115TH CONGRESS

1st Session

COMMITTEE PRINT

S. PRT. 115-18

AN EXAMINATION OF FOSTER CARE IN THE UNITED STATES AND THE USE OF PRIVATIZATION

PREPARED BY THE STAFF OF THE

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE UNITED STATES SENATE

ORRIN G. HATCH, Chairman RON WYDEN, Ranking Member



OCTOBER 2017

Printed for the use of the Committee on Finance

AN EXAMINATION OF FOSTER CARE IN THE UNITED STATES AND THE USE OF PRIVATIZATION

115TH CONGRESS
1st Session

COMMITTEE PRINT

S. Prt. 115-18

AN EXAMINATION OF FOSTER CARE IN THE UNITED STATES AND THE USE OF PRIVATIZATION

PREPARED BY THE STAFF OF THE

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE UNITED STATES SENATE

ORRIN G. HATCH, Chairman RON WYDEN, Ranking Member



OCTOBER 2017

Printed for the use of the Committee on Finance

U.S. GOVERNMENT PUBLISHING OFFICE

26--354---PDF

WASHINGTON: 2017

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

ORRIN G. HATCH, Utah, Chairman

CHARLES E. GRASSLEY, Iowa MIKE CRAPO, Idaho PAT ROBERTS, Kansas MICHAEL B. ENZI, Wyoming JOHN CORNYN, Texas JOHN THUNE, South Dakota RICHARD BURR, North Carolina JOHNNY ISAKSON, Georgia ROB PORTMAN, Ohio PATRICK J. TOOMEY, Pennsylvania DEAN HELLER, Nevada TIM SCOTT, South Carolina BILL CASSIDY, Louisiana

RON WYDEN, Oregon
DEBBIE STABENOW, Michigan
MARIA CANTWELL, Washington
BILL NELSON, Florida
ROBERT MENENDEZ, New Jersey
THOMAS R. CARPER, Delaware
BENJAMIN L. CARDIN, Maryland
SHERROD BROWN, Ohio
MICHAEL F. BENNET, Colorado
ROBERT P. CASEY, Jr., Pennsylvania
MARK R. WARNER, Virginia
CLAIRE MCCASKILL, Missouri

A. Jay Khosla, Staff Director Joshua Sheinkman, Democratic Staff Director

INVESTIGATIVE STAFF

Senate Finance Committee Majority Staff
KIMBERLY BRANDT, Chief Oversight
Counsel
DONALD ABBOTT, U.S. Secret Service
Detailee
BECKY SHIPP, Health and Human Services
Policy Advisor
JOHN A. CARLO, Senior Oversight Counsel

Senate Finance Committee Minority Staff
IAN M. NICHOLSON, Investigator
EMILY DOUGLAS, SRCD/AAAS
Congressional Fellow
DAVID M. BERICK, Chief Investigator
LAURA BERNTSEN, Chief Human Services
Advisor
DOUGLASS V. CALIDAS, Legislative Fellow

CONTENTS

	Page
Executive Summary	1
I. Introduction	2
II. Overview of the Problem and Justification for the Committee Investiga-	-
tion	3
A. Child Abuse and Neglect and Foster Care	3
B. Federal Financing of Foster Care Services	3
C. Recent Committee History on Foster Care and Related Issues	4
D. Initial Media Reports About For-Profit Foster Care and Children's Deaths	5
E. MENTOR and Private Foster Care Agencies	6
F. Therapeutic Foster Care	8
III. The Committee's Investigation and Surveys of the States: Foster Care Services and Performance	8
A. The 50-State Overview Letter and Request	8
B. The 5-State In-Depth Letter and Request	9
C. U.S. HHS Child and Family Services Reviews	10
D. The MENTOR Letter and Request	10
IV. Findings From the Committee's Investigations and Surveys	11
A. Use of Private Child Welfare Services	11
B. Background Checks	13
C. Child Welfare Workforce Operations and Concerns	14
D. Physical and Mental Well-Being of Children in Foster Care	16
E. Failure to Identify and Respond to Risk to Children	17
F. Actions When Maltreatment Is Substantiated in Foster Homes	18
G. MENTOR Incident Reports	20
H. MENTOR Mortality Report	23
I. Financial Settlements From MENTOR	26
V. Oversight of Child Welfare Services and Protecting Vulnerable Children	27
VI. Conclusions	29
VII. Recommendations	31
Recommendations for States and Tribes	31
Recommendations for the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	31
Recommendations for Congress	32
Appendix A—50-State Overview Letter	35
Appendix B—Responses to 50-State Overview Letter	38
Exhibit 1—Response From Alabama Department of Human Resources	39

	Pa
Appendix B—Responses to 50-State Overview Letter—Continued Exhibit 2—Response From Alaska, Department of Health and Social Services	4
Exhibit 3—Response From Arkansas, Office of the Governor	5
Exhibit 4—Response From California, Health and Human Services Agency, Department of Social Services	5
Exhibit 5—Response From Colorado, Office of the Governor	6
Exhibit 6—Response From Connecticut, Department of Children and Families	6
Exhibit 7—Response From Delaware, Department of Services for Children, Youth, and Their Families	6
Exhibit 8—Response From Guam, Department of Public Health and Social Services	7
Exhibit 9—Response From Hawaii, Office of the Governor	7
Exhibit 10—Response From Illinois, Department of Children and Family Services	8
Exhibit 11—Response From Indiana, Department of Child Services	8
Exhibit 12—Response From Iowa, Department of Human Services	ç
Exhibit 13—Response From Kansas, Department for Children and Families	ç
Exhibit 14—Response From Kentucky, Cabinet for Health and Family Services	10
Exhibit 15—Response From Maryland, Department of Human Resources $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$	1
Exhibit 16—Response From Massachusetts, Office of the Governor	1
Exhibit 17—Response From Minnesota, Department of Human Services $$	1
Exhibit 18—Response From Nebraska, Office of the Governor	1
Exhibit 19—Response From New Hampshire, Department of Health and Human Services	1
Exhibit 20—Response From New Jersey, Department of Children and Families	13
Exhibit 21—Response From New Mexico	1
Exhibit 22—Response From New York, Office of Children and Family Services	1
Exhibit 23—Response From North Dakota	1
Exhibit 24—Response From Oklahoma, Department of Human Services	1
Exhibit 25—Response From Oregon, Office of the Governor	1
Exhibit 26—Response From Pennsylvania, Department of Human Services	1
Exhibit 27—Response From South Dakota, Office of the Governor and the Department of Social Services	1
Exhibit 28—Response From Tennessee, Department of Children's Services	1
Exhibit 29—Response From Texas, Department of Family and Protective Services	1
Exhibit 30—Response From Utah, Department of Human Services	2
Exhibit 31—Response From Washington, Department of Social and Health Services	2
Exhibit 32—Response From West Virginia, Department of Health and Human Resources	2
Exhibit 33—Response From Wisconsin, Department of Children and Families	2
Exhibit 34—Response From Wyoming, Department of Family Services	2
Appendix C—5-State In-Depth Letters	2
Exhibit 1—Letter to Georgia, Department of Community Health	2

	Page
Appendix C—5-State In-Depth Letters—Continued Exhibit 2—Letter to Illinois, Department of Children and Family Services	228
Exhibit 3—Letter to Massachusetts, Department of Children and Families	233
Exhibit 4—Letter to Maryland, Department of Human Resources	238
Exhibit 5—Letter to Texas, Department of Family and Protective Services	243
Appendix D—Congressional Research Service Memorandum on the U.S. HHS Children and Family Services Reviews	248
Appendix E—Letter to The MENTOR Network	275
Appendix F—MENTOR Level 4 Incident Reports	282
Appendix G—MENTOR Mortality Report and Backup Data	606
Exhibit 1—Foster Care Mortality Analysis	607
Exhibit 2—Backup Data and Explanation of Mortality Analysis	613

AN EXAMINATION OF FOSTER CARE IN THE UNITED STATES AND THE USE OF PRIVATIZATION

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Foster care placements for children who are victims of abuse and neglect have historically been managed by a combination of private and public resources. However, the need for specialized foster care services and a shortage of foster care homes in recent years has led to the privatization of many core foster care services. Today, both non-profit and for-profit private agencies contract with and provide foster care services on behalf of State agencies. In 2015, 671,000 children in the United States were provided out-of-home foster care services. There are no official statistics on what proportion of these children received contracted foster care, case management, or other services. State child welfare agencies report they have procedures in place to monitor child welfare providers' performance and outcomes. But this investigation conducted by the bipartisan staff of the U.S. Senate Finance Committee shows that these policies are not always followed; exceptions are made, waivers are granted, profits are prioritized over children's well-being, and sometimes those charged with keeping children safe look the other way. High turnover among staff sometimes makes it impossible to develop case plans to ensure that children are "on-track." Foster parents with questionable backgrounds, who lack the skills to provide care to vulnerable children, are given licenses to parent challenging children, and these children are then inadequately monitored. The outcome of this investigation shows that the child welfare system does not always protect children. The data collection and oversight structures at both the State and Federal levels make it difficult and sometimes impossible to monitor the operations of the child welfare system, as well as its private contractors.

A recent bout of national media attention concerning questionable behavior by private for-profit agencies, abuse and neglect by foster parents working for those providers, and in some instances abuse and neglect which caused children's deaths, led the Finance Committee to investigate this issue. As the Finance Committee has primary jurisdiction over Federal child welfare and foster care funding and policy (largely through the Social Security Act), the Committee launched an investigation in April 2015 to examine the privatization of foster care services. One specific private company, The MENTOR Network, one of the largest for-profit providers of foster care services in the United States, was used as a case study to highlight the problems that exist with the privatization of human services. This report documents the findings of this inves-

tigation and reveals problems with child welfare contracting practices as well as public agency oversight of such contracts and serv-

The investigation was conducted by collecting information from public child welfare agencies across the Nation concerning their general policies and practices, including how they contract with and monitor private agencies. The Committee also gathered infor-mation from The MENTOR Network, specifically, by reviewing in-cident reports about the deaths of children in the company's care, an internal "mortality report," legal settlements, case notes, foster parent applications, and other related documentation.

The Committee staff concluded that children who are under the legal authority of their State, yet receive services from private forprofit agencies, have been abused, neglected, and denied services. The very agencies charged with and paid to keep foster children safe too often failed to provide even the most basic protections, or to take steps to prevent the occurrence of tragedies. In MENTOR's case in particular, investigations into fatalities were never followed up after the fact; autopsy reports which were pending years ago were excluded from files; and the vast majority of children who died were not the subject of internal investigations, even when their deaths were unexpected. The MENTOR Network issued a report which falsely claimed that its death rates are in line with national death rates and the rates of death among all children in the foster care system. Moreover, families of these and other victims of inadequate care have received millions of dollars in financial settlements, significant enough for The MENTOR Network to receive less favorable terms from its insurer.

As the role of private for-profit and non-profit providers of foster care services has grown, oversight of these entities by State agencies—as well as Federal oversight of the States—has been inadequate. The Finance Committee staff has made recommendations to HHS, the States, and to Congress addressing these shortcomings.

I. INTRODUCTION

The privatization of foster care, and specifically for-profit foster care, has been a growing trend in the delivery of child welfare services over the past few decades.1 Recent national media attention concerning questionable performance by these private agencies, including abuse and neglect by foster parents working for these agencies, and in some instances abuse and neglect which led to children's deaths, led the Senate Finance Committee (hereinafter, the "Committee" or "SFC") to investigate these issues.

The Committee has jurisdiction over Federal child welfare and foster care funding and policy in the United States, and thus has a responsibility in ensuring children receive the most suitable placements to appropriately support their healthy development. Chairman Hatch and Ranking Member Wyden launched an investigation in April 2015 to examine the privatization of foster care

¹Flaherty, C., Collins-Camargo, C. and Lee, E., "Privatization of child welfare services: Lessons learned from experienced states regarding site readiness assessment and planning." Children and Youth Services Review, Vol. 30, No. 7, pp. 809–820, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0190740907002538.

services within the context of the larger child welfare system. One specific private company, The MENTOR Network (hereinafter, "MENTOR"), was used as a case study in order to highlight some of the problems that exist with the privatization of human services in general. At the time the Committee initiated the investigation, MENTOR reported it was the "leading provider of home- and community-based health and human services to must-serve individuals and families." 2 MENTOR continues to make this claim today.3 This report documents the findings of this investigation and reveals problems with child welfare contracting practices as well as public agency oversight of such contracts and services.

II. OVERVIEW OF THE PROBLEM AND JUSTIFICATION FOR THE COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION

A. CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT AND FOSTER CARE

According to the National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS), in 2015 there were 683,000 children who were victims of abuse or neglect in the United States, representing a rate of 9.2 victims per 1,000 U.S. children.⁴ In instances where children are abused or neglected and cannot safely remain at home or with relatives, they are placed in foster care. According to the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS), 671,000 children were served by the foster care system in 2015 either because they were already in foster care, or because they newly entered foster care that year.⁵ When children are placed in foster care, they are most often placed in one of three settings: nonrelative foster care (45%), relative/kinship care (30%), or institutions/group homes (14%). Foster care placements can occur either through a child's State or public child welfare agency, or through private entities that contract with public child welfare agencies to find placements for children. These private organizations can be non-profit or for-profit agencies. The private agencies that were the focus of this report (specifically MENTOR) provide non-relative foster care for children outside of institutional settings.

B. Federal Financing of Foster Care Services

The Committee has jurisdiction over many areas of public finance including the Internal Revenue Code, major health-care programs such as Medicare and Medicaid, and Social Security. Federal

² Civitas Solutions, Inc., U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 2014 10–K filing for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2014, https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1608638/000119312514445499/d798786d10k.htm.

³ Civitas Solutions, Inc., U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 2017 10–Q filing for the quarterly period ending March 31, 2017, https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1608638/00016962917000017/civity311710k.htm.

quarterly period ending March 31, 2017, https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1608638/000160863817000017/civi3311710q.htm.

4 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Children's Bureau, "Child Maltreatment 2015," Report, January 2017, https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/cm2015.pdf.

5 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Children's Bureau, "Trends in Foster Care and Adoption," Published: June 30, 2016, last reviewed: March 13, 2017, https://www.acf.hhs.gov/cb/resource/trends-in-foster-care-and-adoption-fy15.

6 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Children's Bureau, "Foster Care Statistics 2015," Report, pp. 2–4, March 2017, https://www.childwelfare.gov/pubpdfs/foster.pdf.

child welfare policy is largely guided by the Social Security Act, originally established in 1935.7 Foster care services are partly funded through titles IV-B and IV-E of the Social Security Act. In addition, services and supports for children and their families, including foster care, can be funded through title XX and title IV-A of the Social Security Act. Federal assessment and monitoring of State child welfare systems are also covered by title IV-E. Thus, potential misuse or mismanagement of these funds to place children in foster homes where they may potentially be unsafe is of keen interest to the Committee.

C. RECENT COMMITTEE HISTORY ON FOSTER CARE AND RELATED ISSUES

The Committee and its members have a long history of working to improve the State and Federal child welfare systems. For decades, the child welfare advocacy and provider communities, as well as families and children impacted by the system, have recognized that the government is no substitute for a family when it comes to raising children. Frequent news stories highlighting traumatic experiences children in foster care sometimes face have led Congress to take steps to improve the system in two key ways: first, to do more to ensure that foster care is an intervention used only when in the best interest of the child; and second, to ensure that when foster care is necessary, it is of the highest possible quality and promotes normalcy.

In the 114th Congress, the Committee held several hearings and roundtable discussions related to the child welfare system, its incentives, and its funding structure. Specifically, in May 2015, the Committee held a hearing entitled "No Place to Grow Up: How to Safely Reduce Reliance on Foster Care Group Homes." 8 The purpose of the hearing was to examine how Congress can best address the challenges facing foster children and protect them from the unfit environment and risk of sex trafficking found in group homes. In August 2015, the Committee held a hearing entitled, "A Way Back Home: Preserving Families and Reducing the Need for Foster Care."9 Its purpose was to explore safe alternatives to foster care and better understand the interventions, services, and funding mechanisms States and Tribes are using-or would like to use-to help keep families and children safely together. And in February 2016, the Committee held a hearing entitled "Examining the Opioid Epidemic: Challenges and Opportunities." 10 The purpose of this hearing was to examine the opioid abuse epidemic and its effect on the health and child welfare systems, as well as to consider solutions. Committee members heard testimony detailing the links

⁷U.S. Social Security Administration, Social Security Act of 1935, https://www.ssa.gov/history 35act. html.

8 U.S. Senate Finance Committee, "No Place to Grow Up: How to Safely Reduce Reliance on

⁵U.S. Senate Finance Committee, "No Place to Grow Up: How to Safely Reduce Reliance on Foster Care Group Homes," Committee Hearing, 114th Congress, May 19, 2015, https://www.finance.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/20209.pdf.

⁹U.S. Senate Finance Committee, "A Way Back Home: Preserving Families and Reducing the Need for Foster Care," Committee Hearing, 114th Congress, August 4, 2015, https://www.finance.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/20779.pdf.

¹⁰U.S. Senate Finance Committee, "Examining the Opioid Epidemic: Challenges and Opportunities," Committee Hearing, 114th Congress, February 23, 2016, https://www.finance.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/23291.pdf.

between rising opioid use and fatalities and the corresponding strain on State foster care systems.

As a result of these hearings and working closely with stakeholders from the foster care provider community, State groups, advocates, and current and former foster youth, the chairman and ranking member developed a bipartisan proposal, "The Family First Act." Working with House Ways and Means Committee Chairman Brady and Ranking Member Levin, Chairman Hatch and Ranking Member Wyden introduced the bipartisan/bicameral "Family First Prevention Services Act of 2016" (H.R. 5456/S. 3065). The House of Representatives passed H.R. 5456 by voice vote on June 21, 2016. The goal of this legislation was to increase the availability of prevention services so that more children can stay at home with their families and avoid the trauma associated with foster care when safely possible. The legislation also aimed to reduce the unnecessary use of congregate care and group homes.

While the legislation ultimately was not enacted into law, the Committee continues to work to advance the goals of the legislation. The findings of this investigation underscore the importance of holding States accountable for their child welfare system management and outcomes, as well as providing States with the tools necessary to improve their capacity to both prevent foster care (when it is possible to do so without jeopardizing the safety of children) and to ensure that children who enter foster care are safe

from harm.

D. Initial Media Reports About For-Profit Foster CARE AND CHILDREN'S DEATHS

In 2015, $BuzzFeed\ News$ 13 and $Mother\ Jones$ 14 reported similar stories concerning the private, for-profit foster care company, MEN-TOR. The reports provided evidence of a company that prioritizes profits over children's well-being; a company that skirted corners when screening foster parents, that increased social workers' caseloads, that hired unlicensed workers, and whose primary mission was to "fill beds" in order to increase company profits. According to these reports, children were placed in homes with individuals who had been convicted of kidnapping and other serious crimes, with parents who had substance abuse problems, and in homes where caretakers had previous "failed" foster care placements. Some children were deprived of emotional care, were sexually abused, and even beaten to death in their foster homes. The news articles included both allegations of wrong-doing and information that was substantiated through criminal trials and lawsuit settlements to families of the children who had been harmed.

¹¹ S. 3065: "Family First Prevention Services Act of 2016," 114th Congress, https://www.congress.gov/bill/114th-congress/senate-bill/3065; see also: Kelly, John, "Massive child welfare Finance bill planned for 2016," The Chronicle of Social Change, December 18, 2015, https://chronicleofsocialchange.org/child-welfare-2/massive-child-welfare-finance-bill-planned-

for-2016/14890.

12 H.R. 5456: "Family First Prevention Services Act of 2016, Actions/Overview," 114th Congress, https://www.congress.gov/bill/114th-congress/house-bill/5456/actions.

13 Roston, Aram and Singer-Vine, Jeremy, "Fostering Profits," BuzzFeed News, February 20, 2015, https://www.buzzfeed.com/aramroston/fostering-profits?utm_term=.xwMQrm3yR#.qf0w

The Committee received numerous questions and expressions of concern from the public as a result of these news accounts. The chairman and ranking member also felt strongly that the allegations in the press accounts deserved a more thorough examination. As a result, the chairman and ranking member directed the majority and minority oversight teams to investigate the issue of privatization within the foster care system using MENTOR as a case study, as it is among the largest providers of private foster care services in the United States. 15, 16 Because the Committee did not conduct an in-depth investigation of other providers, direct comparisons cannot be made with other private providers. However, it did collect State-level data on the performance of other for-profit and non-profit providers, and it is also notable that MENTOR is by no means alone when it comes to negative attention and questionable practices. For example, the following headlines demonstrate that contracting practices, provider quality, and inadequate oversight issues are rampant across States and providers:

- "Foster care scandal deepens: 'Every single staff person has a criminal record'" (*The Oregonian*, January 9, 2016).¹⁷
 "Federal Judge: Texas Foster Care System Violates Chil-
- dren's Rights" (The Texas Tribune, December 17, 2015). 18
- "Suit Alleges Widespread Deficiencies in South Carolina Foster Care" (The New York Times, January 12, 2015). 19
- "Report Finds 'Blatant Lack of Oversight By DCF' In Licensing of Foster Home Where Toddler Died" (WBUR News, October 1, 2015).20
- "State Must Step up on Foster Care Deaths" (The Courier, June 11, 2017).²³
- "Minnesota Faces Penalties for Failed Placements of Foster Children" (The Star Tribune, February 10, 2014).²²

E. MENTOR AND PRIVATE FOSTER CARE AGENCIES

MENTOR, headquartered in Boston, Massachusetts, is a for-profit service agency that describes itself as a "national network of local health and human services providers in 35 States offering an

¹⁵ McBeath, Bowen, Collins-Camargo, Crystal, and Chuang, Emmeline, "Portrait of Private Agencies in the Child Welfare System: Principal Results From the National Survey of Private Child and Family Serving Agencies," National Quality Improvement Center on the Privatization of Child Welfare Services, September 2011, http://muskie.usm.maine.edu/helpkids/public privateresources/nspcfsareportfinal.pdf.

16 Civitas Solutions, Inc., 2014 10–K filing (September 30, 2014). See Footnote 2.

17 Theriault, Dennis C., "Foster care scandal deepens: Every single staff person has a criminal record," The Oregonian, January 9, 2016, http://www.oregonlive.com/politics/index.ssf/2016/01/foster care scandal deepens.html.

18 Walters, Edgar and Ramshaw, Emily, "Federal Judge: Texas Foster Care System Violates Children's Rights," The Texas Tribune, December 17, 2015, https://www.texastribune.org/2015/12/17/judge-foster-care-system-violates-childrens-rights/.

19 Blinder, Alan, "Suit Alleges Widespread Deficiencies in South Carolina Foster Care," The New York Times, January 12, 2015, https://www.nytimes.com/2015/01/13/us/suit-alleges-videspread-deficiencies-in-south-carolina-foster-care.html? r=2.

20 Conway, Abby Elizabeth, "Report Finds 'Blatant Lack of Oversight by DCF' in Licensing of Foster Home Where Toddler Died," WBUR News, October 1, 2015, http://www.wbur.org/news/2015/10/01/auburn-foster-child-death-investigation.

21 Hines, Doug, "State Must Step up on Foster Care Deaths," The Courier, June 11, 2017, http://wcfourier.com/opinion/editorial/state-must-step-up-on-foster-care-deaths/article_b4d69f 95-4402-54ac-a294-83ce38eb1625.html.

22 Serres, Chris, "Minnesota faces penalties for failed placements of foster children," The Star Tribune, February 10, 2014, http://www.startribune.com/state-faces-penalties-for-failed-placements-of-foster-children/244571021/.

array of quality, community-based services to adults and children [. . .]." 23 It is owned by Civitas Solutions, Inc., a publicly traded company. Civitas is majority owned (approximately 68%) by Vestar Capital Partners and management investors.²⁴ Public investors hold roughly 32% of the company according to the company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). 25 The group emphasizes its work with higher-risk youth in foster care, particularly those with intellectual or developmental disabilities, or

who are medically fragile.

According to information reported in MENTOR's SEC filings for 2014, it was the leading provider of human services to 29,100 clients in 36 States during that year—12,600 in residential settings and 16,500 in non-residential settings.²⁶ With regard to the foster care population, which is the focus of the Committee's investigation, MENTOR served 10,300 at-risk children, adolescents, and their families in 18 different States in 2014. By way of comparison, according to a 2011 national survey of non-profit and for-profit private child welfare agencies conducted by the National Quality Improvement Center on the Privatization of Child Welfare Services, only 13 child and family-serving agencies, or 3%, provided services in more than one State.²⁷ This survey also showed that nationally the largest private agency budgets range from \$17 million to \$140 million. Again, for comparison, in 2014 MENTOR reported to the SEC that its gross revenue for serving at-risk youth was \$203 million and that its net revenue for this same population was about \$198 million.²⁸ Private child welfare agencies across the country largely rely on public government contracts in order to provide services to children and families. In 2011, half of the surveyed child and family-serving agencies reported that almost 100% of their revenue came from public contracts.²⁹ This is also the case for MEN-

The Committee focused on MENTOR's work as a provider of foster care services, since it was one of the largest providers of those services nationally. At the time the Committee began its investigation, MENTOR provided foster care services to thousands of children who are involved with their State's child welfare system. As recently as 2015, MENTOR provided foster care services to children in 15 different States.³¹ Since the Committee launched its investigation, MENTOR has withdrawn from a number of States. During FY 2015, MENTOR discontinued at-risk youth services in

²³ "The MENTOR Network." Available on the company's website, http://thementornetwork

²⁴On its own, Vestar owns 53% of the company's shares according to the Civitas Solutions, Inc. U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 2016 10–K filing for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2016, https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1608638/000162828016022032/ Inc. U.S. Securious and American Enterpolary (New York) (1997) (1

²⁰ The Committee began its investigation in 2015, which is why 2014 SEC information is reported here. See Footnote 2.

²⁷ McBeath, B., Collins-Camargo, C., and Chuang, E. See Footnote 15.

²⁸ Civitas Solutions, Inc., 2014 10–K filing (September 30, 2014). See Footnote 2.

²⁹ McBeath, B., Collins-Camargo, C., and Chuang, E. See Footnote 15.

³⁰ Statement by Civitas Solutions: "We derive approximately 90% of our revenue from contracts with state and local government agencies, and a substantial portion of this revenue is state-funded with federal Medicaid matching dollars," 2014 10–K filing, p. 16. See Footnote 2.

³¹ Roston, A. and Singer-Vine, J. See Footnote 13.

the States of Florida, Louisiana, Indiana, North Carolina, and Texas.32

F. THERAPEUTIC FOSTER CARE

In representations to the Committee, MENTOR claims to largely serve high-risk children classified as in need of therapeutic foster care (TFC) because they are medically complex or fragile. There is no uniform definition of TFC in the field or in statute, but the Foster Family-based Treatment Association describes it as "a clinical intervention, which includes placement in specifically trained foster parent homes, for youth in foster care with severe mental, emotional, or behavioral health needs. This includes medically fragile or developmentally delayed youth whose physical and emotional health needs require more intensive clinical and medical intervention than can be accommodated in traditional foster care." ³³

Many States claim reimbursements from Medicaid for components of TFC services. A 2015 report by the Medicaid and CHIP Payment and Access Commission noted that 3% of child Medicaid enrollees receive TFC services.³⁴ States may also claim reimbursement under title IV-E for some of the costs associated with TFC. There is significant variation across States and providers both with regard to eligibility for and the provision of services related to TFC. A study that was commissioned and funded by MENTOR showed that 17.3% of U.S. children in foster care were in TFC-level placements. MENTOR reports that 75% of its caseload is comprised of TFC-level placements. 36 In its 2014 SEC filings, MENTOR reported billing Medicaid for the provision of at-risk youth services.³⁷

III. THE COMMITTEE'S INVESTIGATION AND SURVEYS OF THE STATES: FOSTER CARE SERVICES AND PER-**FORMANCE**

A. The 50-State Overview Letter and Request

In April 2015, the Committee requested information from all 50 governors regarding their States' privatization of child welfare and/or foster care services.³⁸ For example, the Committee asked each State to describe its process used to select and contract with private agencies providing child welfare services as well as the process

³² Civitas Solutions, Inc., 10–Q filing for the period ending March 31, 2017, p. 20. See Footnote 3. (Note: Illinois terminated its contract with AHS/MENTOR on July 1, 2015.)
33 Boyd, Laura W., "Therapeutic Foster Care: Exceptional Care for Complex, Trauma-Impacted Youth in Foster Care," State Policy Advocacy and Reform Center, Report, July 2013, https://childwelfaresparc.files.wordpress.com/2013/07/therapeutic-foster-care-exceptional-care-for-complex-trauma-impacted-youth-in-foster-care.pdf.
34 Medicaid and CHIP, Payment and Access Commission (MACPAC), "Report to Congress on Medicaid and CHIP," Report, June 2015, https://www.macpac.gov/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/June-2015-Report-to-Congress-on-Medicaid-and-CHIP.pdf.
35 Gonyea, J.G., Bachman, S.S., Rajabiun, S., Springwater, J.S., Tobias, C.R., Hirschi, M. and Little, F., "The 50 State Chartbook on Foster Care." Retrieved March 28, 2017. As originally cited on p. 5 of the MENTOR Mortality Report in Appendix G, Exhibit 1, http://www.bu.edu/ssw/research/usfostercare/.
36 The MENTOR Network, "Backup Data and Explanation of Mortality Analysis Final," March 2016. Supplied by MENTOR to the Finance Committee. See Appendix G, Exhibit 2.
37 Statements by Civitas Solutions: "We derive approximately 90% of our revenue from contracts with state and local government agencies, and a substantial portion of this revenue is state-funded with federal Medicaid matching dollars," p. 16; "We derive revenues for our I/DD and ARY services and a significant portion of our SRS services from Medicaid programs," p. 9.
See Footnote 2.

See Footnote 2.

38 See Appendix A for the complete 50-State Overview Letter.

used to inspect the safety of foster care settings in which children are placed. The Committee also asked States to outline how they investigate and respond to allegations and substantiations of maltreatment when a child is in out-of-home care. Thirty-three (33) States responded to that request with information prepared by their child welfare administrators (see Appendix B for State responses to the 50-State Overview Letter).³⁹

B. The 5-State In-Depth Letter and Request

In March 2016, the Committee sent in-depth inquiries to five States regarding their child welfare operations in order to obtain more information about MENTOR and its affiliates as well as other for-profit and non-profit providers in each State. 40 The request for additional information was sent to the directors of the State child welfare agencies in Georgia, Illinois, Maryland, Massachusetts, and Texas. These States were selected because they were served by MENTOR and highlighted in news accounts that documented serious allegations of mismanagement of services and mistreatment of children served by private foster care agencies. As of today, MEN-TOR still provides foster care services in Georgia, Maryland, and Massachusetts; it no longer provides those services in Illinois or Texas. The primary goal of the request for additional information was to compare performance indicators of the public agencies and private agencies providing foster care services. The Committee sought information related to standard performance measures for foster care using the Child and Family Services Reviews' (CFSRs) performance metrics as a basis (see subsection C below). Among the many questions directed to the State agencies, SFC staff focused on the following information from these select States:

- Physical and behavioral subgroups (special needs, physically disabled, infants, etc.);
- Maltreatment during a foster care episode:
- Rate of maltreatment in foster care;
- Permanency outcomes (reunification, adoption, guardianship);
- Physical and mental health screenings of children in foster care:
- Children receiving monthly caseworker visits;
- Average caseload for each caseworker employed by the contractor; and
- Total cost to the State under the contract.

Four out of five States complied with the Committee's request for this detailed information. Despite repeated contact with the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, its public child welfare agency never

³⁹The States that responded: Alabama, Alaska, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Guam, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.
⁴⁰ See Appendix C for copies of the 5-State In-Depth letters.

complied with official requests from the Committee to provide the

requested in-depth information.41

In addition to the performance metrics, the Committee also asked these five States for copies of any rankings or reviews of contractors, as well as performance and investigative reports of MEN-TOR, particularly in the case of reports relating to the death, sexual abuse, or injury to a child while in the care or custody of foster parents recruited or employed by MENTOR. Each of the four responding States complied with this request. In addition, Illinois provided Committee staff an extensive "Statewide Specialized Foster Care Review" focused on Alliance Human Services/Illinois MENTOR, which was conducted prior to the State terminating services with the company.42 (The Committee's initial official requests for information from State child welfare agencies are in Appendices A and C.)

C. U.S. HHS CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES REVIEWS

The Children's Bureau in U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) conducts Child and Family Services Reviews (CFSRs), which are periodic reviews of State child welfare systems, to achieve three goals: (1) ensure conformity with Federal child welfare requirements; (2) determine what is actually happening to children and families as they are engaged in child welfare services; and (3) assist States in helping children and families achieve positive outcomes. The first CFSR round began in 2001. HHS is currently conducting the third round of CFSRs between 2015 and 2018. In October 2016, SFC staff asked the Congressional Research Service (CRS) to provide a compilation and ranking of State-level data and indicators from AFCARS that are used in the CFSR assessments (see Appendix D).43 The CRS analysis provided the Committee with national data concerning the performance of all States in the country, including those States that did not respond directly to the Committee's requests.

D. THE MENTOR LETTER AND REQUEST

The Committee sent its first letter to Bruce Nardella, the President and CEO of MENTOR, in June 2015. This initial letter and correspondence requested information about the company's structure, performance, and standards. Specifically, the Committee requested the total number of children served nationwide; copies of assessments and performance reviews conducted on MENTOR; average caseloads of MENTOR caseworkers; processes for investigating, vetting, and training potential foster parents; details surrounding the use of bonuses for placing children; processes for handling allegations of misconduct against foster caregivers; copies of settlement agreements entered into by MENTOR since 2005; total

 $^{^{41}}$ For purposes of clarification, Massachusetts did respond to the initial 50-State Letter to provide overview information about their State, but did *not* submit any documentation to the Committee in response to the 5-State In-Depth Letter.

⁻⁻ minois Department of Children and Family Services, Division of Quality Assurance and Research. "Alliance/Illinois MENTOR Statewide Specialized Foster Care Review," Report, August 8, 2014, Print.
43 Stottzfus, Emilie, Memorandum prepared by the Congressional Research Service: "Statewide data indicators used in the Child and Family Services Review (CFSR)," October 27, 2016. Available in Appendix D.

funding received from States; nondisclosure/confidentiality clauses; and critical incident reports. Additionally, because MENTOR operates under different names in different States, questions regarding its corporate structure, affiliates, and related organizations were asked as well.44 (The Committee's official request for information from MENTOR is available in Appendix E.)

IV. FINDINGS FROM THE COMMITTEE'S INVESTIGATIONS AND SURVEYS

A. Use of Private Child Welfare Services

One of the first goals of the Finance Committee's investigation was to determine the extent to which States use or rely on contracted child welfare services. Information obtained by the Committee from the initial 50-State Letter shows that of the 33 States that responded, 31 use private agencies to provide services to children in foster care and 16 of these States contract with for-profit and non-profit providers. The nature of contracted services provided by the entities described in responses to the 50-State Letter varied considerably. Twenty States volunteered that services from private providers are targeted toward the specialized population of youth needing TFC. With the exception of two States, administrators were adamant that they were obligated to provide oversight and that they provided this oversight—of all foster care placements. According to the responses, private agencies might recruit, screen, train, and provide case management services to foster families, but the public agencies were responsible for approving all placements and for ensuring that children were living in safe conditions. For example, Texas wrote that children are placed in homes that are "directly overseen by child protective services." Delaware wrote that the State "retain[s] . . . legal and case management responsibilities for meeting the needs of all children in foster care, whether they are placed in a [public] foster home or private provider home. . . ."46

Other States reported inconsistent information. For example, Massachusetts reported that the public agency handles 96% of placements for the almost 11,000 children in the State who are in out-of-home care. Cases that involve a conflict of interest with the child welfare agency (for example, employees who are the subject of maltreatment allegations), adoptions, or unaccompanied refugees who are minors are handled by private contract agencies. Nevertheless, in that same response, Massachusetts also reported statistics showing that roughly 35% of its foster care caseload is managed by a contracted agency.⁴⁷ Similarly, Maryland reported "we contract with private providers for placement services only," but then went on to say "100% of Maryland foster youth are placed by the public agency."48 Oregon listed two county-run shelters and a

⁴⁴ In Illinois, for example, MENTOR operated under the name Alliance Human Services.
45 Response from Texas to the 50-State Overview Letter, Appendix B, Exhibit 29.
46 Response from Delaware to the 50-State Overview Letter.
47 Massachusetts, Department of Children and Families, Letter to SFC, June 10, 2015, p. 1.

The full response is listed in Appendix B, Exhibit 16.

