \\ 3,382}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | ${ }_{5}^{4.954}$ | ${ }^{4,8800}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,404}$ | 1,39 2.021 | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{1.1 .280}$ | 3,3,737 | $\underset{\substack{4.619 \\ 5.212}}{ }$ | ${ }_{5.945}^{50,7}$ | 40.0 | 31.4 34.3 | 32.9 |
| ${ }_{1989}^{193}$ | ${ }_{6}^{3.883}$ | ${ }_{\substack{8.031 \\ 1149}}$ | 13,200 <br> 2,200 <br> 1 | ${ }_{\substack{2 \\ 2 \\ 3,309}}$ | ¢ | ${ }^{3.937}$ | 4.151 | 6.112 | ${ }_{7}$ |  | ${ }_{42,3}$ | ${ }_{30,1}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,431 | 4,374 | 6.647 | 8.332 | 64.0 | 51.1 |  |
| 1981... | ¢, 6.488 | 12.513 | 25900 | 4.420 | ${ }_{6}^{6.812}$ | 8.655 | 4.620 | 1.125 | 9.058 |  | 54.4 |  |
| ${ }_{\substack{1983 \\ 1984}}$ | cois | cidis | cole 3 3,400 |  | - | ¢ | ${ }_{4}^{4,464} 4$ | (6.021 | (8.500 | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{63.8}$ | ${ }_{49,7}^{49.7}$ | 28.6 <br> 27.4 <br> 1 |
| ${ }_{1985}^{198}$ | ${ }_{8,136}$ | ${ }_{\text {15, }}^{15,696}$ | 31,500 | ${ }^{4,9717}$ | 7,069 | ${ }^{9.6,668}$ | ${ }^{4,0,07}$ | 6,087 6,005 | 7,882 7 | 66.5 61.2 | ${ }_{4}^{4.1} 4$ | 26.1 <br> 25.8 |
| ${ }^{1986}$ | 8.700 | ${ }^{18.099}$ | 40.500 | 5.294 | 7.965 |  | 4.880 | 6.134 | 8.054 |  |  |  |
|  | 9,907 | 20.610 | 46.800 | ${ }_{\text {5, }}^{5.906}$ | ${ }_{8,829}^{8,34}$ | ${ }^{11,1,33}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4.1173}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}{ }_{6}^{6.1142}$ | 8.089 8.172 | (60.3 | ${ }_{4}^{43.2}$ | 25.21 |
|  |  | ${ }_{212368}^{21,968}$ | ${ }_{5}^{50,100}$ | ${ }_{6,233}$ | 9.381 | ${ }^{12,337}$ | 4.140 | ${ }^{6,337}$ | ${ }_{8,337}$ |  |  | 25.0 |
| 190 |  |  | 53,40 | 6.335 | 9.890 | 13,305 | 4.164 | 6.306 | ${ }_{8} 886$ | 58.2 | 42.3 |  |
| 2000. | 19.320 <br> 33001 | ${ }_{6}^{40,191} 6$ | - 93.3500 | (11.052 | ${ }^{16921}$ |  | 4.771 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{56,370}$ | ${ }^{11712,268}$ | ${ }^{2121: 300}$ | 31.146 | ${ }_{4}^{28,390}$ | ${ }_{7}^{4,0,033}$ |  | 8, | (12880 | (56.0 | 42.1 |  |
|  | - 96.289 | ${ }^{200.311}$ | ${ }^{4655000}$ | ${ }^{52} 2936$ | ${ }^{84,382}$ | ${ }^{1313,830}$ | 7.053 | 11.240 | ${ }^{17,566}$ | 55.0 | 42.1 | 28.4 |
| 2050 | 280,947 | 588,499 | ${ }_{1,355,200}$ |  |  | - 384.199 | ¢ | 12,975 | (20.22 | 550 550 5 | 42.1 | ${ }_{28}^{28.3}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23,935 | 55.0 | 42.1 | 28.3 |

Bsed on 1982 Trusios' mermelate 14-8 assumptions and retrement at age 65.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{mome} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \& Lmmm \& mox mim \& momemm \& Lmemm \& mem \& Numm \& Lomem \& max una \& numa umb \& Lommm \& mex mimm \& Hmememmen \\
\hline 1900 \& 5331 \& 51,42 \& N,000 \& 320 \& 541 \& 511 \& 52,29 \& 53.185 \& S5.355 \& \({ }_{59}^{59.6}\) \& 30.0 \& 24.7 \\
\hline \& 832 \& \({ }^{2,483}\) \& \({ }^{3.000}\) \& \({ }_{534}^{314}\) \& 134 \& 954 \& \({ }_{\text {2,7,52 }}^{2,100}\) \& \({ }_{\text {2, }}^{2.468}\) \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { a } \\ 3,4165}}^{4.36}\) \& 59.9 \& \({ }_{29.6}^{26.3}\) \& \({ }_{3}^{25.8}\) \\
\hline \& 1.500 \& \(\substack { \text { 3.1.56 } \\ \begin{subarray}{c}{\text { 3, } 565{ \text { 3.1.56 } \\ \begin{subarray} { c } { \text { 3, } 5 6 5 } } \end{subarray}\) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
3.800 \\
4.800 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \({ }_{1}^{1.164}\) \& \({ }_{1}^{1.693}\) \&  \& \({ }^{4,389}\) \& \({ }_{\substack{6.199 \\ 6,593}}\) \& \({ }_{\text {c }}^{\substack{6.399 \\ 7.399}}\) \& 74.4
67.5 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
51.9 \\
\hline 9.9
\end{tabular} \& 49.3 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 1965. \& - \& \({ }_{5}^{4.984}\) \& 4,8800 \& 1.560
2,132 \& \({ }_{3,022}^{2.159}\) \& \({ }_{\text {2, }}^{2,470}\) \&  \& \({ }_{\text {\% }}^{6.929}\) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
7.100 \\
8.918 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 66.1 \& 47.2
51.4 \& 99.4.

3.8 <br>
\hline \& 3.883

6.032 \&  \& | 13,200 |
| :--- |
| 22,300 | \& ${ }_{\text {che }}^{\substack{3,769}}$ \& ${ }_{8}^{\text {8.7.93 }}$ \& 51.1560 \& ${ }_{6,561}^{6,227}$ \& ${ }_{\text {9,9,91 }} 9.168$ \& ${ }_{\substack{10.2121 \\ 12,648}}^{1}$ \& 89.2

960 \& ${ }_{766}^{63.5}$ \& ${ }_{4}^{45.2}$ <br>
\hline 1880. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline ${ }^{1981} 19$ \& ${ }_{6}^{6.488}$ \& 12,53 \& 25900 \& ${ }_{6}^{6.635}$ \& 10,278 \& 12,983 \& ${ }_{6}^{6.936}$ \& ${ }^{10.6888}$ \& ${ }_{13,587}^{125}$ \& 102.8 \& 81.7 \& 50.1 <br>
\hline 1983 \& ${ }_{6}^{6.968}$ \& 14,996 \& 32.400 \& ${ }_{6}^{6.969}$ \& 10.367 \& ${ }_{13,319}$ \& ${ }_{6.401}^{6060}$ \& ${ }_{9} 9.602$ \& 12,330 \& 99.3 \& 7.5 \& 4.1 <br>
\hline \& ${ }_{8}^{1,336}$ \& ${ }^{16.9626}$ \& ${ }^{3} 37.500$ \& ${ }^{1,0456}$ \& 10,604 \&  \& ${ }_{6,0056}^{6,071}$ \& 9,008 \& ${ }_{\text {112, }}^{11.236}$ \& 93.7
91.8 \& ${ }_{65.6}^{61.7}$ \& ${ }_{38.1}^{39.1}$ <br>
\hline ;986 \& \& 18.0 \& \& \& \& \& \& 920 \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& 9,292 \& 19,329 \& 43,800 \& 8.409 \& \& 553 \& \& ${ }^{9.168}$ \& \& 5 5 \& ${ }_{64}^{64}$ \& | 33.8 |
| :--- |
| 37.6 | <br>

\hline \& ${ }^{10.560}$ \& 20,968 \& ${ }_{5}{ }_{50,100}$ \& ${ }_{\text {d, }}^{\substack{8.335}}$ \& ${ }_{14.022}$ \& ${ }_{18,806}^{10,060}$ \& ${ }_{6}^{6.2120}$ \& ${ }_{9}^{9,365}$ \& ${ }^{12}$ \& ${ }_{88.4}^{88.6}$ \& ${ }_{64.1}^{66}$ \& 33.6 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1900} 9$ \& 1i,23! \& 23,34 \& 53,400 \& ${ }_{9} 9.8303$ \& 14,835 \& ${ }_{\text {19,9,988 }}$ \& ${ }_{6,246}$ \& 9,4959 \& ${ }_{12,29}$ \& ${ }_{87}^{88.3}$ \& 66.5 \& 37.4 <br>
\hline \& 19,320 \& 40,91 \& 93.300 \& 16.578 \& 25.382 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2020 \& ${ }_{56,370}$ \& - 68.6268 \& ${ }^{1971,3800}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{2 \\ 46,79}}^{12,20}$ \& ${ }^{43,0897}$ \& ${ }^{665168}$ \& (8.026 \& -12,660 \&  \& 84.0 \& -63.2 \& 12.5 <br>
\hline 230 \& ${ }^{96,289}$ \& 200.311 \& 465,000 \& 19,004 \& ${ }^{1226533}$ \& ${ }^{1977,745}$ \& ${ }^{10.5850}$ \& 16.880 \& 26.349 \& 82.5 \& 63.2 \& 42.5 <br>
\hline ${ }_{2050}^{200 .}$ \& ${ }_{\text {l }}^{1680,447}$ \&  \& 1.354.200 \& ${ }_{\text {che }}^{1331.635}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{216,29 \\ 36935}}^{2}$ \& - \& (12,209 \& -19.433 \& 30,408 \& 882.5 \& ${ }_{6.2}^{63.2}$ \& 42.5 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## TABLE 41.—OASOI-HI TAXES PAID BY WORKERS AT FEDERAL MINIMUM, AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM WAGE LEVELS, 1937-90

| Yex | Federal mmmum mage |  | Average wages |  | Maumum tarable exnmes base |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exrnngs | Employe taxes | Earungs | Employe taxes | Exangs | Employer taxes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sett emplayed } \\ & \text { taxes } \end{aligned}$ |
| Historical: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1937................. | ( ${ }^{1}$ ) | ( ${ }^{1}$ ) | \$1,137.96 | \$11.38 | \$3,000 | \$30.00 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ |
| 1938................. | \$87 | \$0.87 | 1,053.24 | 10.53 | 3,000 | 30.00 | (2) |
| 1939................. | 537 | 5.37 | 1,142.36 | 11.42 | 3,000 | 30.00 | (2) |
| 1940.................. | 624 | 6.24 | 1,195.00 | 11.95 | 3,000 | 30.00 | (2) |
| 1941................. | 624 | 6.24 | 1,276.04 | 12.76 | 3,000 | 30.00 | (2) |
| 1942.................. | 624 | 6.24 | 1,454.28 | 14.54 | 3,000 | 30.00 | (2) |
| 1943................. | 624 | 6.24 | 1,713.52 | 17.14 | 3,000 | 30.00 | (2) |
| 1944.................. | 624 | 6.24 | 1,936.32 | 19.36 | 3,000 | 30.00 | (2) |
| 1945.................. | 659 | 6.59 | 2,021.40 | 20.21 | 3,000 | 30.00 | (2) |
| $1946 .$ | 832 | 8.32 | 1,891.76 | 18.92 | 3,000 | 30.00 |  |
| $1947 .$ | 832 | 8.32 | 2,175.32 | 21.75 | 3,000 | 30.00 | (2) |
| 1948................. | 832 | 8.32 | 2,361.64 | 23.62 | 3,000 | 30.00 | (2) |
| 1949................. | 832 | 8.32 | 2,483.20 | 24.83 | 3,000 | 30.00 | (2) |
| 1950.................. | 1,499 | 22.49 | 2,543.96 | 38.16 | 3,000 | 45.00 | (2) |
| 1951. | 1,560 | 23.40 | 2,799.16 | 41.99 | 3,600 | 54.00 | \$81.00 |
| 1952................. | 1,560 | 23.40 | 2,973.32 | 44.60 | 3,600 | 54.00 | 81.00 |
| 1953. | 1,560 | 23.40 | 3,139.44 | 47.09 | 3,600 | 54.00 | 81.00 |
| 1954................. | 1.560 | 31.20 | 3,155.64 | 63.11 | 3,600 | 72.00 | 108.00 |
| 1955.................. | 1,560 | 31.20 | 3,301.44 | 66.03 | 4,200 | 84.00 | 126.00 |
| 1956................. | 1,993 | 39.86 | 3,532.36 | 70.65 | 4,200 | 84.00 | 126.00 |
| 1957................. | 2,080 | 46.80 | 3,641.72 | 81.94 | 4,200 | 94.50 | 141.75 |
| 1958................. | 2,080 | 46.80 | 3,673.80 | 82.66 | 4,200 | 94.50 | 141.75 |
| 1959................. | 2,080 | 52.00 | 3,855.80 | 96.39 | 4,800 | 120.00 | 180.00 |
| 1960................. | 2,080 | 62.40 | 4,007.12 | 120.21 | 4,800 | 144.00 | 216.00 |
| $1961 .$ | 2,184 | 65.52 | 4,086.76 | 122.60 | 4,800 | 144.00 | 216.00 |
| 1962...................... | 2,392 | 74.75 | 4,291.40 | 134.11 | 4,800 | 150.00 | 225.60 |
| 1963................. | 2,461 | 89.21 | 4,396.64 | 159.38 | 4,800 | 174.00 | 259.20 |
| 1964................. | 2,600 | 94.25 | 4,576.32 | 165.89 | 4,800 | 174.00 | 259.20 |
| 1965................. | 2,600 | 94.25 | 4,658.72 | 168.88 | 4,800 | 174.00 | 259.20 |
| 1966................. | 2,600 | 108.20 | 4,938.36 | 207.41 | 6,600 | 271.20 | 405.90 |
| 1967....................... | 2,886 | 126.98 | 5,213.44 | 229.39 | 6,600 | 290.40 | 422.40 |
| 1968................. | 3,293 | 144.89 | 5,571.76 | 245.16 | 7,800 | 343.20 | 499.20 |
| 1969................. | 3,328 | 159.74 | 5,893.76 | 282.90 | 7.800 | 374.40 | 538.20 |
| 1970.................. | 3,328 | 159.74 | 6,186.24 | 296.94 | 7,800 | 374.40 | 538.20 |
| 1971................. | 3,328 | 173.06 | 6,497.08 | 337.85 | 7,800 | 405.60 | 585.00 |
| 1972................. | 3,328 | 173.06 | 7,133.80 | 370.96 | 9,000 | 468.00 | 675.00 |
| 1973. | 3,328 | 194.69 | 7,580.16 | 443.44 | 10,800 | 631.80 | 864.00 |
| 1974.................. | 3,883 | 227.16 | 8,030.76 | 469.80 | 13,200 | 772.20 | 1,042.80 |
| 1975................. | 4,368 | 255.53 | 8,630.92 | 504.91 | 14,100 | 824.85 | 1,113.90 |
| 1976................. | 4,784 | 279.86 | 9,226.48 | 539.75 | 15,300 | 895.05 | 1,208.70 |
| 1977..................... | 4,784 | 279.86 | 9,776.44 | 572.10 | 16,500 | 965.25 | 1,303.50 |
| 1978.................. | 5,512 | 333.48 | 10,556.03 | 638.64 | 17,700 | 1,070.85 | 1,433.70 |
| 1979................... | 6,032 | 369.76 | 11,479.46 | 703.69 | 22,900 | 1,403.71 | 1,854.90 |
| 1980.................. | 6,448 | 395.26 | 12,513.46 | 767.08 | 25,900 | 1,587.67 | 2,097.90 |
| 1981................. | 6,968 | 463.37 | 13,594.27 | 904.02 | 29,700 | 1,975.05 | 2,762.10 |
| 1982................. | 6,968 | 466.86 | 14,495.68 | 971.21 | 32,400 | 2,170.80 | 3,029.40 |
| Cumulative: 1937-82...... | ..... | 5,210.74 | ......... | 10,207.35 | ...... | 16,936.49 | 22,876.50 |