48 Maryland, Department of Human Resources, Letter to SFC, July 20, 2015, p. 1. See full response listed in Appendix B, Exhibit 15.

Youth Villages facility as "for-profit" entities when other sources identified these entities as non-profits.⁴⁹ News reports out of Oregon also show how the non-profit/for-profit distinction can be abused, and even non-profit entities can be used for financial gain. For example, the director of the now-shuttered Oregon foster care provider "Give Us This Day" was accused of using three non-profit organizations to buy property for personal use (\$100,000), remodel and furnish her home (\$213,000), and pay for trips, meals, clothes, and beauty expenses including cosmetic surgery (\$249,800).⁵⁰

When asked what types of services private agencies provide, 21 States indicated they were used for case management, even if this task was shared or duplicative of services provided by the public agency. Twenty-eight States indicated that private agencies provide support, services, or training to foster families. All States have licensing standards, but only six (California, Kansas, Kentucky, Illinois, Tennessee, and Texas) reported that they require all of the agencies that contract with the State to be accredited. In addition, some States, such as Illinois, use benchmarks that private agencies are expected to meet, such as an annual permanency rate of 40%. The business model is to reward top-performing agencies with "a greater share of new, incoming foster cases." 51

MENTOR is one of the largest contractors providing foster care services in many of the States the Committee staff examined. Information provided by Texas in response to the 5-State In-Depth Letter showed that when combining the number of children served from all MENTOR jurisdictions, it ranked either 5th or 6th in total size among all Texas private child welfare agencies from 2010-2013, before Texas stopped contracting with the company. It was always the largest for-profit provider. In Maryland, for each of the years the Committee staff reviewed in-depth information, MEN-TOR always had the highest number of children receiving con-

tracted services among all providers.

With regard to the financing of private child welfare services, information from the four States that responded to the 5-State In-Depth Letter shows that between 2010 and 2015, these States spent between \$63 million and \$291 million annually on private child welfare services. Roughly 20% of these expenditures went to pay for-profit agencies for services. This means these States paid between \$18 million and \$50 million annually to companies that profited from children and families involved with the foster care system. Using MENTOR as an example, in 2015 MENTOR Maryland was paid an average of \$47,542 per foster child, for a total approaching \$16 million. The contractor with the highest annual rate per child in 2015 in this one State was paid an average of \$69,242 per child.

dix B, Exhibit 10.

⁴⁹ United States District Court, District of Oregon, Portland Division, United States of America v. Mary Holden Ayala, 3:16–CR–00495–HZ; https://www.justice.gov/usao-or/press-release/file/965436/download; Lincoln County, Oregon Juvenile Shelter website; http://www.co.lincoln.or.us/juvenile/page/shelter; Douglas County, Oregon Juvenile services website, http://www.co.douglas.or.us/departments.asp#Juvenile.

⁵⁰ Theriault, Dennis C., "Oregon accuses foster care provider of 'plundering' \$2 million in state funds," The Oregonian, October 15, 2015. Updated: October 16, 2015, http://www.oregonlive.com/politics/index.ssf/2015/10/oregon_accuses_foster_care_pro.html.

⁵¹ Illinois DCFS Letter to SFC, December 15, 2015, pp. 2–3. Full response is listed in Appendix B. Exhibit 10.

B. BACKGROUND CHECKS

All of the States that responded to the Committee's 50-State Overview Letter described, in various levels of detail, how they assess children's safety in out-of-home placements and specifically among potential or current foster parents. Sometimes there were variations in public versus private settings, but all described a process that involves some version of a State criminal background check, a national or Federal background check, and a check into their own State's child abuse and neglect registry—and sometimes in registries of other States where potential foster parents have recently lived. Some States volunteered information showing or suggesting that positive findings of criminal activity or a history of maltreating children do not automatically close a door to family foster care. For example, California wrote: "For persons with criminal convictions, the Department of Justice provides the county child welfare agency with the record information report [which is reviewed], to determine whether the crimes are those for which an exemption may be granted." 52 These kinds of exemptions and waivers turned up in the materials reviewed by Committee staff concerning MENTOR's operations in other States.

In response to inquiries from the Committee, MENTOR wrote: "as a part of our commitment to quality, MENTÓR entities conduct criminal and non-criminal background checks on prospective foster parents in accordance with local/State requirements and regulations. This has always been part of our practices. Not only do background checks support our rigorous vetting efforts to find the most qualified, caring foster parents, they are also a requirement of the States and referring agencies with whom we partner." Yet, several news accounts tell a different story.^{53, 54} Most notably is one media account which notes that MENTOR placed children in a home with a household member who had previously been convicted of aggravated kidnapping and robbery when she kidnapped a pregnant convenience store employee. 55 Similarly, the Committee staff determined that MENTOR is often out of compliance with its own guidelines, as well as State guidelines, with respect to conducting background checks of those who care for foster children or those who are routinely in homes where foster children are placed. In the State of Texas, case records showed that on four separate occasions in an 8-month period, MENTOR was instructed to conduct background checks on a frequent visitor to a foster home. That check

was never completed.⁵⁶
Even when MENTOR met background screening guidelines, it sometimes waived the outcomes of the findings. For example, in the Committee staff's investigation of documents from MENTOR Maryland, the Committee staff found that the husband of a foster parent, who was later convicted of sexually abusing foster children in their home, had been the subject of four previous abuse allega-

⁵²Response from California to 50-State Overview Letter. See Appendix B, Exhibit 4.

⁵³ Joseph, Brian. See Footnote 14.
54 Ansari, Talal, and Roston, Aram, "Parent at Nation's Leading For-profit Foster Care Firm Facing Murder Charges," BuzzFeed News, February 23, 2016, https://www.buzzfeed.com/talalansari/parent-at-nations-leading-for-profit-foster-care-firm-facing?utm_term=.nkGzyWJJD#. od1APe11V.

 ⁵⁵ Roston, A. and Singer-Vine, J. See Footnote 13.
 ⁵⁶ MENTOR bates numbers 0001822–1823.

tions. The MENTOR worker marked in handwriting on the criminal background search results, "Not Mentor [sic] parent," presumably indicating that the husband's criminal history was irrelevant because the foster mother was the primary caretaker. Similarly, MENTOR Texas noted in documentation provided to the Committee that in one case potential foster parents reported that they or family members had been convicted of a crime, but no further information was provided. The exact quote is: "Yes, no description given." 57 These individuals went on to become MENTOR foster parents, and the foster mother killed a 3-year-old foster child by blunt force trauma to the head. 58

In another case reviewed by Committee staff, during the vetting process for one particular set of MENTOR foster parents in Maryland, the foster father indicated that he had a preference for "white, male children." This was not seen as a red flag to the agency. Male children who were subsequently placed with this MEN-TOR foster parent were sexually abused. In fact, media accounts report that children who disclosed abuse were not believed at first and were instead sent back to live with their abusive foster father. Eventually a foster child was believed and the case moved through the legal system.⁵⁹

C. CHILD WELFARE WORKFORCE OPERATIONS AND CONCERNS

Caseworker Turnover. The child welfare field consistently has high rates of turnover among its workforce. The national range of turnover among child welfare workers is 30–40% annually.⁶⁰ When there is movement among staff, children are often served by many different caseworkers, which can make it difficult for children to form relationships with their caseworkers, for caseworkers to put together a treatment plan for children, and for cases to be adequately monitored.^{61,62} Many records and news accounts referenced the high turnover rate among staff at MENTOR; it was of keen interest to the Committee staff to examine this at the State and provider level.

Illinois reported that MENTOR had trouble maintaining qualified staff. Its overall turnover rate for caseworkers was actually consistent with national norms, but the turnover rate for therapists working with MENTOR children was 44% in 2012. At one Illinois site, the turnover rate for therapists was 80% in 2013. In this same year, the turnover rate for MENTOR child welfare program direc-

⁵⁷ Notes from MENTOR Texas Documents: Clemon and Sherill Small Case.

⁵⁷ Notes from MENTOR Texas Documents: Clemon and Sherill Small Case.
58 Joseph, Brian. See Footnote 14.
59 Roston, A. and Singer-Vine, J. See Footnote 13.
60 U.S. General Accounting Office, "Child Welfare: HHS Could Play a Greater Role in Helping Child Welfare Agencies Recruit and Retain Staff," Report to Congressional Requesters, No. GAO-03-357, March 2003, http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d03357.pdf.
61 Illinois DCFS Report. See Footnote 42.
62 Garner, Bryan R., Hunter, Brooke D., Modisette, Kathryn D., Ihnes, Pamela C., and Godley, Susan H., "Treatment staff turnover in organizations implementing evidence-based practices: turnover rates and their association with client outcomes," Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, March 2012, pp. 134-142, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3268938/. See also U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, "Report to Congress on the Nation's Substance Abuse and Mental Health Workforce Issues," January 24, 2013, https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&g=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=0ahUKEwiZ avJka_VAhWG5yYKHajECAMQFggoMAA&url=https%3A%2F%2Fstore.samhsa.gov%2Fshin%2Fcontent%2FPEP13-RTC-BHWORK%2FPEP13-RTC-BHWORK, pdf&usg=AFQjCNGxewm3bHzmpsqu5zeWfUdqYhVpiw.

tors in Illinois was an astounding 82%. In its own periodic reviews of MENTOR, the State of Georgia also noted concerns about staff turnover. In the Macon, Georgia jurisdiction, MENTOR staff turnover reached 83%.

Caseload Size. Another workforce issue is the size of caseloads that child welfare professionals carry. Despite the field's attention to caseload size in the child welfare profession, Committee staff found it difficult to obtain this information from the States that were investigated. Three States that responded to the 5-State In-Depth Letter do not maintain information on the average caseload per caseworker employed by each private contractor. Georgia indicated that it tracks this information, but it was not easily accessible to the State or the Committee, stating, "There is no comprehensive database that collects average caseload numbers." Apparently caseload size is one of many indicators that is recorded on paper files during periodic onsite reviews of private foster homes and other similar agencies. This information is never transmitted into an electronic database. To further complicate matters, Georgia also insisted that it does not use "caseworkers," per se. Instead, the State uses the term "case *support* workers," a distinction which almost prevented SFC staff from obtaining any information about the caseload sizes in that State.

National standards for special needs children or children requiring TFC services indicate that workers should not have more than 10–12 cases per worker.⁶³ Illinois contracted with MENTOR to provide TFC services at the ratio of 10 cases per worker. The State learned that MENTOR was not in compliance with this standard. In some instances the ratio was as high as 14.5 to 1.⁶⁴ Even though Illinois required specific levels of case management, it did not maintain a data reporting system that would ensure these levels were met and monitored. Maryland indicated that, should caseloads exceed what is contracted, a corrective action plan would be put in place.

Communication. Communication within the company was also noted to be a problem. When the State of Illinois was conducting its own annual review and subsequent comprehensive investigation of MENTOR, the Illinois team had to provide copies of the two previous reports from the Illinois Office of the Inspector General to MENTOR company officials, as they were not aware of the prior investigations completed by the State about their company. Nor were company officials aware of commitments made by previous MENTOR officers as a result of these reports. In these reports, Illinois child welfare administrators noted that "interagency communication issues were evident at the onset of the review."

Multiple Violations. Maryland conducted periodic reviews of MENTOR from 2010–2015. Each review noted any specific violation of regulations. Committee staff examined 22 quarterly reviews that were conducted of MENTOR Maryland. In only two of those quarters were no violations noted. Common and repeated violations included missing documentation from employee hiring, missing foster parent case files and child client case files, concerns around

 ⁶³ Hughes, Sean and Lay, Suzanne, "Direct Service Workers' Recommendation for Child Welfare Financing and System Reform," Child Welfare League of America, January 2012.
 ⁶⁴ Illinois DCFS Report. See Footnote 42.

board management and oversight of MENTOR operations, and licensing and staffing issues. In many cases, foster parents did not document the required hours of annual training, nor did children's case files document all medical and psychiatric exams. FBI clearance checks were also incomplete in some cases. These reviews, over a period of 6 years, also noted frequent changes in the MENTOR Maryland Board's composition and a high rate of staff turnover at MENTOR.

Recruitment Bonuses. MENTOR provides incentives for recruiting new foster parents to the company. Specifically, the company provides financial incentives for employees who recruit new foster parents that result in the placement of a child through MENTOR. Employees who oversee recruitment efforts in each State are called "recruitment managers." Between 2012 and 2014, MENTOR reported paying an average of \$92,000 each year in bonuses to employees for foster parent recruitment, which is about what a State would pay annually to take care of two children who were placed with MENTOR families. According to MENTOR, this is an average of \$3,800 per recipient. In addition, MENTOR also provides incentive payments to foster parents who recruit other foster parents to have a child placed in their home through MENTOR. The financial bonus for this action is \$250 for each new foster parent/foster home. According to MENTOR records, in 2014 and the first 8 months of 2015, foster parents received bonuses totaling \$126,000 for the recruitment of new foster parents, which, using MENTOR's own numbers, would represent about 500 new foster parents. There is evidence that similar bonuses or incentives are used by State and other private foster care agencies as well.65 While Committee staff were unable to document a direct impact on child care resulting from these bonuses, further investigation regarding the use of bonuses and incentives may be warranted.

D. PHYSICAL AND MENTAL WELL-BEING OF CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE

SFC staff sought to determine the physical and mental well-being of children in foster care and if this varied by provider type. According to Federal policy, all States must develop a plan for the oversight and coordination of health-care services for children who are in foster care. This plan must involve the State's Medicaid agency and input from health-care and child welfare experts. One of the most basic elements of this plan is to determine the timeline under which children will have an initial health-care screening upon entering foster care. The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends that children and youth receive comprehensive health-

⁶⁵ For example, in Kentucky State foster parents receive bonuses ranging from \$100–\$250 for each "resource home" that is successfully recruited. State of Kentucky, Department for Community Based Services, "Chapter 12.2.3: Recruitment bonus," Standards of Practice Online Manual; http://manuals.sp.chfs.ky.gov/chapter12/22/Pages/1223RecruitmentBonus.aspx. Also, AdoptUSKids, which is a project of the Children's Bureau within HHS, recommends using recruitment incentives for both staff and existing foster/adoptive parents to increase the pool of potential placements for children. See McKenzie Consulting, Inc., "Practitioner's guide: Getting more parents for children from your recruitment efforts," AdoptUSKids, https://www.adoptuskids.org/_assets/files/NRCRRFAP/resources/practitioners-guide-getting-more-parents-from-your-recruitment-efforts.pdf.

care screenings within 30 days of entering foster care. 66 States vary considerably in their own timelines, ranging from requiring health screenings within 24 hours to 30 days after a child enters foster care. Health-care screenings are one of the items on which States are assessed in the periodic CFSRs, but a 2015 review of children's health-care needs and services by the Inspector General of HHS showed that one-third of children in foster care did not receive one of their health-care screenings. Further, one-quarter of

the children received their health-care screening late.⁶⁷

In the 5-State In-Depth Letter, States were asked to determine what portion of children in public State agencies and private agencies had a full physical and mental health assessment within 60 days of entering foster care. According to the Illinois DCFS review of MENTOR, children being served by Illinois MENTOR did not have their physical or mental health needs met in a timely manner. Mental health assessments in Illinois are to be completed within 30 days of contact with a therapist, a standard that MENTOR met for only 60% of cases reviewed by the State. Foster children served by MENTOR in Illinois waited an average of 122 days before having contact with a psychotherapist. A treatment plan is to be established within 45 days of a mental health assessment, a standard that was met for only 73% of MENTOR cases reviewed by the State. Finally, treatment plans are to be updated every 5 months, a standard which MENTOR met only 52% of the time. By way of comparison, Georgia transmitted data on physical and mental health assessments reporting compliance rates for assessments within 60 days of placement. The performance in this area was very poor for both MENTOR and the entire State, at 12% and 11%, respectively.

In other instances, MENTOR ranked better than the State averages in its compliance with the 60-day mark. In Texas, the overall rate for the State was 73%, but for MENTOR it was 84%. A similar situation was true in Maryland, where overall only 60% of children in the State were seen by a health provider for a physical and mental health exam within 60 days of entering foster care, but MEN-

TOR met this mark 69% of the time.

E. FAILURE TO IDENTIFY AND RESPOND TO RISK TO CHILDREN

The documents that were provided by MENTOR and in responses to the 5-State In-Depth Letter showed that public agencies and MENTOR repeatedly failed to identify and respond to the risk that was presented to children in out-of-home care.

During the 2015–2016 reviews that Georgia conducted with its contracted service providers, the MENTOR jurisdiction in Athens reported 41 "significant events," which included four child protection investigations (each unsubstantiated), two suicidal/homicidal threats, one "child-on-child sexual event," and two "child-to-child

⁶⁶American Academy of Pediatrics, "Fostering health: Health care for children and adolescents in foster care, 2nd edition," 2005, Report, p. 22, https://www.aap.org/en-us/advocacy-and-policy/aap-health-initiatives/healthy-foster-care-america/documents/fosteringhealthbook.ndf

pdf. 67 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General, "Not all children in foster care who were enrolled in Medicaid received required health screenings," Report, OEI-07-13-00460, March 2015, https://oig.hhs.gov/oei/reports/oei-07-13-00460.pdf.

physical confrontations." Despite these reports, the State gave this particular MENTOR jurisdiction an overall qualitative safety score of 93%. Yet, of the two caregiver homes randomly selected for the jurisdiction's annual review, the second home presented environmental risks to the foster children. The case notes reviewed by Committee staff read: "the provider stored the garden tools, rake, lawn mower and the bottom of a water cooler in the living room."

In this same review, one of the "well-being strengths" listed is the "documentation of the younger children's academic needs being met," despite the fact the review also noted the following:

- The caregiver reported the two youth have no ambition, motivation, or life goals;
- The youth refuse to attend school. . . .

Similarly, under the category of "Well-being Areas Needing Improvement," the review noted two youth failing in school, not making adequate progress, and not receiving tutoring or academic support, in addition to a lack of documentation explaining more than five unexcused absences.

A MENTOR foster parent in Texas killed one of her foster children.⁶⁸ Case notes reviewed by Committee staff indicate there were clear warning signs that the safety and well-being of children in her care were compromised before the fatal abuse, but Texas MEN-TOR failed to see the risk to the children placed with her and ultimately did not protect the children being served. MENTOR described the foster mother and her partner as "mature, responsible, healthy individuals capable of meeting the needs of a child placed in their care." Yet the records also show that the foster mother reported being overwhelmed and uncertain if she could care for foster children. Children placed in her care were removed with "negative outcomes," placements in the home "failed," and Early Childhood Intervention staff felt that children should not be in this particular foster home. Further, the foster parents were investigated by the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services for concerns about children in their foster home. Records from MENTOR report that the children in this home had bruises and the foster mother reported that the children would make false allegations against her and her partner. Texas MENTOR did not terminate their license, but instead reinforced its commitment to working with this family with case records stating that MENTOR staff "agree that this family should continue to work as foster parents. . . . We will be decreasing the number of children the family is licensed to care [for] in efforts to ensure the family remains a Mentor [sic] family.

F. ACTIONS WHEN MALTREATMENT IS SUBSTANTIATED IN FOSTER HOMES

All of the MENTOR children who were highlighted in media accounts and were maltreated by their foster parents were living in very high-risk situations. (As previously noted, in one MENTOR home, children had disclosed their maltreatment, but their disclosures were dismissed by those in a position to take action. The preceding section noted the presence of bruises on children and the in-

⁶⁸ Joseph, Brian. See Footnote 14.

vestigation of maltreatment in one MENTOR home where a child was killed.⁶⁹) As a result, in the 50-State Letter, SFC asked States about their procedures for when maltreatment is substantiated in a foster home. In such a situation, this would mean it was confirmed, founded, or substantiated that a foster child was being abused or neglected in his or her foster placement. According to data presented in a report to Congress by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the State median of maltreatment among children who were in foster care from 2010-2013 was 0.35%.70 Missouri, Wyoming, and Virginia had the lowest rates, as determined by the 2015 NCANDS and AFCARS datasets; the highest rates were in New York, Iowa, and Massachusetts.⁷¹

Of the 33 States that responded to the 50-State Letter, only 9 indicated that substantiation for abuse or neglect in a foster home would unequivocally result in the revocation of a foster home license: Arkansas, Delaware, Indiana, Kansas, Nebraska, New Hampshire, South Dakota, Tennessee, and Wisconsin. All of the other responding States report that license revocation is one possible outcome when maltreatment is substantiated.

Many of the States compared the rates of re-victimization of children in foster care based on their foster home setting: public, private non-profit, or private for-profit. There was no evidence that children who were in privatized foster care settings were more likely to be re-victimized than children in publicly run foster homes.

Some of the information provided by the States about maltreatment in foster care raised serious concerns. Massachusetts reported the number and percentage of substantiated child maltreatment episodes as a share of the total foster care population. Of the 44,240 children in substitute care during Federal fiscal years 2010– 2014, Massachusetts reported 739 instances of maltreatment in foster care, which is 1.67% of all children in care. 72 At face value, this might appear to be a relatively low rate, but as previously noted, it is well above the State median of 0.35%. Further, data used in the third round of the CFSRs shows that Massachusetts had the highest rate of child re-victimization in the Nation 73 and it steadily climbed between 2010 and 2013.74

In response to the 5-State In-Depth Letter, Maryland reported that it does not track the occurrence of maltreatment in foster care by provider or by type of provider, which means that the performance of individual contractors and type of contractor is not monitored in this way. Texas reported that "serious instances of confirmed abuse and neglect cases result in licensure revocation." But, it only reported one instance of that happening between 2010 and 2014, despite the fact that the State also provided the Committee with data showing there were 295 instances of confirmed maltreat-

⁶⁹ Roston, A. and Singer-Vine, J. See Footnote 13. ⁷⁰ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Children's Bureau, "Child Welfare Outcomes 2010–2013—Report to Congress," Report, February 1, 2016, https://www.acf.hhs.gov/cb/resource/cwo-10-13. ⁷¹ Stoltzfus, Emilie, Memorandum prepared by the Congressional Research Service. See Footnets 42.

⁷² See Appendix B, Exhibit 16—Response From Massachusetts, Office of the Governor. 73 Stoltzfus, Emilie, Memorandum prepared by the Congressional Research Service. See Foot-

ment among children in foster care in that same time period. 75 Further documentation provided by Texas shows that between 2010 and 2015 about 7% of youth in foster care had an episode of maltreatment against them substantiated; the rate was 8% for Texas MENTOR. 76

There is also a troubling example from Massachusetts that was captured by investigative journalists where the State changed its determination of whether an infant died from child abuse or neglect. 77 A 2-month-old infant died in a Massachusetts foster home that was run by MENTOR. Initially the case was ruled by the State as a death related to neglect because of unsafe sleeping conditions. There is a provision in Federal law which requires States to release information about abuse and neglect-related deaths to the public.⁷⁸ When journalists used this provision to press the Massachusetts Department of Children and Families to release information about the infant's death, the substantiation of neglect was reversed by the State—2.5 years after the death. Instead, the State ruled that the death was not related to neglect. This meant that Massachusetts was no longer required to release information about the circumstances relating to and causes of the infant's death. Accordingly, this information and the record can remain sealed from the public.

G. MENTOR INCIDENT REPORTS

The Committee staff requested that MENTOR submit all of its highest-level incident reports from FY 2005 to FY 2014 for review. This would allow SFC staff to investigate the most serious cases where children died or were seriously harmed. MENTOR submitted a total of 98 "level 4" incident reports which capture the agency's most serious incidents of injury, assault, abuse, or other similar events; 86 involved the death of a child. 79 The other cases involved

 $^{^{75}} See$ Appendix B, Exhibit 29—Response From Texas, Department of Family and Protective

Foreign Appendix B, Exhibit 25—response from France, Septices.

76 Maltreatment in foster care was for many years measured as a percentage of children in foster care who had a substantiated or indicated report of maltreatment where the perpetrator was coded as the child's foster care provider (i.e., the perpetrator was the child's foster parent or a staff member at a group home or institution where the foster child was placed). The State median data cited above, for example, uses that metric. Further, the data provided by Massachusetts and Texas on the number of children maltreated while in foster care from FY2010—FY2014 spaces to use a similar if not identical metric. By contrast, when it separately reported FY2014 appears to use a similar if not identical metric. By contrast, when it *separately* reported a *percentage* of children in Texas foster care who were maltreated, the State appears to have made this calculation based on all reports of maltreatment of children in foster care, without regard to the perpetrator of the abuse or neglect. HHS has begun to move its measurement of maltreatment of children in foster care to include maltreatment without regard to who is the perpetrator. However, the new HHS calculation, which measures incidents of maltreatment for every 100,000 days of foster care provided by the State, also takes certain steps to ensure that reports of maltreatment for children in foster care do not unintentionally capture those reports

reports of mattreatment for children in toster care do not unintentionally capture those reports that were responsible for bringing a child in to foster care.

77 Roston, Aram, "In an unmarked grave, a baby's untold story," BuzzFeed News, June 18, 2015, https://www.buzzfeed.com/aramroston/in-an-unmarked-grave-a-baby-who-died-on-for-profit-foster-co?utm_term=_wtoVkV8zl#.aqARDROwG.

78 Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act, "2.1A.4, Assurances and requirements, Access to child abuse and neglect information, Public disclosures." The full statute can be found at https://www.acfe.ba.gov/capmy/profits/

<sup>68.

79</sup> See Appendix F for a sample of the level IV incident reports provided by MENTOR. The company provided Committee staff all death-related level IV incident reports for children in foster care under MENTOR for FY2005–FY2014, with the exception of one incident report that could not be located by the company. In addition, Committee staff reviewed non-death-related level IV incident reports provided to the Committee for those years, in addition to death-related and non-death-related incident reports for FY2015. The Committee staff considered all of these reports in its analysis. Committee staff cannot draw conclusions about this full time frame given

psychiatric admissions, allegations of sexual assault perpetrated against foster children, allegations of sexual assault committed by foster children, and accidents or injuries that happened to foster children. Table 1 shows that about half of the reports (45%) involved a child with a behavioral health concern and 40% involved a child who was medically complex. Of the cases that involved a death, almost three-quarters (73%, or 62 cases) of the deaths were listed as "unexpected," which is a check box on MENTOR's incident report form.

Table 1. Summary of Incident Reports Reviewed

Area of Assessment	No.	Percent
Service Category (Indicated by MENTOR)		
Behavioral health	44	45%
Blank	2	2%
Juvenile Justice	3	3%
Medically Complex	39	40%
Missing Incident Report	1	1%
Mentally Retarded/Dev. Delay	3	3%
Other	6	6%
Total	98	100%
Death Was Expected?*		
Yes	23	27%
No	62	73%
Total **	85	100%
Was an Internal Investigation Launched?		
Yes	13	13%
No	84	87%
Total	97	100%

Table 2 shows that of the deaths that were unexpected, an internal investigation was launched only 21% of the time (13 cases—set bold in Table 2), which suggests MENTOR does not seek opportunities to learn from unexpected critical incidents. The child welfare profession, 80 along with many other professions including law en-

^{*}Calculation only includes death cases. **One incident report for a death was not provided to the Senate Finance Committee.

that the most recent reports were provided after the Committee staff's analysis and have not

been fully reviewed.

80 See the National Center for the Review and Prevention of Child Deaths, https://www.ncfrp.org/. See also: Hochstadt, N.J., "Child death review teams: a vital component of child protection," Child Welfare, 2006 July-August, 85(4): 653-70, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17039823.

forcement,81 health care,82 and transportation,83 is moving in the direction of increasing transparency and trying to learn from crises. In many cases and jurisdictions, this includes systematic reviews of incidents that result in unexpected deaths. The Committee staff also determined that in at least nine of the incidents, there were financial settlements paid to families of the victims.

Table 2. Internal Investigations and Death Expected/Unexpected

Internal Investigation?	Death Expected?		W-4-1
internal investigation?	No	Yes	Total
No	49 (79%)	23 (100%)	72
Yes	13 (21%)	0 (0%)	13
Total	62 (100%)	23 (100%)	85

At the most basic level, MENTOR's incident reports have typos, errors, inconsistencies, and missing information. More concerning instances include inaccurate information and diagnostically implausible conditions. For example:

- MENTOR's incident reports are incomplete. For example, several reports mention that an internal investigation is underway, but the outcome is never indicated. Similarly, other reports note that an investigation by law enforcement is underway, but there was never any follow-up information available from the incident reports to indicate the outcomes of these investigations.
- One MENTOR incident report was missing. In the list of incident reports that was presented to Committee staff, one incident report was not provided. In fact, the company was unable to locate the document. Yet, documentation attached to that case ID indicated that the outcome of the case was serious enough to warrant a settlement from MENTOR with the
- MENTOR's incident reports include information that is diagnostically inaccurate. For example, one report documenting the death of a 2 month-old infant described the deceased as being "oppositional." At best, this was an error. At worst, it was an actual (although implausible) diagnosis, since the conditions leading to a diagnosis of "oppositional defiant disorder" need to persist for a minimum of 6 months before a diagnosis can be made.84 Even then, it is developmentally inappropriate to give an infant this kind of diagnosis. The average age of onset for oppositional defiant disorders is be-

⁸¹ See "Critical Incident Review Library" at The Police Foundation, https://www.policefoundation.org/critical-incident-review-library/.

82 Wald, Heidi and Shojania, Kaveh G., U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, "Chapter 4: Incident reporting," Agency for Healthcare Research Quality—Archive. Retrieved March 28, 2017, https://archive.ahrq.gov/clinic/ptsafety/chap4.htm.

83 National Transportation Safety Board, "History of the National Transportation Safety Board." Retrieved March 28, 2017, https://www.ntsb.gov/Pages/default.aspx.

84 American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, "Oppositional defiant disorder: A guide for families by the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry," Report, 2009, https://www.aacap.org/App_Themes/AACAP/docs/resource_centers/odd/odd_resource_center_odd_guide.pdf.

tween ages $5-15.^{85}$ Similarly, in another case, a 4-month-old infant who also died was described as having "behavioral health" problems when the field widely recognizes that most serious mental/behavioral health conditions are not diagnosed until adolescence or early adulthood. Even when more childhood-based conditions are treated (such as attention deficit/hyper-activity disorders) the average age of onset is 4-11 years old.⁸⁶

• MENTOR's incident reports contain information that conflicts with media accounts of incident. One incident report documents the death of a 4-year-old child and states that the child died from cardiac arrest. Media accounts of that incident (which were discovered by the Committee staff based on the State and age of the deceased, as well as the date of death) indicate that the foster mother of the child was convicted of second degree manslaughter in the death of the child. MENTOR also reached a legal settlement with this family.

H. MENTOR MORTALITY REPORT

In response to the national attention concerning children who died on MENTOR's watch and the investigation by SFC staff, MENTOR conducted its own analysis of children who died in the company's care. This MENTOR "mortality report" was completed through a contract held with a research center at a public university in the company's home State (see Appendix G, Exhibits 1 and 2).⁸⁷ In this analysis, MENTOR concludes that its child death rates are in keeping with the rates of deaths among foster children and among the youth population (in general) at the national level. As discussed further in this section, these conclusions are inaccurate and they appear to misrepresent the experiences of children who are served by MENTOR. The company did not have the report independently validated. When SFC staff inquired about having the report "peer reviewed" by independent researchers with expertise in child maltreatment, MENTOR indicated that this would only be possible with the company's approval.

Committee staff found this report to be inaccurate and misleading. The report used unequal points of comparison between deaths that occurred under MENTOR's watch and national rates of the deaths of foster children, according to AFCARS. MENTOR's report included a comparison of its own annual death rate based on the total number of children in its care each year, with the national, annual death rate of foster children. This national rate is based on the number of children who were in care on the single date of September 30th, which is when annual counts are taken. The result is the appearance of the national death rate being much

⁸⁵ Kessler, Ronald C., Amminger, G. Paul, Aguilar-Gaxiola, Sergio, Alonso, Jordi, Lee, Sing, and Ustun, T. Bedirhan, "Age of onset of mental disorders: A review of recent literature," Current Opinion in Psychiatry, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2007, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1925038/.
86 Ibid.

⁸⁷ Note: On October 5, 2017, MENTOR provided Committee staff with an updated mortality analysis, which reflected data through August 2017. To the extent Committee staff feels this data meaningfully changes the analysis in the Committee Print, Committee staff will make this information available on the Committee website in the future.

higher than MENTOR's death rate, when in fact the opposite is true, as explained below.


In addition to these false conclusions, MENTOR's methods and standards of analysis are not consistent with the field, nor are they employed by HHS or the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). For example, the report stated the rate of child deaths per 100 live children, as opposed to 100,000 live children, which is what is used by HHS 88 and the CDC.89

Using the numbers provided in its own report, MENTOR's average rate of death for 2010-2014 is .074 per 100 foster children served (or as the field would express it, 74 per 100,000 children served), as compared with national rates of .052 per 100 foster children served (or 52 per 100,000 children served). Yet, MENTOR concludes: "The MENTOR Network serves significantly more children and youth with heightened risk factors relative to others in foster care, and sustains child mortality rates that are comparable with national norms" (bold emphasis in the original document). In fact, MENTOR's death rate among foster children is 42% higher than the national average.

Figure 1 demonstrates MENTOR's misleading display of information. The red comment box points to MENTOR's inclusion of a national death rate that is based on point-in-time counts of the number of children in foster care on a single day. Using this number in the denominator makes it look like the death rate among children in foster care is higher than is actually the case. The Committee staff concluded that this information is not a valid comparison. The black comment box points to the national death rate that is based on the total numbers of children served annually in foster care, which provides a more accurate estimate of the death rate among foster children. The blue comment box points to the death rate among foster children who are being served by MENTOR. This is also based on the number of children served annually by MEN-TOR. This chart clearly shows that the blue bar and rate, which captures MENTOR's death rate, is higher than the black bar and rate, which captures the comparable national death rate of children who are in foster care.

Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, National Vital Statistics System, "Deaths: Final Data for 2014." National Vital Statistics Reports, Vol. 65, No. 4. June 30, 2016, https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr65/nvsr65_04.pdf.

⁸⁸ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth, and Families, Children's Bureau, "Child Maltreatment 2015," Report, January 19, 2017, https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/cm2015.pdf. 89 Kochanek, K.D., Murphy, S.L., Xu, J., and Tejada-Vera, B., U.S. Department of Health and




Further, in its mortality report, MENTOR also states: "Mortality rate in TMN [The MENTOR Network] foster care programs has been very similar to, and more recently equal to or better than, national norms." To substantiate this, MENTOR provided a line chart of death rates for 2009–2014, with data points that are too small to decipher and no numbers. The chart appears intended to capture the national death rate among those aged 0–22. Regardless, this chart is also misleading. Mortality information collected by Committee staff from the CDC Wide-ranging Online Data for Epidemiologic Research (WONDER) database shows that the national death rate in the United States between 2009 and 2014 among those aged 0–22 is 54.7 per 100,000 in the population. That means that the MENTOR death rate (74 per 100,000) among youth aged 0–22 is 35% higher than the national average.

Furthermore, MENTOR's graphical analyses only focused on 5 years of data, 2009–2014, but in its report, MENTOR included 10 years of data. Figure 2 displays the full 10 years of rates of children's deaths per 100,000 for 2005–2014. There are only 2 years during which MENTOR's death rates were at or below the foster care population and national averages.⁹¹

 $^{^{90} \, {\}rm For}$ more information about the WONDER database, visit its website https://wonder.cdc.gov/.

 $^{^{91}}$ The national data in Figure 2 is for youth ages 0–22, which is the same age range that MENTOR used in their mortality report.



Finally, in the MENTOR conclusion cited above in this section, the company highlights its contention that it serves "significantly more children and youth with heightened risk factors" and therefore a higher death rate should be expected among its served population. However, as noted earlier in the report, when the Committee staff examined MENTOR's incident reports, it found that of the cases involving a death, nearly three-quarters of those cases (73%) indicated that MENTOR itself concluded the death was "unexpected." In short, MENTOR's own incident reports do not support the conclusion that MENTOR's fatality rate is attributable to these heightened risk factors.

I. FINANCIAL SETTLEMENTS FROM MENTOR

The documentation provided by MENTOR allowed Committee staff to review over 20 financial settlement agreements resulting from alleged negligence and/or damages. However, this did not capture the full range of such settlements. According to documents reviewed by Committee staff in reference to Maryland dated between 2005 and 2015, there were 22 settlements of claims against MEN-TOR. Illinois submitted materials to the Committee indicating that there had been nine similar settlements in the State. In almost all of the MENTOR legal documents the plaintiffs and settlement amounts are redacted. However, the documents from Illinois cite a total of \$19.5 million in payouts. Given the numerous settlements made between MENTOR and dozens of parties, MENTOR has likely paid many millions of dollars in wrongful suit settlements. In fact, in its 2014 SEC filings, MENTOR wrote: "Several years ago, we experienced a spike in claims filed against the Company, and we could face an increase in claims in the future. As a result of the prior increase in claims, we received less favorable insurance terms and have expensed greater amounts to fund potential claims." 92

⁹² Civitas Solutions, Inc., 2014 10-K Filing (September 30, 2014), p. 13. See Footnote 2.