TABLE 41.-OASDI-HI TAXES PAID BY WORKERS AT FEDERAL MINIMUM, AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM WAGE LEVELS, 1937-90-Continued

| Yeas | Federal mummum wage |  | Average wapes |  | Maxumum taxde exangs base |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Earangs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Employee } \\ \text { taxes } \end{gathered}$ | farmings | Employee taxes | Earungs | Emplopee taxes | Selfiemployed taxes |
| 1951-82 |  | 5,110.94 |  | 9,950.78 |  | 16,501.49 | 22,876.50 |
| Future years: ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983. | 7,530 | 504.51 | 15,663.97 | 1,049.49 | 35,700 | 2,391.90 | 3,337.95 |
| 1984. | 8,137 | 545.18 | 16,926.39 | 1,134.07 | 37,500 | 2,512.50 | 3,506.25 |
| 1985................. | 8,700 | 613.35 | 18,099.11 | 1,275.99 | 40,500 | 2,855.25 | 4,009.50 |
| 1986................. | 9,292 | 664.38 | 19,329.42 | 1,382.05 | 43,800 | 3,131.70 | 4,380.00 |
| 1987................. | 9,907 | 708.35 | 20,609.56 | 1,473.58 | 46,800 | 3,346.20 | 4,680.00 |
| 1988................. | 10,560 | 755.04 | 21,968.32 | 1,570.73 | 50,100 | 3,582.15 | 5,010.00 |
| 1989 ................. | 11,231 | 803.02 | 23,363.63 | 1,670.50 | 53,400 | 3,818.10 | 5,340.00 |
| 1990.................. | 11,906 | 910.81 | 24,767.80 | 1,894.74 | 57,000 | 4,360.50 | 6,127.50 |

1 Federal minumum wage fust applicatie in 1938
2 Self employed furst covered effective 1951 .
3 Earmings amounts after 1982 based on Aternative II-8 assumptions used in 1982 OASOI Iruslees Report.
TABLE 42.-SOCIAL SECURITY TAXES PAID BY WORKERS AT VARIOUS EARNINGS LEVELS, 1960-87

| Wage or salaried worker with annual wages of | Amount of worker's social security tax liability in calendar years |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | 1970 | 1975 | 1982 | 1987 ' |
| \$5,000. | \$144.00 | \$240.00 | \$292.50 | \$335.00 | \$357.50 |
| \$10,000. | 144.00 | 374.40 | 585.00 | 670.00 | 715.00 |
| \$20,000. | 144.00 | 374.40 | 824.85 | 1,340.00 | 1,430.00 |
| \$30,000. | 144.00 | 374.40 | 824.85 | 2,010.00 | 2,145.00 |
| \$40,000 .................................... | 144.00 | 374.40 | 824.85 | 2,170.80 | 2,860.00 |
| \$50,000 .................................... | 144.00 | 374.40 | 824.85 | 2,170.80 | 3,346.20 |


| Seff-employed worker with annual earnings of | Amount of worker's social security tax liability in calendar years |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | 1970 | 1975 | 1982 | $1987{ }^{1}$ |


| \$5,000 | \$216.00 | \$345.00 | \$395.00 | \$467.50 | \$500.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$10,000 | 216.00 | 538.20 | 790.00 | 935.00 | 1,000.00 |
| \$20,000 | 216.00 | 538.20 | 1,113.90 | 1,870.00 | 2,000.00 |
| \$30,000 | 216.00 | 538.20 | 1,113.90 | 2,805.00 | 3,000.00 |
| \$40,000 | 216.00 | 538.20 | 1,113.90 | 3,029.40 | 4,000.00 |
| \$50,000 .................................. | 216.00 | 538.20 | 1,113.90 | 3,029.40 | 4,680.00 |

[^23]Other Financing Data.-Included in this section is further statistical data on social security income, trust fund operations, and reserve needs.