V. OVERSIGHT OF CHILD WELFARE SERVICES AND PROTECTING VULNERABLE CHILDREN

The States that responded to the 50-State Letter were adamant about their oversight of children in their foster care systems, regardless of the nature of the children's placement. That said, the information provided to and reviewed by SFC staff describes systems that do not always keep children safe or allow their performance to be readily evaluated. In fact, one State—Massachusetts—failed to comply with a request from the Committee to submit more detailed information about its child welfare services. To further complicate matters, the complex and fragmented nature of the child welfare system makes it difficult for the Federal Government, and others, to monitor the operations and outcomes of children who are involved in the system. Some of the problems SFC staff encountered in trying to evaluate and compare the performance of States and providers through this review are as follows:

- Georgia does not specifically record data about children needing TFC services, which means the State is likely unable to track the well-being of this subpopulation (and likely many others).
- Data from Illinois provided point-in-time measurements for a single date on two key measures (siblings placed in same living arrangement and average caseload per caseworker), as opposed to over a period, such as a fiscal year or multiple fiscal years. SFC staff repeatedly raised this issue with Illinois staff and requested a State average across all providers which would have given the Committee at least some benchmark for comparison purposes. The State was unable to provide this information.
- Maryland only provided the Committee with data on private TFC placements, as opposed to data about their entire child welfare population with subpopulations that might have included those needing TFC placements.
- Not all child welfare indicators are available electronically. Some States collect information, perform reviews, and maintain data in paper files that are never entered into an electronic database or that are never synthesized into a single report or review. Without more systematic procedures in place, it is almost impossible for States to have any meaningful oversight over their own systems or the agencies that provide contracted services for them.
- The field lacks a consistent language about child welfare services, clients, and operations, which makes it difficult to make comparisons between States and between providers. For example:
 - There is no uniform definition of what constitutes TFC. Some States use the term "treatment foster care," instead of "therapeutic foster care," although the spirit of the definition, the needs of the children, and the services provided would be similar. Other common terms used include "special needs" children or "medically fragile" children.

- One State does not use the term "caseworkers" and would not provide client-to-caseworker ratios until SFC staff established that this State called these employees "case support workers." MENTOR calls its caseworkers "child welfare specialists."
- The terms that States use to refer to the private agencies with which they contract to provide foster care services vary as well. Some States refer to these agencies as "child placement agencies." Another common term is "contract agencies" or "foster care agencies."
- States have varying definitions and conceptualizations of what it is that private child welfare agencies do in their States. Some States do not use the term "child placement agencies" to refer to contract agencies, while others do. This is because the State has control over and responsibility for placing children in foster homes. So when the Committee asked States, "What proportion of the children in foster care in your State is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers," many States indicated "zero" for anything outside of the public agency because they maintain that all children are placed by the State. Other States (which maintained that the public agency places all children) still provided rates of children placed by contracted agencies. This meant it was impossible for SFC staff to determine the proportion of children living in homes that for all intents and purposes are run by private agencies.
- With regard to substantiations of maltreatment in foster care, the Committee asked States to indicate in "how many of these instances . . . were children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?" Some States indicated "zero" for the first two categories, again because they maintain that children in their State are not placed by contracted agencies, they are only placed by public agencies, even if the foster parents work for and are managed by a contracted agency. Thus, the information obtained

from different States was not always comparable.

• Other States maintain that public employees provide full case management services for the children in their care, yet private agency workers set up appointments for the clients, make arrangements for services, provide transportation, provide support for foster parents, and visit the children in their foster care placements. According to the National Association for Social Workers, the definition of case management services is: "A process to plan, seek, advocate for, and monitor services from different social services or health care organizations and staff on behalf of a client." ⁹³ This seems consistent with the services private agencies provide children who are in the protective care and custody of their State, even if the public agencies call it otherwise.

 $^{^{93}\,\}rm National~Association~of~Social~Workers,$ "NASW Standards for Social Work Case Management," Report, 2013, https://www.socialworkers.org/practice/naswstandards/CaseManagement~Standards2013.pdf.

- The Committee staff noted the various ways and outcomes by which child welfare agencies and government documents discuss the maltreatment of children involved with child welfare agencies. CFSRs, which are implemented by HHS and monitor State-level child welfare outcomes, track the percentage of any recurrence of maltreatment among all children in the State. The standard: States should not be above 9.1%. In 2013, 19 States failed to meet this benchmark.
- Maltreatment of children in foster care is measured as the number of children who were victims of substantiated maltreatment per 100,000 days spent in foster care. The national standard is set at 8.5 per 100,000 days. In 2013, 22 States exceeded this standard. A document prepared by HHS for Congress showed that the State median of maltreatment of children in foster care is 0.35%. Meanwhile, individual States submitted rates to SFC that ranged from 2% to 20%. These varying ways for measuring and reporting the same construct make it difficult for regulators to monitor outcomes and the well-being of children involved with their State's child welfare system.
- The third round of CFSRs is being conducted between 2015 and 2018. In late 2016, HHS discovered an error in the syntax the Department used to electronically gather information from the States regarding their program performance. Information is still being gathered, but States that submitted data before the error was discovered will not be assessed in the areas that were affected by the syntax error. Thus, a major Federal mechanism that is in place for monitoring child welfare performance in the States is not fully functional and means that for some States, there will be approximately a 10-year gap on the Federal assessment of some child welfare performance indicators.

Not all of the States responded to inquiries from the Com-

Seventeen States failed to respond to the 50-State Letter. Those States were: Arizona, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Louisiana, Maine, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Vermont, and Virginia.

Massachusetts failed to respond to the 5-State In-Depth Letter. Despite repeated assurances from the Massachusetts Department of Children and Family Services that "continuing efforts are being made to collect and prepare the information" with "the full intention to send a response to the Committee," a response to the Committee's questions was never received.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Despite the limitations on information supplied to the Committee, there is sufficient information from this single private agency and the States to show that children who are under the legal authority of their State are often ill-served. Some children served by MENTOR were abused, neglected, and denied services, and the

very State agencies that have been charged with and paid to keep them safe have likely contributed to their suffering and deaths. As documented by MENTOR's incident reports, investigations about fatalities were never followed up, autopsy reports which were pending years ago are not part of case files, and the vast majority of children who died were not the subject of an internal investigation—even when their deaths were unexpected. MENTOR issued a report to the Committee which falsely claimed that its death rates are in keeping with national death rates and the rates of death among children in the foster care system. Add to this complicated narrative the fact that the families of MENTOR victims have received millions of dollars in financial settlements, significant enough for MENTOR to have received less favorable terms from its insurer in 2014. The Committee staff recognizes that a case study of one provider does not allow for direct comparisons with other individual providers, and thus, cannot draw conclusions regarding MENTOR's operations in relation to other contracted agencies, forprofit or otherwise. Regardless, information collected for this report shows that MENTOR repeatedly placed the health and well-being of children at risk.

State agencies would likely counter concerns about their performance by noting the procedures they have in place to monitor private agencies. For example, Illinois notes that all licensed foster homes are physically inspected at least twice each year, with licenses valid for 4 years. Maryland states that it assesses all private TFC providers quarterly. This same State noted that providers can find themselves on the agency's "hotlist" by not complying with contractual obligations or by committing license violations. In such situations, providers would not be able to take in new clients until a corrective action plan is generated and subsequently completed. Even though MENTOR repeatedly failed to meet all State licensing criteria in 20 of the 22 quarters reviewed that the Committee examined, MENTOR continues to operate in Maryland. Illinois described a similar regulatory approach, but MENTOR no longer operates in that State.

State oversight guidelines are in place in almost every State in the Nation, either written into State statute or as part of agency policy. This investigation and precipitating media reports show that these policies are not always followed, exceptions are made, waivers are granted, and sometimes professionals serving children look the other way.

The documents reviewed in this investigation show a system that does not always protect children. Profits are sometimes prioritized over children's safety and well-being. Turnover among staff sometimes makes it impossible to develop case plans to ensure that children are "on-track" and being monitored. Foster parents with questionable backgrounds who seemingly lack the skills to provide care to vulnerable children are given licenses to parent challenging children and then are often inadequately monitored. Further, the data and oversight structures at both the State and Federal level make it difficult and sometimes impossible to monitor the operations of the child welfare system itself, as well as its private contractors. Thus, the bipartisan Committee staff sets forth the following recommendations.

VII. RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations for States and Tribes

 Improve outreach, customer service, and support services for those interested in becoming foster parents to attract and retain high-quality foster families.

Support enhanced oversight of foster families to ensure robust background checks, home study assessments, and ongo-

ing placement oversight.

Frequently review performance of child welfare service providers/contractors to ensure child safety, permanency,

and well-being standards are being met.

Track child safety and well-being related outcomes at the individual provider level, including whether children served by specific providers have higher than average needs (e.g., medically fragile, special needs, or therapeutic foster care placement, etc.).

Set standards for maximum caseload size for child welfare workers, which may include differentiated standards based on variations in case type (e.g., medically fragile children, children in therapeutic foster care placements, etc.) or activity (e.g., investigations of abuse or neglect, case planning for

children in foster care).

Provide greater funding for the training of front-end staff charged with making removal and placement setting decisions for children entering foster care or at risk of entry.

 Revoke contracts from child welfare service providers who are unable to demonstrate the capacity to provide safe foster

care placements for children.

Provide subsidized guardianship payments to relatives willing and able to provide safe placements for children who can no longer remain at home.

Ensure child death review teams are transparent, timely, and well-staffed. Require the timely publication of the results of child death reviews while ensuring appropriate and

robust privacy protection of sensitive data.

Make placement setting decisions based on the assessed strengths and needs of children entering foster care using an age-appropriate, evidence-based, validated, functional assessment tool to ensure children receive the appropriate level of care in the least restrictive, most family-like environment.

Establish child welfare ombudsman offices through which children in care, family members, child welfare workers, foster parents, whistleblowers, and members of the public at large can submit comments and concerns about misconduct within the child welfare system.

Recommendations for the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

 Work to engage States, Congress, and the broader child welfare community in understanding the purpose and Statespecific relevance of the CFSRs and ensure this process contributes to meaningful improvement and reform.

 Seek and provide clarification on how States and Tribes are defining, using, and overseeing the delivery of Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) and establish a common definition of TFC

for the purposes of Medicaid and title IV-E.

• Develop a uniform definition of "child abuse and neglect fatality" and provide guidance related to determining and reporting such fatalities and ensure States and Tribes are using this new definition when reporting data via the National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS).

 Aid States in developing the means and mechanisms to accurately collect provider-specific outcomes data, consistent with the metrics and definitions associated with AFCARS,

NCANDS, and the CFSRs.

 Establish maximum caseload guidelines to promote manageable caseload sizes for the child welfare workforce.

Recommendations for Congress

- Support both funding and oversight for States and Tribes to enhance foster parent recruitment and retention activities to ensure robust background checks, home studies, ongoing placement oversight, and strong support services for foster parents.
- Support both funding and oversight for States and Tribes to enhance caseworker recruitment and retention activities to ensure child welfare caseworkers are both prepared to enter the field and given the support services necessary to carry out their jobs effectively.

 Allow States and Tribes to use title IV-E funds to support evidence-based services aimed at safely preventing foster

care entries.

• Consider de-linking subsidized guardianship payments from the Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) income standard so that States and Tribes can receive a Federal match on behalf of all children placed in subsidized guardianship placements and promote equity in the payment rate for kinship placements.

for kinship placements.

Require all States to report to the National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS) using standard definitions and provide support for this data collection and reporting.

- Consider legislation creating an explicit private right of action for children and youth in foster care tied to components of the case plan and case review requirements defined under section 475 of the Social Security Act.⁹⁴
- Consider statutory changes requiring HHS to assess fiscal penalties on States for failing to meet CFSR outcomes or system requirements and develop a penalty reinvestment structure under which assessed penalties must be used by the State to address the key identified deficiencies (rather than be deposited into the Federal Treasury).
- Consider amending section 479A of the Social Security Act to require States to collect, and HHS to audit, provider-

 $^{^{94}\}mbox{``Compilation}$ of the Social Security Laws," Social Security Act, section 475, $https://www.ssa.gov/OP\mbox{\ }Home/ssact/title04/0475.htm.$

specific child outcomes data in addition to State-specific data on outcomes such as: child fatalities, maltreatment in care, recurrence of maltreatment within 6 months, exits from foster care by reason for the exit (adoption or guardianship, reunification, emancipation), time to reunification, re-entry rates, and the average number of placements. Ensure this performance data is available to the public and considered by States or Tribes before making or renewing a contract with the provider.

• Consider prohibiting Federal title IV—E reimbursements for providers who consistently perform poorly on key safety, permanency, and well-being indicators. Charge HHS with auditing States and providers to determine which providers shall be excluded from Federal title IV—E reimbursement.

 Require States to make their contracts with private child welfare service providers publicly available and include details on whether such providers are private not-for-profit or private for-profit.

Appendix A

DOUBLE MATERIALIST CHAMBAAA

CHUCK GRASSILEY, IDWA
MIKIC GARPO, IDAHO
PAT ROBERTS, KANSAS
MICHAEL B. FUZZ, WYOMING
JUNK CONIN'N, TEXAS
JUNN THANES, ESUTH DAKOTA
RICKARD BUBN, NORTH CAROLINA
JUNNY THANES, SON, GEORGIA
JUNNY TASASON, GEORGIA
PATRICK, J. TOMMEY, PENNSYLVANIA
DAMBE, COATS, NIDANIA
DAMBE, COATS, NIDANIA

RON WYDEN, OREGON
CHARLES E SCHUMER, NEW YORK
CHARLES E SCHUMER, NEW YORK
DEBBE STARENOV, MICHEGAN
MARIE CANTIVELL, WARRINGTON
ROUSEN'S KINDEN
ROUSEN'S KINDEN
ROUSEN'S KINDEN
ROUSEN'S KINDEN
ROUSEN'S KINDEN
ROUSEN
ROUSE

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6200

April 24, 2015

CHRIS CAMPBELL, STAFF DIRECTOR JOSHUA SHEINKMAN, DEMOCRATIC STAFF DIRECTOR

Dear Governor:

When children are removed from the custody of their parents due to abuse or neglect, as lawmakers, we have an obligation to ensure their safety and well-being. The reality is that there is no clear child welfare "system" but rather a complex structure consisting of overlapping Federal, State, County and Tribal laws and practices carried out by a mix of public and private entities. At times, this structure leads to finger pointing and confusion when it comes to the question of who is responsible when something goes wrong. In recent months, a particularly troubling series from BuzzFeed News reporting on the practices of a national private foster care provider network has raised the salience of this question once again.

The United States Senate Finance Committee has jurisdiction over Title IV of the Social Security Act (SSA), which includes the federal foster care and adoption programs. As Chairman and Ranking Member of the Senate Finance Committee, we are writing for background and information on your state's policy and practices relative to privatized foster care.

We are aware that states are increasingly contracting with private entities or organizations to administer some or all of their foster care programs. However, the extent and structure of these arrangements are less clear. To help us better understand these public-private partnerships, please provide the Senate Finance Committee with the following information no later than May 29, 2015.

- To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).
- What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?
- Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.
- Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?
- Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.
- Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-public providers operating in your state.

- How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the
 last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related
 to children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?
- Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is
 in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was
 place by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

We thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. Please submit your response electronically to: becky_shipp@finance.senate.gov and laura_berntsen@finance.senate.gov. Any additional inquires may be directed to Becky Shipp, Health and Human Resources Policy Advisor, Senate Finance Committee or Laura Berntsen, Senior Human Services Advisor, Senate Finance Committee at 202-224-4515.

Sincerely,

Orrin G. Hatch Chairman

Ron Wyden Ranking Member

Appendix B



State of Alabama Department of Human Resources

S, Gordon Persons Building 50 Ripley Street P. O. Box 304000 Montgomery, Alabama 36130-4000 (334) 242-1310 www.dhr.alabama.gov



May 29, 2015

Senator Orin Hatch, Chairman Senator Ron Wyden, Ranking Member United States Senate Committee on Finance Washington, DC 20510-6200

Dear Senator Hatch and Senator Wyden:

Governor Robert Bentley has forwarded your letter of 04/24/2015 to me for response. The Alabama Department of Human Resources is the state agency charged with the responsibility for providing services under the auspices of Title IV of the Social Security Act (SSA). In your letter you seek clarification on Alabama's policy and practices related to privatized foster care. I hope that the following information proves helpful to you.

To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

From the point of initial investigation/assessment, agency staff of the Department of Human Resources (DHR) makes decisions on all children and youth removed from their families for abuse and/or neglect. Imminent safety of a child in his/her own home is of paramount concern in the decision to place a child in foster (out of home) care. To ascertain the specific needs of the individual child and family, DHR initiates an individualized service planning process that must be done for all children in out of home care. Departmental staff convenes a planning group known as the Child and Family Planning Team. This team consists of individuals involved in planning and/or delivery of services for a child and family. It will include the parents, the age-appropriate child, others requested by the family or child, the DHR worker, the foster care provider, and other service providers if any. The team's work product is known as the Individualized Service Plan (ISP). Alabama DHR staff maintains responsibility for all case planning and case decisions through the

An Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

utilization of Individualized Service Plans (ISPs) which bring all parties relevant to the case together to craft a plan for the child. These plans are facilitated by the Alabama DHR Social Worker and updated regularly. Throughout the entire service implementation process, departmental staff maintains case management responsibility. Decisions as to foster care placements are predicated on a variety of factors including proximity to family, schools if applicable, matching of children with foster parents/appropriate providers and placement with siblings if possible.

Exceptions exist for tribal investigation/placement process, but for all other placements, departmental staff exclusively provides case management and oversight of foster care placements.

What proportion of children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not- for- profit providers, and for-profit providers?

100 % of the children in foster care to include residential, therapeutic foster care and transitional/independent living programs are placed by the public agency with the exception of tribal placements noted above. Neither not-for-profits nor for-profit providers make foster care placements.

Please provide the number and names of the private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.

All of these core services (placement and case management services) are provided exclusively by the public agency (DHR). Other services are provided externally by contract providers (See attachment A).

Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

Alabama approves most of its foster care providers and all of its child placing agencies or entities. These child placing agencies (private foster care entities) also approve foster homes. We have no requirements for accreditation. Many providers seek accreditation through the Council on Accreditation (COA) or Joint Commission.

Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

Alabama goes through a standardized and very thorough Request for Proposal (RFP) process when it comes to contracting with foster care providers. All RFPs are posted on the state DHR website with sufficient lead time to respond if interested. The document specifies timeline for submission, format requirements, project overview and instructions, eligible entities and any licensing requirements. It offers the opportunities to ask

specific questions about the RFP and review the department's responses. It details both mandatory and general requirements in order to respond; provides cost proposal information as well as submission deadlines. Providers interested in service provision and meeting licensing requirements submit their proposals by the stated deadline. These proposals are then reviewed and scored internally by departmental staff based on the quality of the proposal. Contracts are offered based on scores, service proximity to placement needs, and number of slots available for contract. Rates will be established based on the parameters of the RFP and contracts will be negotiated for the next contract cycle. This contract will have a two year cycle, with the state having the ability to extend the contract for any combination of two year or single year extensions up to three additional years. It is the responsibility of our Office of Resource Management to monitor contract compliance.

Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-profit providers operating in your state.

All foster care providers go through a rigorous licensing process that is specific for the type of foster care that they wish to provide (group homes, institutions, therapeutic foster care (TFC), shelters, etc.). For congregate care providers, this process begins with an application that incorporates state mandated compliance with minimum standards that are service appropriate. These minimum standards address issues such as staffing requirements, staff development, social services, admissions/discharges, program issues around physical care, child care practices including discipline and punishment, the physical facilities including compliance with code, living unit accommodations, medicines and drugs and safety plans. All employees must have Federal and State Criminal History Checks and Clearances by Alabama's Child Abuse Central Registry.

When the licensing application is received by the department, the application is reviewed for completeness, and onsite visits by licensing staff are made. If it is determined that the facility is in compliance with minimum standards for the service in question, a license/approval will be issued by the department. A follow up visit will be made in six (6) months to monitor compliance, and a two-year license/approval will be issued. The program will be re-visited in the last year of licensing and will be visited anytime there are complaints. These visits may be announced or unannounced to monitor compliance. Traditional foster care home providers may either be approved by DHR staff or licensed/approved by an approved Child Placing Agency (which is also licensed by DHR). The process is similar to that completed by congregate care providers. An application to foster is submitted to DHR which includes a completed physical examination for each adult member of the household as well as a statement from a licensed practicing medical doctor that all other

household members are free from infectious and contagious diseases. Financial information is obtained, an Alabama and Federal criminal history check is obtained, and the state child abuse registry is reviewed. References are contacted, and a home study is completed. Continuing education requirements are detailed as well as any other specific requirements. The DHR staff and/or the child placing agency will make supervisory visits to the foster home, either announced or unannounced as often as necessary to assure the well- being of the child or children. Approval of foster home status shall be for one year. This process is duplicated for Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC).

How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of those instances related to children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?

The details by fiscal year are as follows:

FY 2010 - 3 FY 2011 - 15

FY 2012 - 14

FY 2013 - 7

FY 2014 - 13

For all of the substantiated cases of abuse in a foster care placement, the placement decision was made by this agency.

Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-forprofit provider, or a for-profit provider?

DHR staff investigates all Child Abuse/Neglect reports. The child is our main focus during all investigations, with that focus being exclusively on maintaining child safety. The protocol for initiating investigations of all reports may vary slightly based on the placement setting, (i.e., DHR approved foster homes; foster homes approved by private child-placing agencies, group homes, and child care institutions). During the investigation a child's placement may be changed to ensure child safety. Residential providers may suspend or terminate identified employees during the investigation. The department can also decide to suspend all referrals to the provider until the investigation is completed. At the point that an investigation determines that a complaint is indicated (substantiated), all individuals / parties are notified. The notification is considered a preliminary disposition and must include information on due process rights. These notifications may be hand-delivered or mailed (both first-class and certified). Upon completion of the due process protocol, child welfare staff shall enter the final disposition into the Central Registry for indicated dispositions.

When foster parents have been identified as responsible for the abuse/ neglect, the foster parent's right to due process does not preclude DHR's right to remove foster children from the foster home before the hearing. Decisions to remove any child from the foster home will be based on safety threats to the child.

The general process is the same for group homes or institutions. Once the complaint has been investigated and has an "indicated" finding, the due process protocols apply. If the indicated status is upheld after the due process hearing, staff in question are terminated from employment and entered into the Central Registry; and the foster home will likely be closed.

Please note that the actions do not differ across agency types as the public agency (DHR) is the only agency making placement decisions.

If you have any further questions regarding Alabama's foster care system, please contact me at 334-242-9500.

Respectfully submitted,

Nancy T. Buckner Commissioner

NTB/PJB

Enclosure: Copy of Providers and Services

Providers and Services

Attachment A

Therapeutic Foster Care

- 1. Brewer-Porch Children's Center (Non-Profit)
- 2. SAFY (Non-Profit)
- 3. United Methodist Children's Home (Non-Profit)
- 4. Lee County Youth Development Center (Non-Profit)
- 5. Alabama Mentor (Profit)
- 6. Youth Villages (Non-Profit)
- 7. Seraaj Family Homes, Inc. (Profit)
- 8. Gateway (Non-Profit)
- 9. St. Mary's Home (Non-Profit)
- 10. Christian Services (Non-Profit)
- 11. Growing Homes (Non-Profit)
- 12. Alabama Clinical Schools (Non-Profit)
- 13. Camellia Therapeutic Foster Agency (Profit)

Basic Residential

- 1. United Methodist Children's Home (Non-Profit)
- 2. Presbyterian Home for Children (Non-Profit)
- 3. Thirteenth Place, Inc. (Non-Profit)
- 4. Brantwood Children's Home (Non-Profit)
- 5. Childhaven, Inc. (Non-Profit)
- 6. Children's Service, Inc. (Non-Profit)
- 7. Boys & Girls Ranches of Alabama (Non-Profit)
- 8. Grace House Ministries (Non-Profit)
- 9. Children's Village, Inc. (Non-Profit)
- 10. St. Mary's Home (Non-Profit)
- 11. Weldy Home (Non-Profit)
- 12. Eagle Rock Boys Ranch (Non-Profit)
- 13. King's Home, Inc. (Non-Profit)
- 14. Boyd School, Inc. (Profit)
- 15. North Alabama Christian Children's Home (Non-Profit)
- 16. Atonement, Inc. (Profit)
- 17. Tears, Inc. (Non-Profit)
- 18. King's Home, Inc. (Non-Profit)
- 19. Harris Home (Non-Profit)

Moderate Residential

- 1. Lee County Youth Development Center (Non-Profit)
- 2. Chrysalis A Home for Girls (Non-Profit)
- 3. St. Mary's Home (Non-Profit)
- 4. King's Home, Inc. (Chelsea) (Non-Profit)
- 5. Presbyterian Home for Children (Non-Profit)
- 6. Tri-Will, Inc. (Profit)
- 7. SafetyNet Youth Systems, LLC (Profit)
- 8. Concerned Citizens for Our Youth, Inc. (Non-Profit)
- 9. Boyd School (Profit)
- 10. Eagle Rock Boys Ranch (Non-Profit)
- 11. Pathway, Inc. (Profit)
- 12. New Life Center for Change-Teen University (Non-Profit)
- 13. UA Brewer-Porch Children's Center (Non-Profit)
- 14. Family Garden, Inc. (Profit)

Intensive Residential

- 1. Lee County Youth Development Center (Non-Profit)
- 2. Southeastern Psychiatric Management, Inc. dba Mountain View Hospital (Profit)
- 3. Glenwood Mental Health Services (Non-Profit)
- 4. UA Brewer-Porch Children's Center (Non-Profit)
- 5. Gateway (Non-Profit)
- 6. Sequel, TSI (Madison) (Profit)
- 7. Sequel, TSI (Owens Cross Roads) (Profit)
- 8. Laurel Oaks Behavioral Health Center (Profit)
- 9. Hill Crest Behavioral Health Services (Profit)
- 10. AltaPointe Health Systems- BayPointe (Non-Profit)
- 11. SafetyNet Youth Systems, LLC (Profit)
- 12. Pathway, Inc. (Profit)
- 13. St. Mary's Home (Non-Profit)
- 14. Sequel, TSI (Courtland)(Profit)
- 15. Sequel, TSI (Tuskegee) (Profit)
- 16. Hill Crest BHS (Bessemer) (Profit)
- 17. Hill Crest BHS (Higdon) (Profit)
- 18. AltaPointe (Adolescent Independency Program (Non-Profit)

Transitional Living Program

- 1. Lee County Youth Development Center (Non-Profit)
- 2. United Methodist Children's Home (Non-Profit)
- 3. Brantwood Children's Home (Non-Profit)
- 4. Harris Home for Children, Inc. (Non-Profit)
- 5. Childhaven, Inc. (Non-Profit)
- 6. Chyrsalis A Home for Girls (Non-Profit)

- 7. SAFY (Non-Profit)
- 8. King's Home, Inc. (Chelsea) (Non-Profit)
- 9. Alabama Mentor (Profit)
- 10. Camellia Therapeutic Foster Agency (Profit)
- 11. AltaPointe Health Systems (Non-Profit)
- 12. Grace House Ministries (Non-Profit)
- 13. King's Home, Inc. (Wilsonville) (Non-Profit)

Independent Living Programs

- 1. Gateway (Birmingham) (Non-Profit)
- 2. AltaPointe Health Systems, Inc. (Non-Profit)
- 3. Lee County Youth Development Center (Non-Profit)
- 4. Brantwood Children's Home (Non-Profit)
- 5. SAFY (Non-Profit)
- 6. King's Home, Inc. (Non-Profit)
- 7. Harris Home for Children, Inc. (Non-Profit)
- 8. Childhaven, Inc. (Non-Profit)
- 9. Eagle Rock Boys Ranch (Non-Profit)
- 10. Gateway (Huntsville) (Non-Profit)

Moms and Bables

- 1. United Methodist Children's Home (Non-Profit)
- 2. Childhaven, Inc. (Non-Profit)
- 3. Seraaj Family Home, Inc. (Profit)
- 4. Alabama Mentor (Profit)
- 5. SAFY (Non-Profit)

Sexual Rehabilitation

- 1. Sequel (Profit)
- 2. Alabama Clinical School (Non-Profit)
- 3. Hill Crest Behavioral Health Services (Profit)



Department of Health and Social Services

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE

P.O. Box 110601 Juneau, Alaska 99811-0601 Main: 907.465.1616 Fax: 907.465.3068

May 29, 2015

Senator Orrin G. Hatch Senator Ron Wyden United States Senate Committee on Finance Washington, DC 20510-6200

Dear Senator Hatch and Senator Wyden:

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to your inquiry regarding children removed from the custody of their parents due to abuse and neglect, and how Alaska pursues placement of children into the out-of-home care settings. Below is Alaska's response to your specific questions:

 To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g. placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

In Alaska, not-for-profit and for-profit providers for foster care do not exist at this time.

The Office of Children's Services (OCS) does utilize in-state, non-profit agencies, called Child Placement Agencies (CPA), to provide therapeutic foster care and intensive supports (including case management) to children who require higher levels of support and therapeutic interventions. Child Placement Agencies are licensed through OCS, and foster homes that work for the CPA are also independently licensed directly through the OCS. Thus, OCS directly licenses both the foster home and the Child Placement Agency for which the foster home works. The CPA may recommend a foster home placement for a child in custody; however, the placement cannot be made without the approval of the child's OCS caseworker.

In addition, the Division of Behavioral Health reimburses for therapeutic services for intensive supports through Medicaid funding. All of these services receive strong oversight by the Division of Behavioral Health, through site visits and funding reviews.

Response to United State Senate: Committee on Finance May 29, 2015 Page 2

2) What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

There are two populations of children who require foster care in Alaska: 1) children and youth who are in the custody of the state through either OCS or the Division of Juvenile Justice (DJJ); and 2) children who are in the custody of their parents, in which the parents are requesting therapeutic foster care services and supports for their children.

The majority of children placed in foster care are placed by the OCS or DJJ. The total number of children currently in the care for state custody children is approximately 2,600. There is a small proportion of children (200) who are placed in foster care at Child Placement Agencies by their parents, who are not in the custody of the Department of Health and Social Services (DHSS or the Department). In these cases, the CPAs are required to keep the parents actively involved in the treatment needs of the children in private placements. Placement changes cannot be made without the approval or consent of the parents.

3) Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.

None. Private entities (for-profit or not-for-profit) do not provide core services to children in out-of-home care in Alaska.

4) Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is the accreditation renewed?

The Division of Behavioral Health approves provider agencies to operate behavioral health services and to bill Medicaid services in our state. Those providers need to be accredited by the Joint Commission, Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities (CARF) or Council on Accreditation (COA). The frequency of renewal is based on the criteria for each accrediting agent.

5) Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

The Office of Children's Services and the DHSS does not contract directly with these private entities for purposes of child placement. The DHSS utilizes Medicaid funding and grant services to support the

Response to United State Senate: Committee on Finance May 29, 2015
Page 3

more intensive level of care for children; however, the placement decisions are made either by the Department placement worker or the parents (if the child remains in the parents' legal custody).

The Alaska DHSS has an extensive grants and contracts process in which performance-based measures and outcomes are encouraged for all service contracts.

6) Describe in detail the process your states uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit and for-profit providers operating in your state.

Under state statutes AS 47.32 and AS 47.05 and state regulations 7 AAC 50 and 7 AAC 10, the Department has a statutory responsibility to inspect all licensed facilities, inclusive of licensed foster homes, at least once a year for the first two years of licensure, and then once every other year under a biennial licensing process. All agencies that provide services to children and youth are licensed through a DHSS licensing entity.

7) How many instances of abuse in foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many instances related to children placed by: not-for-profit, for-profit providers and public providers.

Between January 1, 2010, and December 31, 2014, 269 allegations of maltreatment in foster care placements have been substantiated in CPS Provider Investigation CPS Reports. Since OCS staff members are the only people 'placing' children, there are no numbers for any children placed by contracted providers (for profit or not-for-profit).

8) Describe in detail the actions taken when abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

When an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out-of-home placement, the case would go through two tracks: 1) a CPS investigation is conducted to determine if the report is substantiated; and 2) a licensing investigation is conducted to determine if there are violations to licensing standards. If the abuse is substantiated, the child's placement worker is notified and a decision will be made to either leave the child in the foster home or remove the child from the home to another foster home setting. Each case is looked at individually and considers the unique needs of the child when making a decision to move the child. Whether or not a child would be removed or remain in the placement would be

Response to United State Senate: Committee on Finance May 29, 2015 Page 4

determined by the child's caseworker (for children in custody) or by the parents (for children that are privately placed). For children in the custody of DHSS, the courts may be notified of the placement change.

Should a licensing violation be confirmed in the scope of the investigation, the Office of Children's Services may impose licensing sanctions, called enforcement actions, up to and including a suspension or revocation of the foster care license. Enforcement actions require due process standards for the licensee, and as such, the foster parent is able to appeal the decision through the administrative hearing processes.

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to this inquiry.

Respectfully,

Valerie Davidson Commissioner

Cc: Christy Lawton, Director, Alaska Office of Children's Services Amy Dobson, Office of Alaska Governor Bill Walker



STATE OF ARKANSAS ASA HUTCHINSON GOVERNOR

June 6, 2015

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch Chairman Committee on Finance United States Senate 219 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Ron Wyden United States Senate 219 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Hatch and Senator Wyden,

Thank you for your continued leadership in the area of child welfare. I agree, as do the professionals working in Arkansas's Division of Children and Family Services (DCFS), that when children are removed from the custody of their parents due to abuse and/or neglect, we have an obligation, regardless of a specific role, to ensure their safety and well-being. I am pleased to respond to your letter of April 24, 2015 requesting information about Arkansas's policy and practices relative to privatized foster care. To address your specific questions, I offer the below:

 To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

In Arkansas, DCFS is the child welfare agency for the state. All in-home and out-of-home casework practice is performed by staff employed by DCFS. Foster homes are licensed and maintained by the State. Placement services are contracted through specialized private providers for placement services when need for therapeutic foster care, residential group homes, comprehensive residential treatment, emergency shelter, developmental disability services and/or respite services are required.

2. What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

All placements for the children in the foster care systems are made by DCFS.

Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a forprofit or not-for-profit entity.

Arkansas will be happy to provide this information however we question if you want the listing of all providers in which a contract for placement has been granted. The state contracts with 14 providers for therapeutic foster homes (which, in turn, contract with individual families); 20 providers for residential treatment; 15 providers for comprehensive residential treatment; 17 providers for emergency shelter; and 3 for developmental disability services (which, in turn, contract with individual families). None of these providers are for-profit organizations.

If you need the detailed information for all contracts, please let us know and we will forward this information to you immediately.

4. Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

In Arkansas, a child placement agency must be licensed with the state. Arkansas Code Annotated **9-28-401** *et. seq.*, "The Child Welfare Licensing Act" (the Act), is the legal authority under which Arkansas's Child Welfare Agency Review Board prescribes minimum licensing standards for child welfare agencies, as defined under the statute. Further information regarding the agencies requiring a license and the type of license required by each facility can be found in Appendix A.

5. Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

DCFS's process for contracting with a child welfare agency is as follows:

- 1. Notices are posted on the state's website and Request for Proposals (RFP) are let for the various services needed;
- 2. Providers respond to the RFPs and submit proposals to the agency;
- 3. Contracting agency selects a group to review RFPs;
- 4. RFP's are scored in accordance to Procurement rules;
- 5. Respondents are notified of the state's Intent to Award; and

¹ Notices include the scope of work and performance indicators requested by the agency, location of need for services (either specific county, area or statewide), some will include a price per unit that will be paid and some are budget based and then rates are negotiated when contracts are awarded.

6. If no opposition, contracts are written and awarded.

Arkansas's child welfare agency has a strong partnership with its contract provider community. Contract language gives the agency the ability to address any areas of non-compliance and allows the agency to terminate a contract due to issues related to non-performance and professional and ethical issues.

6. Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-public providers operating in your state.

Please refer to <u>Minimum Child Welfare Licensing Standards as noted in Pub-04</u> as there are specific requirements for each license type including child welfare foster home. These inspections are performed by licensing staff employed by the Division of Child Care and Early Childhood Education, which is a separate entity than that of the child welfare agency.

The requirements for agency foster homes can be found in <u>Pub-22</u>. Per DCFS policy, child welfare staff is required to visit the foster home on a quarterly basis.

7. How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not-forprofit, for-profit providers and public providers?

With reference to state agency approved and licensed foster homes, over the last five years there have been 734 reports in which foster parents were identified as the alleged offenders. Note that this figure also includes therapeutic foster homes. Of those reports, 101 were found to be true, and all homes were subsequently closed as a result.

In Arkansas, when an allegation is made on an employee/staff in a treatment facility, those allegations are called into the state Child Abuse Hotline and are investigated by the Crimes Against Children's Division. There is not a number available for these instances as calls are stored by alleged victim versus the type of incident.

8. Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

As stated above, if there is a substantiated finding of abuse in a foster home, the home is closed. The agency takes a very strong stance on this. There are also instances in which, even though the allegations are not substantiated, homes are closed due to concerning information disclosed in the investigation and/or DCFS has had other issues related to this home in the past. Those are handled on a case by case

basis and are staffed at an executive level before final decisions are made regarding closure.

Regarding allegations and substantiated findings on placement facility staff, DCFS works with those providers on corrective action plans that are put in place during the investigative process. There have been instances in which all foster children have been removed from the placement facility due to the allegations pending the outcome of the investigation.

I hope the above information is useful to you and your efforts. If you have questions or require further information, please contact Betty Guhman, Senior Advisor on my staff at 501-683-6407 or betty.guhman@governor.arkansas.gov.

Sincerely, / fultifum as Hutchinson



STATE OF CALIFORNIA—HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES 744 P Street • Sacramento, CA 95814 • www.cdss.ca.gov



August 11, 2015

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch Chairman Committee on Finance United States Senate 219 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510-6200 The Honorable Ron Wyden Ranking Member Committee on Finance United States Senate 219 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510-6200

Dear Chairman Hatch and Ranking Member Wyden:

Thank you for the opportunity to share information on California's child welfare services system for vulnerable children, youth, and families. The focus of your questions is on privatized foster care. We do not utilize private for-profit providers; however, as part of our network of providers and others engaged in child welfare, we do work with private non-profit providers and organizations as explained in our responses to your questions, which follow the background below.

BACKGROUND

California has a complex child welfare services system, serving the most populous state in the country with nearly 9.5 million children, and one of the most linguistically diverse regions in the world with the largest minority population in the country, including 109 federally recognized Indian tribes and an estimated 79 tribes that are seeking federal recognition. California's state-supervised child welfare system is administered at the local level by 58 counties, each governed by a county elected board of supervisors. The range of diversity among the counties is immense and there are many challenges inherent in the complexity of this system. However, its major strength is the flexibility afforded to each county in determining how best to meet the needs of its own children and families. The counties, which differ significantly by population and economic base, are a wide mixture of urban, rural and suburban settings, thus driving the need to make their own decisions on how to coordinate local service delivery to children and families.

The California Department of Social Services (CDSS) is authorized by statute to promulgate regulations, policies, and procedures necessary to implement the state's child welfare system and to ensure the safety, permanency, and well-being for California's children. The CDSS is responsible for the supervision and coordination of programs in California funded under federal Titles IV-B, IV-E, and XX of the Social Security Act. Furthermore, CDSS is responsible for developing the state's Child and Family Services Plan. These efforts are achieved within a framework of collaboration with child welfare stakeholders. Due to its complexity and this high degree of

collaboration, California's child welfare services system is ever-changing as we seek to improve our ability to improve outcomes for the state's children and families.

The CDSS has oversight of the state's child welfare services system and plays a vital role in the development of policies and programs that implement the goals of CDSS' mission. In developing policies and programs, the CDSS collaborates with other state and local agencies, tribal representatives, foster/kinship caregivers, foster youth, foster care service providers, community-based organizations, the courts, researchers, child advocates, the Legislature, and private foundations to maximize families' opportunities for success.

RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS

 To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

In California, we do not contract with private entities to provide case management services. Case management is conducted by the child placement agency at the county level, either by child welfare or probation, and is carried out by the social worker. In our state, we do work with public and private non-profit providers to provide support and services to the foster parent and the child. Social workers support the case planning process in public and private non-profit agencies called Foster Family Agencies (FFAs). Please use the link below to access regulations pertaining to social work provided by FFAs.

Community Care Licensing Regulations for Social Work FFAs Title 22, Div 6, Chap 1, Art 5-6 - General Licensing Requirements http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/ord/entres/getinfo/pdf/Ffaman.pdf (Sections 88001, 88065.3, 88065.4, 88065.5, 88070.1)

2. What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

Please see enclosed "placement types" chart for relative, foster care, FFA, and group home placements.

Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-forprofit entity.

The federal government has provided the state with the option to include in its state plan the placement of children in a private facility operated on a for-profit basis, and our state statute authorizes for-profit placement as articulated in

California Welfare and Institutions Code (WIC) section 11402.6. However, it is CDSS's preference to place foster care children in a non-profit group home setting. Currently, counties do not place foster children with for-profit providers. Counties may place children with for-profit group home facilities after all other placement options have been exhausted. Placement into a for-profit group home facility may occur only subject to specified conditions. Please use the links below to access lists of foster family agencies and group homes in which children and youth are placed in California.

<u>Foster Family Agencies</u>: County placement agencies use licensed private FFAs for the placement of children who require more intensive care as an alternative to group homes. By statute, FFAs are organized and operated on a non-profit basis and are engaged in the following activities: recruiting, certifying, and training foster parents, providing professional support to foster parents, and finding homes or other temporary or permanent placements for children who require more intensive care.

The CDSS has statutory responsibility for developing, implementing, and maintaining a rate setting system for FFAs receiving Aid to Families with Dependent Children-Foster Care (AFDC-FC) funds. The AFDC-FC rates vary by age group. For the purpose of determining FFA rates, CDSS regulations specify the purposes, types and services of FFAs. Currently, CDSS sets AFDC-FC rates for approximately 220 FFAs as of January 2015. The rates are organized into five age groupings.

Group Homes (GHs): Group homes provide the most restrictive out-of-home placement option for children in foster care. They provide a placement option for children with significant emotional or behavioral problems who require more restrictive environments. A licensed group home is defined as a facility of any capacity which provides 24-hour nonmedical care and supervision to children in a structured environment, with such services provided at least in part by staff employed by the licensee. Group homes run the gamut from large institutional type environments which provide an intense therapeutic setting, often called "residential treatment centers," to small home environments which incorporate a "house parent" model. As a result, group home placements provide various levels of structure, supervision, and services.

Group homes may offer specific services targeted to a specific population of children or a range of services depending on the design of their program. These services include substance abuse, minor-parent (mothers and babies), infant programs, mental health treatment, vocational training, mental health day treatment, sex offenders, wards only, emancipation and reunification. Many programs provide more than one service and list their primary service function as reunification of children with the biological family.

FFA Provider Lists

FFAs: http://www.childsworld.ca.gov/res/pdf/FFAList.pdf

FFA Regional Centers: http://www.childsworld.ca.gov/res/pdf/FFARC.pdf

ITFC: http://www.childsworld.ca.gov/res/pdf/ITFCP.pdf

GH Provider Lists

GHs & Regional Centers: http://www.childsworld.ca.gov/res/pdf/GHList.pdf GHs RCL 13 & 14: http://www.childsworld.ca.gov/res/pdf/GH1314.pdf

4. Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is the accreditation renewed?

Currently we are engaged in foster care reform through our Continuum of Care Reform initiative. Among many other important changes to California statutes governing foster care, Assembly Bill 403 (authored by California Assembly Member Mark Stone) will require all group homes and FFAs to be accredited by a national accrediting body, identified by the CDSS, as a condition of receiving a foster care rate. We believe that national accreditation brings benefits to an organization, such as professionalizing staff, establishing administrative best practices, improving service delivery, and promoting a culture of continuous quality improvement.

The Continuum of Care Reform report to the California Legislature, upon which this reform proposal is based, can be found here at the following web address: www.cdss.ca.gov/cdssweb/entres/pdf/CCR_LegislativeReport.pdf

Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

The state does not contract with private entities to provide foster care services. Contracts occur between local government and individual providers. The state's role is to license providers and set rates for their services. Please use the links below to access requirements related to licensing and rates.

Manual of Policies and Procedures, Community Care Licensing Division General Licensing Requirements:

http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/ord/entres/getinfo/pdf/genman1.PDF

Manual of Policies and Procedures, Foster Care Rate Regulations http://www.childsworld.ca.gov/PG1343.htm#

6. Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-profit providers operating in your state.

California assesses and approves relatives (defined in WIC 361.3(f)) and nonrelative extended family members (defined in WIC 362.7) using the same standards as those used to license foster family homes. This includes: 1) a criminal background check of the caregiver and all adults residing in the home; 2) an assessment of the caregiver's ability and suitability to provide care and supervision; 3) a caregiver orientation/training regarding the standards; and 4) an inspection of the home and grounds.

The criminal background check is accomplished through LiveScan submission of fingerprints to the California Department of Justice (DOJ), which returns California criminal history and other state convictions held by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Additionally, a check is made of California's Child Abuse Central Index to learn whether the caregiver or any adults residing in the home have a child abuse history. The criminal background check process also includes a check of other states' child abuse indexes (where they exist) when the caregiver or any of the resident adults declare they have lived in another state within the past five years. If there is no criminal history, the DOJ "clears" the individual.

For persons with criminal convictions, the DOJ provides the county child welfare agency with the individual's criminal offender record information report (also known as a "rap sheet"). The county reviews the rap sheet to determine whether the crimes are those for which an exemption may be granted through an exemption process. Pursuant to state and federal law there are a number of crimes which cannot be exempted. Individuals who have non-exemptible criminal history are denied a clearance and cannot get an exemption. If a caregiver or any adult living in the home cannot obtain an exemption, then no child can be placed in that home so long as that individual resides in the home.

For a caregiver or other adult in the home who has criminal history which is not prohibited from exemption, a process is applied which includes gathering documentation regarding the crimes and convictions, evidence of good character and rehabilitation, and the individual's statement about the crime/conviction. This information is evaluated and a determination is made as to whether to provide an exemption. To ensure continued safety, at the initial submission of fingerprints, a subsequent arrest notification process is established for each fingerprinted individual. If an individual is arrested subsequent to the initial fingerprinting, the DOJ notifies the county having jurisdiction of the case and the county is required to investigate the circumstances of the arrest and crime and take appropriate action consistent with statute and regulations.

Separately, the county child welfare agency assesses the caregiver's ability to provide care and supervision by evaluating if the caregiver can: 1) provide a safe, secure and stable environment for the child; 2) exercise proper and effective care and control of the child; 3) provide a home and the necessities of life for the child; 4) protect the child from his or her parents as appropriate; 5) facilitate court-ordered reunification efforts with the parents; 6) facilitate visitation with the child's other relatives; 7) facilitate implementation of all elements of the case plan; 8) provide legal permanence for the child if reunification fails; and 9) arrange for appropriate and safe child care, as necessary. Additionally, the county utilizes a state-required assessment document to further evaluate the caregiver's suitability consistent with statutes and regulations for the proper care and supervision of the foster child.

The safety of the home is assessed by using a state-required form to evaluate the home's compliance with safety standards. Items assessed in the home include verifying that there is: telephone service in the home; a safe vehicle for transporting children and that only a licensed driver will transport the child; an individual bed (or crib) with a clean, comfortable mattress, clean linens, blankets and pillows for each child in the home; consideration of bedroom occupancy standards, which takes in to account shared rooms with adults, those of the opposite gender, and those of different ages; adequate closet and drawer space for the child's clothing and personal belongings; protection from bodies of water so that they are safe/inaccessible; a safe yard or outdoor activity space that is free from hazards that endanger the child's health and safety; and at least one toilet, sink and tub or shower in safe, clean operating condition and hot water is delivered at a safe temperature. In addition, the home must be in otherwise good repair, clean, safe and sanitary, well-lit and maintained at a comfortable temperature; and store and dispose of waste in a way that will not permit the spread of disease/odor, or attract insects and rodents. The home is also assessed to ensure the safe storage of medications, poisons, firearms and other dangerous weapons.

The county child welfare agency also provides an orientation and/or training to the caregiver. This includes a copy of the approval standards and regulations. Caregivers also are informed about the child's personal rights, the prudent parent standard, and a child's participation in age and developmentally appropriate extracurricular/enrichment activities.

7. How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by not-for-profit providers, forprofit providers, and public providers?

	TOTAL (%)		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	TOTAL (n)		56,52 8	54,41 8	56,21 2	60,53 8	62,50 7
YOUTH IN FOSTER CARE BY PLACEMENT TYPE (CHILD WELFARE AND PROBATION AGENCIES)	The control of the co	%	7.7%	8.2%	%6.6	12.3%	14.1%
	Other	C	4,364	4,461	5,543	7,454	8,784
	n-Dep)	%	13.4%	13.1%	12.7%	11.6%	10.8%
	Guardian (Dep/Non-Dep)	C	7,575	7,110	7,133	7,006	6,740
	номе	%	6.7%	6.8%	%9:9	6.1%	%0.9
	AMILY GROUP HOME (FFA) (GH)	С	3,795	3,681	3,696	3,714	3,744
		%	29.0%	27.2%	25.7%	25.2%	25.0%
	CARE FOSTER FAMILY R) AGENCY (FFA)	u	16,382	14,783	14,460	15,244	15,604
		%	%8.6	%2.6	9.2%	8.9%	8.9%
	RELATIVE FOSTER CARE PLACEMENT (FOSTER) (KIN)	c	5,546	5,253	5,180	5,412	5,582
		%	33.4%	35.2%	35.9%	35.9%	35.3%
		c	18,866	19,130	20,200	21,708	22,053
	YEAR		JAN. 1, 2011	JAN. 1, 2012	JAN. 1, 2013	JAN. 1, 2014	JAN. 1, 22,053 35.3% 5,582 8.9% 2015

Data Source: CWS/CMS 2015 Quarter 1 Extract

Note: Other category includes shelter. SILP, Runaway, and other non-foster care placements.

STATE OF COLORADO

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR 136 State Capitol Building Denver, Colorado 80203 (303) 866 - 2471 (303) 866 - 2003 fax



May 28th, 2015

Orrin G. Hatch, Chairman United States Senate Committee on Finance

Dear Senator Hatch,

This is a response to the letter dated April 24, 2015 regarding Colorado's practices and policies relative to privatized foster care. Colorado is a state supervised and county administered system. Child welfare programs, including foster care, are administered by the 64 counties in the state.

<u>Question 1</u>: To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

Response: Placement and case management of children and youth in out-of-home care is the responsibility of the 64 county departments of human or social services in Colorado. El Paso County Department of Human Services is the only county department that contracts with a private not-for-profit agency for case management services for children and youth placed in foster homes.

On January 1, 2014, as the result of being selected through a Request for Proposal (RFP) process, Savio, a not-for-profit that is accredited by the Council on Accreditation (COA), contracted with the county department to provide case management services primarily for children and youth placed in foster care homes certified and supervised by child placement agencies (CPAs). The CPAs contract with the county department for foster care services (see history below). For consistency, Savio continues to provide case management services when children or youth on their caseload transition to residential or group settings, back to foster homes, or return home.

Savio functions like a division in the county department of human services and staff are certified case workers and supervisors. The county attorney represents Savio staff as it does county staff. Savio is responsible for all aspects of case management, including oversight of the children and youth placed in foster homes and facilities, documentation, court reports, visitation, family service planning, and data entry into the Statewide Automated Child Welfare System (SACWIS).

The El Paso County Department of Human Services determines the foster care placements for children and youth. Recommendations are made by a placement team in the county department for placements into specific foster homes and the staff work with Savio to complete the process. The

county department generally provides case management for in-home, kinship, and kinship foster care cases, though for consistency, caseworkers provide case management if a child or youth on their caseload enters foster care or a higher level of care.

In addition, the county department contracts with Lutheran Family Services-Rocky Mountains (LFSRM), a not-for-profit, to provide case management and foster care services for children and youth in the Unaccompanied Refugee Minor Program (URMP). This population was carved out of the general foster care a number of years ago because of the expertise of LFSRM to meet the needs of these children and youth.

The El Paso County Department of Human Services has a team that oversees and measures compliance and performance for Savio (case management only) and for the CPAs that provide foster care services. Savio is monitored regularly for the number served, the outcomes of the children and youth, and is paid at 1/12 of the contract each month.

Historically, from approximately 1999-2014, the county department entered into contracts with nine CPAs to provide both foster care and case management services as a way to manage resources. This was done initially because staffing capacity was capped and there was insufficient staff to manage all of the work. Foster care positions in the county department were converted to caseworker positions.

Some of the disadvantages of the process were: inconsistency in case management practice among the CPAs and difficulty for the county department to provide oversight, monitoring, and to manage quality and performance measures with nine CPAs of differing sizes (capacity) for both case management and foster care. At times the agencies didn't collaborate among themselves when a child or youth needed a different foster home and the agencies did not consistently involve the county department placement team in making placement changes. Placements were sometimes made within the same agency rather than looking at other possible appropriate foster homes among the nine agencies.

Moving to a system with one agency solely responsible for case management also helps to prevent an appearance of conflict of interest because there is not an investment to use a specific CPA for placement of a child or youth. Or, if a foster parent wants to adopt a child or youth in care versus permanency with a relative or kin, a conflict of interest is prevented when the CPA is not responsible for case management and advocacy for their foster parent.

Question 2: What proportion of children in foster care is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

Response: One hundred percent of children and youth in foster care are placed by the county department with custody and placement responsibility. Placement and/or casework staff in the county departments work with county foster homes and CPAs to place children and youth, based upon the appropriateness of the foster parent to meet the needs of each child or youth.

Question 3: Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.

Response: Two private agencies, Savio, a not-for-profit, and Lutheran Family Services-Rocky Mountain, a not-for-profit, provide case management for the El Paso County Department of Human Services discussed in Question 1. The county department has custody and placement responsibility.

Question 4: Does your state require private foster care agencies or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

Response: Accreditation is not required, although approximately 15 CPAs in the state are accredited by the Council on Accreditation (COA). The accredited agencies generally provide more than one type of out-of-home care and provide an array of services, including residential care, foster care, and in-home programs. The number of accredited agencies fluctuates.

Question 5: Describe in detail, the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as review and renew the contracts

Response: In addition to the contractual arrangements discussed in Question 1, the remaining 63 county departments have the option to contract with private CPAs, regardless of profit status. The purpose is to supplement existing county foster home resources so there are a sufficient number of foster homes to meet the placement needs of the county. County departments are required by rule to monitor and review all contracts.

<u>Question 6</u>: Describe in detail, the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-profit providers operating in your state.

Response: The Colorado Department of Human Services (CDHS) licenses all CPAs, regardless of profit status, in compliance with requirements in the Colorado Code of Regulations (12 CCR-2509-8). County departments are agents of the state (state supervised and county administered system). Both CPAs and county departments certify foster homes using the same standards. CDHS provides oversight of county department certification of foster homes, licensure of CPAs, and of CPA certified foster homes.

Question 7: How many instances of abuse in a foster home placement have been substantiated in last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?

Response: All children and youth were placed by the custodial county department of human services responsible for placement. Foster homes were certified by either public providers or CPAs. Of 29,606 placements into foster homes, there were 229 instances of substantiated abuse and neglect in the past five years. Of these, 193 occurred in public provider foster homes and 36 occurred in CPAs without regard to profit status.

Question 8: Describe in detail, the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether child is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit, or a for-profit provider?

Response: Colorado is a state supervised and county administered system and all child welfare activities are the responsibility of the county departments. The public agencies have custody and placement responsibility for the children and youth in out-of-home care.

An assessment for child abuse and/or neglect is conducted by the county department where the foster home is located, not the certifying agency. Large and most mid-sized county departments have designated staff that conducts an assessment when there is an allegation of abuse and/or neglect in out-of-home placement. Small county departments request a courtesy assessment by another county to prevent an appearance of a conflict of interest. The assessment is conducted in accordance with rules promulgated by the State Board of Human Services.

The assessment begins with face-to-face contact with the alleged victim child or youth, and includes but is not limited to face-to-face contact with the primary caregiver, assessing for safety, and taking action to secure safety, if indicated, assessing risk, needs, and strengths of children, youth, and foster parents. The assessment includes a determination whether there are other victim children or youth not named in the referral and immediately assesses the safety of those individuals. In addition, any other alleged victim children or youth who no longer reside in the foster home are interviewed, when appropriate. The assessment includes interviews with witnesses, including children, youth, or others who may have additional information. Child and youth vulnerabilities and strengths, and the foster parent's strengths and protective capacities are assessed, along with the living situation and any immediate hazard or threat to the health or safety based upon the age or development of the child or youth.

The caseworker determines whether removal is justified, contacts the custodial county, as well as the certifying entity (public or CPA). The custodial county decides whether the child or youth for whom they are responsible, is removed. The certifying agency determines whether to close the foster home, depending on the severity and circumstances of the substantiation in accordance with rules promulgated by the State Board of Human Services. A follow-up review is conducted by the state Institutional Abuse Review Team (IART) to review fidelity to the assessment process, and to make any additional recommendations for follow-up for the certifying agency.

If there are additional questions, please contact Dennis Desparrois, Placement Services Manager for the Colorado Department of Human Services, at 303-866-7925 or by email to Dennis.Desparrois@state.co.us.

Sincerely,

John W. Hickenlooper

Ju Hiter Dyst

Governor



DEPARTMENT of CHILDREN and FAMILIES

Making a Difference for Children, Families and Communities



Governor

Joette Katz Commissioner

May 22, 2015

The Honorable Orrin Hatch Chairman Committee on Finance United States Senate Washington, DC 20510 The Honorable Ron Wyden Ranking Member Committee on Finance United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Hatch and Senator Wyden:

On behalf of Governor Malloy, I write in response to your letter of April 24, 2015, requesting information on Connecticut's policies concerning privatized foster care.

Working together with families and communities to improve child safety, ensure that more children have permanent families, and advance the overall well-being of children is the central focus of the Department of Children and Families (DCF). DCF protects children who are being abused or neglected, strengthens families through support and advocacy, and builds on existing family and community strengths to help children who are facing emotional and behavioral challenges, including those committed to the Department by the juvenile justice system.

The following respond to the specific questions included in your letter.

- Connecticut contracts with 16 Child Placing Agencies (CPAs) statewide to provide Therapeutic
 Foster Care (TFC) to children who meet the criteria for this level of care. This is determined by a
 Therapeutic Eligibility Instrument (TEI). Therapeutic Foster Care provides case-management
 services including but not limited to: development and implementation of care plan; active
 participation on child's permanency team; family search and engagement; referral and linkage to
 community-based services; provision of life skills; foster family guidance and supervision; respite
 and emergency care planning and placement; ensure health and educational needs are met;
 home safety assessments and monitoring; behavioral and crisis planning and management; and
 discharge planning/after care support.
- Therapeutic Foster Care makes up 21% (690 placements out of 3232 placements) of the children in foster care in Connecticut.
- The following agencies provide Therapeutic Foster Care: All 16 agencies are not for profit organizations:

STATE OF CONNECTICUT www.ct.gov/dcf An Equal Opportunity Employer



DEPARTMENT of CHILDREN and FAMILIES

Making a Difference for Children, Families and Communities



Dannel P. Malloy Governor

Commissio	ner

1.	Boys and Girls Village	Children's Community Programs of C
2.	Community Health Resources	10. Community Residences Inc.
3.	The Connection Inc.	11. Dare Family Services
4.	Family and Children's Agency	12. Family and Children's Aid
5.	Institute of Professional Practice	13. Jewish Family Service
6.	Klingberg Family Center	14. North American Family Institute Inc.
7.	New Opportunities Inc.	15. The Village for Families and Children
8.	Waterford Country School	16. Wheeler Clinic

- · Connecticut does not require private foster care entities or organizations to be accredited.
- The Department of Children and Families has a well-established process for procuring and contracting with private entities that is regulated by State Statute and the Office of Policy and Management. (C.G.S. 4-70b and 4-212.) When a new program is being created or a substantial redesign of an existing service is proposed, the Department typically engages in a competitive procurement process. A solicitation document, Request for Proposals, is generated articulating in detail the desired service, including target population, scope of work, funding sources, and term of award. (Please see attached template for State of Connecticut Department of Children and Families Request for Proposals.) When a "Sole Source" of the service is proposed rather than purchasing through a competitive procurement process, the Department submits "Sole Source Justification" materials to the Office of Policy and Management to approve of such request. The RFP is posted on the State of Connecticut's Department of Administrative Services website and in appropriate newspapers. Interested parties attend a Bidder's Conference (technical assistance), submit of Letter of Intent and then submit their Proposal by the established deadline. The Department's Contracts and Fiscal Division reviews submissions to ensure that the bids meet stated requirements. The Department convenes a review team that reviews all bids and scores them according to a pre-established scoring system. The recommendations generated by the review team are submitted to the Commissioner for final determination. The selected providers are notified and negotiations with awardees are held to finalize budgets and service delivery. Upon agreement, contracts are executed. Currently, contracts are typically awarded for a 3-year period. Changes to the terms of a contract, including funding levels, capacity and modifications to the language in the Scope of Service occur through a formal contract amendment. Contract renewals are routinely carried out and include feedback from consumers, reviews of appropriate expenditures, non-compliance with contract elements, and regulatory corrective actions. Connecticut has a plan in place for re-procurement of existing contracted services to ensure that these service providers are still able to meet the Department's needs. This is, in essence, an additional measure to ensure that ineffective programs do not continue to hold contracts and receive funding. The Department of Children and Families assigns to each contracted service a Program Development and Oversight Coordinator (PDOC). The PDOC supports the delivery of high quality, accountable services to children and families who are DCF involved or part of the Department's legislative mandate, through collaboration and partnerships with contracted providers. The PDOC, in partnership with other DCF staff, carries responsibility to ensure that services under their oversight and influence operate effectively and efficiently; and are producing positive outcomes for Connecticut children and their families. The PDOC's overarching role

STATE OF CONNECTICUT www.ct.gov/dcf An Equal Opportunity Employer



DEPARTMENT of CHILDREN and FAMILIES

Making a Difference for Children, Families and Communities



Joette Katz Commissioner Dannel P. Malloy Governor

includes serving as subject matter experts and monitoring their programs through use of quality assurance strategies.

- Connecticut uses the same safety inspection process for non-profit agencies and for-profit agencies. On-site visits to the facility/program are conducted on a biennial basis. The physical plant is reviewed during the on-site visit. The building should be completely furnished and ready to open before an Initial provisional license can be issued. The behavioral, developmental, and medical characteristics of the children to be served must be taken into account when determining the adequacy and safety of the physical plant. The personnel files of all staff listed on the DCF Staff Schedule A must be reviewed. Each facility/program must prepare a sample case record that includes the required format as called for in the applicable set of regulations.
- There were 45 foster care substantiations of abuse in the last five years. Thirty three (73%) of
 the abuse substantiations were related to DCF licensed foster homes and 12 (27%) were related
 to private foster care homes (CPAs).
- If an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in a foster home, an assessment is
 conducted to determine whether the child's removal from the foster home is in the child's
 best interest. This assessment may consider whether the alleged victim is the foster child or
 whether the alleged perpetrator is a household member. If it is determined that the child's
 best interest is to remain in the foster home, a waiver must be generated and approved by
 the Department's Commissioner. This process does not differ for DCF licensed foster homes
 and CPA licensed foster homes.

If you have additional questions, your staff should contact the following of my staff; Linda Dixon at (860) 550-6383 or linda.dixon@ct.gov or Sarah Gibson (860) 550-6536 or sarah.gibson@ct.gov.


Sincerely,

Joette Katz

Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Children and Families

505 Hudson Street Hartford CT 06106

> STATE OF CONNECTICUT www.ct.gov/dcf An Equal Opportunity Employer



Office of the Secretary

633 - 2500

May 28, 2015

.

<u>Via Email</u>
Ms. Laura Berntsen
Senior Human Services Advisor

Senate Finance Committee U.S. Congress

Dear Ms. Berntsen:

This letter is to provide Delaware's response to the letter from Senators Hatch and Wyden of April 24th regarding states' use of private entities to administer some or all of their foster care programs.

Utilization of Private Entities to Provide Foster Care

The DE foster care program has long been a public-private partnership. In DE, foster homes are provided by the Division of Family Services (DFS), which is the public child welfare agency and several private, not-for-profit providers. Private providers are contract agencies through contracts with DFS for Purchase of Care (POC). At any one time, approximately 60% of the foster homes in DE are under the direct auspices of DFS and 40% under five contracted providers—all of whom are private, not-for-profit agencies.

DFS retains legal and case management responsibilities for meeting the needs of all children in foster care, whether they are placed in a DFS foster home or private provider home under a Purchase of Care (POC) contract with a licensed agency. This means that each child placed in a foster home managed by a private provider remains on the caseload of a DFS caseworker. This DFS caseworker is then responsible for providing direct oversight of the case management provided by the POC agency. This oversight is accomplished in several ways:

- 1) DFS caseworker receives monthly progress notes from the POC agency and enters these into the DFS client information (SACWIS) system;
- 2) DFS caseworker typically continues to work directly with the birthparent(s) on their case plans, in collaboration with the caseworker from POC agency;
- 3) DFS retains the right to give consents as needed (e.g., medical, school trips);
- 4) DFS caseworker is responsible for personally meeting with and assessing the child separately from the POC agency caseworker, at least once every six months; and
- 5) DFS provides the primary testimony to the Family Court during all court reviews. The caseworker from the POC agency is present and may provide additional testimony as required.

Delaware Youth and Family Center 1825 Fauthland Road • Wilmington, Delaware 19805

The caseworker for the POC agency provides most of the direct casework with the child and is responsible for meeting the federally required minimum monthly contact. Visitation between the child(ren) in foster care and their birth parent(s) may be monitored by either DFS or the POC agency caseworker depending on the factors specific to the case.

Proportion of Placements by Type

As of May 18, 2015, there were 689 children in foster care in DE. Of those, 256 were in homes administered by private, not-for-profit agencies. The remaining 433 are in homes directly administered by DFS, the public child welfare agency, or in residential treatment or juvenile justice facilities. DE does not have any for profit foster care providers licensed in the state.

Non-public Foster Care Entities

The current POC provider agencies are all private, not-for-profit agencies:

A Better Chance for Our Children Child, Inc. Children & Families First Children's Choice Pressley Ridge

Progressive Life Center

Accreditation and Licensure

DE does not currently require that private foster care entities be accredited. However, these providers are required to be licensed by DFS under *Delacare Regulations for Child Placing Agencies*. Licenses are issued for a period of one year. Each agency is inspected annually for license review and more frequently if complaints are received.

Selection and Contracting Process

The contracting process for private entities to become foster care provider agencies follows the State's procurement procedures. DFS develops and publishes a Request for Proposals (RFP), outlining the services needed, contractual expectations and bidding procedure. Each entity much complete a proposal and submit according to the requirements. Proposals are reviewed and scored by a multi-disciplinary team from across the Department of Services for Children, Youth and Families. That RFP

review committee then forwards their evaluation of the proposals to the Director of DFS for final approval of selected bidders. Once approval is obtained, the DFS Program Manager for Foster Care Services and related staff meet with successful bidders to begin contract negotiations. Contracts include a number of specifications including that the provider agency be licensed, agree to reporting on federally and locally required performance indicators, participate in bi-monthly provider meetings, and participate in ongoing program monitoring.

Process for Inspection of Safety of Foster Care Settings

DFS Managed Foster Homes

The first safety precaution is that all agency staff and foster parents must have a criminal background check and a check to see if they are on the Child Protection Registry. The Criminal History Unit of the Office of Child Care Licensing then tracks all staff and foster parents for any subsequent arrests and reports those to DFS administration. Subsequent arrests of any staff or foster parent are immediately reviewed. The Department of Services for Children, Youth and Families has a policy that stipulates criteria according to specific criminal backgrounds for employees and foster parents to be deemed as prohibited from working with or caring for minor children, whether as the initial approval or ongoing monitoring of their approval.

Prospective foster parents must also undergo a structured home study process prior to being approved. The home study process includes interviews, reference checks, and a specified inspection of the family's home to assess the adequacy of accommodations and the absence of health and safety concerns. The foster family is then reassessed at least annually, which includes additional home inspection, coupled with updated health information.

The federal requirement is that children in foster care receive an in person contact by a caseworker at least monthly. DFS has for several years also required that an average of 95% of such contacts occur in the child's foster home, so that the child's safety and well-being in the home can be continually reassessed. In DE, all children in foster care are represented by either a Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA) or Guardian ad Litem (GAL). These representatives also see the children they represent both in their foster homes, as well as in school or other community settings. This provides an additional individual to help monitor the safety and well-being of children in care. Foster homes are also regularly monitored by Foster Home Coordinators, who first approved the foster home and are involved in ongoing placements.

Private Not-For-Profit Homes

As stated above, DFS contracts with private foster care agencies through the Request for Proposal process. Contracts are awarded for a period of one year. The foster care agencies recruit, train, approve and monitor their group of foster parents according to both the contract specifications by DFS and the license requirements of the Office of Child Care Licensing. During the year of operation, DFS conducts at least one contract monitoring review onsite in the agency. More frequent reviews can occur if there are complaints. These reviews are conducted by a multi-disciplinary team from the Department of Service for Children, Youth and Families and led by contract staff from DFS. The reviews include inspection of the license, personnel files of staff, required files for foster parents, training files, and case files for all children and youth in care, coupled with interviews of program staff, foster parents, and children/youth.

Additionally, the Office of Child Care Licensing also conducts an annual license review of all of these agencies according to the *Delacare Regulations for Child Placing Agencies*.

In all other ways, private foster homes are inspected in the same manner as the public ones. Foster parents under the auspices of private agencies must undergo the same background and criminal background checks. Any subsequent arrests are reported to DFS administration and handled the same as for public foster homes. Federally required contacts by caseworkers are conducted by private agency staff at least monthly and 95% of these must occur in the home. The DFS caseworker who retains primary responsibility also visits the child separately from the private agency caseworker at least semi-annually to do an independent assessment of the child's safety and well-being. Similarly, all children in private foster homes are also represented by either a CASA or GAL, who visits the child in his/her foster home regularly.

Instances of Substantiated Abuse in Foster Care

Period	Total	# of kids in Foster Care during the Year Period	Public Foster Homes	Private Foster Homes
FFY 2010	2	1210	1	1
FFY 2011	1	1267	1	0
FFY 2012	3	1306	0	3
FFY 2013	2	1162	2	0
FFY 2014	0	991	0	0

Actions Taken on Abuse Reports and Substantiations

All reports of child abuse or neglect, including those involving children in foster care, are required to be reported to the statewide Child Abuse and Neglect Report Line. Upon that screening, reports involving children in foster care (public or private) or other licensed facilities are then investigated by the Institutional Abuse Unit of DFS. The Institutional Abuse Unit is administered through the DFS central office. This is a specialized child protection investigation unit that follows standardized investigation procedures and makes recommendations about case dispositions, including substantiations. The investigations of abuse or neglect within foster care are handled the same for public and private foster homes. Typically, the foster children in the home (including the alleged victim and other foster children) are removed from the home during the investigation to ensure their safety. Reports of abuse and neglect in foster homes are also immediately reported to the child's CASA or GAL. If the foster parent is subsequently substantiated for abuse or neglect, the home is closed.

If in the course of the investigation concerns are discovered about the oversight of the foster home, then additional reviews may follow the Institutional Abuse Unit investigation. For public foster homes, the assigned Foster Home Coordinator and Supervisor from the regional office involved will conduct a follow up review of the foster home. For private foster homes, the Office of Child Care Licensing will conduct a separate review. Either of these reviews may result in closing the foster home if concerns are found that do not rise to the level of substantiation. Additionally, the Department of Services for Children, Youth and Families will conduct a multi-disciplinary Root Cause Analysis in cases in which a foster child is seriously injured or dies. These reviews focus on systemic factors and are followed by corrective action plans as indicated.

Delaware hopes that this information will be helpful to Senate Finance Committee in its deliberations. If there are questions or additional information is requested, please feel free to contact Dr. Vicky Kelly, The Director of the Division of Family Services directly at <a href="https://www.vicky.ncbe/vicky

Respectfully submitted,

Jennifer B. Ranji Cabinet Secretary

Department of Services for Children, Youth and Their Families

JBR/ccs

cc: Dr. Victoria Kelly

Director of Division of Family Services



GOVERNMENT OF GUAM DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES DIPATTAMENTON SALUT PUPBLEKO YAN SETBISION SUSIAT



MAY 2 2 2015

Ms. Laura Bernsten Senior Human Services Advisor Committee on Finance United States Senate 219 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Ms. Bernsten:

We are in receipt of a request by the Senate Finance Committee to provide information on Guam's foster care program. Guam's foster care program is funded through the federal Title XX, Consolidated Block Grants Program and the local Foster Care Program funds. The Governor has asked me to respond.