TABLE 43.-TOTAL SOCIAL SECURITY INCOME AND PAYROLL TAX REVENUE COMPONENT, SELECTED FISCAL YEARS 1950-81

| Fiscal year | Total income (in millions) |  |  |  | Payroll tax income as a percent of total income |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OASI | DI | HI | OASDHI | OASI | DI | HI | OASDH |
| 1950. | \$2,367 |  |  | \$2,367 | 89.0 |  |  | 89.0 |
| 1960. | 10,360 | 1,034 |  | 11,394 | 95.0 | 95.5 |  | 95.0 |
| 1970. | 31,746 | 4,380 | 5,614 | 41,740 | 94.4 | 94.5 | 85.2 | 93.2 |
| 1980. | 100,051 | 17,376 | 25,415 | 142,842 | 97.6 | 96.7 | 91.5 | 96.4 |
| $1981 . . . . . . . . .$. | 121,572 | 12,993 | 32,863 | 167,428 | 97.9 | 96.9 | 92.6 | 96.8 |

Source: 1982 Social Security Trustees' reports.
TABLE 44.-INTEREST AS A COMPONENT OF SOCIAL SECURITY INCOME, SELECTED FISCAL YEARS 1950-1981


[^24]TABLE 45.-GENERAL REVENUE REIMBURSEMENT AS A COMPONENT OF SOCIAL SECURITY INCOME, SELECTED FISCAL YEARS 1950-1981

| Fiscal year | Ceneral revenue reimbursement income ${ }^{1}$ (in millions) |  |  |  | As a percentage of total income |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OASI | DI | Hi | OASDHI | OASI | DI | HI | OASDHI |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1950........... } \\ & 1960 \end{aligned}$ | \$4 .................................. |  |  | \$4 | ( ${ }^{2}$ |  | ..... | ( ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1970.................. 442 |  | \$16 | \$628 | 1,086 | 1 |  | 11 | 3 |
| 1975........... | 447 | 52 | 529 | 1,028 | 1 |  | 4 | 1 |
| 1980........... | 557 | 118 | 871 | 1,546 | 1 |  | 3 | 1 |
| 1981 ........... | 540 | 130 | 834 | 1,504 | (2) |  | 3 | 1 |

[^25]TABLE 46.-ESTIMATED TRUST FUND OPERATIONS: CBO ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS, FY 1980-90 ${ }^{2}$


[^26]TABLE 47.-ESTIMATED TRUST FUND OPERATIONS: 1982 TRUSTEES REPORT "II-8" ASSUMPTIONS, FISCAL YEARS 1980-90 1


[^27]TABLE 48.-ESTMMATED TRUST FUND OPERATIONS: 1982 TRUSTEES' REPORT PESSIMISTIC ASSUMPTIONS, FISCAL YEARS 1980-90 ${ }^{2}$ (m dumss)


[^28]
## TABLE 49.-OUTLAY REDUCTIONS REQUIRED IN THE NEAR-TERM TO BRING OASDHI RESERVES UP TO CERTAIN LEVELS ${ }^{1}$

[In billions]

|  | Outlay reductions required |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1982 <br> intermediates' <br> (II-B) | 1982 trustees' <br> pessimistic <br> assumptions |


| Percent of 1 year's expenditures desired at beginning of 1986: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 percent (1 mo) ................................ | \$18.0 | \$16 | \$49 |
| 13 percent .......................................... | 28.5 | 22 | 58 |
| 15 percent | 33.7 | 30 | 64 |
| 20 percent | 46.4 | 41 | 76 |
| 30 percent. | 70.9 | 63 | 97 |
| 50 percent ( 6 mo ) | 116.0 | 103 | 136 |
| Percent of 1 year's expenditures desired at beginning of 1990: |  |  |  |
| 9 percent (1 mo) ................................. | 66.8 | 60 | 221 |
| 13 percent ......................................... | 82.4 | 70 | 232 |
| 15 percent ....................... .................... | 90.1 | 73 | 236 |
| 20 percent ......................................... | 109.3 | 90 | 253 |
| 30 percent. | 146.8 | 126 | 293 |
| 50 percent ( 6 mo ) ............................... | 219.0 | 193 | 352 |

[^29]
## TABLE 50.—OUTLAY REDUCTIONS REQUIRED IN THE NEAR-TERM TO BRING OASDI RESERVES UP TO CERTAIN LEVELS ${ }^{1}$

[In billions]


| Percent of 1 year's expenditures desired at beginning of 1986: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 percent (1 mo) ................................ | \$35.4 | \$31 | \$59 |
| 13 percent. | 43.5 | 38 | 66 |
| 15 percent | 47.4 | 42 | 69 |
| 20 percent | 57.2 | 51 | 79 |
| 30 percent | 75.8 | 68 | 96 |
| 50 percent ( 6 mo ) | 110.4 | 99 | 126 |
| Percent of 1 year's expenditures desired at beginning of 1990 : |  |  |  |
| 9 percent (1 mo) ................................ | 65.9 | 62 | 184 |
| 13 percent ........................................ | 67.5 | 69 | 192 |
| 15 percent ......................................... | 73.3 | 73 | 196 |

## TABLE 50.-OUTLAY REDUCTIONS REQUIRED IN THE NEAR-TERM TO BRING OASDI RESERVES UP TO CERTAN LEVELS를 Continued

[In billions]

|  | Outlay reductions required |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CBO | 1982 trustees' intermediate (II-B) | 1982 trustees' pessimistic assumptions |
| 20 percent ........ .............................. | \$87.5 | \$87 | \$210 |
| 30 percent ........................................ | 115.5 | 110 | 230 |
| 50 percent ( 6 mo ) .............................. | 169.4 | 154 | 280 |


| TABLE 51.-HISTORICAL LEVELS OF OASDI TRUST FUND ASSETS, ACTUAL AMOUNTS $(1950-1981)^{1}$ <br> [In billions] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calendar year | Assets in the trust funds, end of year |  |  |
|  | OASO | HI | OASDHI combined |
| 1950 | \$13.7 | (2) | \$13.7 |
| 1960. | 22.6 | (2) | 22.6 |
| 1970. | 38.1 | \$3.2 | 41.3 |
|  | 40.4 | 3.0 | 43.4 |
| 1972. | 42.8 | 2.9 | 45.7 |
| 1973. | 44.4 | 6.5 | 50.9 |
| 1974 ................................................. | 45.9 | 9.1 | 355.0 |
| 1975....................................................... | 44.3 | 10.5 | 54.8 |
| 1976...................................................... | 41.1 | 10.6 | 51.7 |
|  | 35.9 | 10.4 | 46.3 |
| 1978. | 31.7 | 11.5 | 43.2 |
| 1979 | 30.3 | 13.2 | 43.5 |
| 1980.................................................. | 26.5 | 13.7 | 40.2 |
| 1981 ........................................................ | 24.5 | 18.7 | 43.3 |

[^30]Economic and Demographic Assumptions.-The following tables provide specific information concerning the economic and demographic assumptions which underlie the short- and long-range financial projections.