Question 1:

To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide
case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care
providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

Answer:

The Department of Public Health and Social Services (DPHSS) of the Government of Guam is the state agency that is responsible for placement of all foster children. All foster children on Guam are placed at any of the following: licensed family foster home, therapeutic foster home, relative placement, 24-hour emergency shelter, or group home.

The role of private, non-profit entities, such as the emergency shelter and some of the group homes, are limited to just providing temporary foster care placements. The duration for these placements range from 1 hour to several years depending on the severity or complexity of the case. These temporary homes are reimbursed with monthly or daily maintenance payments, an annual clothing allowance, or a set contract amount in the case of therapeutic foster care, emergency shelter, and some group homes. The DPHSS may provide other emergency essentials for the children. Children's health care are covered through Medicaid or the Medically Indigent Program (MIP), which is 100% funded through the local government.

123 CHALAN KARETA, MANGILAO, GUAM 96913-6304 www.dphss.guam.gov • Ph.: 1.671,735.7102 • Fax: 1.671.734.5910 Some government agencies provide temporary care for those children who exhibit truancy or behavioral problems, such as the Department of Youth Affairs. The Guam Behavioral Health and Wellness Center provides temporary care for those children who have emotional or severe behavioral problems, or have other disabilities.

Regardless of the child's placement in any of the above settings, case management services for foster children are provided through Social Workers employed by the state agency, the DPHSS. Case management services for foster children are <u>not</u> provided by the above entities. The DPHSS Social Workers maintain oversight of these cases up until children are reunified with their parents or other caregiver, adopted, provided with other permanency plans, or reach the age of majority. The Guam family court provides leverage for the cases. The court may also extend jurisdiction to the DPHSS up to age 21.

Question 2:

 What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

Answer

All children in foster care are placed by the public agency, the DPHSS.

Question 3:

 Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.

Answer:

Not applicable.

Question 4:

 Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

Answer:

Not applicable.

Question 5:

 Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

Answer:

Not applicable.

Question 6:

 Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-profit providers operating in your state.

Answer:

The DPHSS administers and licenses family foster homes, group homes, and therapeutic foster homes in conjunction with the Guam Behavioral Health and Wellness Center. The DPHSS also administers and licenses the 24-emergency shelter in accordance with Guam Public Law 31-73, an Act to Establish the Administrative Rules and Regulations of the Department of Public Health and Social Services relative to Child Care Facilities and Group Care Homes.

The DPHSS process used to inspect the safety of the 24-hour emergency shelter for foster children is through quarterly site visits to the shelter by the DPHSS licensing Social Worker and Program Coordinators. Staff utilize a monitoring checklist that is based on the Scope of Work for services, and another monitoring checklist that is based on the standards for child care facilities, or Public Law 31-73. The discrepancies discovered during the site visit are addressed immediately to the shelter. If the shelter does not address the safety issues within the timelines outlined in the standards, the DPHSS will either revoke, suspend or not issue a license. Once the discrepancies are addressed, the license is provided. In a recent visit on January 27, 2015 and where issues of safety were questioned, the emergency shelter took action and removed the safety violations within two to three weeks upon notice by DPHSS.

The DPHSS process used to ensure the safety of children in licensed foster homes is through the application packet checklist for family foster home license. The licensing Social Worker ensures that all applicants meet the criteria contained in the checklist. This checklist includes the application for license, autobiography of foster parent, report of medical history, financial report sheet, employment verification, 3 character references, consent for disclosure to conduct a background check with Child Protective Services, Guam Police Department clearance, Superior Court of Guam clearance, clearance(s) from investigative agency if active military personnel (i.e. Navy Criminal Investigative Services, Office of Special Investigation), copy of recent check stub, marriage certificate or license, and a home inspection and interview conducted by the licensing Social Worker.

The autobiography of the foster parent shall include information on the following:

- · Reasons for wanting to become a foster parent.
- Describe your upbringing, relationship with parents, etc...
- · Describe your contact with your family now.
- · Describe how decisions are made as a couple.
- Describe strengths and weaknesses as a couple.
- · Describe how you deal with difficult issues.
- · Describe your method of discipline.
- What behaviors do you expect from children, during meals and playtime?

- What behaviors or expectations do you have with regards to teenagers?
- What are your feelings on religion or morals? How does it relate to child rearing?

The family foster home license is granted upon satisfactorily meeting the above requirements. Once license is granted, the licensing Social Worker conducts regular inspections of the home through a checklist that is based on Public Law 23-143, the *Standards for Family Foster Homes*.

The DPHSS process used to ensure the safety of children in relative placements includes a preliminary home assessment conducted by the Social Worker. The assessment report is provided to the child's Guardian ad Litem, and to the Guam family court for approval. The child is not placed in a relative placement until all parties grant approval. However, for those cases that warrant immediate removal of the child from the natural home or alleged perpetrator, the home assessment becomes secondary if the child needs to be placed immediately in the relative home placement in order to ensure the most familial environment and to minimize trauma. The routine home assessment is then conducted immediately thereafter. Upon entering the placement, the Social Worker will continue to monitor the case, to include an inspection of the home on a regular basis until case closure.

Question 7:

How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the
last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances
related to children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public
providers?

Answer

In the last five years, there were about five cases of substantiated abuse or neglect within the foster care setting. All five cases were placed by the public provider, or DPHSS.

Question 8:

Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is
in an out of home placement. Do these actions differ depending on whether the child
was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

Answer:

The actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement does not differ for any of the types of placements mentioned above as all foster children on Guam are placed by the public agency, or DPHSS.

All reports of abuse or neglect within a foster care setting are reported to the state agency, or DPHSS, that also has oversight on the investigation of all allegations of child abuse and neglect on Guam. This is outlined in Public Law 20-209, the *Guam Child Protective Act*. Once the case is deemed substantiated by the DPHSS, the agency shall take the following actions:

- If the child was placed in a licensed foster family home, therapeutic foster home, or relative placement, the child shall be immediately removed from the home and placed in another family foster home, therapeutic foster home, relative placement, or group home.
- The license of the home shall be revoked if the perpetrator is a foster parent. If the
 perpetrator is an employee of a group home or the 24-hour emergency shelter, the DPHSS
 shall coordinate with the home for the removal or termination of the employee.
- The severity of the injury or crime, as defined in Guam's Criminal Code, shall warrant
 further action and intervention, and other government agencies such as the Guam Police
 Department, Office of the Attorney General, and the Superior Court of Guam shall enforce
 criminal proceedings.

Should you need further clarification or have any other questions on Guam's foster care program, please do not hesitate to contact Ms. Linda B. Rodriguez, Human Services Program Administrator for the Bureau of Social Services Administration at (671) 475-2653/2672.

5



DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR

May 26, 2015

Ms. Becky Shipp, Health and Human Resources Policy Advisor and Ms. Laura Berntsen, Senior Human Services Advisor Committee on Finance United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510-6200

Dear Ms. Shipp and Ms. Berntsen:

The following is Hawaii's response to the information requested in the April 24, 2015 letter from Senators Hatch and Wyden.

1. Private Case Management

In the State of Hawaii (SOH), all case management services for children in foster care are provided by the SOH, Department of Human Services, Child Welfare Services (CWS). No private agencies provide case management for children in foster care in Hawaii.

2. Who Places Foster Children

All children who come into foster care in Hawaii due to maltreatment are placed in foster care by the State CWS.

3. Private Entities Providing Core Services

All core services are provided by the State CWS.

4. Accreditation of Private Entities

Not applicable.

5. Selection Process for Private Entities

Not applicable.

6. Inspecting the Safety of Foster Care Settings

The licensing processes for Emergency Shelter Homes, Foster Family Homes for Children, and Child Caring Institutions are set forth in the Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR). The HAR are available at http://humanservices.hawaii.gov/admin-rules-2/admin-rules-for-programs/. In summary, prior to licensing a foster care setting, a State CWS worker completes a safety inspection of both the foster home or facility and the perspective caregivers. To ensure the safety of the physical space, the worker evaluates: reports or evidence of illegal activity, reports or evidence of substance abuse, TB and other communicable diseases status, sanitation, storage of medication and cleaning supplies, location and storage of any potentially dangerous objects, and adequate sleeping space. To ensure that the potential caregivers can safely care for the child, the worker analyzes information from a wide array of sources, including: Hawaii's Child Abuse/Neglect Database, Hawaii's Criminal Justice Information System, Hawaii's Sex Offender Registry, National Sex Offender Registry, Hawaii CWS' Foster Home Licensing Files, reports or evidence of illegal activity, reports or evidence of substance abuse, physical and mental health reports and evidence, access to reliable child care, and the worker executes an agreement to never use physical discipline with the child.

Additionally, information is gathered from Child Abuse/Neglect databases for all states where the caregivers have lived. Potential caregivers are also fingerprinted and undergo FBI clearance.

As to ongoing assessment of the foster home, the State CWS caseworker visits with the child on a monthly basis; at least six of those visits must take place in the foster care setting. Additionally, the State CWS licensing worker visits the child in the foster care placement once every six months and completes a safety inspection.

7. Abuse in Foster Care Placement

In Hawaii, from State Fiscal Year 2010 – State Fiscal Year 2014 (July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2014), a total of 47 children were the subjects of confirmed maltreatment in foster care placements. All of these children were placed by the Hawaii CWS. For each of these five years, the percentage ranged from a low of 0.23% to a high of 0.88% out of all children in foster care for that year.

8. Substantiated Abuse Actions

When there is an allegation of abuse in a foster care setting, during the investigation, the child is removed from the setting. CWS staff makes an assessment about the safety of and risk to any other children in the home and decides whether or not to remove them.

If the allegations are substantiated, the CWS Licensing worker completes an assessment, and depending upon the severity of the harm and the placement situation for the child (length of stay, permanency plans), all children may be removed from the home and the foster care license terminated, or rehabilitative efforts may take place. There is only one process, as the State CWS places all children in foster care.

Should you have questions, concerns, or would like more information, please contact Kayle Perez, Child Welfare Services Branch Administrator, at (808) 586-5667 or by email at kperez@dhs.hawaii.gov.

Sincerely,

Governor, State of Hawai'i



Bruce Rauner Governor George H. Sheldon Acting Director

December 15, 2015

The Honorable Orrin Hatch Chairman US Senate Committee on Finance Washington, DC 20510 The Honorable Ron Wyden Ranking Member US Senate Committee on Finance Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Hatch and Ranking Member Wyden:

Thank you for your letter dated April 24, 2015 requesting information on the State of Illinois' foster care system. On behalf of the State of Illinois' Department of Children and Family Services, I am grateful for the opportunity to share with you our answers to your questions.

Governor Bruce Rauner and I agree that it is of the utmost importance to ensure that youth in out-of-home placements are kept safe, healthy, and cared for during their temporary stay. We look forward to working with you and your colleagues in the Senate to ensure that federal dollars are spent wisely in the shared goal of both providing a safe place to stay when necessary, while preventing the need for out-of-home placements to the greatest extent possible.

The following pages and accompanying attachments constitute our answers. Please do not hesitate to contact Andrew Flach, my Chief of Staff, at 312-814-6847 should you have additional questions.

Sincerely,

George Sheldon Acting Director

Illinois Department of Children and Family Services

ferry H Sholden

Office of the Director 100 W. Randolph St., Suite 6-100 • Chicago, Illinois 60601-3249 312-814-2074 • 312-814-1888 Fax www.DCFS.illinois.gov

- To degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services.
 - a. Public child welfare agencies have historically relied upon private, nonprofit agencies to deliver services to particular client population, Illinois is no different, in this regard.
 - The Illinois Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) currently contracts with 48 private agencies providing foster care services statewide.
 - Caseload tracking data as of March 31, 2015 shows there were 16,330 children in paid foster care living arrangements. Private providers are caring for 12,869 of those children, or 78%, while DCFS is caring for 3,461 children or 21%.
- 2. What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, notfor-profit providers, and for-profit providers?
 - a. Not-for-profit providers care for 12,059 children, or 74%; for-profit providers care for 810 children, or 5%; and, the public agency cares for 3,461 children, or 21%.
- 3. Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.
 - a. Document is attached.
- 4. Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?
 - a. Yes, state law requires private agencies and their staff to maintain accreditation from a nationally recognized organization. Further, state licensing law allows organizations who have obtained full accreditation status from the Council on Accreditation for Children and Family Services to benefit from a streamlined licensing renewal procedure as long as there are not substantiated licensing violations within the previous 4 years.
- Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.
 - a. Illinois has been a national leader in performance based contracting with private agencies for child welfare services. Since 1998, private foster care agencies in Illinois have been subject to performance benchmarks for permanency achievement, placement stability, and other key child welfare outcomes.
 - i. Private agencies and their caseworkers must be accredited and licensed. All private agencies must maintain accreditation from a nationally recognized organization (such as the Council on Accreditation). In addition, agencies must be licensed Illinois Child Welfare Agencies, and all Illinois caseworkers must obtain an individual child welfare license by meeting standards for education, experience, child welfare training, and a clean criminal background.
 - ii. Both public and private providers are currently expected to achieve annual permanency rates of 40%, while keeping all children safe from harm while in care. Benchmarks are also established for: placement stability; in-person casework contacts; parent-child and sibling visitation; home-of-relative licensure; and timely case planning. Top-performing agencies are rewarded with

- a greater share of new, incoming foster cases. Low-performing agencies have diminished intake goals, and are at risk of intake closure.
- 6. Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-public providers operating in your state.
 - a. All licensed foster homes (public, not-for-profit, and for-profit) are physically inspected at least twice a year. During the monitoring visits, standards related to safety are reviewed and the findings documented on the CFS 597-FFH form. A foster family home license is valid for four (4) years. When a license is issued (initial or renewal licenses) the CFS 590 form is completed, which documents compliance with every licensing standard for foster family homes. Copies of the CFS 590 and CFS 597-FFH forms are included as separate attachments.
 - b. Violations of licensing standards, including safety violations, are cited and a corrective action plan implemented. Serious violations result in a foster home being placed on "Involuntary Hold" meaning no additional placements may be made. Children may be moved to another placement if they are assessed to be at risk of harm. When a corrective action plan is implemented, it will be monitored until all violations have been corrected.
- 7. How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?
 - a. There have been 659 indicated counts of abuse of children in a foster care placement. Of those indicated counts, 123 (18.7%) were related to a public provider; 46 (7%) to a for-profit provider; and, 490 (74.4%) to a not-for-profit provider.
- 8. Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?
 - a. DCFS has established rules and procedures for concurrent investigations when a child in an out of home setting is the victim of abuse/neglect. The same procedures are applicable to all homes, regardless of whether the child was placed in a public agency or private agency foster home.
 - b. The Illinois State Central Register screens (SCR) all calls to the statewide hotline to determine if an incident meets the criteria for an abuse/neglect investigation. If the child victim is identified as residing in an out of home setting, SCR also notifies the DCFS Licensing Division and the licensing agency for that privately run foster home and a concurrent licensing investigation is initiated. Child protection staff and licensing staff work concurrently to develop a protective plan to ensure the safety of the child until both investigations are complete. Protective plans require removal of the child from the foster home, or removal of the offending foster parent, or the addition of another caregiving adult into the foster home. Weekly communication between child protection and licensing is required and licensing staff are responsible for weekly monitoring of the

- protective plan until a final determination is made. Children typically are not returned to the home if the child abuse/neglect allegation is substantiated.
- c. Upon conclusion of a substantiated child abuse/neglect investigation, the licensing agency must update background checks for the foster parents and re-examine the foster home to determine whether licensing standards are being met. Licensing must then determine whether to move to revoke the foster home's license or ask for a waiver of unsuitability to allow the home to maintain their license. This evaluation and actions are completed by purchase of service licensing staff if the home is licensed by purchase of service agency and by DCFS licensing staff if the home is licensed by DCFS. Purchase of service licensing agencies must report to DCFS Agency and Institution licensing staff on status of licensing complaints and corrective/revocation actions completed by their agencies.

DCFS Children in Out-of-Home Care† by Profit-Status of Case Managing Agency as of 3/31/15		ALLIANCE HUMAN SERVICES, INC ‡	CAMELOT CARE	ADAPT COMMUNITY ALTERNATIVES
FOR-PROFIT-STATUS	CASES	372473	481809	439604
PRIVATE NOT-FOR-PROFIT	12,059			
PUBLIC AGENCY	3,461	ponormina de la compania de la comp		
PRIVATE FOR-PROFIT	810	459	329	22
TOTAL	16,330	459	329	22

[†] Out-of-Home Care = Open child cases, exluding those placed at home or in Adoptive/Guardianship settings.

CYCIS Data as of 3/31/15.

[‡] Alliance Human Services, Inc is a not-for-profit agency, but all case management services are provided by its subcontractor, Illinois Mentor, which is a for-profit agency. IL DCFS will no longer contract with either Alliance Human Services or Illinois Mentor as of 7/1/2015.

CASE MANAGING AGENCY	CASES 3/31/15
PRIVATE NOT-FOR-PROFIT (65 Agencies)	12,059
ADA S MCKINLEY COMM SERV INC	158
ALLENDALE ASSOCIATION	53
ARDEN SHORE CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES	110
ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF CHICAGO	90
AUNT MARTHAS YOUTH SVC CTR INC	198
BABY FOLD	118
BETHANY FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES	49
CARITAS FAMILY SOLUTIONS	679
CATHOLIC CHARITIES/ARCH OF CHICAGO, THE	44
CATHOLIC CHILDRENS HOME	15
CENTER FOR YOUTH & FAMILY SOLUTIONS, THE	944
CENTERSTONE OF ILLINOIS INC	13
CHADDOCK	121
CHILDLINK	220
CHILDRENS HOME & AID SOC OF IL	1,227
CHILDRENS HOME ASSOC OF IL	192
CHILDRENS PLACE ASSOCIATION, THE	48
CHILDSERV	298
CUNNINGHAM CHILDRENS HOME INC	80
EASTER SEALS JOLIET REGION INC	73
ENVISION UNLIMITED - C.A.R.C.	58
EVANGELICAL CHILD & FAMILY AGENCY	1
FAMILY SERVICE CENTER OF SANGAMON COUNTY	98
FAMILYCORE	235
GENEVA FOUNDATION	15
GUARDIAN ANGEL COMMUNITY SERVICES	98
HARBOUR INC, THE	21
HEPHZIBAH CHILDREN'S ASSOCIATION	64
HOYLETON YOUTH AND FAMILY SERVICES	226
INDIAN OAKS	15
JEWISH CHILD & FAMILY SERVICES	77
KALEIDOSCOPE, INC.	133
KEMMERER VILLAGE	43
LAKESIDE COMMUNITY COMM	151
LAWRENCE HALL YOUTH SERVICES	255
LITTLE CITY FOUNDATION	47

CASE MANAGING AGENCY	CASES
	3/31/15
PRIVATE NOT-FOR-PROFIT (continued) LUTHERAN CHILD & FAMILY SVC	12,059 1,267
LUTHERAN SOCIAL SERVICES OF IL	1,570
LYDIA HOME ASSOCIATION	84
MYSI CORPORATION	56
NATIONAL YOUTH ADVOCATE PROGRAM INC	93
NEXUS-ONARGA ACADEMY	11
OMNI YOUTH SERVICES INCORPORATED	60
ONE HOPE UNITED NORTHERN REGN	437
ONE HOPE UNITED-HUDELSON REG	65
OUR CHILDREN'S HOMESTEAD	145
OUTREACH ACADEMY	9
PROLOGUE INC.	8
RUTLEDGE YOUTH FOUNDATION INC	21
RUTLEDGE YOUTH FOUNDATION, INC.	35
SHELTER, INC.	88
SOS CHILDRENS VILLAGES IL THRESHOLDS	183 17
THRESHOLDS, THE UHLICH CHILDREN'S ADVANTAGE	24 369
NETWORK	
UNITED CEREBRAL PALSY SEGUIN UNITED METHODIST CHILDRENS HOM	159
Seem as an arranged that the community of the management of the community	35
UNITY PARENTING AND COUNSELING	144
UNIVERSAL FAMILY CONNECTION IN	140
VOLUNTEERS OF AMERICA	165
WEBSTER CANTRELL HALL	109
YOUTH NETWORK COUNCIL	59
YOUTH OUTREACH SERVICES YOUTH SERVICE BUREAU OF ILLINOIS	32 401
VALLEY YOUTH SERVICE PROJECT INC	(mm) 1000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	3 464
PUBLIC AGENCY (IL DCFS) PRIVATE FOR-PROFIT (3 Agencies)	3,461 810
ADAPT COMMUNITY ALTERNATIVES	22
ALLIANCE HUMAN SERVICES, INC	459
CAMELOT CARE CENTERS INC.	329
OUT-OF-HOME CARE CASES 3/31/15	16,330



Michael R. Pence, Governor Mary Beth Bonaventura, Director

Indiana Department of Child Services

Room E306 – M547 302 W. Washington Street Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2738

> 317-234-KIDS FAX: 317-234-4497

> > www.in.gov/dcs

Child Support Hotline: 800-840-8757 Child Abuse and Neglect Hotline: 800-800-5556

June 2, 2015

Becky Shipp, Health and Human Resources Policy Advisor Laura Berntsen, Senior Human Services Advisor U.S. Senate Finance Committee

Dear Ms. Shipp and Ms. Berntsen,

In response to the letter dated April 24, 2015, from the U.S. Senate Finance Committee, please see information below relating to the delivery of child welfare services in Indiana:

 To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g. placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements.

Core case management in Indiana is the responsibility of the Indiana Department of Child Services (DCS), and the delivery of child welfare services, including placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework, and oversight of foster care placements is provided by DCS staff in local offices throughout the state.

DCS is responsible for approving all placements for children who are adjudicated to be a Child in Need of Services (CHINS) or delinquent children. Foster eligible placements include foster family homes, group homes, and residential treatment facilities, all of which are state-licensed placement options.

All foster family homes receive a state-issued license based upon consistent state requirements, whether licensed directly by DCS or by DCS approval of a recommendation for licensure made by a Licensed Child Placing Agency (LCPA). DCS enters into contractual agreements with LCPAs to recommend licensure of additional foster family homes. LCPAs provide enhanced supervision of the foster family homes licensed by DCS through their agency and provide placement support for children placed in homes managed by LCPAs.

Each child in a foster family home placement is monitored by an assigned DCS family case manager throughout the duration of the placement. 1968's Foster Care Licensing Unit has licensing oversight

Protecting our children, families, and future

over all foster family homes and may recommend probation, placement hold, and/or revocation as needed.

Additionally, DCS enters into contracts with cross-system care coordination providers to supplement case management and coordination of care for children with high levels of need. This cross-system supervision is in addition to the supervision by DCS and, where appropriate, LCPAs.

A foster family home can apply for licensure either through DCS directly or through an LCPA, and all foster family homes follow the same licensing procedures and documentation requirements. DCS is solely responsible for licensing all child placing agencies in Indiana. LCPAs are private entities that license and monitor foster family homes. LCPAs can recommend foster family homes for licensure, but DCS is responsible for making the final licensure decision.

2. What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

DCS is the agency solely responsible for making placement decisions, which must be approved by a court with appropriate jurisdiction, for children under the care and control of DCS. Therefore, all placement decisions are made by DCS and are based upon the child's needs and the skill set, resources, and location of the foster family.

3. Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.

Core case management in Indiana is the sole responsibility of DCS. However, DCS may supplement state case management with enhanced supervision and placement support provided by the 33 currently licensed child placing agencies in Indiana. A list detailing their for-profit or not-for-profit status is attached.

4. Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

Child placing agencies are required to be licensed by the state pursuant to Indiana Code § 31-27-6. Accreditation is not required. Prior to the submission of an application to become licensed as a foster care LCPA, the applicant must attend a five-hour training session offered by DCS, Residential Licensing and Contract Compliance. The focus of the training is to give the applicant an overview of the foster care system in Indiana and to acquaint the potential applicant with the requirements to be licensed as an LCPA in the state of Indiana.

As a part of the application, the LCPA must provide detailed information, including policies and procedures, that clearly and concisely demonstrates the applicant's knowledge of child welfare, foster care in general, and requirements of Indiana's system, including the Indiana administrative code and the LCPA contract. The application is carefully reviewed as to compliance with

Protecting our children, families and future

requirements. Often, additional information is required prior to the applicant being granted a license.

Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

Once a child placing agency is licensed, the agency is eligible to contract with DCS to provide the services requested by the agency. The DCS Residential Licensing and Contract Compliance Unit (RLCCU) licenses these LCPAs, and then DCS contracts with these agencies to provide foster care services, including recruitment, licensing, and support/retention. When the DCS RLCCU licenses an LCPA, DCS ensures that the LCPA and the foster homes managed by the LCPA meet Indiana statutes, rules, and policies. While LCPA licenses are valid for 4 years, DCS RLCCU conducts annual licensing and contract reviews of LCPAs to ensure that Indiana statutes, rules, requirements of the contract, and policies continue to be met. DCS plans to make revisions to the Indiana Administrative Code within the next five years related to LCPA regulations.

When an LCPA is not meeting licensing and/or contract standards, DCS RLCCU requires the LCPA to submit a Plan of Correction, which specifies how the LCPA will come into compliance. Depending on the nature of the non-compliance, DCS RLCCU may also institute a placement hold and/or a probationary status on the license. Additionally, if an individual foster home is not meeting standards, the same actions can be taken.

6. Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-profit providers operating in your state.

All foster family homes, whether the application is first submitted through DCS directly or through an LCPA, are required to obtain a license from DCS per state statute (Indiana Code § 31-27-4). Licenses are issued for a period of four years. Annual reviews are conducted by the licensing worker in order to update the foster family's personal information and determine whether the family continues to meet the requirements for licensure. The requirements for licensure include:

- Must be at least 21 years of age
- · Passing a criminal history and fingerprint-based national background check
- · Demonstrating financial stability
- Owning or renting a home that meets physical safety standards (e.g., fire extinguishers, adequate bedroom space, reliable transportation)
- Medical statements from a physician for all household members
- Successful completion of pre-service training requirements, including First Aid, CPR, and Universal Precautions training
- · Positive personal reference statements
- Home visits and a completed home study assessment from the licensing worker
- · Completing all required forms within the licensing packet

Protecting our children, families and future

A Standard License is issued to foster parents who care for children without special medical, developmental, mental, or emotional needs. A Therapeutic Certificate includes additional training requirements and allows licensed foster parents to serve as a placement for a child who has either a moderate to severe medical, developmental, or behavioral/emotional need, or a high-risk behavior.

If a family applies for licensure through DCS directly, then DCS is responsible for conducting a home study of the prospective foster family home. If the family applies for licensure through an LCPA, then the LCPA is responsible for conducting the home study and will make a recommendation to the DCS Central Office Foster Care Licensing Unit regarding the licensure decision for the family.

DCS or its designee (an LCPA, if the family is applying through the LCPA) will conduct a minimum of two visits to the home of the prospective foster family for the purpose of assessing the physical environment of the home and engaging in a thoughtful dialogue with all members of the household about foster parenting or adoption. DCS or the licensing worker will ensure that the home meets all applicable safety requirements, such as ensuring that the interior is free of all safety hazards (e.g. exposed wiring or chipping paint), the family has access to a working telephone, the home has a functioning bathroom, every sleeping room has two exits, etc. Any safety requirement that is not met necessitates either a Plan of Correction or a documented waiver or variance, as appropriate. This information is retained in the family's licensing file.

7. How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?

The children in the following chart were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional (group home or residential placement facility) staff.

The total number of children includes all CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. The rate of maltreatment equals the number of substantiated victims divided by the number of children in foster care. This data is publicly available on the DCS website (www.in.gov/dcs > Reports & Statistics > Practice Indicator Reports).

Protecting our children, samilies and future

	Children in Foster Care	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Rate of Maireatment in Foster Care
March 2014 to March 2015	27,610	100	0.36%
March 2013 to March 2014	22,252	60	0.27%
March 2012 to March 2013	20,256	40	0.20%
March 2011 to March 2012	19,493	22	0.11%
March 2010 to March 2011	21,328	63	0.30%

 ${\tt DCS}$ is the agency solely responsible for making placement decisions, so this data all relates to children placed by ${\tt DCS}$.

8. Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out-of-home placement. Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

All children are placed by DCS, and there are not differing actions to a substantiated abuse claim based upon whether the home is licensed directly through DCS or if the home applied for licensure through an LCPA. The DCS Institutional Child Protection Service (ICPS) Unit will conduct an assessment of a report of child abuse and/or neglect if the allegations state the incident occurred while the child was placed out-of-home in a residential facility, group home, or juvenile correctional facility. If the child is placed outside the home in foster care, the DCS local office will conduct the assessment. The child may be removed from the foster care placement while the investigation takes place, depending on the circumstances.

Additionally, a substantiated abuse allegation would lead to a revocation action and ineligibility for licensure of the foster parent(s) involved in the substantiation. When abuse or neglect is unsubstantiated, the DCS Central Office Foster Care Licensing Unit reviews the assessment for unresolved licensing regulation violations and follows up with the licensing agency (DCS or LCPA) to ensure appropriate action is taken to correct or resolve those issues.

Please let us know if any additional information is required to satisfy this request.

Respectfully, Nor: B.B. Jellin

Doris B. B. Tolliver, Esq., M.A.

Chief of Staff, Indiana Department of Child Services

Protecting our children, families and future



The Honorable Orin Hatch The Honorable Ron Wyden United States Senators Committee on Finance

ATTN: Becky Shipp and Laura Berntsen

Dear Senators Hatch and Wyden:

I am writing in response to the letter you sent dated April 24, 2015, asking states for information about the privatization of foster care services. The responses to your inquiries are as follows:

Question: To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework, and oversight of foster care placements).

Response: Iowa does not utilize private entities to provide case management services to children in foster care. Iowa Department of Human Services (DHS) staff are responsible for issuing all foster family home licenses, residential treatment facility licenses and shelter facility licenses. DHS staff are responsible for selecting the placement, providing required information and documents to the selected foster care home or facility, and providing case management and oversight of the child while in out-of-home care.

lowa does use privately contracted agencies to provide in-home family centered services to children in foster care. These services are to promote reunification by providing assistance with transportation and supervision of parent/child interactions, providing services to the family to alleviate the safety concerns that lead to out-of-home placement, and facilitate and monitor community-based services for children and families.

Iowa also has a statewide contractor for the recruitment and retention of foster and adoptive homes. Iowa KidsNet is comprised of six agencies with one acting as the lead agency. All six agencies are not-for-profit. Iowa KidsNet performs licensing activities that include preservice training, completion of record checks, completion of the home study, and all other documentation required by rules and statue. Iowa KidsNet makes a recommendation to DHS to approve or not approve a family to be a licensed foster home. DHS makes the final licensing decision. Iowa KidsNet provides assistance with matching children with foster family homes by providing the names of available homes to DHS staff when a child is in need of a foster home placement, but DHS staff are responsible for selecting the placement and placing the child. Iowa KidsNet also provides supportive services to all licensed foster families.

The Honorable Orin Hatch The Honorable Ron Wyden Page 2

DHS also contracts with residential treatment providers and emergency shelters to provide congregate care services to children with behavioral needs. DHS is responsible for the selection of the facility, placement of the child, and ongoing case management.

Question: What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

Response: All children in foster care are placed by the state agency as ordered by the Court.

Question: Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.

Response: As stated, DHS provides case management services to children in foster care.

Question: Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation repowed?

Response: lowa does not have private foster care entities or organizations so accreditation is not required.

Question: Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities as well as to review and renew such contracts.

Response: DHS is required to follow all state procurement policies. A request for proposal is issued which outlines the purpose of the contract, the scope of work, performance measures and payment. Bidders submit proposals which are reviewed by a committee. The committee scores each proposal and recommends a bidder. The final selection of a bidder is made by designated DHS leadership staff. The bidder is announced and a contract is negotiated.

Contract compliance is monitored by DHS staff. Contracts are renewed annually and may be amended as needed. The maximum length of a contract is six years, but can be terminated prior to the last allowable renewal for reasons specified in the contract. The contract is reproduced prior to the expiration of the last renewal year and a new contract is executed.

Question: Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care setting in which children are placed, and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit and for-public providers operating in your state.

Response: Licensed residential foster care facilities are required to meet all safety and nonsafety regulations as described in administrative code. Facilities must perform criminal and child abuse checks on any prospective employees. The Department of Inspections and Appeals (DIA), a state agency, completes a minimum of one visit each year to each facility to monitor compliance with licensing regulations. DIA reports all deficiencies to the facility and to DHS. A plan of correction is developed to correct the deficiencies. If a facility has serious The Honorable Orin Hatch The Honorable Ron Wyden Page 3

deficiencies or repeated deficiencies the license may be moved to a time-limited suspended license or provisional license. When there are serious safety deficiencies the license may be revoked and the facility closed.

Foster family homes are also required to meet all safety and non-safety regulations as described in administrative code. Iowa KidsNet staff are required to visit each foster family three times during the licensing year — one unannounced visit, one relicensing visit, and one additional visit. During each visit the safety of the home is assessed as well as compliance with licensing regulations. Iowa KidsNet staff discuss any deficiencies that are found with the foster parents, and a plan is developed to correct the deficiencies. If at any point a foster family home is determined to be unsafe the foster children are removed and action is taken on the license. This may be a formal written plan of correction or revocation of the foster home license.

DHS staff are required to regularly visit children on their caseload who are in out-of-home placement. Staff make every effort to see children monthly. During the visit staff are required to see the child alone to provide the child an opportunity to express any problems or concerns the child is having with the placement. If the child expresses feeling unsafe, staff assess the concerns, and if warranted, will remove the child and place the child in another foster family home or residential facility. If the child is determined to be safe, the child's concerns are discussed with the foster family or the facility staff to address the child's concerns.

If there is an allegation of abuse or neglect by a licensed foster parent or household member, or an employee of a residential facility, it is called into the DHS child abuse hotline 1-800-362-2178. Intake information is gathered including the alleged perpetrator and where the incident occurred.

A DHS child protection worker is assigned to assess the child's safety and the validity of the allegation. The child protection worker has 20 days in which to determine if the allegation will be substantiated or not. Child safety is continuously assessed from the time the allegation is received through the course of the 20 day assessment. Regulatory staff are included in the assessment to determine if the foster home or facility is in violation of licensing requirements.

The process is the same for public, not-for-profit and for-profit residential facilities and all foster family homes.

Question: How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the past five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?

The Honorable Orin Hatch The Honorable Ron Wyden Page 4

Response: The chart below shows the number of children who had substantiated reports of abuse in all foster care settings. All of the children were placed by DHS.

Number of children abused by foster care providers by federal fiscal year		
Federal Fiscal Year	#	
2010	41	
2011	42	
2012	35	
2013	27	
2014	31	

Question: Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

Response: The child is removed from the placement immediately when a determination is made that the child is not safe. The child may also be removed if it is determined that it is in the best interest of the child not to reside in the foster home or facility during the course of the assessment.

If the abuse allegation is substantiated against a foster family member the abuse report will be evaluated by DHS to determine whether the foster home license should be revoked or if a formal plan of correction is needed. All foster families are licensed by DHS so the process is the same for all substantiated abuse allegations.

If the allegation is substantiated against an employee of the facility, the report will be evaluated by designated DHS staff to determine if the subject is able to continue to work or is prohibited from working in the facility. The process is the same regardless of whether the facility is a for-profit or not-for-profit agency.

If you have further questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Charles M. Palmer

Director

CMP/tp



Response to Senate Finance Committee Questions on Public-Private Partnerships

May 15, 2015

To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g. placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

The entire case management responsibilities for the Kansas Department for Children and Families (DCF) Family Preservation, and Reintegration/Foster Care/Adoption Services are performed under competitive bid contracts. There are two providers for the Reintegration/Foster Care/Adoption Services contracts, and they are responsible for the placement of children who are removed from their homes. Per the contract with DCF, the two providers are required to sub-contract with child placing agencies (CPAs) that sponsor foster homes. The two providers are CPAs and sponsor foster homes as well. The oversight of foster homes is currently regulated by the Kansas Department Health and Environment (KDHE). All foster homes are required to be licensed. The CPAs provide oversight of the foster homes and are also regulated by KDHE. The oversight of the placement of children is monitored by the case management provider and the DCF Foster Care Liaison. Oversight of the contracts is done through performance measures, DCF case reads and administrative reviews.

What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

All of the children in foster care are placed by the two case management providers. They both are not-for-profit agencies.

Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.

Kansas has two providers of these core services: KVC Health Systems, Inc. and St. Francis Community Services. Both are not-for-profit.

Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

Yes, DCF requires case management providers to be accredited with a child welfare organization. Currently, both providers are accredited by the Joint Commission on Accreditation. The certificate and accreditation cycle is valid for up to 39 months.

Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

Procurement Process:

- The agency submits a letter from the Secretary to the Director of Purchases with a request to establish a Procurement Negotiating Committee (PNC); per K.S.A. 75-37,102 (Attached), the PNC consists of:
 - o Director of Purchases, or designee
 - The Director of Purchases' representative will shepherd the project through the process.
 - Secretary of Administration, or designee
 - o Agency Head, or designee
 - Others may be involved in bid evaluation, negotiations, program planning, but the three designated members are ultimately responsible for the final decision.
- A Request for Proposal (RFP) is prepared by agency staff (sections IV and V of the RFP template) and posted for advertisement by a Division of Purchases Procurement Officer.
- The RFP information is advertised in the Kansas Register, per statutory requirement.
- The RFP is posted to the internet; notice of RFP is mailed to interested/interesting vendors.
 - The RFP document reminds bidders to monitor the Purchases website for periodic posting of new information related to the bid document.
 - The RFP will typically involve a pre-bid conference or a pre-bid question and answer period that allows potential vendors to request clarification of RFP information.
 - The questions and answers are released to all known interested vendors via addendum, posted to the internet.
- Bid closing date (proposal submission deadline)
 - Vendors are instructed to submit their technical proposals in separate sealed envelopes (separate from the cost proposals).
 - o At bid opening, only names of bidders are announced.
- Review of proposals begins when technical proposals only. They are forwarded to PNC members and other agency participants/evaluators.
 - Cost proposals are retained by the Division of Purchases (the reasoning behind this is that technical evaluation should be conducted without the prejudice of price).
 - The agency must provide a complete technical evaluation of each proposal submitted, with an attempt to list items including (but not limited to):
 - Response format as required by the RFP;
 - · Adequacy and completeness of proposal;

- · Vendors' understanding of the project;
- Compliance with the terms and conditions of the RFP;
- Experience in providing like services;
- Qualified staff:
- Methodology to accomplish tasks;
- Pros/cons:
- Strengths/weaknesses
- Once the technical evaluation has been completed, the evaluation team submits a Technical Evaluation Summary to the Division of Purchases.
- Upon receipt of a complete (quality and substance) Technical Evaluation Summary, the cost proposals are released to the PNC/evaluation team for review
- The PNC/evaluation team reviews the cost proposals in concert with the technical evaluations, and selects one or more vendors to invite to negotiations.
- The List of Vendors to Invite to Negotiations is developed.
- · Negotiation session(s) are scheduled.
 - Preparations for negotiations may include the development of questions and answers/discussion points for negotiations, to allow the vendor to be better prepared for the meeting.
 - At the end of negotiations, the PNC will request a counter offer/best and final offer. Especially important is clarification of the vendor's expectations/understanding of the project results in a change of cost.
- Once counter offers/best and final offers have been submitted, the PNC again reviews cost and technical proposals, and works to determine a winner and provide documentation of the decision.
- The PNC/evaluation team prepares a formal recommendation for award.
 - Deadline for documentation of reasons for not awarding to vendors lower in cost
 - o Contract documents are prepared, and are routed for signatures.
- Once all required signatures have been acquired, work on the project may begin.

Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-profit providers operating in your state.

- K.A.R. 28-4-802. License requirements. Each individual shall meet all of the following requirements to obtain a license and to maintain a license:
 - (a) Submit a complete application for a license on forms provided by the department, including requests for the background checks specified in K.A.R. 28-4-805;
 - (b) be at least 21 years of age;
 - (c) have sufficient income or resources to provide for the basic needs and financial obligations of the foster family and to maintain compliance with all regulations governing family foster homes;
 - (d) participate in an initial family assessment, a family assessment for each renewal, and any additional family assessments conducted by the sponsoring

- child-placing agency. Each family assessment shall include at least one individual interview with each household member at least seven years of age and at least one visit in the family foster home;
- (e) meet the training requirements in K.A.R. 28-4-806; and
- (f) obtain and maintain ongoing sponsorship by a public or private child-placing agency, including a recommendation by the sponsoring child-placing agency that the home be used for placement of children in foster care.

(Authorized by K.S.A. 65-508; implementing K.S.A. 65-504 and 65-508; effective March 28, 2008.)

How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?

- The numbers of children in out-of-home placement who had a substantiated abuse neglect, where the perpetrator was a foster parent or placement facility employee:
 - o SFY 2010 = 12
 - o SFY 2011 = 12
 - o SFY 2012 = 14
 - o SFY 2013 = 16
 - o SFY 2014 = 23

The total is 77 over the five year period.

Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

 If the foster parent is substantiated for abuse or neglect, his/her name is placed on the Child Abuse and Neglect Registry, and the foster home license is revoked.



CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Steven L. Beshear Governor 275 Eest Main Street, 5W-A Frankfort, KY 40621 502-564-7042 502-564-7091 www.chfs.ky.gov Audrey Tayse Haynes Secretary

May 22, 2015

The Honorable Orin Hatch, Chairman
The Honorable Ron Wyden, Ranking Member
United States Senate
Committee on Finance
Washington, DC 20510-6200

Dear Senators Hatch and Wyden:

On behalf of Kentucky Governor Steven L Beshear, I'm pleased to provide background information per your request on Kentucky's implementation of the federal foster care and adoption programs, specifically relating to private contractors that assist in our efforts.

Should you or your committee staff require additional information, do not hesitate to contact us.

To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

The Kentucky Cabinet for Health and Family Services (CHFS), Department for Community Based Services has under its care certain children for whom specialized foster care services are needed. If a child cannot be placed with the public agency, CHFS utilizes private providers to provide needed services for children in out of home care. Those services are governed under the "Private Child Care Agreement" and are conceptualized as:

- Family centered;
- Youth guided;
- Time limited;
- Intensive; and
- Evidence informed.

These practices are designed to promote the child welfare goals of safety, permanency, well-being and stability.

KentuckyUnbridledSpirit.com



An Equal Opportunity Employer M/F/D

1

By accepting children for placement from the Cabinet for Health and Family Services (CHFS), the private agencies agree to provide the following services based on the parameters of the Individual agency's contract:

- Adoption;
- Therapeutic and Medically Fragile Foster care;
- Independent living;
- Group homes that include:
 - o Treatment; and
 - o Crisis intervention;
- Institutions that include:
 - o Treatment; and
 - o Crisis intervention;
- · Emergency shelter and/or emergency shelter with treatment services.

As part of the private agency's agreement with CHFS, the agency agrees to provide the child with following ongoing case management services:

- Therapy as needed;
- Placement stability;
- Working with family to return child home, if applicable;
- Participation in case planning;
- · Appropriate training for foster parents in working with each child's individual needs;
- Family type environment for a child;
- Basic needs (food, shelter, clothing, etc.); and
- Cultural and religious opportunities.

What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

All children that are placed in foster care in the state of Kentucky are placed by the public agency. Private agencies are not responsible for placing children in the state of Kentucky.

Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.

There are forty-three (43) private agencies that provide the core services listed in question #1 to children placed in out of home care in the state of Kentucky. Below is a breakdown of the profit/non-profit status of each private agency.

For Profit Agencies:

- Community Youth Services;
- Kinder Haven, Inc.;
- Omni Vision, Inc.;
- Alternative Youth Services;
- Combs Residential Services;
- Key Assets of Kentucky, LLC;
- New Beginnings Family Services, Inc.;
- New Hope Foster Homes, Inc.; and
- The Bair Foundation.

Not For Profit Agencies:

- All God's Children, Inc.;
- Appalachian Children's Home, Inc.;
- · Arbor Youth Services;
- Barnabas Home:
- Benchmark Family Services, Inc.;
- · Brighton Center, Inc.;
- Buckhorn Children and Family Services;
- · Children's Home of Northern Kentucky;
- Comprehend, Inc.;
- Diocesan Catholic Children's Home, Inc.;
- ENA, Inc. (dba Necco);
- Family Connection, Inc. (dba Hope Hill Youth Services);
- Father Maloney's Boy's Haven;
- Foothills Academy, Inc.;
- Gateway Juvenile Diversion Project, Inc.;
- Green River Regional MH/MR Board, Inc.;
- Holly Hill Children's Services;
- Kentucky Intensive Family Services, Inc.;
- · Kentucky United Methodist Homes for Children and Youth;
- KVC Behavioral Health Care KY;
- Life Connection, Inc.;
- LifeSkills, Inc.;
- Maryhurst, Inc.;
- Mountain Comprehensive Care Foster Care;
- Pennyroyal Regional MH/MR Board, Inc.;
- Ramey Estep Homes, Inc.;
- REACH of Louisville, Inc.;
- Specialized Alternatives for Families and Youth of Kentucky, Inc.;
- St. Joseph Children's Home;
- · St. Joseph's Peace Mission for Children;
- Sunrise Children's Services;
- Uspiritus;
- YMCA Safe Place Services.

Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

Yes, Kentucky requires that all private foster care entities or organizations operating within the state obtain accreditation by a nationally recognized accreditation organization either:

- Within two (2) years of initial licensure (922 KAR 1:300); or
- Within two (2) years of initial licensure or within two (2) years of acquiring an agreement with the cabinet [(public agency)] to provide private child care services, whichever is later (922 KAR 1:310).

Accreditation must be maintained utilizing the renewal procedures based on the individual accrediting body chosen by the private agency.

Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

According to Kentucky Revised Statutes (KRS) 45A.690, Definitions for KRS 45A.690 to KRS 45A.725, CHFS does not contract with private providers.

Private entities provide foster care to children committed to the public agency through the "Private Child Care Agreement," which governs private entities and is similar to a Memorandum of Understanding. Language in the agreement specifies services that could be reasonably expected by both parties if a child were to be placed with one of the agencies and the expectations or standard of care that would be provided for said child.

Before a private agency may apply to provide services for the public agency, the entity must be licensed by the public agency's Office of inspector General. After this occurs, an application may be made with the public agency for the provisions of foster care/out of home care services. Once the application has been approved, the private agency enters into an agreement with the public agency.

The following Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KAR) have been promulgated to govern rate methodology, standards of care, and licensing and accreditation requirement for private child caring and child placing agencles:

- 922 KAR 1:300, Standards for child-caring facilities;
- 922 KAR 1:305, Licensure of child-caring facilities and child-placing agencies;
- 922 KAR 1:310, Standards for child-placing agencies;
- 922 KAR 1:360, Private child care placement, levels of care, and payment;
- 922 KAR 1:380, Standards for emergency shelter child-caring facilities; and
- 922 KAR 1:390, Standards for residential child-caring facilities.

These regulations ensure that private providers meet all state and federal mandates surrounding these matters.

The private agency undergoes a renewal process every two (2) years for continued approval as a placement resource for CHFS. The private agency must submit a new application packet to public agency for review. The public agency ensures that all necessary information is contained within this application packet, reviews it, and then makes a determination of whether or not to renew the private agency's agreement for another two (2) years.

Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-public providers operating in your state.

For Private Agencies:

The CHFS Office of the Inspector General (OIG) utilizes a survey called the "Child Placing Survey Too!" to inspect the safety of private agency foster care settings. This tool contains items that assist OIG in ensuring that private agencies meet all required state and federal guidelines associated with their approval, as well as provide all necessary safety precautions. This process is the same for both for-profit and not-for-profit agencies. 922 KAR 1:310, Standards for child-placing agencies, provides requirements for annual re-evaluations of private agency foster homes. These requirements ensure that the foster homes continue to meet standards to remain viable placement options for children in foster care.

For Public Agencles:

Foster homes that are approved to receive placements through the public agency are re-evaluated annually. The document utilized for these types of placements is the "DPP-1289 Annual Strengths/Needs Assessment for Resource Families." This document assesses for continued safety and ongoing training of the placement resource. 922 KAR 1:350, Family preparation, provides requirements for annual re-evaluations of public agency foster homes. These requirements ensure that the foster homes continue to meet standards to remain viable placement options for children in foster care.

4

In addition to the completion of the "Child Placing Survey Tool" for private agencies and the "DPP-1289 Annual Strengths/Needs Assessment for Resource Families" for public agencies, both private and public agency foster homes agree to allow social workers a face to face visit for purposes of completing the annual re-evaluation. The foster parents also agree to submit to annual criminal background checks, child abuse/neglect checks, and continue to meet all requirements of the Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act of 2006. In addition to the specific requirements of the annual re-evaluations, both private and public agency foster homes agree to allow social workers to visit their home each month to monitor the continued safety of all children placed in out of home care.

How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not-for profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?

The state of Kentucky does not collect information regarding substantiated claims of abuse in terms of public, private, forprofit and not-for-profit statistics. As stated in the answer to question #2, all children in Kentucky who are placed in an out of home care situation, are placed by the public agency. All claims of abuse of children are grouped into the category of abuse that occurred while a child was in foster care. The most recent numbers of this occurrence that could be obtained are documented in the tables below:

ACF Data Statistics: Maltreatment in Foster Care - Kentucky	2012	2013
Children Maltreated While in Foster Care	0.5%	0.54%
Children Not Maitreated While in Foster Care	99.5%	99.46%
Total Number in Foster Care	12,085	12,270
Number Maltreated While In Foster Care	60	66

Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement. Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

All claims of abuse received by the Cabinet for Health and Family Services on out of home care providers, whether public, private, for-profit or not-for-profit, are treated as specialized investigations.

Reports on both public foster homes and private providers (for-profit or not-for-profit are treated the same) are tracked by the Kentucky CHFS. The private agency is notified by the public agency regulatory body so that no other children are placed in the home. If the referral is physical abuse, sexual abuse, or otherwise serious in nature, the child is removed from the home during the investigation.

For private agencies:

- Information is shared with the licensing agency, Children's Review Program, and OIG. The public agency regulatory body informs the private agency that no children will be placed in their home; and
- A review of the foster home occurs.

5

922 KAR 1:310, Standards for child-placing agencies, provides requirements for closure when a claim of abuse is substantiated on a private agency foster home.

For public agencies:

A review of the foster home is completed, unless the home is being closed.

922 KAR 1:350, Family preparation, provides requirements for closure when a claim of abuse is substantiated on a public agency foster home.

All cases are managed on an individual basis. The safety and well-being of the child is of paramount concern in all situations and dictates the actions taken as a result of a substantiated claim of abuse.

As stated in the answer to question #2, all children in Kentucky who are placed in an out of home care situation, are placed by the public agency.

Respectfully,

Cc: Governor Steven L. Beshear

Commissioner Teresa James, Department for Community Based Services

July 20, 2015

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch Chairman Senate Committee on Finance 219 Dirksen Senate Building Washington, DC 20510 The Honorable Ron Wyden Ranking Member Senate Committee on Finance 219 Dirksen Senate Building Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Hatch and Ranking Member Wyden:

Thank you so much for your inquiry regarding foster care providers licensed and monitored within Maryland. The Department of Human Resources (Department) is committed to the health, safety, and welfare of foster youth in the State's care. We take this responsibility seriously and work hard to ensure that we, and our partners, strive for excellence.

Please find enclosed the Department's response to this Committee's inquiry. Should you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact our Office of Government Affairs at (410) 767-6586.

Sam Malhotra Secretary To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing caseworker and oversight of foster care placements).

Maryland does not contract with private entities for case management services; we contract with private providers for placement services only. Case management services are provided by the local department of social services staff.

What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

100% of Maryland's foster care children are placed by the public agency.

Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.

Maryland does not have private entities for which we contract to provide case management services. Attached is a list of private providers with whom we contract for placement services. Currently Maryland contracts with 80 (37- Child Placement Agency (CPA) and 43- Residential Child Care (RCC)) private providers for placement services.

Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

No, accreditation is a voluntary process for Maryland's private residential child care programs and child placement agencies. Maryland residential child care providers and child placement agencies are required to adhere to the standards of the Code of Maryland Annotated Regulations (COMAR) to maintain licensure. The licensure and monitoring processes are designed to protect the health, safety, and well-being of children placed in residential child care programs and with child placement agencies.

Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

Maryland does not contract with private entities for case management services. Maryland does contract with Residential Child Care (RCC) programs and Child Placement Agencies (CPAs) that provide Treatment Foster Care (TFC) services. In order to be considered for a contract with the Department of Human Resources' Social Services Administration (SSA), a RCC or CPA provider must first receive a license. RCC licenses are issued by three State agencies including the Department of Human Resources' Office of Licensing and Monitoring (OLM), while CPA licenses are issued only by OLM. OLM is a separate entity from SSA within the Department of Human Resources to eliminate possible conflict of interest between the licensing and contracting

units. After receiving a license, the provider must then obtain a rate for its RCC or CPA placement services from the Interagency Rates Committee, which is led by the Maryland State Department of Education. Once a rate is obtained, the RCC or CPA may enter into a contracting process with SSA, based on SSA's estimate of bed need across the State. In the past the RCC contracting process has been a competitive process (Request for Proposal), and the CPA contracting process has been a non-competitive process. It should be noted that Maryland only pays a provider for services when a child is actually placed at an RCC or CPA home.

Per current contract language, the following performance measures outlined below are being used to monitor Contract performance. Preference for the continuation and renewal of a Contract is given to those Contractors who meet the minimum performance score for CPA and RCC programs. The performance measures are compiled, monitored and rated four times during each Contract year. The performance measures are related to the following:

1. Child Safety

- Staff Security-100% Compliance with Child Protective Services Clearances (CPS) and Criminal Background (CB) checks for all employees and prospective employees;
- Foster Parent Certification/Recertification-100% of foster parents have an initial certification and re-certification;
- Maltreatment while in Foster Care-Review of Contractors serving foster children shall
 have no indicated findings of child maltreatment where a Contractor's staff member or
 foster parent is identified as the maltreator.

2. Licensing and Monitoring

- Licensing Sanctions- Contractors shall not have any licensing sanctions during each quarterly rating period.
- SSA Hotlist-Contractors shall not be placed on the SSA Hotlist anytime during each quarterly rating period.
- Annual Fiscal Audits-Contractors are required to submit an annual financial audit timely on or before December 2nd of each Contract year.

3. Child Well-Being

Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths Assessment (CANS) Compliance- CANS
 Assessments are completed to measure child well-being. Contractors are to ensure
 successful provision of required services leading to each child's achievement of case plan
 goals and objectives.

Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-public providers operating in your state.

In Maryland, the process of inspecting safety and compliance of foster care settings is different for public and private providers. For public homes, local caseworkers conduct monthly visits to

ensure safety, permanency, and well-being of all children in foster care. Annual redeterminations are conducted with public foster home providers to ensure they are adhering to the regulations set forth by Maryland's COMAR. These requirements include CPS clearances, Federal and State criminal background checks for household members over the age of 18, health inspection of the home, and medical assessments for all members of the family.

OLM is responsible for ensuring that private providers adhere to Maryland State law as outlined and promulgated in Code of Maryland Annotated Regulations (COMAR) 14.31.05-14.31.07 for RCCs and .07.05.01-.07.02.21 for CPAs. The Department of Human Resources, Office of Licensing and Monitoring (OLM) licenses and monitors private residential child care facilities (RCC group homes) and Child Placement Agencies (CPA) which consist of Treatment Foster Care (TFC), Independent Living Programs and Adoptions. Through the regulatory process OLM strives to ensure that each RCC and CPA program complies with applicable laws and regulations designed to ensure the safety and wellbeing of children in care.

The site visit is the primary activity for monitoring RCC and CPA providers. All private residential child care programs are re-licensed bi-annually. Mid-licensure (one-year) review and quarterly visits are conducted to ensure they are administratively and programmatically in compliance with COMAR. The OLM's Licensing Coordinator is required to make quarterly unannounced visits to the organization and all licensed sites. Announced visits usually occur at least annually.

The OLM Licensing Coordinator may also make an emergency visit to complete a complaint and incident report investigation. Emergency visits are issues that are related to life, health and safety of residents. Complaints or emergency visits are unannounced visits.

The monitoring visit consists (but is not limited to) the following areas:

- Entrance Conference are held with the Program Administrator and/or Designee and
 consists of a discussion regarding the overview of any programmatic changes, incident
 reports, community complaints, updates on the youth census and staff census, as well as
 financial incident reports.
- Physical Plant inspection of each licensed residential child care site(s) and child placement agency office(s).
- Record reviews (client and personnel)
- Log and contact notes
- Interviews (client/staff on site, foster parent) with children include verbal and non-verbal. The non-verbal child interview is completed by physical observation of the child in their placement.
- Exit Conference are held with the Program Administrator and/or designee to address the findings from the site visit. OLM will offer technical assistance, as necessary. Corrective Action Plan (CAP) is requested if there are COMAR deficiencies observed during the visit.

 QLM notifies the private provider of the deficiencies observed during the site visit and sends a written summary of the regulatory compliance following the visit.

How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children being placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?

For calendar years 2010 through 2014, there were 39 instances of abuse in foster care placement that were substantiated. Of those substantiated, 44% were placed with not-for-profit providers, 6% were placed with for-profit providers, and 50% were placed with public agency providers.

Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was place by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

In Maryland, the local department of social services in the jurisdiction where the facility is located is responsible for the investigation of all reports of maltreatment and determining where a child is placed. The process is the same regardless of the type of foster care home reported. Upon receipt of a report of maltreatment, the local department will immediately assess the safety of all children placed in the foster group home and determine whether or not removal is warranted.

If the child(ren) is determined to be safe and able to remain in the home, the foster home (both public and private) is placed on hold and no new placements are allowed while the investigation is pending. If the investigation results in a "substantiated" finding for a private Treatment Foster Care (TFC) home (certified foster parent), the TFC home must be closed, and the child must be transitioned to a new placement. In a public home, if the finding is "substantiated," the local department will assess whether or not the home can remain open and continue as a resource home with the local department.

Regarding a RCC, "the licensee shall immediately place the employee on administrative leave and remove the employee from access to the children. This regulation does not prohibit a licensee from suspending without pay or discharging an employee alleged to have subjected a child to abuse or neglect." If the investigation results in a "substantiated" finding for an employee of a RCC, then the employee is terminated.

The Department is dedicated to ensuring that appropriate placement services are provided to the children who come into the care and custody of the state of Maryland but we do not contract for case management services. Please do not hesitate to contact me in the future regarding any programs or services administered by the Department of Human Resources.

Private Organization Name	For -Profit Status DHR	DHR	DHMH	Residential Care	Treatment	Independent	Treatment Independent Regular DHR	
Adventist Healthcare, Inc.	No		×	X				
ARC Northern Chesapeake Region, Incorporated, The	No.	×	And the state of t	0 min 1 min	×		^	×
ARC of Baltimore, Inc., The	oN	×			×		_	×
ARC of Washington County, Inc.	No	×		X	-	-		×
Arrow Child and Family Ministries of Maryland, Inc.	No	×	on the second se	×	×			×
Associated Catholic Charities Inc.	S.	×		X	×			×
Aunt Hattie's Place, Inc.	No	×		X			^	×
Baltimore Adolescent Treatment Guidance Organization, Inc.	No	×			×		X	
Benedictine School for Exceptional Children, Incorporated	No	×		×				×
Board of Child Care of the United Methodist Church, Incorporated	ON	×		X	×	Andreas of annual and annual and annual and annual and annual and annual annual and annual an		×
Boys Town of Washington DC. Inc (formerly Father Flanagan's)	No	×			×			
Brook Lane Health Services, Inc.	No	×		×				
Brotherhood and Sisterhood (BSI) International	No		×	×		The state of the s		×
Building Families for Children (formerly Baptist Family & Children)		×	-		×		×	
CareRite T.F.C., Inc.	No	×			×			
Care With Class, Inc.	Yes	×		×			X	,
Cedar Ridge Children's Home and School, Inc.	No	×	×	X	CONTRACTOR		_	×
Center For Progressive Learning, Inc.	No		×	×				
Center for Social Change, Inc.	No		×	×				×
Challengers Independent Living, Inc.	No	×		×		×		×
Changing Lives at Home, Inc.	No	×		×			×	
Children's Choice of Maryland, Inc., The	No	×			×			×
Children's Guild, Inc., The	No	×	×	×	×			×
Children's Home, Inc., The	No	×		×	×		_	×
Children's Resources, Inc.	o'N	×		×				
CIS & H Inc.	Yes		×	×			×	
Community Services for Autistic Adults and Children, Inc.	No		×	×				×
Community Solutions, Inc	oN	×			×		X	and the second
CONCERN - Professional Services for Children and Youth, Inc	No	×			×			×
Day By Day Residential Services, Inc.	Yes	×		×			×	
Dove Pointe	No		×	×			X	
Family Matters of Greater Washington Inc.	No	×			×			
Foundations for Home and Community, Inc.	No	×			×		X	
Good Children In The Making, Inc.	Yes	×			×		×	
Hearts and Homes For Youth, Inc.	No	×	×	×	×	×	×	
Hebron Association for Community Services Inc.	No		×	×			X	
Helping Children Grow, Inc.	No	×			×			
Inner-County Outreach Incorporated	No	×		×			_	
Inspiring Minds Inc.	Yes	×		×			^	×
JS Social Services, Inc (Youthtown USA)	No	×		×				
Jumoke, Inc.	Yes	×		×		×	×	
Kennedy Krieger Education and Community Services, Inc.	No	X			×		Χ	

KidsPeace National Centers of North America, Inc.	GN.	×			×	-		Å
King Edwards' Inc.	No		The state of the s			×		× ×
Linwood Center, Inc.	No			×			and a second	X
Lutheran Social Services	No	×					X	
Making A Great Individual Contribution, Inc.	No		The second secon	×				X
Maple Shade Youth and Family Services, Inc.	No				×			
Martin Pollak Project, Inc., The	o'N		and a to a district an agency and a second an account		×	×		×
Maryland Salem Children's Trust, Inc., The	SN	×		×				×
Maryland School for the Blind, The	No.			X				mananananananananananananananananananan
Maryland School for the Deaf, Inc., The	.Xo			×				
Maryland Sheriffs' Youth Ranch, Inc.	No	×		×		The statement and the section of the statement of the sta		
McJoy's Joy Covenant Inc.	Yes	×		×				×
Mentor Maryland, Inc.	Yes	×		The second secon	×	×		×
National Center for Children and Families, Inc., The	S.		Association and a second and a	×	×	×		×
Neighbor to Family, Inc.	No				×		-	×
New Pathways, Inc.	S.	×			1	×		×
NHS Maryland	ON.				×			×
Our Fortress Homes, Inc.	No		×	X				×
Our House, Inc.	oN.			×				×
Parker Therapeutic Services, Inc.	Yes	×	The second secon		×	:		×
Place for Children, Incorporated, The	SN.			×				
Pressley Ridge, Inc.	ON			Account of the contract of the	×			×
Progressive Life Center, Inc.	S.	×			×	×		×
Progressive Steps, Inc. (formerly Progressive Horizons)	o'A			The state of the s	×			×
PSI Services III, Inc.	No			A STATE OF THE STA	×			×
Psychiatric Center Chartered	No	×			×	The same of the sa		×
Rolling Vista Place Incorporated	Yes	×		X			-	×
San Mar Children's Home, Inc.	No	×	×	×	×			×
Sarah's House, Inc.	oN			X				×
Second Family, Inc.	No		×	×				×
Seraaj Family Homes, Inc.	Yes	×			×			×
Shorehaven, Inc.	Yes		×	X				×
St. Ann's Center for Children, Youth and Families	No			×				×
Southern Maryland Youth Home, Inc. (formerly Structures)	No	×		×				×
Total Quality Residential Services, Inc.	2 2		×	X				×
TuTrie's Place	°Z	×		×				×
Umbrella Therapeutic Services, Inc.	Yes	×		AND A THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO		×		×
United States Fellowship, Inc.	SN.	×		×				
Wellington incorporated (Kindness House)	Yes	×				×	-	×
WIN Family Services, Inc.	No	×		The state of the s	×			×
Woodbourne Center, Inc.	No	×		NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY O	×			×
Youth Enterprise Services, Inc.	No			×		The state of the s	-	×
				Contract of the last of the la	-	Statement of the Statem		



Office of the Governor

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

State House • Boston, MA 02133
(617) 725-4000

CHARLES D. BAKER GOVERNOR KARYN E. POLITO LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

June 10, 2015

Orrin G. Hatch, Chairman Committee on Finance United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510 c/o Becky Shipp, Health and Human Resources Policy Advisor

Ron Wyden, Ranking Member Committee on Finance United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510 c/o Laura Berntsen, Senior Human Services Advisor

Dear Chairman Hatch and Ranking Member Wyden:

In response to your April 24, 2015 letter regarding the Commonwealth's use of privately provided foster care related case management for children receiving child welfare services in Massachusetts, enclosed please find a detailed set of answers prepared by the Massachusetts Department of Children and Families (DCF), the Commonwealth's Child Welfare Agency.

I hope that this information will be helpful to the Senate Finance Committee. DCF is available to respond directly to any further questions from the Committee concerning the Massachusetts foster care system.

Sincerely,

Charles D. Baker Governor

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts
Executive Office of Health and Human Services
Department of Children and Families
600 Washington Street, 6th Floor
Boston, MA 02111
Tel.: 617-748-2000 Fax: 617-261-7435

LES D. BAKER 16L.: 017-748-2000 Fdx: 017-201-Governor www.mass.gov/dcf MARYLOU SUDDERS Secretary

LINDA S. SPEARS Commissioner

KARYN E. POLITO Lieutenant Governor

> June 10, 2015 Commonwealth of Massachusetts Response to April 24, 2015 Senate Finance Request Relative to Privatized Foster Care

To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

The Massachusetts Department of Children and Families (DCF) directly provides case management services for nearly all of the DCF total caseload, which as of 3/31/2015, totaled 25,388 cases¹. DCF staff are responsible for full case management services for 25,182 (99.19%) of these cases. DCF utilizes private entities to provide case management services for a small percentage (0.81%) of its caseload (206 cases) for three specific purposes: conflict of interest, adoption management, and Unaccompanied Refugee Minor Program (URMP) cases:

- Conflict of Interest: Consistent with national best practices, DCF contracts with private entities
 to provide case management services for children and families where a conflict of interest with
 DCF is indicated.
- Adoption Management Services: DCF contracts with a number of private licensed adoption agencies to provide case management for children with a goal of adoption. These agencies provide all case management required services.
- Unaccompanied Refugee Minor Program (URMP): This program provides case management to children referred by the Office of Refugee Resettlement.

It should be noted that even when DCF utilizes private entities to provide case management services, children requiring placement services remain in the care/custody of DCF and receive access to the same services as children whose cases are managed by DCF. Furthermore, DCF provides contract oversight to these case management contract entities. In the case of Adoption Management Services, the case management services provided by the contractor are limited to managing decisions related to the adopted child – all decisions related to the birth family remain the purview of DCF.

What proportion of <u>children in foster care</u> in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

Among the 10,714 children in care, 95.58% percent of children are placed by the Department of Children and Families into a range of placement services provided directly by DCF or under contract with 106 agencies—of which 103 are non-profit agencies, and 3 are for-profit agencies. Among the

¹ Case Management services is defined as having responsibility for on-going casework, regardless of the custody status of the child and where applicable, determining the type and length of placement as well as oversight of a



10,714 children in care, 4.42% percent are placed by agencies responsible for administering services in conflict of interest, adoption, and URMP cases. These include 13 not-for-profit, and no for-profit agencies.

Massachusetts DC	F Children i	n Placement	as of 3/31/	2015
	Organi	zation Type w	here Child is F	laced
Agency with Case Management Responsibility	For-Profit	Non-Profit	Public	Total
Non-Profit Agency	21	137	316	474
	0.20%	1.28%	2.95%	4.42%
N. Elis Augus	453	3,136	6,651	10,240
Public Agency	4.23%	29.27%	62.08%	95.58%
C	474	3,273	6,967	10,714
Grand Total	4.42%	30.55%	65.03%	100.00%

These counts exclude 1,446 children on a Trial Home Visit

Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these cores services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity?

DCF currently contracts with thirteen not-for profit entities for Case Management Services:

- 1. Conflict of Interest Contracts:
 - Center for Human Development (CHD)
 - Solutions for Living
- 2. Adoption Management Support Services:Berkshire Children & Family

 - Cambridge Family Children Services
 Child & Family Services of Fall River
 Child & Family Services of Merrimack Valley
 - Children's Friend
 - Children Services of Roxbury
 - Justice Resource Institute
 - Ascentria Care Alliance*
 - Massachusetts Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children (MSPCC) RFK Children's Action Corps

 - The Home for Little Wanderers
- 3. The Unaccompanied Refugee Minor Program (URMP)
 - Ascentria Care Alliance*
 - Ascentria Care Alliance, which provides both Adoption Management Services and Unaccompanied Refugee Minor Services, was formally known as Lutheran Social Services of New England.



Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

Massachusetts requires that all residential and foster care programs serving children be licensed.

The Commonwealth's Department of Early Education and Care (EEC) is the primary entity responsible for this activity pursuant to M.G.L. ch. 15D, §§ 2(c), 4A2, 63 and 84.

- Residential and Teen Parent Programs under 102 CMR 3.005
- Standards For The Licensure Or Approval Of Residential Programs Serving Children And Teen Parents; and Foster Care and Adoption Services under 102 CMR 5.006:

EEC conducts multi-level re-approvals of licenses on a 2 year cycle.

In addition, Residential Schools must be approved by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE) pursuant to M.G.L. c. 71B and 603 CMR §§ 18^7 and 28^8 . The DESE approval and re-evaluation is done on a 6 year cycle with a mid-year cycle at 3 years. Massachusetts does not require that residential or foster care programs be accredited.

Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

The Massachusetts Department of Children and Families utilizes an open and competitive bid process as required by 801 CMR §219 and 808 CMR §1.0010 in order to contract with, review, and renew residential and foster care providers.

Process elements include information gathering including internal and external stakeholders through a variety of methods which could include focus groups, Requests for Information (RFI), and surveys; the establishment of a Procurement Management Team; the development of models and standards (including the specification of staffing requirements); collaboration with the state entity responsible for rate setting - the Center for Health Information and Analysis (CHIA); development and release of the Request for Response (RFR); submission of proposal responses from bidders; establishment and training of proposal review teams; review of proposals; selection and notification of bidders; debriefing upon request for unsuccessful bidders; negotiation with successful bidders; establishment of contracts; and renewal of contracts at intervals specified in the RFR.

Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-public providers operating in your state.

Safety is addressed within the EEC licensure and enforcement process as detailed in 102 CMR 3.0011: Standards For The Licensure Or Approval Of Residential Programs Serving Children And Teen

² https://malegislature.gov/Laws/GeneralLaws/PartI/TitleII/Chapter15D/Section8

https://malegislature.gov/Laws/GeneralLaws/PartI/TitleII/Chapter15D/Section2

https://malegislature.gov/Laws/GeneralLaws/PartI/TitleII/Chapter15D/Section6

http://www.mass.gov/edu/docs/eec/licensing/regulations/residential-care-regulations.pdf http://www.mass.gov/edu/docs/eec/licensing/regulations/adoption-placement-regulations.pdf

http://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr18.html?section=all

http://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr28.html?section=all

http://www.mass.gov/bb/regs/801021.html

http://www.mass.gov/anf/docs/osd/policy/808cmr1.doc http://www.mass.gov/edu/docs/eec/licensing/regulations/residential-care-regulations.pdf

Parents; 102 CMR 5.00¹²: Standards For The Licensure Or Approval Of Agencies Offering Child Placement And Adoption Services; and 102 CMR 1.00¹³: Enforcement Standards and Definitions for Licensure or Approval

Safety is an essential component of the licensing process (described above), which does not differ based on whether the entity is public, not-for-profit or for-profit. EEC licensure requirements include provisions addressing safety relative to:

- behavioral supports, including use of restraint procedures and time-out rooms;
- documentation of required permits and inspections;
- physical environment, including the building / grounds / recreation space, recreation and exercise equipment, storage and use of power tools, and storage of toxic substances;
- kitchen area including equipment and food storage;
- vehicles and their use:
- use of well water;
- staffing, including ensuring sufficient staffing as well as ensuring that the background of staff is free from conduct bearing adversely on safety;
- staff training:
- policies and protocols addressing safety in the environment, treatment and other programming, including a safety plan for emergencies, visitation protocols, and internal investigation of incidents:
- administration of medications; and
- background record checks.

For foster homes, background record checks (Criminal History, Child Welfare History, and Sexual Offender Registry) are conducted for all household members and frequent visitors age 15 and older. This process includes Fingerprint Checks for primary and secondary caregivers; firearms checks and adherence to requirements for securing firearms if present in the home; and evaluation of safety of pets on the premise.

How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?

In the last five years (FFY 2010-2014), 44,240 children were in DCF substitute care. During this time period, there were 739 instances of substantiated child maltreatment in a foster care placement setting: 1.67% of the total number of children in placement.

During this five year period, of the 739 instances there were:

- 44 (1.64% of 2,676 children) instances of maltreatment in for-profit settings,
- 306 (1.98% of 15,452 children) instances of maltreatment in non-profit settings, and
- 389 (1.49% of 26,112 children) instances of maltreatment in public placement settings.

*Substantiated Child Maltreatment in Foster Care as a % of Children in Placement Federal Fiscal Years 2010-2014

Organization Type where Child was Placed

http://www.mass.gov/edu/docs/eec/licensing/regulations/adoption-placement-regulations.pdf
http://www.mass.gov/edu/docs/eec/licensing/regulations/enforcement-standards-definitions-licensure-approval.pdf

Agency with Case Management Responsibility	For-Profit	Non-Profit	Public	Total
Non-Profit Agency	5 of 82	4 of 344	20 of 850	29 of 1,276
Non-Profit Agency	6.10%	1.16%	2.35%	2.27%
	39 of 2,594	302 of 15,108	369 of 25,262	710 of 42,964
Public Agency	1.50%	2,00%	1.46%	1.65%
C	44 of 2,676	306 of 15,452	389 of 26,112	739 of 44,240
Grand Total	1.64%	1.98%	1.49%	1.67%

^{*} Maltreatment counts represent instances of substantiated maltreatment in foster care settings. Children may have experienced one or more instances of supported maltreatment.

Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement. Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

In response to concerns regarding abuse and neglect, there are three mechanisms in place designed to ensure the protection of the child, provide appropriate oversight of the contracted program, and examine any potential licensing violation. Specifically, the response includes:

- An investigation into the specific allegations of abuse and neglect by the DCF Special Investigations Unit (SIU). Based on the findings of this review decisions are made to address any immediate safety concerns, including but not limited to removal of the child from the foster home, if necessary.
- The SIU is also responsible to notify DCF contract managers who will review the screening and
 the final decision of the agency in order to determine whether any action is warranted to ensure
 the current and future safety of children placed through the program.
- The SIU is also responsible to notify EEC who reviews the screening and final decision of the agency in order to determine what action, if any is warranted.

These reviews are governed by the following policies and regulations.

- Foster Care: When an abuse claim is supported while a child is in a foster home placement,
 DCF and EEC respond in accordance with their respective regulations.
- Residential Programs: When an abuse claim is supported while a child is residing in a
 residential program, DCF responds in accordance with the "DCF Residential Response
 Guidelines" (copy attached.) As with Foster Care, EEC responds in accordance with its
 regulations.

These actions are consistent whether the child was placed by the public agency (DCF) or by a private entity (not-for-profit or for-profit).





The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch United States Senate 104 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510-4402 May 29, 2015

The Honorable Ron Wyden United States Senate 221 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510-3703

Dear Senators Hatch and Wyden,

On behalf of Governor Mark Dayton, The Minnesota Department of Human Services welcomes the opportunity to respond to your letter of April 24, 2015 and your request for information regarding Minnesota's policies and practices relative to privatized foster care. Governor Dayton shares your concerns about ensuring the safety and well-being of children who are removed from the family home due to abuse or neglect.

Background on Minnesota's Child Foster Care Placement System

Unlike most states, Minnesota is a state-supervised and county-administered child welfare system. Therefore, all child placement activities and case management services rest with local county and tribal child welfare agencies, in accordance with Minnesota's approved Title IV-E State Plan. The county or tribal social service agencies (with a Title IV-E Agreement), are responsible for administering child welfare services, including child placement and services activities. (Minn. Stat. 260C.007. 27a)

Responsibility for performing specific child foster care licensing oversight functions is statutorily delegated to county agencies, while issuing licenses and licensing sanctions is the responsibility of the Minnesota Department of Human Services (the Department) through its Licensing Division.

All of Minnesota's county social service agencies conduct child foster care licensing and child placement. All children in Minnesota's foster care system are placed by the responsible county or tribal social service agency, not by privatized, for-profit or not-for-profit entities. However, private child placing agencies ("private agencies") may be licensed by the Department and authorized to perform certain licensing functions.

There are currently 3,428 licensed child foster care programs in Minnesota. Of these, 2,594 are monitored by 87 county licensing agencies, and 834 are monitored by 33 private agencies.

Counties are responsible for investigating alleged or suspected maltreatment of a minor in all child foster care settings regardless of whether the licensing/monitoring activity is performed by the county or a licensed private agency.

Additional functions performed by counties and private agencies include:

- Accepting and processing child foster care license applications
- Conducting inspections, studies, and evaluations of child foster care programs
- Recommending approval or denial of license applications to the Minnesota Department of Human Services
- Monitoring child foster care program compliance with licensing rules
- Investigating allegations of licensing violations
- Issuing correction orders for substantiated licensing violations
- Recommending child foster care licensing sanctions to the Department when warranted by the nature, severity, or chronicity of licensing violations.

The Department:

- Issues child foster care licenses and licensing sanctions based on a review of recommendations from counties and licensed private agencies
- Provides training and technical assistance to counties and licensed private agencies
- Conducts background studies on required individuals for child foster care and adoption
- Oversees county and private agency performance of licensing responsibilities through onsite reviews of their licensing work. (See Minn. Stat., section 245A.16, Standards for County Agencies and Private Agencies)

Minnesota Responses to Questions in Letter Dated April 24, 2015

To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

According to Minnesota statutes and rules, county and tribal agencies, as ordered by the court, have sole responsibility for the placement, care, custody and control of the foster child. However, the Department may license and certify private agencies to recruit and provide licensing oversight to individuals to provide foster care.

Counties and tribal agencies have the authority to contract with licensed and certified private agencies to assist with recruitment and placement options. These private agencies are responsible for licensing activities and ensuring compliance with licensing requirements. County and tribal agencies maintain responsibility for supervising, monitoring and managing children's out-of-home placement plan and corresponding services. (Minn. Stat. 260C.212. subd.1) County and tribal agencies work in tandem with the private agencies by identifying potential foster care providers and ensuring the safety, well-being and permanency of children. The responsible county or tribal social service agencies assume all case management service responsibilities.

What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

In Minnesota, 100 percent of children in foster care are placed by the responsible county or tribal social service agency. Minnesota children in the foster care system are not placed by private forprofit or not-for-profit entities.

Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.

Minnesota has 33 licensed private child-placing agencies authorized to perform child foster care licensing oversight (see attached list). Minnesota children in the foster care system are not placed by private for-profit or not-for-profit entities.

Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

No, accreditation by another entity is not required.

Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

The Department uses a Request for Proposal (RFP) process to identify and recruit licensed private agencies to work with county and tribal social services agencies regarding placement of foster children. The Department contracts with licensed private agencies and regularly monitors contract compliance through annual site visits and file reviews. Additionally, contracts expire after two years, at which time a new RFP process is initiated.

The Department licenses private agencies under Minn. Stat., Chapters 245A and 245C, and Minn. Rules, parts 9545.0755 to 9545.0845 (also known as Rule 4). The Rule 4 license allows an agency to receive children for care, supervision, or placement in foster care or adoption, and to help plan the placement of children in foster care or adoption. In addition, the Department authorizes private agencies to provide child foster care licensing oversight according to Minn. Rules, parts 9543.0010 to 9543.0150, (also known as Rule 13). The Rule 13 authorization allows a licensed agency to perform specific child foster care licensing functions, as described above on page one.

To obtain a license, the agency must submit a license application, pay an application fee, and provide additional materials, including:

- Policies, procedures and program records
- · Information about their legal organization
- Additional information required if they intend to provide adoption services

Department staff review the application and inform the agency of missing or incomplete information. Upon completing all application requirements, the agency submits the information necessary for the Department to complete the required background studies of agency staff.

Once the Department determines that the agency has met all licensing requirements, Department staff meet in-person with agency staff to provide:

- An overview of rules and statutes applicable to child foster care programs
- · Tools for conducting licensing inspections and monitoring compliance
- A review of the respective roles and responsibilities of the agency and the Department
- Answers to questions about licensing responsibilities or about contracting for services with the Department.

Following a successful meeting, the Department issues a license. One year after the license is issued, the Department conducts an on-site licensing review of the agency's compliance with both Rule 4 and Rule 13 requirements. The Department conducts subsequent reviews of the agency's Rule 4 licensing compliance every two years, and the agency's performance of delegated child foster care licensing functions under Rule 13 every four years.

Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-public providers operating in your state.

Child foster care programs are licensed under Minn. Stat., Chapters 245A and 245C, and Minn. Rules, parts 2960,3000 to 2960,3340. As described above, counties and private agencies are primarily responsible for performing child foster care licensing oversight. The licensing process includes submitting a license application to the county of residence or private agency of the applicant's choosing. The licensing process is the same for all licensed child foster care programs, as are requirements; however, the methods used to complete the process may vary, depending on the size of the county or private agency.

Some county and private agencies have regularly scheduled informational meetings to discuss the general licensing process for child foster care, while others may provide this information on a one-to-one basis when they receive a request for information. In addition, some agencies have websites where interested parties may access application materials, while others mail information or have it available at the agency.

Once an application for foster care licensure is received, agencies:

- Submit the information, including the subject's fingerprints, necessary for the
 Department to complete the Adam Walsh background study on license applicants, and all
 required household members
- Contact applicants to schedule home visits to complete the home safety checklist
- Ensure safe sleep requirements for infants and sleeping space requirements for foster children are understood

Determine whether a fire safety inspection is required, based on criteria in the child foster
care rule. If a fire safety inspection is required, county or private agencies make this
request to the Minnesota Department of Public Safety. The fire safety inspection must be
completed and all items corrected prior to recommending licensure.

Applicants must complete:

- Foster care orientation training, including emergency procedures and a review of state statute and rules
- Sudden Unexpected Infant Death and Abusive Head Trauma training if caring for foster children through age five
- Child Passenger Safety training if transportation will be provided for foster children under age nine
- Two hours of children's mental health training

The home study assessment process includes visits to the home to complete these forms:

- Application
- · Home study assessment
- · Family disaster plan
- · Individual fact sheets for all adults living in the household
- Home study agency process checklist that documents background study results, completed training, variances granted, and other information

All forms must be completed and all required background studies must be cleared, or there must be a set-aside or variance granted if there is a disqualification prior to recommending licensure.

If applicants meet all requirements, agencies make a recommendation to the Department for a one-year license. If an applicant previously held a child foster care license, an agency has the discretion to recommend an initial license for up to a two-year period. Prior to the license expiring, the county completes the relicensing process which is similar to initial licensing and includes completing the five forms of the home study, completing the home safety checklist, and reviewing training and placements made, as well as other required documentation. Subsequent licenses are issued for up to a two-year period.

How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?

Using data from the Department's Social Service Information System (SSIS), there were 150 determined maltreatment reports in child foster care programs that were completed from 2010 to 2014. As described earlier, private agencies in Minnesota do not have responsibility for placement of children.

Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

County and tribal child welfare agencies are responsible for investigating reports of suspected maltreatment of foster children, regardless of whether a county, tribal or private agency performs the licensing oversight.

The Department issues a licensing sanction any time a licensed child foster care provider is found responsible for maltreatment. These sanctions are issued by the Department regardless of whether a county or a private agency oversees the license.

The Department notifies county or private agencies of licensing sanctions. The licensing agency is required to notify the parents or guardians (i.e., the county or tribal placing agency) of each child placed in the sanctioned foster homes about the licensing sanctions. County and tribal agencies have the authority to make placement decisions regarding children, and determine the significance of a county's determination of maltreatment or Department's issuance of a licensing sanction. These decisions include planning for the safety and well-being of children, and sometimes the need to remove children from foster homes.

I hope this was helpful. If you have questions regarding this, do not hesitate to contact me for additional information at 651.431.3835 or via email at jim.koppel@state.mn.us.

Sincerely

James G. Koppel
Assistant Commissioner
Children and Family Services



STATE OF NEBRASKA

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
P.O. Box 94848 • Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-4848
Phone: (402) 471-2244 • peta ricketts@nebraska.gov

May 18, 2015

Senator Orrin Hatch, Chairman Senator Ron Wyden, Ranking Member United States Senate Committee on Finance Washington, DC 20510-6200

Dear Senators:

Thank you for your letter dated April 24, 2015, requesting information about Nebraska's policies and practices as they relate to privatized foster care. I am happy to share the following information in response to your inquiries below:

1) To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework, and oversight of foster care placements). The Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services Division of Children and Family Services (DHHS-CFS) entered into a subaward with a private, non-profit agency that provides case management duties and responsibilities over the ongoing child welfare cases involving child abuse and neglect in Douglas and Sarpy counties. These two counties account for approximately 40% of the the state's population.

This private, non-profit agency, called Nebraska Families Collaborative (NFC), is licensed with the state of Nebraska as a Child Placing Agency and is accredited through the Council on Accreditation. With regards to foster care, NFC identifies and prepares relative and kinship foster parents for placement of foster children. DHHS-CFS reviews and approves all relative and kinship foster parents prior to the placement of children in their homes. NFC also subcontracts with other non-profit and for-profit licenced Child Placing Agencies who recruit, train, and support licensed foster parents. DHHS-CFS also reviews, approves, and issues the foster care license to these foster homes, and approves all placement of foster children in these homes.

In the other areas of the state, DHHS-CFS contracts with private non-profit and for-profit agencies to recruit, train, prepare for licensure, and support foster parents. DHHS-CFS reviews licensing packets submitted by these agencies and approves each foster home for licensure. DHHS-CFS also recruits foster parents directly, prepares them for licensure, and provides supports to the foster homes to help stabilize and maintain child placements.

2) What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers? As of May 11, 2015, 86.3% of children in foster care are placed by private agencies; of the 86.3% of children placed by

An Equal Opportunity Employer

private agencies, 5% are placed by for-profit agencies and 95% are placed by not-for-profit agencies.

13.7% of children in foster care are placed by a public agency, either DHHS-CFS or the Tribes. Of the 13.7% children placed by a public agency, 93.1% are placed by DHHS-CFS and 6.9% are placed by the Tribes.

- 3) Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity. Please see Attachment-A.
- 4) Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed? No, Nebraska does not require that private foster care entities be accredited. Please see Attachment-A for a list of those private foster care entities who have chosen to be accredited, and by which accreditating organization.
- 5) Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts. Nebraska state statute, LB269, requires DHHS-CFS to conduct a financial review of private agencies before entering into a child welfare contract. Specifically, the statute states that any entity seeking to enter into a contract with the Department of Health and Human Services to provide child welfare services shall provide evidence of financial stability and liquidity prior to executing such a contract. In order to meet this requirement, DHHS-CFS requests the most recently audited financial statements from all private foster care agencies, but will accept reviewed financial statements, compilation financial statements, internally prepared financial statements, or minimally a balance sheet and income statement for the same time periods. This financial review is conducted on an annual basis prior to contract renewal.

All private foster care agencies are required to obtain a Child Placing Agency License through Regulation and Licensure with the state of Nebraska before entering into a foster care contract with DHHS-CFS. Licensing standards require the agency to develop a written statement of its functions, policies, and programs and submit them for approval by the licensing unit. Licensed Child Placing Agencies must conduct background on all employees, volunteers, and caregivers age 13 or older who have contact with children prior to having unsupervised contact with children. Child Placing Agencies are prohibited from employing any individual who has been convicted of, admitted to, or has substantial evidence of crimes involving intentional bodily harm, crimes against children, or crimes involving moral The background checks must include: Nebraska Sex Offender Registry maintained by the Nebraska State Patrol; Nebraska Child Abuse and Neglect Central Registry; Nebraska Adult Abuse and Neglect Central Registry, Nebraska State Patrol Criminal Background Check, and Nebraska Department of Motor Vehicles Check for License Points Status. The foster care agency must conduct similar background checks in the state(s) of previous residence if the employee has resided in Nebraska for less than two years. If the background checks result in a record of convictions being identified, the foster care agency must notify DHHS-CFS of the convictions if they still decide to hire the individual. DHHS-CFS reserves the right to prohibit foster care agency staff from having contact with children

upon receipt of this notification of criminal history. DHHS-CFS conducts quarterly Personnel File Reviews during the contract period to check for compliance with the background check requirements.

- 6) Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-profit providers operating in your state. DHHS-CFS case managers are required to see each child on their caseload at a minimum of once every 30 days. One of these face to face visits must occur in the foster care home and there must be a conversation with the foster youth about their safety in the home. This information is documented each month and if there are any licensing issues, those issues are forwarded to resource development workers, who are responsible for working with the foster homes and the private agencies who support the foster homes. If there is a safety concern, those are called into the Nebraska Child Abuse and Neglect holline for investigation. The process does not differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider.
- 7) How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public provider?

There have been 140 instances of substantiated abuse in a foster care placement in the last five years. Of these 140 instances, 0 instances are related to For-Profit providers; 85 instances are related to Not-For-Profit providers; and 55 instances are related to the public provider (Nebraska). It should be noted that one private for-profit agency, Better Living Counseling Services, did not begin providing foster care until April 1, 2013.

8) Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider? When an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement, the child's placement in the foster home is terminated and the child is placed into another living arrangement. The foster home is placed on hold from taking any additional placements and action is taken to revoke the foster home's license. The actions do not differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider.

Thank you again for this opportujnity to provide information regarding this important foster care issue.

Sincerely,

Pete Ricketts
Governor

Enclosure

Atta. ant-A Nebraska's Response to the US Senate Committee on Finance Regarding Privatized Foster Care -- May 14, 2015

	Name of Private Foster Care Agency	Home Office Location	For Profit	Not For Profit	Accredited	Name of Accrediting Organization	Accreditation Renewal Cycle
٦	Apex Foster Care, Inc.	Omaha, NE	Yes		No		
7		Norfolk, NE		Yes	Yes	The Joint Commission	Annually
33	Better Living Counseling Services, Inc.	South Sioux City, NE	Yes		No		
4		O'Neill, NE		Yes	No		
5	Cedars Youth Services	Lincoln, NE		Yes	Yes	Council on Accreditation	Four Years
9	Child Saving Institute, Inc.	Omaha, NE		Yes	Yes	Council on Accreditation	Four Years
7	Christian Heritage Children's Home	Walton, NE		Yes	Yes	Council on Accreditation	Four Years
8	Christian Home Association Children's Square USA	Omaha, NE		Yes	Yes	No	Four Years
6	Compass	Kearney, NE		Yes	No		
3	10 Epworth Village, Inc.	York, NE		Yes	In-Process	Council on Accreditation	Four Years
11	11 Father Flanagan's Boys Home	Boys Town, NE		Yes	Yes	Council on Accreditation	Four Years
12	12 Grace Children's Home Company	Henderson, NE		Yes	No		
13	13 KVC Behavioral Healthcare Nebraska, Inc.	Omaha, NE		Yes	Yes	The Joint Commission	Three Years
14	Lutheran Family Services of Nebraska	Omaha, NE		Yes	Yes	Council on Accreditation	Four Years
15	Mid-Plains Center for Behavioral Healthcare Services, Inc.	Grand Island, NE		Yes	Yes	Council on Accreditation	Three Years
16	16 Nebraska Children's Home Society	Omaha, NE		Yes	Yes	Council on Accreditation	Four Years
17	Nebraska Families Collaborative	Omaha, NE		Yes	Yes	Council on Accreditation	Four Years
18	18 Nova Treatment Community	Omaha, NE		Yes	Yes	Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities	Three Years
19	Omni Behavioral Health	Omaha, NE		Yes	Yes	The Joint Commission	Three Years
20	South Central Behavioral Services	Kearney, NE		Yes	Yes	Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities	Three Years
21	St. Francis Community Services of Nebraska, Inc.	Salina, KS		Yes	Yes	The Joint Commission	Three Years
22	22 TFI Family Service, Inc.	Emporia, KS		Yes	Yes	Council on Accreditation	Four Years



Nicholas A. Toumpas Commissioner

Lorraine Bartlett Director

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES OFFICE OF HUMAN SERVICES DIVISION FOR CHILDREN, YOUTH & FAMILIES

129 PLEASANT STREET, CONCORD, NH 03301-3857 603-271-4451 1-800-852-3345 Ext. 4451 FAX: 603-271-4729 TDD Access: 1-800-735-2964 www.dhhs.nh.gov

The following is our response to your questions from the Senate Finance Committee. It is important to understand in the context of the questions below The Department of Health and Human Services, Division for Children Youth and Families directly manages the Foster Care Program in New Hampshire. DCYF is the statutorily mandated public agency for Child Protection and Juvenile Justice Services per RSA 169-C, D and B. Services and Programs under the auspice of the agencies are ordered through the New Hampshire Judicial System.

Describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services.

As the Child Protection agency for New Hampshire, DCYF establishes fee for service arrangements with private Child Placing Agencies (private entities) that are licensed per RSA 170-E to provide foster care and certified per RSA 170-G to provide a specific level of foster care programming as referenced in chart below.

Child Placing Agencies are defined in RSA 170-E:25 IV as: any firm, corporation or association which:

- (a) Receives any child for the purpose of providing services related to arranging for the placement of children in a foster family home, group home, or child care institution; or
- (b) Receives any child for the purpose of providing services related to arranging for the placement of children in adoption.

Child Placing Agencies recruit and maintain a foster home but ultimately foster care licenses can only be granted by the DCYF Director. Those Child Placing Agencies provide a variety of certified court ordered therapeutic services to the to the Child who is involved with the Division under petitions of RSA 169-C, 169-B and 169-D. The state uses Child Placing Agencies to provide specific levels of treatment based programs to meet the unique needs of the child and family. The programs are certified as treatment providers and while the services include therapeutic case management as part of the treatment delivery. DCYF maintains all court ordered supervision, case management and oversight of the placement. New Hampshire does not contract with any Child Placing Agency for foster care placements. Child Placing Agencies are matched, based on therapeutic skillset, location and foster families available to meet the unique needs of the child and family on a fee for service basis. These Child Placing Agencies are reimbursed on a fee for service basis for their therapeutic services including Medicaid reimbursable services.

What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers and for-profit providers?

In New Hampshire, 100% of children requiring foster care placement through child protection or juvenile justice are court order placed by DCYF. Children and youth can be placed in foster care following a court order on a petition of abuse or neglect RSA 169-C, CHINS (Child in Need of Services) RSA 169-D or delinquency RSA 169-B

The only other use of foster care services outside of the statutes referenced above, allowed in New Hampshire, is in the event of a private adoption which is facilitated by licensed private Adoption agencies when it is required for an in-state or interstate private adoption. These Adoption Agencies are licensed by DCYF to recruit, train and

license homes for this purpose. These children are not involved with the New Hampshire Court System and not involved with DCYF case management.

Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or Not-for-profit entity.

As stated above, 100% of the children placed in New Hampshire foster care are placed by the Division through a court order. The Division maintains all legal authority authorized by the court and ultimately, bears responsibility for all case management. There are currently eight providers who are licensed as Child Placing Agencies to provide foster care service and eight agencies certified to specifically provide a therapeutic level of foster care programming. (Referenced below under column "service provided" as Individual Service Option (ISO)). New Hampshire certifies ISO services based on NH Administrative Rule He-C 6339. All of the ISO programs are also licensed as a Child Placing Agency with one exception being Crotched Mountain, who contracts with another agency for foster homes. The current agencies are as listed:

Agency_Resource_Name	City, State, Zip	service provided	Profit Status
ASCENTRIA CARE ALLIANCE, INC	Concord NH 03301	licensing and ISO Foster Care services	Non-Profit
CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES OF NH	Manchester NH 03105	licensing and ISO Foster Care services	Non-Profit
CROTCHED MOUNTAIN	Greenfield NH 03047	ISO foster care services only	Non-Profit
EASTER SEAL SOCIETY OF NH	Manchester NH 03103	licensing and ISO Foster Care services	Non-Profit
INDEPENDENT SERVICES NETWORK	Manchester NH 03103	licensing and ISO Foster Care services	For Profit
LAKES REGION COMMUNITY SERVICES	Laconia, NH 03246	licensing and general Foster Care	Non-Profit
LIFESHARE MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC	Manchester NH 03103	licensing and ISO Foster Care services	Non-Profit
NFI NORTH INC	Jefferson NH 03583	licensing and ISO Foster Care services	Non-Profit
SPAULDING YOUTH CENTER	Northfield NH 03276	licensing and ISO Foster Care services	Non-Profit

Does your agency require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

We require that Private Foster Care agencies operating in New Hampshire be licensed as a Child Placing Agency or as a Residential Facility. NH RSA 170-E governs the licensing of such entities with the specifics being outlined by Administrative Rules. He-C 6448 is the Rule for Child Placing Agencies and He-C 4001 is the Rule for Residential Care Facilities. These NH Rules outline the equivalent of an accreditation process.

The Department oversees the licensing process and monitors providers for compliance. Child Placing Agency licenses are issued by the Director of DCYF and are valid for four years. Residential Care Licenses are issued by the Department's Director of Legal Services and are valid for three years. In both Rules, the licensed agency must submit a renewal application no less than three months prior to license expiration date following the process outlined in the respective Rule.

In addition to licensing requirements above, any Child Placing Agency that wishes to provide services to DCYF youth must also apply for certification from DCYF. Certification for the payment of Foster Care Programs follows the requirements of Administrative Rule He-C 6355. DCYF oversees the licensing process and monitors providers for quality assurance and compliance per RSA 170-G. The certification must be renewed every two years.

Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

Rather than contract with agencies we certify the private agencies to provide foster care. Agencies wishing to provide a foster care program must apply for licensing and certification as outlined above. A requirement of the application is to show that there is a need for a new service and service provider per RSA 170-G. Once an agency has been granted a license and certificate, the decision to utilize the provider is made by DCYF through a matching process which reviews the needs of the child with the strengths and services of the provider. The renewal process for both licensing and certification requires the provider to participate in site reviews of their agency by DCYF to ensure compliance with the rules and appropriate care and service delivery to the children and families referred to their programs.

Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit and for-profit providers operating in your state.

All foster care homes in New Hampshire, whether they are with the public or any private agency, are licensed following the statutory requirements in NH RSA 170-E and Administrative Rule He-C 6446. All potential foster care applicants and household members over age 17 undergo rigorous record checks through FBI fingerprinting, a State Criminal record check and a central registry check for child protection complaints. Checks are completed in every state in which the applicant or household member has resided in the past seven years. Their physical home must pass both a local fire and health inspection. There is no difference in this process by the type of agency; however, there is a difference in the detailed requirements of the fire inspection by locality primarily because each New Hampshire town or city can set its own building and safety codes based on the Life Safety Code edition they have adopted. The minimum standard is the 2003 NFPA (National Fire Prevention Association) 101. At a minimum, all foster care homes must be visited annually by either the DCYF foster care licensing staff or staff from the Child Placing Agency that maintains the foster home. A foster care license for an individual home is valid for two years and verifies that the home has completed health and safety compliance requirements. The same process must be completed again for renewal. DCYF is working with the Department to add a state registry check for adult and elder abuse to the safety requirements for all licensing as it is seen as best practice.

How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not-for-profit, for-profit and public providers?

There has been one substantiated instance of abuse to a child in a foster care placement in New Hampshire in the past five years. This foster home was licensed by DCYF.

Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider or a for-profit provider?

All foster care placements in New Hampshire are made by DCYF as ordered by the Court. There has only been one instance of a founded abuse claim against a foster parent in the past five years. The child was removed immediately and that license was closed after the investigation. Administrative Rule He-C 6446 outlines in detail the process to be followed in this situation. This process is the same for all agencies and foster homes.

DCYF has a Special Investigation Unit (SIU) per RSA 169-C:2 XVI and 169-C: 3-a that is assigned to assess any report of abuse or neglect in a foster care setting. During the course of the investigation if there are founded violations or suspicion of violations of the foster care family licensing requirements He-C 6446 these concerns are provided to the foster care licensing manager, and foster care licensing staff in the district office or Child Placing Agency. Any violation the foster family care licensing requirements in He-C 6446 requires the agency to issue a written order to comply to the foster parent.

- He-C 6446.27 Orders to Comply and Immediate Removal of Children In Care.

 (c) Within 14 days of the date of the order to comply, the licensing agency and the foster parent shall jointly develop a corrective action plan to correct the violations.
- (d) The foster parent shall not accept any additional children in care, children for respite care, or children in a pre-adoptive
 - (1) After receiving an order to comply as specified in (c) above and prior to the development of a corrective action plan; or (2) During an ongoing investigation of alleged child abuse or neglect.
- (i) If any violations identified present a risk to the health, safety, or well-being of the child in care, the department shall immediately, and with any court approval required by law, remove the child in care from the foster home without issuing an order
- to comply.

 (j) The department shall revoke the license or permit of the foster parents without issuing an order to comply if there is a (1) The department shall revoke the thrense or permit of the Joster parents withinsissing an order to comply it there is a founded report of child abuse or neglect for a foster parent and shall revoke the license or permit of the foster parents without issuing an order to comply if there is a judicial finding of abuse or neglect made related to foster parent.

 (k) The department shall revoke the license or permit of the foster parents without issuing an order to comply if a foster parent is convicted of a felony or other crimes pursuant to He-C 6446.29(b).

Further information on Foster Care Programs in New Hampshire can be found in the Child and Family Services Five-Year Plan submitted by DCYF to the Administrative Office for Children and Families, Children's Bureau on June 30, 2014. The following are links to the Statute and Rules referenced in the responses to the questions posed.

NH RSA 170-E TITLE XII, PUBLIC SAFETY AND WELFARE, CHAPTER 170-E, CHILD DAY CARE, RESIDENTIAL CARE, AND CHILD-PLACING AGENCIES

http://www.gencourt.state.nh.us/rsa/html/xii/170-e/170-e-mrg.htm

Administrative Rule He-6446 CHILD-PLACING AGENCY LICENSING REQUIREMENTS http://www.gencourt.state.nh.us/rules/state_agencies/he-c6400.html

Administrative Rule He-C 6446 FOSTER FAMILY CARE LICENSING REQUIREMENTS http://www.gencourt.state.nh.us/rules/state_agencies/he-c6400.html

Administrative Rule He-C 6355 CERTIFICATION FOR PAYMENT OF FOSTER CARE PROGRAMS http://www.gencourt.state.nh.us/rules/state_agencies/he-c6300.html

Administrative Rule He-C 4001 CHILD CARE LICENSING RULES http://www.gencourt.state.nh.us/rules/state_agencies/he-c4000.html



State of New Jersey
Department of Children and Families
P.O.Box 729
Trenton, NJ 08625-0729

ALLISON BLAKE, Ph.D., L.S.W. Commissioner

August 7, 2015

United States Senate Committee on Finance Washington, DC 20510-6200

CHRIS CHRISTIE

Kim Guadagno

Lt. Governor

Attention: Chairman Orin G. Hatch & Ranking Member Ron Wyden

Dear Chairman Hatch and Ranking Member Wyden,

Thank you for your letter inquiring about New Jersey's use of contracted private entities to administer foster care. The care of children and youth in foster care is one of the States' most critical responsibilities and I applaud your commitment to this matter.

New Jersey's use of private entities to administer foster care is different than the trend described in the April 24, 2015 letter which identified an increasing reliance on private entities. In 2014, New Jersey's Division of Child Protection and Permanency began phasing out its reliance on these contracted entities and intends to no longer be utilizing private entities in this capacity by the end of 2015. At this time 252 of New Jersey's 5,346 foster homes are administered by private entities.

Like what is being experienced in other states currently, New Jersey had a critical shortage of foster homes prior to our child welfare reform efforts over the past decade. This shortage drove a need to contract with private entities to augment the foster homes recruited and administered by the public agency. However, we are very pleased that currently, due to enhanced recruitment and retention efforts, an intense focus on increasing kinship placements, focused efforts on reducing the use of out-of-home placement where children can be safely cared for at home, and the dedication of our staff and our communities to serving our most vulnerable children, we have a wealth of foster homes available. As a result, we made a decision last year to begin to phase out the private foster care contracts to allow us to reinvest those funds into other services critical to the children and families we serve. This process is scheduled to be completed this calendar year.

Chairman Orin G. Hatch and Ranking Member Ron Wyden August 7, 2015 Page 2

As a result of these changes, the answers to the questions posed by the Senate Finance Committee will likely be different from other states and jurisdictions:

- To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).
 - o New Jersey's utilization of private entities to administer foster care does not include case management services as described herein. Placements, ongoing casework, and placement oversight remain the responsibility of public agency caseworkers. The different private entities contracted for this purpose perform a combination of the following functions depending on their individual contract terms: recruitment; conducting home-studies; supporting potential foster homes through the licensing process; training foster parents; managing payments to foster parents; providing ongoing support to foster parents; assisting public agency caseworkers in identifying foster care placements within their network of foster homes; and providing specialized support for children in foster care.
- What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?
 - All children in foster care are placed by the public agency, though the
 private entities which provide administrative support for foster homes will
 assist the public agency caseworker in identifying foster care placements
 within their managed network of foster homes as needed.
- Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-forprofit entity.
 - As described above, none of the private entities that provide administrative support for foster homes, provide the core child placement/oversight services identified in the previous questions.
- Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?
 - No, the entities providing administrative support of foster homes are not required to be accredited.

Chairman Orin G. Hatch and Ranking Member Ron Wyden August 7, 2015 Page 3

- Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.
 - o Because the Division of Child Protection and Permanency is in the process of phasing out all contracts with these private entities, there is no current process to review and renew such contracts. However, historically, these contracts would be reviewed annually to ensure that the agency was meeting contractual expectations and an appropriate levels of service prior to renewal. Additionally, since public agency staff maintained responsibility for the core case management services, they were positioned to advise contracting staff of any issues requiring further review or corrective action.
- Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-profit providers operating in your state.
 - All foster homes in New Jersey, including kinship homes, public agency managed foster homes, and private agency foster homes are required to be licensed. Chapter 122C of the New Jersey Administrative Code¹ establishes regulations governing health, safety, and well-being. All foster homes are licensed and inspected by state staff.
 - o The regulatory requirements are divided into two levels based upon their direct impact upon the child in placements safety and well-being. Level 1 requirements are the most serious, and Level 2 requirements may be waived in order to facilitate kinship placements in the best interest of a child
 - Prior to being licensed, all resource homes are subject to an on-site inspection and must be found to be in compliance for a license to be issued.
 - o Once a license is granted, the resource homes are required to have an annual inspection to ensure on-going compliance. During these inspections, the child's safety is further ensured by requiring that licensing staff see each child in placement and conduct an in-person interview with each child in placement as age appropriate.
 - Additionally, as all placements are made by public agency staff and as monthly visits with children are required to occur in their placement locations, the public agency staff have regular and ongoing oversight of all foster homes.

¹ Regulations available at: http://www.nj.gov/dcf/policy_manuals/Regulations_2ABC012C-D1A3-45AE-A25F-95E0BDEE5443_10%20-%20Human%20Services_122C%20-

^{%20}Manual%20Of%20Requirements%20For%20Resource%20Family%20Parents.shtml

Chairman Orin G. Hatch and Ranking Member Ron Wyden August 7, 2015 Page 4

- How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?
 - o Since all placements are made by public agency staff, there is no distinction in the rate of child abuse or neglect between children placed by not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers. The rate and actual number of instances of child abuse or neglect in foster care placements over the past five years are as follows:
 - 2014 20 instances of child abuse or neglect in foster care yielding a child victimization rate² of 0.17%
 - 2013 40 instances of child abuse or neglect in foster care yielding a child victimization rate of 0.32%
 - 2012 26 instances of child abuse or neglect in foster care yielding a child victimization rate of 0.21%
 - 2011 26 instances of child abuse or neglect in foster care yielding a child victimization rate of 0.22%
 - 2010 27 instances of child abuse or neglect in foster care yielding a child victimization rate of 0.22%
- Describe in details the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was place by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?
 - Since all placements are made by public agency staff, there is no distinction in actions taken when child abuse or neglect occurs in an out of home placement based on placement by not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers.
 - o When an allegation of child abuse or neglect is made against a foster parent, the Institutional Abuse Investigation Unit, which operates independent of the child protection division, investigates the claim. If the allegation of child abuse or neglect is substantiated involving the foster parent or a member of their household, the Office of Licensing will routinely issue a Notice of Revocation.

² Child victimization rate is the number of child victims of abuse or neglect in foster care over the calendar year divided by the total number of children in foster care over the same period.

Chairman Orin G. Hatch and Ranking Member Ron Wyden August 7, 2015 Page 5

o There exists, however, an ability to maintain the foster home despite the finding of child abuse or neglect where it is in child's best interest to remain in placement within the home. This can occur with kinship placements where the causes of the instance of child abuse or neglect can be remedied to mitigate the risk of reoccurrence and where the caregiver bond outweighs the mitigated risk. This is only permitted through a waiver process. This waiver process takes into account the family/kin connection of the child in placement to the resource parent, the best interests of the child, the child's case plan, the current safety of the child, and the ability of the resource parent to keep the child in placement safe.