TABLE 52.-SELECTED ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS BY ALTERNATIVE, CALENDAR YEARS 1960-2055


TABLE 52.-SELECTED ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS BY ALTERNATIVE, CALENDAR YEARS 1960-2055-Continued

| Calendar year | Average annual percentage increase in- |  |  | Real-wage differential 2 (percent) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { annual } \\ \text { interest rate } \\ \text { (percent) } \end{gathered}$ | Average annuai unemploymeni rate (percent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Real GNP ${ }^{1}$ | Average <br> wages in <br> covered <br> employ- | Consumer price index |  |  |  |
| Alternative III: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982................ | -1.5 | 6.3 | 7.2 | -. 9 | 13.1 | 9.3 |
| 1983................ | . 6 | 7.3 | 9.6 | -2.3 | 12.3 | 9.8 |
| 1984............... | 2.5 | 7.8 | 9.6 | -1.8 | 10.5 | 9.6 |
| 1985................ | 3.8 | 9.2 | 9.2 | . 0 | 9.4 | 8.8 |
| 1986................ | 2.9 | 9.1 | 8.8 | . 3 | 8.8 | 8.4 |
| 1987................ | 2.7 | 8.7 | 8.4 | . 3 | 8.3 | 8.0 |
| 1988................ | 2.7 | 8.5 | 8.0 | . 5 | 8.1 | 7.7 |
| 1989................ | 2.7 | 8.3 | 7.6 | . 7 | 7.8 | 7.3 |
| 1990................ | 2.7 | 8.0 | 7.2 | . 8 | 7.6 | 6.9 |
| 1995................ | 1.8 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 6.7 | 6.0 |
| 2000................ | 2.1 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 6.6 | 6.0 |
| CBO: ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982................ | -1.3 | 6.5 | 6.1 | . 4 | 11.3 | 9.3 |
| 1983................ | 3.6 | 5.6 | 4.9 | . 7 | 11.0 | 8.8 |
| 1984................ | 3.7 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 1.4 | 10.0 | 8.2 |
| 1985................ | 3.7 | 7.0 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 8.9 | 7.8 |
| 1986................ | 3.6 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 1.3 | 8.1 | 7.4 |
| 1987................ | 3.5 | 6.8 | 5.4 | 1.4 | 7.7 | 7.1 |
| 1988................ | 3.4 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 1.5 | 7.7 | 6.8 |
| 1989................ | 3.2 | 6.5 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 6.6 |
| 1990................ | 3.1 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 7.4 | 6.5 |

[^31]TABLE 53.-COMPARISON OF OASDI BENEFICIARIES AND COVERED WORKERS, 1945-2060

| Calendar year | Covered workers (in thousands) | Beneficiaries (in thousands) |  |  | Covered workers per OASDI | Beneficiaries per covered workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | OASI | DI | Total |  |  |
| Past experience: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1945............................. | 46,390 | 1,106 |  | 1,106 | 41.9 | 2 |
| 1950 | 48,280 | 2,930 |  | 2,930 | 16.5 | 6 |
| 1955. | 65,200 | 7,563 |  | 7,563 | 8.6 | 12 |
| 1960. | 72,530 | 13,740 | 522 | 14,262 | 5.1 | 20 |
| 1965. | 80,680 | 18,509 | 1,648 | 20,157 | 4.0 | 25 |
| 1970. | 93,090 | 22,618 | 2,568 | 25,186 | 3.6 | 28 |
| 1975. | 100,200 | 26,998 | 4,125 | 31,123 | 3.2 | 31 |
| 1980 | 114,300 | 30,384 | 4,734 | 35,118 | ${ }^{1} 3.3$ | ${ }^{1} 31$ |
| Optimistic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982............................. | 116,004 | 31.476 | 4,370 | 35,845 | 3.2 | 31 |
| 1985. | 126,557 | 33,028 | 4,047 | 37,075 | 3.4 | 29 |
| 1990............................. | 137,093 | 36,069 | 4,053 | 40,122 | 3.4 | 29 |
| 1995............................. | 141,637 | 37,609 | 4,249 | 41,858 | 3.4 | 30 |
| 2000............................. | 146,513 | 38,585 | 4,803 | 43,388 | 3.4 | 30 |
| 2005. | 151,749 | 40,066 | 5,506 | 45,572 | 3.3 | 30 |
| 2010. | 155,761 | 43,234 | 6,140 | 49,374 | 3.2 | 32 |
| 2015. | 158,066 | 48,449 | 6,552 | 55,001 | 2.9 | 35 |
| 2020. | 159,891 | 54,608 | 6,722 | 61,330 | 2.6 | 38 |
| 2025. | 162,842 | 60,782 | 6,612 | 67,394 | 2.4 | 41 |
| 2030. | 167,424 | 64,647 | 6,404 | 71,051 | 2.4 | 42 |
| 2035. | 173,020 | 66,058 | 6,419 | 72,477 | 2.4 | 42 |
| 2040. | 178,967 | 65,587 | 6,679 | 72,206 | 2.5 | 40 |
| 2045. | 184,936 | 65,452 | 7,045 | 72,497 | 2.6 | 39 |
| 2050. | 191,223 | 66,554 | 7.289 | 13,843 | 2.6 | 39 |
| 2055. | 198,021 | 68,258 | 7,451 | 75,709 | 2.6 | 38 |
| 2060. | 205,183 | 69,974 | 7,676 | 77,650 | 2.6 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982............................. | 115,308 | 31,483 | 4,374 | 35,857 | 3.2 | 31 |
| 1985. | 123,300 | 33,106 | 4,061 | 37,167 | 3.3 | 30 |
| 1990. | 132,410 | 36,428 | 4,138 | 40,566 | 3.3 | 31 |
| 1995. | 137,644 | 38,408 | 4,486 | 42,894 | 3.2 | 31 |
| 2000. | 142,248 | 39,814 | 5,191 | 45,005 | 3.2 | 32 |
| 2005. | 146,798 | 41,725 | 6,028 | 47,753 | 3.1 | 33 |
| 2010. | 149,515 | 45,359 | 6,748 | 52,107 | 2.9 | 35 |
| 2015. | 150,148 | 51,048 | 7,198 | 58,246 | 2.6 | 39 |
| 2020. | 149,873 | 57,753 | 7,361 | 65,114 | 2.3 | 43 |
| 2025............................. | 150,205 | 64,542 | 7,207 | 71,749 | 2.1 | 48 |
| 2030. | 151,750 | 69,138 | 6,934 | 76,072 | 2.0 | 50 |
| 2035. | 153,889 | 71,277 | 6,882 | 78,159 | 2.0 | 51 |
| 2040.............................. | 156,015 | 71,440 | 7,061 | 78,501 | 2.0 | 50 |
| 2045..................................... | 157,777 | 71,824 | 7,304 | 79,128 | 2.0 | 50 |
| 2050. | 159,545 | 73,034 | 7,380 | 80,414 | 2.0 | 50 |
| 2055............................. | 161,573 | 74,313 | 7,364 | 81,677 | 2.0 | 51 |
| 2060.............................. | 163,778 | 75,215 | 7,410 | 82,625 | 2.0 | 50 |

TABLE 53.-COMPARISON OF OASDI BENEFICIARIES AND COVERED WORKERS, 1945-2060-Continued

| Calendar year | Covered workers (in thousands) | Beneficiaries (in thousands) |  |  | Covered workers per OASDI beneficiary | Beneficiaries per100 covered workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | OASI | DI | Total |  |  |
| Pessimistic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982. | 115,178 | 31,496 | 4,376 | 35,872 | 3.2 | 31 |
| 1985. | 121,330 | 33,255 | 4,079 | 37,334 | 3.2 | 31 |
| 1990. | 130,300 | 37,125 | 4,246 | 41,371 | 3.1 | 32 |
| 1995. | 135,944 | 40,013 | 4,714 | 44,727 | 3.0 | 33 |
| 2000. | 140,370 | 42,415 | 5,560 | 47,975 | 2.9 | 34 |
| 2005. | 144,254 | 45,360 | 6,510 | 51,870 | 2.8 | 36 |
| 2010. | 145,600 | 50,080 | 7,293 | 57,373 | 2.5 | 39 |
| 2015. | 144,295 | 56,934 | 7,759 | 64,693 | 2.2 | 45 |
| 2020............................. | 141,475 | 64,913 | 7,898 | 72,811 | 1.9 | 51 |
| 2025. | 138,631 | 73,154 | 7,683 | 80,837 | 1.7 | 58 |
| 2030. | 136,560 | 79,327 | 7,324 | 86,651 | 1.6 | 63 |
| 2035. | 134,724 | 83,133 | 7,172 | 90,305 | 1.5 | 67 |
| 2040. | 132,593 | 84,945 | 7,214 | 92,159 | 1.4 | 70 |
| 2045 | 129,844 | 86,866 | 7,252 | 94,118 | 1.4 | 72 |
| 2050. | 126,971 | 89,022 | 7,071 | 96,093 | 1.3 | 76 |
| 2055.............................. | 124,339 | 90,398 | 6,796 | 97,194 | 1.3 | 78 |
| 2060............................. | 121,968 | 90,672 | 6,587 | 97,259 | 1.3 | 80 |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary. Based on 1982 Trustees' Report.

## TABLE 54.-POPULATION AND DEPENDENCY RATIOS BY BROAD AGE GROUP, CALENDAR YEARS 1960-2060

| Calendar year | Population (in thoussands) |  |  |  | Dependency ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 20 | 20-64 | $65 \text { and }$ over | Total | Aged ${ }^{1}$ | Total 2 |
| Past experience:1960......................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1965. | 79,931 | 104,112 | 18,963 | 203,006 | . 182 | . 950 |
| 1970. | 80,637 | 112,500 | 20,655 | 213,792 | . 184 | . 900 |
| 1975. | 77,947 | 122,036 | 23,092 | 223,075 | . 189 | . 828 |
| 1976............................. | 77,039 | 124,145 | 23,635 | 224,818 | . 190 | . 811 |
| 1977. | 76,420 | 126,200 | 24,166 | 226,787 | . 191 | . 797 |
| 1978............................. | 75,545 | 128,416 | 24,724 | 228,685 | . 193 | . 781 |
| 1979............................. | 74,734 | 130,579 | 25,328 | 230,640 | . 194 | . 766 |
| 1980 | 74,045 | 132,731 | 25,892 | 232,668 | . 195 | . 753 |
| Optimistic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985.... | 72,544 | 142,471 | 28,638 | 243,653 | . 201 | . 710 |
| 1990............................. | 74,692 | 148,834 | 31,599 | 255,125 | . 212 | . 714 |
| 1995............................. | 78,055 | 154,233 | 33,712 | 266,001 | . 219 | . 725 |
| 2000. | 81,414 | 160,063 | 34,651 | 276,127 | . 216 | . 725 |
| 2005. | 83,580 | 167,312 | 35,578 | 286,470 | . 213 | . 712 |

TABLE 54.-POPULATION AND DEPENDENCY RATIOS BY BROAD AGE GROUP, CALENDAR YEARS 1960-2060-Continued

| Calendar year | Population (in thousands) |  |  |  | Dependency ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 20 | 20-64 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Aged ${ }^{1}$ | Total ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2010. | 86,178 | 173,139 | 38,171 | 297,488 | . 220 | . 718 |
| 2015. | 89,789 | 175,977 | 42,975 | 308,741 | . 244 | . 754 |
| 2020. | 94,000 | 176,948 | 48,767 | 319,715 | . 276 | . 807 |
| 2025. | 97,720 | 177,582 | 54,917 | 330,220 | . 309 | . 860 |
| 2030. | 100,879 | 180,157 | 59,479 | 340,514 | . 330 | . 890 |
| 2035............................. | 104,208 | 185,911 | 60,772 | 350,891 | . 327 | . 887 |
| 2040............................. | 108,086 | 193,160 | 60,211 | 361,457 | . 312 | . 871 |
| 2045. | 112,347 | 200,747 | 59,218 | 372,312 | . 295 | . 855 |
| 2050 | 116,557 | 207,264 | 59,915 | 383,735 | . 289 | . 851 |
| 2055. | 120,567 | 214,037 | 61,497 | 396,101 | . 287 | . 851 |
| 2060. | 124,619 | 221,831 | 63,166 | 409,616 | . 285 | . 847 |
| Intermediate II-A and II-B: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985. | 72,252 | 142,531 | 28,773 | 243,556 | . 202 | . 709 |
| 1990. | 73,529 | 149,044 | 32,106 | 254,678 | . 215 | . 709 |
| 1995. | 75,506 | 154,640 | 34,745 | 264,891 | . 225 | . 713 |
| 2000. | 77,001 | 160,695 | 36,251 | 273,947 | . 226 | . 705 |
| 2005. | 76,957 | 167,890 | 37,719 | 282,566 | . 225 | . 683 |
| 2010. | 77,273 | 173,062 | 40,846 | 291,182 | . 236 | . 683 |
| 2015. | 78,570 | 174,678 | 46,225 | 299,473 | . 265 | . 714 |
| 2020. | 80,376 | 173,902 | 52,653 | 306,931 | . 303 | . 765 |
| 2025. | 81,720 | 172,107 | 59,539 | 313,366 | . 346 | . 821 |
| 2030. | 82,453 | 171,598 | 64,925 | 318,977 | . 378 | . 859 |
| 2035............................. | 83,151 | 173,803 | 67,044 | 323,997 | . 386 | . 864 |
| 2040. | 84,235 | 177,012 | 67,257 | 328,504 | . 380 | . 856 |
| 2045. | 85,604 | 180,037 | 66,922 | 332,562 | . 372 | . 847 |
| 2050. | 86,889 | 181,582 | 67,942 | 336,412 | . 374 | . 853 |
| 2055. | 87,921. | 183,192 | 69,293 | 340,406 | . 378 | . 858 |
| 2060. | 88,862 | 185,627 | 70,327 | 344,816 | . 379 | . 858 |
| Pessimistic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985............................. | 71,868 | 142,644 | 29,033 | 243,545 | . 204 | . 707 |
| 1990. | 71,993 | 149,425 | 33,080 | 254,498 | . 221 | . 703 |
| 1995. | 72,129 | 155,355 | 36,747 | 264,231 | . 237 | . 701 |
| 2000. | 71,141 | 161,776 | 39,409 | 272,327 | . 244 | . 683 |
| 2005............................. | 68,182 | 168,966 | 42,034 | 279,181 | . 249 | . 652 |
| 2010............................. | 65,598 | 173,318 | 46,337 | 285,252 | . 267 | . 646 |
| 2015... | 64,138 | 173,331 | 52,970 | 290,439 | . 306 | . 676 |
| 2020............................. | 63,283 | 170,229 | 60,755 | 294,268 | . 357 | . 729 |
| 2025. | 62,211 | 165,202 | 69,170 | 296,584 | . 419 | . 795 |
| 2030............................. | 60,641 | 160,684 | 76,250 | 297,575 | . 475 | . 852 |
| 2035......................................... | 58,922 | 158,429 | 80,126 | 297,477 | . 506 | . 878 |

TABLE 57.-FERTLITY AND MORTALITY ASSUMPTIONS, 1960-2055

| Calendar year | Total fertility rate ${ }^{1}$ | Age-djusted mortality rate ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female |
| Past experience: |  |  |  |
| 1960.............................................. | 3.61 | 12.56 | 8.17 |
| 1965............................................... | 2.88 | 12.49 | 7.73 |
| 1970............................................. | 2.43 | 12.18 | 7.22 |
|  | 1.77 | 11.09 | 6.38 |
| 1976. | 1.74 | 10.94 | 6.32 |
| 1977 ................................................ | 1.79 | 10.69 | 6.13 |
| 1978................................................ | 1.76 | 10.61 | 6.10 |
| 1979. | 1.81 | 10.27 | 5.88 |
| 1980 | 1.84 | 10.27 | 5.88 |
| 1981 ................................................ | 1.86 | 10.12 | 5.77 |
| Optimistic: |  |  |  |
| 1982. | 1.89 | 10.12 | 5.76 |
| 1983. | 1.91 | 10.04 | 5.71 |
| 1984. | 1.93 | 9.97 | 5.65 |
| 1985. | 1.96 | 9.89 | 5.59 |
| 1990 ................................................ | 2.07 | 9.57 | 5.36 |
| 1995 ................................................ | 2.18 | 9.35 | 5.21 |
| 2000. | 2.29 | 9.24 | 6.14 |
| 2005 and later .................................... | 2.40 | 9.15 | 5.09 |
| Intermediate II-B: .................................. |  |  |  |
| 1982. | 1.87 | 9.97 | 5.66 |
| 1983. | 1.88 | 9.82 | 5.54 |
| 1984 ................................................ | 1.89 | 9.67 | 5.43 |
| 1985. | 1.90 | 9.52 | 5.32 |
| 1990. | 1.95 | 8.91 | 4.89 |
| 1995. | 2.00 | 8.51 | 4.63 |
| 2000. | 2.05 | 8.31 | 4.50 |
| 2005 and later | 2.10 | 8.16 | 4.41 |
| Pessimistic: |  |  |  |
| 1982. | 1.83 | 9.69 | 5.46 |
| 1983 ............................................... | 1.83 | 9.39 | 5.24 |
| 1984 ................................................ | 1.82 | 9.10 | 5.03 |
| 1985 ................................................ | 1.82 | 8.81 | 4.82 |
| 1990 | 1.79 | 7.73 | 4.07 |
| 1995 ............................................... | 1.76 | 7.06 | 3.64 |
| 2000 ............................................... | 1.73 | 6.72 | 3.45 |
| 2005 and later.................................... | 1.70 | 6.49 | 3.31 |

Based on 1982 Trustees' Reports.

TABLE 58.-OASDHI OUTGO AS A PERCENT OF GNP, ${ }^{1}$ 1982-2060

| Year | Intermediate II-8 assumptions |  |  | Pessimistic assumptions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OASDI | $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ | OASDHI ${ }^{2}$ | OASDI | HI | OASOHI |
| 1982. | 5.15 | 1.30 | 6.45 | 5.18 | NA | NA |
| 1985. | 5.03 | 1.18 | 6.21 | 5.26 | NA | NA |
| 1990 | 4.93 | 1.49 | 6.42 | 5.37 | NA | NA |
| 1995. | 4.75 | 1.90 | 6.65 | 5.32 | NA | NA |
| 2000 .................................... | 4.48 | 2.19 | 6.67 | 5.14 | NA | NA |
|  | 4.36 | 2.50 | 6.86 | 5.08 | NA | NA |
| 2010 | 4.51 | 2.82 | 7.33 | 5.33 | NA | NA |
| 2015 | 4.92 | 3.05 | 7.97 | 5.89 | NA | NA |
| 2020................................... | 5.44 | 3.35 | 8.79 | 6.63 | NA | NA |
|  | 5.90 | 3.67 | 9.57 | 7.37 | NA | NA |
| 2030 ................................... | 6.09 | 3.90 | 9.99 | 7.87 | NA | NA |
| 2035. | 6.05 | 3.97 | 10.02 | 8.13 | NA | NA |
| 2040 ................. ................... | 5.86 | 3.94 | 9.80 | 8.22 | NA | NA |
| 2045. .................................. | 5.70 | 3.84 | 9.54 | 8.35 | NA | NA |
| 2050 ..................................... | 5.61 | 3.76 | 9.37 | 8.52 | NA | NA |
| $2055 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 5.54 | 3.68 | 9.22 | 8.61 | NA | NA |
| 75-year average: 1982-2056..... | 5.27 | 3.04 | 8.31 | 6.69 | NA | NA |

[^32]
[^0]:    ${ }^{2} 0$ Octape and surviors insurance.
    $s$ Olisobity insurence.
    a Hospital inauracace (part A of madicare).

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bisisi on 1982 Trustees' report intermediate I-B assumptions.
    ${ }^{2}$ An average earner is defined as a worker with annual ceannings equal to the average earnings reported to IRS; a maximum earner is defined as one with annual carnings equal to the taxable earnings base.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Estimates bascod on latest availabie data (1980). Estimates for some groups, such as non-profit employees, are subjict to error due to rapid turnover and the number of employes holding more than one job.
    a Federal employess who are temporary of who work for quasi-Foderal agencies such as TVA are covered.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mandstority covered if spocial minimum coverage requirements met. Spocial minimum requirementa for coverage generally rotate to amount of wages esrnoo-lor example, domestic employess must receive at heast $\$ 50$ from one employer during a calendar quarter for those wages to be covered.
    Sourc:: Office of Research and Statistics, SSA.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ Estimate.
    Source: Social Security Annual Statistical Supplement, 1980, and Office of Ressarch and Statistics, SSA

[^4]:    - Eatimatee provided by Office of the Actuary, Social Security Administration. According to the actuarice, the revenue lose due to unemployment would be about double the amount shown if secondary effecta, such as the reduction in hours worked by those remaining employed, are considered.

[^5]:    
     not
    ${ }^{2}$ Criculeted as income mimas outpo, allowing for mo reservas
    
    
    

[^6]:    
    
    

[^7]:    
    
    

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ah 1982 estimates include the effects of the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982. The Irustes' report HI estimates assume the continuation of the hospital cost containment provision of TEFRA beyond 1985, the expiration date in present law. CBO estimates do not.

    TABLE 17.-COMPARISON OF OASDI RESERVES PROJECTED UPON ENACTMENT OF 1977 AMENDMENTS AND VARIOUS CURRENT FORECASTS ${ }^{1}$

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Table includes the effects of the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982. Target reserve levels are attained in even annual increments.
    ${ }^{2}$ CBO estimates and Trustees' estimates are not directly comparable because CBO numbers include added interest on larger trust fund balances, white Trustees' numbers do not.

[^10]:    The "trust-fund ratio" is the ratio of the reserve balancess in the trust funds at the start of the year to the outgo in the next 12 months. The figures in this table do not inclucd the repayment of any loans from the HI Irust fund to the oasl Trust fund in 1982 (about $\$ 5$ pilion). Figures take into account the effect of the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982.

[^11]:    -The Finance Committee Staff requeated 75-year eatimatee from HCFA, but they were not provided. HI eetimatee presented in this section extending beyond the 25 -year period were provided by the National Commimaion on Social Security Reform staff and are based on the assumptions that after 2006 hospital costs will rise at the same rate as wages and that coste will be reduced by $101 / 2$ percent in each future year as a result of the hospital coet containment proviaion in P.L. 97-2 8.

[^12]:    Source: SSA, HCFA, based on 1982 Trustees' intermediate II-8 assumptions, adjusted to reflect enactment of the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982 for 1982-2006, assuming extension of hospital cost containment provision of TEFRA beyond 1985, the expiration date in present law. HP estimates for 2007 to 2056 prepared by the staff of the National Commission on Social Security Reform under assumptions that hospital costs will rise at the same rate as average wages and that TEFRA will reduce the cost of the HI program by $101 / 2$ percent each year.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on 1982 Trustees Report, alternative II-8 assumptions, including effects of the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982.
    $\varepsilon$ The fund is projected to be exhausted and not to recover before the end of the projection period.

[^14]:    'Based on 1982 Trustees' report, atternative II-B assumptions, including effects of the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982 for 1982-2005 assuming no sunset of the hospital cost containment provision contained in Section 101 of TEFRA. Aso reflects cost of interfund loan from HI to OASI of $\$ 5.5$ billion in $\mathbf{C Y}$ 1982. The staff of the National Commission on Social Security Reform extended the projections from 2006 to 2056 under assumptions that hospital costs will rise at the same rate as average wages and that TEFRA will reduce the cost of the HI program by $101 / 2$ percent each year.

    2 The trust fund is deppeted in 1991.

[^15]:    ${ }^{2}$ Based on 1982 Irusteas' report, atternative II-B assumptions, including effects of the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982 for 1982-2005, assuming no sunset of the hospital cost containment provision contained in Section 101 of TEFRA. The staff of the inational Commission on Social Security Reform axtended the HI projections from 2006 to 2056 undar assumptions that hospital costs will rise at the same rate as average wages and that TEFRA will reduce the cost of the HI progran by $10 \%$ percent each year.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Figures do not add accoss beccause the assumptions underpinning each set of amendments were different from one another. In addition, these estimates were made at the time of enactment and have not been individually reevaluated since that time. Negative figures represent increases in benefit payments.
    ${ }^{2}$ For 1977, Public Law 95-216 (Social Security Amendments of 1977); for 1980, figures represent the sum of the estimates made for P.L. 96-265 (the Social Security Disability Amendments of 1980) P.L. 96-473 (an act with respect to the retirement test), and P.L $96-499$ (the Ommibus Reconciliation Act of 1980); for 1981, P.L. 97-35 (the Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 1981) and P.L. 97-123 (the Act to Restore Minimum Benefits), and for 1982, P.L. 97-248 (the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982).
    ${ }^{2}$ Less than $\$ 50$ million.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ There were a number of legislative changes made to the automatic indexing provisions between July 1972 and December 1973.

    2 The increase in average nominal wages in excess of the increase in the CPI.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Excludes children under age 18 and student children; includes disabled children.
    2 The sum of individual categories may not equal the totals due to independent roundings.
    ${ }^{3}$ Represents amount before final rounding of benefits.
    Source: Social Security Administration.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Preliminary, based on 1982 Trustees' Reports.

[^20]:    ${ }^{2}$ All benefit increases, except those for September 1950, 1952, and 1954, were uniform across-the-board percentage increases (at times with somewhat larger proportionate increses in the minimum benefit).
    ${ }^{2}$ Measured from January 1940.
    ${ }^{3}$ Average increase in benefits for those then on the roll.
    4 Made in two steps, with 7\% being effective for March 1974.
    ${ }^{5}$ Resulting from automatic-adjustment provisions in 1975 and after.

    ## TABLE 37.-COMPARISON OF OASDI GENERAL BENEFIT INCREASES WITH INCREASES IN AVERAGE WAGE LEVELS

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ See note 1 in Table 36.
    ${ }^{2}$ Based on wages in covered employment in first quarter of year for years up through 1977, and based on total nationwide wages reported to IRS (in both covered and noncovered employment) for subsequent years.
    ${ }^{3}$ Based on alternative II-B assumptions in 1982 Trustees' Report.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ See note 2 in table 37.
    2 Increases from 1975 on were tied to increases in the CPI.
    ${ }^{3}$ Estimates from 1982 Trustees' Report intermediate II-B assumptions.
    Source: Congressional Research Service.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on a taxable earnings base of $\$ 46,800$ projected under the intermediate II-B assumptions of the 1982 Trustees' report and currently scheduled tax rates.

[^24]:    Source: 1982 Social Security Trustes' reports.

[^25]:    ${ }^{2}$ Consists of reimbursement to the trust funds for:
    a. Payments resulting from noncontributory military service.
    b. Cash payments to noninsured persons aged 72 or over.
    c. Medicare benefits for uninsured persons.
    d. Review of Medicaid and Maternal and Child Health hospital admissions.

    2 Less than 0.05 percent.
    Source: 1982 Social Security Trustees' reports.

[^26]:    
    
    
    

[^27]:    
    
    

[^28]:    
    
    

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ Table includes the effects of the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982. Target ratios are attained by even annual increments.

[^30]:    ${ }^{2}$ Funds at end of year.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{HI}$ (part A of medicare) enacted in 1965.
    ${ }^{3}$ The highest combined level of reserves (OASDHI) was reached in 1974.
    Source: Various Trustes' reports since 1950.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ The real GNP (Gross National Product) is the total output of goods and services expressed in constant - dollars.
    ${ }^{2}$ The difference between the percentage increase in average annual wages in covered employment and the percentage increase in the average annual CPI.
    ${ }^{3}$ Preliminary CBO estimates. Estimates for 1982 through 1985 based on economic assumptions used for the September 1982 CBO budget update. Projections for the remainder of the period are based on economic assumptions representing a quick return to a noncyclical trend growth path which incorporates the average post World War II productivity growth rate of approximately 2 percent per year. CBO interest rate forecast is for 3 month Treasury bills.

    Source: Office of the Actuary, SSA, and CBO.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on 1982 Trustees' report, alterriative II-B assumptions. Includes effects of the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982.
    ${ }^{2}$ HI estimates prepared by staff of the National Commission on Social Security Reform.