I hope the Senate Finance Committee finds the above information useful and please feel free to contact my office with any additional questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Allison Blake, Ph.D., L.S.W.

Commissioner

PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS IN NEW MEXICO

- To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g. Placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements)
 - New Mexico requires a casework to be assigned for each child placed in foster care. The caseworker retains all decision making, oversight of activities and responsibility for that child.
 - All foster care placements, private or public are licensed by the state's Children, Youth and Families Department.
 - Most regular foster care placements are directly overseen by CYFD in each county.
 - The state as one agency that provides private foster care placements. Their capacity is limited.
 - Caseworkers are able to make referrals to community, nonprofit agencies for case management type services, however the caseworkers maintain primary oversight. The case management services are behavioral health related and connected to a DSM IV diagnosis for the parent or child.
- What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not for profit providers and for profit providers
 - o As of March 2015 New Mexico had 2,146 children in care
 - 14 % are in Treatment Foster Care. All Treatment Foster Care Agencies are licensed and regulated by CYFD. All Treatment Foster Care agencies are not for profit.
 - o 3% are placed in Residential Treatment Care. The residential treatment centers in New Mexico are for profit centers.
- Please provided the numbers and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is for profit or not for profit:

0	Agave	505-639-3038
0	Family Works	505-217-1402
0	High Desert	505-823-4530
0	La Familia/Namaste	505-766-9361
0	La Frontera	505-647-2880
0	NM Solutions	505-286-0701
0	Open Skies	505-345-8471
0	The Peak	505-623-6749

^{*}All agencies above are not for profit agencies.

- Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is accreditation renewed?
 - o No, we do not require accreditation for certification or licensure
- Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts?
 - The NM Children, Youth and Families Department does not contract for foster care or residential treatment services. These are all medically necessary services and are contracted by our Human Services Department.
 - CYFD does not have access to this information. HSD might be a resource on this
 - o The foster care agency certified by CYFD, requires they meet all the licensing standards required by the state for regular foster care. Families are required to complete physical forms, a thorough home study (interviews of all household members, references forms, and financial history), and training, a safety inspection of the home, criminal records and background check by the state and Federal Bureau of Investigations, child abuse and neglect checks.
- Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not for profit and for public providers in your state.
 - The NM Children, Youth and Families Department certifies our families and all families providing any type of foster care, to include the not for profit treatment foster care agencies.
 - Below is our Administrative Code which is required for any foster care setting in the State of New Mexico:

8.26.4.13 SAFETY CHECKLIST FOR FOSTER AND ADOPTIVE HOMES:

- A. Heating, cooling, and ventilation:
- (1) A foster home shall be adequately ventilated. There shall be an effective means of providing fresh air to children's sleeping rooms, including at least one window.
- (2) Fuel-burning equipment, including natural gas or liquid propane gas cooking ranges shall be vented appropriately and meet applicable safety code requirements.
- (3) Heating equipment shall be adequate to maintain interior temperature of 65 degrees Fahrenheit in all rooms. Gas furnaces shall have a cut-off valve.
- (4) The heating systems and associated equipment shall meet all requirements of state and local safety codes.
- B. Water:
- (1) A foster home shall have an adequate supply of sanitary water.
- (2) If water is not obtained from a public supply, a well water certificate from the New Mexico environment department shall be provided for initial licensure, and at five year intervals. Well water testing instructions and an application form are available on the environment department website. Bottled water may be used for cooking and drinking if the water source is assessed to be unsuitable.

- (3) Water supply piping and associated equipment shall be installed and maintained in compliance with state and local safety codes. There shall be a pop-off valve on the hot water heater.
- C. Sewage, waste and sanitation:
- (1) A foster home shall be kept clean and free of accumulation of dirt, waste, and infestations of insects and rodents.
- (2) Toilet and bathing facilities shall be provided and maintained in a sanitary manner. Codes.
- (4) Foster homes shall be free of clutter that may cause tripping or falling hazards.
- D. Electrical wiring and communication:
- (1) Electrical wiring shall comply with state and local safety codes. If the licensing agent has doubt of the adequacy of electrical wiring, the licensing agent shall request the applicant arrange and pay for a local electrical inspector to inspect the wiring and submit a report to the licensing agent.
- (2) Electrical extension cords shall not be used for general wiring.
- (3) A readily available telephone in case of emergencies.
 - . Kitchen and food storage:
- (1) A foster home shall have a kitchen with sufficient storage space. Food shall be stored separately from cleaning supplies and other household chemicals.
- (2) The kitchen shall be equipped with a refrigerator sufficient to maintain cold food storage in a temperature range between 33 degrees and 45 degrees Fahrenheit.
- (3) The kitchen and food preparation equipment and storage shall be maintained in a sanitary condition.
- F. First aid and medical supplies:
- (1) Foster parents shall maintain a stock of first aid supplies in the foster home. The minimum acceptable stock includes:
- (a) One box of non-medicated adhesive bandages;
- (b) One pair of blunt scissors;
- (c) One roll of two inch or three inch adhesive roller bandage;
- (d) One roll of one-half inch adhesive tape;
- (e) One box of sterile first aid dressings in sealed envelopes;
- (f) First aid cream or ointment.
- (2) These shall be stored in a single cabinet or kit, separate from food storage or household cleaning supplies or other chemicals/poisons.
- (3) Prescription medicines shall be supplied and administered only as prescribed. They shall be properly labeled, and stored separately from food, cleaning agents or other household chemicals and poisons. After the prescribed course of treatment has been completed, leftover medicine shall be disposed of in an appropriate manner.
- G. Personal items:
- (1) Each foster child shall be provided an individual comb, toothbrush, night clothes, and under garments which shall not be interchanged between children.
- (2) Linens and bedding shall be stored and maintained in a manner assuring that they will be clean. All linens and bedding shall be laundered before use by another child.
- H. Any animal, birds, and pets shall be in good health with documentation of current vaccinations, and have a temperament such that they will not be frightening or hazardous to foster children.
- I. Foster home space, furnishing and sleeping arrangement:
- (1) A foster home shall have a separate bedroom for the foster parents and for any other adults living in or frequently residing in the home. This shall not preclude a foster child under the age of 18 months from sleeping in the same room with his or her foster parents provided that the bedroom space is available for the foster child when he or she reaches the age of 18 months.
- (2) There shall be a separate bed provided for each foster child, except that two children of the same gender may sleep in the same double bed.
- (3) A foster child over the age of five years shall not share a bedroom with another non-related child of the opposite gender.

- (4) The licensing agent may allow exceptions to the sleeping arrangement requirements to permit placement of siblings together in the same foster home.
- (5) Sleeping quarters for foster children shall be a contiguous part of the main family residential building or apartment. Exceptions can be made for those children over 16 years of age who are preparing for independent living.
- (6) There shall be sufficient closet space or furniture storage space to permit the sanitary storage of children's clothes, linens and bedding.
- (7) Furnishings shall be clean and maintained in a sanitary condition at all times.
- J. Doors and locks:
- (1) A foster home shall have at least two designated exits that meet fire code standards.
- (2) There shall be no interior door hardware which makes it possible for a child to be locked inside. All privacy locks shall be provided with emergency unlocking mechanisms.
- K. Yard and play space:
- (1) A foster home shall have access to a safe indoor and outdoor designated play area.
- (2) In areas which have a high density of traffic or other hazards to children, the yard or play space shall be adequately fenced for the children's protection.
- (3) All outdoor play space and toys, swings and other outdoor equipment shall be maintained in a sound state of repair and free of projecting sharp edges, splinters or other hazards to children.
- L. Other safety issues:
- (1) If the applicant operates an automobile, he or she shall have automobile insurance as required by law and a valid driver's license. Motor vehicles shall have safety restraints as required by law and shall have properly installed car seats for age appropriate children.
- (2) For age appropriate children, a foster home shall have safety gates and locking mechanisms for cabinets that contain cleaning agents or chemicals.
- (3) A foster home shall have at least one fire extinguisher.
- (4) A foster home shall have smoke detectors appropriate for the square footage.
- (5) A foster family shall develop a fire evacuation plan.
- (6) A foster family shall provide to PSD or the agency contact information for at least two locations (including one out of town location) where the foster family would go in the event that a community evacuation is necessary.
- (7) All weapons owned or acquired by a foster family shall be stored and locked with ammunition stored separately as per the PSD approved weapons safety agreement. The foster family shall provide a signed copy of the PSD approved weapons safety agreement to the licensing agent.
 (8) All pool areas, including hot tubs, shall be adequately fenced or secured in order to prevent the access of children when not accompanied by an adult. Spas or hot tubs shall be securely covered to prevent the access of children when not in use. Outdoor ponds shall not be within the
- immediate play area of children.

 (9) Farm and ranch equipment shall not be easily accessible to foster children as a safety precaution. Farm animals shall be properly housed and secured as a safety precaution.

 (10) At initial licensure, the licensing agent will check the list of properties on clandestine drug laboratories in New Mexico website located on the New Mexico environment department homepage to verify the home has not been listed as a contaminated property. This verification shall be documented in the home study. Homes that are listed as contaminated properties shall not be licensed. For homes that have been previously licensed, the licensing agent shall check the clandestine drug laboratories in New Mexico website at the time of re-licensure. If a home has not been listed as a contaminated property, the licensing agent need not check the home again in further re-licensure

8.26.4.18 UPDATES AND RENEWAL OF FOSTER HOME LICENSE:

- A. The licensing agent shall conduct an annual review of each foster home to include:

 (1) Documentation of completion of the training requirements as described herein at Subsection B of 8.26.4.14 NMAC;
- (2) A check of FACTS is conducted on all adults living in the home;
- (3) A check of nmcourts.com shall be conducted on all adults living in the home;

- (4) a review of the agreement between the foster parent, licensing agency, and PSD or child placement agency; the agreement shall be signed again to cover the remainder of the licensing period or the new licensing period;
- (5) a review of placements made during the year, identification of strengths and training needs, and a review of current policies affecting foster care; and
- (6) A review with the foster parent their duty to disclose any arrests or abuse and neglect referrals.
- B. Foster families must meet the following re-licensure requirements every two years. The SAFE home study update shall be used for re-assessment for re-licensure. The reassessment shall include all requirements listed above in Paragraphs (1) (5) of Subsection A of 8.26.4.18 NMAC.
- **C.** Before the end of the licensure period, both foster parents and PSD or licensed child placement agency shall ensure that all requirements are met to qualify the family for a renewed license.
- D. PSD or child placement agency foster home licenses shall be issued every two years, if they continue to meet requirements
- How many instance of abuse in foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not for profit providers, for profit providers and public providers?
 - 57 total victims in state foster care, and 9 total victims in private-for-profit placements
- Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not for profit provider, or for a profit provider?

(Please see below procedures)

SOCIAL SERVICES- CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES

PROTECTIVE SERVICES INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES (8.10.3 NMAC)

PR 14: ALLEGATIONS OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT IN FOSTER HOMES, TREATMENT FOSTER HOMES, AND PRE-ADOPTIVE HOMES

INVESTIGATION OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT REPORTS IN FOSTER HOMES, TREATMENT FOSTER HOMES AND PRE-ADOPTIVE HOME: PSD investigates reports of allegations of abuse or neglect made regarding a child in PSD's custody placed in foster care, treatment foster care or pre-adoptive home. This includes reports alleging child abuse or neglect in:

- -PSD licensed foster homes, regardless if foster children are currently placed home;
- -Foster homes and treatment foster homes licensed by private agencies, --regardless if foster children are currently placed in the home;
- -PSD approved pre-adoptive homes; and
- Pre-adoptive homes approved by private agencies that are licensed by PSD.

Allegations of violations of policy or licensing standards that do not involve abuse or neglect allegations or safety of the child in placement are referred to placement for resolution. [09-24-01; 11-30-04; 06-30-05; 05-10-10; 02-29-12]

6 NOTIFICATIONS:

- 6.1 Law Enforcement: The investigation worker notifies law enforcement and coordinates the investigation with them, if requested, but not at the detriment of the investigation initiation time frames. [09-24-01; 11-30-04; 08-20-07; 05-12-10]
- 6.2 Guardian Ad Litern and Youth Attorney: The investigation worker consults with the child's permanency planning worker and the Children's Court Attorney (CCA) to determine how and by whom notification will be made to the child's guardian ad litern or youth attorney. Notification is made within one-business day of the acceptance of the report by SCI. [09-24-01; 06-30-05; 08-20-07; 05-10-10]
- 6.3 Private Child Placement Agency: In those circumstances where the foster home, treatment foster home or pre-adoptive home is licensed by a private child placement agency, the investigation worker notifies the private child placement agency of the investigation and attempts to coordinate the investigation with the private child placement agency, if requested, but not at the detriment of the investigation initiation time frames. [05-10-10]
- 6.4 Placement and Permanency Planning Workers: In those circumstances where the foster home or pre-adoptive home is licensed by a PSD, the investigation worker notifies the placement worker and child's permanency planning worker of the investigation and attempts to coordinate the investigation with them, if requested, but not at the detriment of the investigation initiation time frames. [05-10-10]
- 7 EFFORTS TO LOCATE: When directions to the home or an address are not provided in the report, the investigation worker contacts the placement services worker or the licensed placement agency. If the investigation occurs after regular business hours, the worker contacts law enforcement or other source, including the emergency after hours number of the child placement agency, which may have information for assistance in locating a child and family. [09-24-01; 05-12-10]

8 INFORMATION COLLECTED:

- 8.1 Background Questions: The investigation worker gathers the necessary information to complete the Safety Assessment and enters all information in FACTS. (See PR 10, Investigation Requirements General, paragraph 8 "Information Collected", and paragraph 8.1 "Background Questions.") [09-24-01; 05-10-10]
- 8.2 Required Interviews and Observations: At a minimum, the investigation worker conducts the following interviews and observations in each investigation for:
- The alleged victim;
- 2. Other children living in the home present at the time of the alleged incident;
- All care providers, including the non-offending foster parent, treatment foster parent or pre-adoptive parent;

- 4. The alleged perpetrator;
- The placement worker responsible for the home or treatment coordinators for treatment foster homes;
- 1. permanency planning worker, when appropriate; and
- Necessary collateral contacts.

Exceptions to the required interviews and home visits are only in those circumstances where the foster parent, treatment foster parent, pre-adoptive parent or adult refuses to participate in the interview or when law enforcement directs that such an interview not occur. The worker consults with his or her supervisor and documents all exceptions in the "Investigation Case Narrative" in FACTS. [09-24-01; 05-10-10; 02-29-12]

- Home Visit: As part of the investigation, the investigation worker visits the foster home, treatment foster home or pre-adoptive home. Home visits can be announced or unannounced. The worker observes the environmental conditions of the home and of the neighborhood and requests to see where any reported incidents occurred. Because the home is a licensed or approved entity, the worker may enter the home with or without the foster, treatment foster, or pre-adoptive parent's permission. However, if access is denied, the worker seeks assistance from the placement worker or child placement agency in the case of a privately licensed home. If access continues to be denied, the worker consults with his or her supervisor and the Children's Court Attorney to determine if law enforcement assistance is required. If law enforcement is contacted, the worker waits at the home until assistance arrives. Refusal for the worker to enter the home shall be grounds for immediate removal of any child in PSD custody placed based on the inability to ensure safety. The requirement for a home visit may not be waived unless the licenses is revoked or voluntarily relinquished. Any exceptions for not conducting a home visit must have supervisory approval and be documented in FACTS narrative [09-24-01; 11-30-04; 05-10-10; 02-29-12]
- 8.4 Collateral Contacts: The investigation worker makes collateral contacts as needed to make a determination about the validity of the abuse or neglect allegations and to verify or clarify any inconsistencies obtained from previous interviews. Only those collateral contacts that may be beneficial to the determination and disposition of the case are interviewed. Collateral contacts are selected on the basis of the relevance of the information the contact can offer, which may include:
- Persons who witnessed the alleged abuse or neglect;
- Professionals who may have first-hand knowledge of the incident, the injury, the child's condition and the family circumstances;
- Persons or professionals who may be able to verify information provided by the alleged victim and members of the provider's family; and
- Persons whom the provider identifies as having relevant information about the incident, the injury, the child's condition, and the provider family's circumstances.

The worker discloses only information that is necessary to facilitate the investigation to the collateral contact. [09-24-01; 05-10-10; 02-29-12]

9 COORDINATION WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT: The investigation worker coordinates and cooperates with law enforcement in joint investigations. The worker attempts to coordinate interviews of the involved parties with law enforcement to avoid multiple interviews of family members, especially children. Law enforcement is responsible for collecting physical evidence. The worker:

- 1. Does not touch or move any physical evidence;
- 2. Contacts the police if he or she believes physical evidence is available; and
- 3. Records specific observations of the physical evidence and how it relates to the allegations. [09-24-01; 02-29-12]

10 INTERVIEWING CHILDREN:

- 10.1 The Alleged Child Victim: The investigation worker interviews or observes the alleged child victim and all other children in the household to obtain the child's perception and account of his or her situation. Children who are not able to participate in an interview because of age, physical condition or disability are observed by the worker. The worker informs the child that his or her participation in the interview is voluntary. Children in PSD custody 14 years of age and older must agree to participate in the interview. The worker addresses all aspects of the reported abuse or neglect, as well as assesses any unreported concerns. The worker develops rapport with the child and is sensitive to:
- The child's apprehension about speaking to an authority figure that may be a stranger;
- The child's developmental stage and its impact on sense of time, vocabulary, and distinction between reality and imagination;
- The child's hesitancy regarding sharing information about himself or herself and the foster or adoptive family;
- 4. The child's concern over the repercussions of disclosing;
- 5. The child's perception of safety with the family;
- 6. Minimizing the number of interviews for the child;
- 7. The possible interference with any ongoing criminal investigations:
- 8. The alleged victim's responses to the alleged perpetrator or non-offending foster or pre-adoptive parent;
- 9. Using leading questions with the child;
- 10 language differences or first language of the individual; and
- 11. Credibility of the child and past history regarding allegations.

If a child makes the allegation after the child has been removed from the foster, treatment foster, or pre-adoptive home, the worker either interviews the child if the child is in the same county, or requests a courtesy interview by the county staff where the child is currently residing. [09-24-01; 11-30-04; 06-30-05; 05-10-10; 02-29-12] 10.2 Biological or Adoptive Children of Foster, Treatment Foster or Pre-adoptive

Parents: PR 11, Investigation Requirements – Child Victim and Other Children applies to the biological or adoptive children of foster, treatment foster or pre-adoptive parents. [05-10-10]

- 11 RECORDING THE INTERVIEWS: Recording of interviews of children is done, whenever possible, to prevent multiple interviews of children. The child being interviewed is aware that recording is being done. The recording is filed in the written case record. If law enforcement requires the recording, the investigation worker documents the creation of the recording and to whom it was given. The worker may coordinate with law enforcement and a local safe house provider to facilitate the recording of the child's interview. [09-24-01; 05-10-10; 02-29-12]
- 12 PHYSICAL AND SEXUAL ABUSE EXAMINATIONS: The investigation worker provides or arranges for examinations or evaluations of the child to document injuries and determine the need and type of intervention required. [09-24-01; 05-10-10]
- 12.1 Investigation worker Examination: If the allegations are of physical abuse, the investigation worker begins by asking the child to identify where on his or her body the injury occurred. In the presence of another adult, preferably an adult the child trusts and who is not the alleged perpetrator, the worker asks the child (or the adult in the case of an infant) if he or she can view the area for indication of injury and observe the child for additional bruising, welts, scratches, burns or other injuries. [05-10-10; 02-29-12]
- 12.2 Documentation of Bruising or Injury through Photography: The investigation worker attempts to document the injury through photographs, if possible, and prepares a written description of the injury. The photograph and the written description must have the name of the person photographed, the date the photograph was taken, the name of the photographer, and who was present at the time the photograph was taken. The worker documents in the case activity notes in FACTS the existence of the photograph and the child's explanation for the injury or injuries. The photograph is filed in the written case record unless requested by law enforcement. The description of photographs taken and given to law enforcement or the district attorney's office is documented in the case record, including the name of the individual and organization to which any photo is given. [09-24-01; 05-10-10; 02-29-12]
- 12.3 Medical Examination: The investigation worker arranges for a medical examination to document visible injuries or when the child requires medical attention. Should any questions or concerns arise; the worker and supervisor consult with the CYFD-PSD Medical Director.

Medical personnel are informed of the investigation and consulted on the purpose of the examination. Medical personnel are provided with all information necessary to conduct a thorough medical examination. Medical personnel are requested to provide documentation of the findings and a narrative of any disclosures the child has made at the time of the examination. The worker files this information in the written case record and documents in the case narrative in FACTS the date of the examination, the location of the examination and the identity of the medical professional providing the examination. The following are resources for medical examinations:

- CART may be contacted during business hours at 505-272-1898 or 505-951-2509. After hours contact may be made by calling the Children's Hotline, 1-877-866-7543, and asking to speak with the CART doctor on-call.
- 2. SANE contact may be made through your local SANE office.
- The child's local Primary Care Provider (PCP) may also be contacted.

The cost of medical examinations is applied to the parent's or guardian's insurance first or Medicaid. If no other alternatives or resources are available to pay the examination expenses, the cost is covered through the use of Title XX funds, which requires Deputy Director Approval through a Memorandum for Decision (MFD); once the approval is received, then the worker submits a payment request in FACTS. The PSD Director or his or her designee must approve cost over \$500.00. [12-31-97; 09-24-01; 11-30-04; 05-10-10; 02-29-12]

12.4 Sexual Abuse Examination: The investigation worker arranges for a sexual abuse examination immediately when there are indications that sexual contact occurred within the last 72 hours. The preference is for the examination to be completed by medical personnel with specialized training in sexual abuse.

Para Los Niños (Child Sexual Abuse Program affiliated with UNM) may be contacted during working hours at 505-272-6849. After hours contact may be made through the Children's Hotline number 1-877-866-7543.

If the worker is unable to schedule an appointment with a specialist, the child is evaluated in the emergency room. Non-emergency sexual abuse exams are scheduled after the child has been interviewed. [09-24-01; 02-29-12]

- 13 CONTACT WITH FOSTER PARENTS, TREATMENT FOSTER PARENTS AND PRE-ADOPTIVE PARENTS:
- 13.1 Notification of Foster Child Interview: When possible, the foster, treatment foster or pre-adoptive parents of the foster child who has been interviewed are notified of the interview the same day. Notification is face-to-face whenever possible.
- 13.2 Adults and Other Care Providers in the Home: Care Providers are defined as adults living in the household who have routine responsibility for childcare. This responsibility may fall to others besides the child's foster or adoptive parents. Each adult household member with childcare responsibilities is considered when assessing safety. [09-24-01; 02-29-12]
- 13.3 Interview with the Foster, Treatment Foster or Pre-Adoptive Parent: The Investigation worker interviews the foster, treatment foster or pre-adoptive parent to collect information needed to establish the present safety of the alleged victim and other children in the home and appropriateness for future placements in the home. The worker assesses the foster, treatment foster or pre-adoptive parent's response to the allegations. The worker addresses all aspects of the abuse or neglect allegations. The worker attempts to establish rapport and considers the foster, treatment or pre-adoptive parents:
- 1. Apprehension about speaking to an authority figure that may be a stranger;
- 2. Linguistic ability and use of language;
- Hesitancy regarding sharing information about himself or herself and his or her family;
- 4. Legal concerns regarding disclosing abuse or neglect of the child;
- Feelings of inadequacy, failure or anger and his or her responses to these feelings;
- 6. Language difference or first language of the individual; and

- Actions or behaviors toward the child. [09-24-01; 0615-04; 11-30-04; 05-10-10; 02-29-12]
- 13.4 Information to be provided to the Foster, Treatment Foster or Pre-Adoptive Parent by the Investigation worker: At the beginning of the interview, the investigation worker informs the foster, treatment foster or pre-adoptive parent that:
- 1. In the event of safety concerns, immediate removal of the child may occur;
- Any interaction on his or her part is voluntary, but lack of cooperation may raise safety concerns;
- All information is confidential within PSD, except when it becomes necessary to work with law enforcement, the District Attorney and relevant agencies;
- All information is confidential within in PSD except in the case of treatment foster care the information will be shared with the licensing agency;
- 5. Other people may be interviewed to complete the investigation;
- Information concerning the report and investigation has been entered into PSD's files;
- Information gathered could impact their license approval and placement of children in the home;
- 8. Information is provided to parties to the court proceeding;
- If a foster child has been removed, how PSD will determine if the child can be returned:
- 10. No other placements can be made during the investigation; and
- When the foster parent, treatment foster parent or pre-adoptive parent can expect information about the results.

The information is provided to the foster, treatment foster or pre-adoptive parent in writing. [09-24-01; 11-30-04; 06-30-05; 05-10-10; 02-29-12]

14 SAFETY ASSESSMENT:

14.1 Safety Assessment: The investigation worker initiates the safety assessment at the initiation of the investigation and continues to collect relevant information needed to provide for ongoing assessment of safety throughout the investigation. The worker obtains the information necessary to complete the Safety Assessment Instrument prior to completing the investigation. If at any point during the investigation the worker determines that present danger exists, the worker takes action to remove the foster child and provides immediate protective services response sufficient to address the safety threat for biological or adopted children remaining in the home.

The worker documents the assessment of safety in FACTS. The worker accesses the Safety Assessment in FACTS through the "Safety" button on the basic tab in the investigation by selecting "Casework" then "Risk Assessment" then "Safety Assessment" then selects the case and selects "Create." [05-10-10: 02-29-12]

- 14.2 Safety Decision: Based on the investigation worker's analysis of safety threats and the presence or absence of protective capacities that offset, mitigate or control the threat of present or impending danger of serious harm, the worker makes the safety decision by indicating whether the child is safe, conditionally safe, or unsafe as follows:
- The child is safe: There are no safety threats placing the child in present or impending danger of serious harm. Safety threats do not exist or have been removed.
- The child is conditionally safe: One or more safety threats placing the child in present or impending danger of serious harm were identified. However one or

more protective capacities have been identified and documented that offset, mitigate or control the threat of present or impending danger of serious harm.

3. The child is unsafe: One or more safety threats placing the child in present or impending danger of serious harm were identified. There are not sufficient protective capacities to offset, mitigate or control the threat of present or impending danger of serious harm.

The safety decision may change throughout the investigation as new information is gathered and assessed. Prior to closure of the investigation, the worker makes a final safety decision that impacts the investigation disposition. The supervisor reviews and approves the safety decision. [05-10-10; 02-29-12]

- 14.3 The Risk Assessment Instrument: The Risk Assessment instrument is not completed as part of the investigation. [05-10-10; 02-29-12]
- 15 REMOVAL OF A CHILD FROM AN UNSAFE ENVIRONMENT: Children in PSD custody who are assessed to be unsafe must be removed from the foster, treatment foster or pre-adoptive home. Removal in such a circumstance constitutes an "emergency". The investigation worker notifies the Children's Court Attorney (CCA) of the placement change and requests that the required notifications are issued. The assigned worker notifies the guardian ad litem or youth attorney within one business day if a decision is made to remove the child. [05-10-10; 02-29-12]
- 15.1 Placement: The child's assigned permanency planning worker is involved in decisions about placement of a child in a foster home and identifies a home where the child can be moved, if the determination is made that the child cannot safely remain in the current foster home or pre-adoptive home. [09-24-01, 06-30-05]
- 15.2 Safe or Conditionally Safe: Children assessed to be safe or conditionally safe may remain in their current placement. In those circumstances where a child is assessed to be safe or conditionally safety, the child's permanency planning worker and supervisor and the placement worker and supervisor (or child placement agency staff for privately licensed or approved families) reviews the investigation results to identify services and supports required by the child and the foster parent, treatment foster parent or preadoptive parent. [09-24-01, 06-30-05]
- 16 INVESTIGATION COMPLETION:
- 16.1 Investigation Decision: The investigation worker completes the investigation within 45 days of Statewide Central Intake (SCI) accepting the report unless an extension is secured from the supervisor. No extension may be granted unless the reasons for the extension are documented in FACTS. Extensions are not to exceed an additional 30 days after the original 30 days. (See Completion of an Investigation and Investigation Decision, 8.10.3.17 NMAC and Investigation Disposition, 8.10.3.18 NMAC) [05-10-10; 02-29-12]
- 16.2 Completion of Investigation and Outcome: Following the completion of the investigation the foster, treatment foster or pre-adoptive parent and, if applicable, private child placement agency are provided the investigation decision in writing. The Safety Assessment results are provided upon request. The investigation worker will inform all relevant PSD staff (the County Office Manager of the office assigned to the child's case and the placement worker and PPW) of the outcome of the investigation through an internal staffing. The worker and his or her supervisor offer to meet with the foster, treatment foster or pre-adoptive parents at the conclusion of the investigation to explain the investigation decision. [09-24-01; 06-30-05; 05-10-10; 02-29-12]

17 NOTIFICATIONS:

- 17.1 Adoptions and Foster Care Bureau: The investigation worker sends a copy of the investigation and disposition to the Adoptions and Foster Care Bureau immediately upon completion of the investigation. The Adoptions and Foster Care Bureau notifies the licensing and certification unit of CYFD's Youth and Family Services when investigations involve treatment foster care homes. [05-10-10; 02-29-12]
- 17.2 Guardian ad Litem or Youth Attorney: The permanency planning worker notifies the guardian ad litem or youth attorney of any action taken as a result of the report by sending the attorney a copy of the results letter sent to the foster, treatment foster or pre-adoptive parent at the conclusion of the investigation. [09-24-01; 06-30-05; 08-20-07]

REVOCATION OR NON-RENEWAL OF A LICENSE: A foster home license may be revoked or not renewed when, based upon the assessment and professional opinion of the placement worker and supervisor, conditions in the foster family home are not conducive to the fostering of children. [10-29-09]

- 9.1 Reasons for Revocation or Non-Renewal: An existing foster home or relative foster home license may be revoked or renewed at any time for reasons including but not limited to:
- 1. Disqualifying criminal records check results as described in PR 10 above;
- 2. Disqualifying abuse and neglect check results as described in PR 11 above;
- 3. Failure to comply with PSD policies and adoption and foster care regulations, including confidentiality provisions;
- 4. Failure to comply with safety measures, including those requirements described in PR 13 above:
- 5. Returning a child to PSD without seeking support services provided by the PSD or community service providers in order to preserve the placement;
- 6. Refusal to comply with the child's case plan;
- 7. Inability to adequately meet the needs the child, including a nutritious diet, adequate clothing, etc...
- 8. Failure to include children in family activities;
- Overuse or inappropriate use of respite care;
- failure to actively preserve connections with foster children and their birth families and community of origin, including siblings or other birth relatives, church community; and fictive kin, or the child's friends;
- 11. Failure to demonstrate the ability to provide emotional support during important developmental points in the course of a child's life;
- 12. Repeated refusals by the family to accept children who have been identified for placement with the family;
- 13. Failure to participate in required training;
- 14. Failure to comply with PSD decisions regarding the child's safety, permanency, and well-being:
- Abuse of substances including but not limited to alcohol, illegal drugs; or prescription drugs or controlled substances;
- Exposure of the child to cigarette smoking and tobacco products;
- Fraudulent claims for reimbursement or deliberate falsification of records; 18.
 Neglect or abuse of foster children or other children;
- 19. Failure to abide by the foster care agreement;
- 20. A documented professional assessment that continued licensure would be contrary to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child, or that conditions in the foster home are not conducive to the fostering of children. [10-29-09]

- 9.2 Revocation or Non-Renewal Process: The placement worker documents in FACTS the reasons and recommendation to revoke or not renew the license, and forwards his/her recommendation to the placement supervisor for approval. The Regional Placement Supervisor or designee immediately notifies the Office of General Counsel (OGC) after receiving the placement worker's recommendation for revocation or non-renewal of a license. The Regional Placement Supervisor, placement worker, and others as appropriate staff the proposed revocation or non-renewal with the assigned attorney from OGC. Upon the approval of the manager and concurrence by OGC, the placement worker and supervisor notify the foster parent/relative foster parent in a face-to-face meeting and in writing within five days of the decision to revoke or not renew the foster care license. The notification includes:
- 1. The effective date of the revocation/non-renewal,
- 2. Reasons for the revocation/non-renewal, including identification of any policy and/or regulation violations,
- 3. The right of the foster parent to request an appeal of the decision to revoke or not to renew the license, and
- 4. The process to request an appeal. [10-29-09]



ANDREW M. CUOMO

SHEILA J. POOLE Acting Commissioner

June 18, 2015

The United States Senate Committee on Finance Washington, D.C. 20510-6200 Attn: Rebecca Shipp, Health & Human Resources Policy Advisor Laura Berntsen, Senior Human Services Advisor

VIA ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION becky shipp@senate.finance.gov laura_berntsen@senate.finance.gov

Dear Ms. Shipp and Ms. Berntsen:

I am replying to the April 24, 2015 letter written to the members of the National Governor's Association (NGA) and signed by Senators Hatch and Wyden requesting information about public and private providers of foster care in the states. I am replying on behalf of New York State (NYS).

The Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) serves New York's public by promoting the safety, permanency and well-being of our children, families and communities. We achieve results by setting and enforcing policies, building partnerships, and funding and providing quality services. OCFS is dedicated to improving the integration of services for New York's children, youth, families and vulnerable populations; to promoting their development; and to protecting them from violence, neglect, abuse and abandonment. The agency supervises the provision of a system of family support, juvenile justice, child care and child welfare services that promote the safety and well-being of children and adults. Among the operating principles across all program areas are that services should be developmentally appropriate, family-centered and family-driven, community-based, locally responsive, and evidence and outcome based.

Among OCFS' responsibilities are programs and services involving foster care, adoption and adoption assistance, child protective services including operating the Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR), preventive services for children and families, and services for pregnant adolescents. New York State is one of a few states that has oversight and provides funding for such services on a state-supervised, county-administered basis. Foster care in New York State is administered by a network of agencies which are empowered by law to temporarily care for and place children who are unable to remain in their own homes until a more permanent situation is arranged.

NYS is comprised of 58 Local Social Services Districts (LDSS). One for each of 57 counties outside of New York City and one local district that is made up from the five counties (boroughs) of New York City, which is called the Administration for Children's Services (ACS). Foster care may be provided directly by a LDSS or through a contract by the LDSS with a voluntary authorized agency (a

not-for-profit corporation with the corporate authority to provide foster care services). Each LDSS has the flexibility to contract with providers that will best serve the needs of their individual districts. OCFS is responsible for approving, inspecting, supervising and monitoring the LDSS' and voluntary authorized agencies that provide care for foster children. We have found this to be the most acceptable methodology that best serves the diverse needs of our state.

The Senators put forth eight questions on behalf of the committee. I am providing you the responses for OCFS.

- Q.1. To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g. placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight for foster care placements).
- A.1. The LDSS' contract with over 70 not-for-profit providers statewide. In most of the state, case management responsibility is maintained by the LDSS' in regard to children in LDSS custody or OCFS in regard to those youth in OCFS custody. In New York City, the role and function of case management is with a voluntary authorized agency with final decision making remaining with ACS.
- Q. 2. What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers and for-profit providers?
- A.2. OCFS does not use for-profit providers. NYS allows the LDSS' the flexibility to contract with the not-for-profit providers that are best suited for their needs. All foster children in the legal custody of ACS are placed in foster homes or congregate placements through voluntary authorized agencies.
- Q. 3. Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.
- A.3. A complete list appears at the end of the directive (see link). None of these programs are for-profit.

http://ocfs.ny.gov/main/policies/external/OCFS_2014/ADMs/14-OCFS-ADM-04%20Maximum%20State%20Aid%20Rates%20for%20Foster%20Care%20Programs%20and%20Residential%20Programs%20for%20Committee%20on%20Special%20Education%20Placements%20-%20Effective%20Ju.pdf

- Q. 4. Does your state require private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state to be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?
- A. 4. OCFS does not require that foster care entities receive accreditation from non-governmental entities. These entities must receive approval from OCFS to open an agency and obtain an operating certificate from OCFS to open a facility. Additional information regarding this can be found at the OCFS web site at: http://ocfs.ny.gov/main/publications/Pub5032.pdf
- Q. 5. Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.
- A. 5. Annually, OCFS issues Maximum State Aide Rates (MSARs) and Committee on Special Education (CSE) Maintenance Rates to LDSS' advising them of the annual rates and methodology

approved by the state's Division of the Budget. MSARs define the State reimbursement limits for LDSS' in negotiating contracts with authorized foster care providers, pursuant to Social Services Law §398-a and 18 New York State Codes, Rules and Regulations (NYCRR) Part 427. Pursuant to Section 398-a (2-a) of the Social Services Law, LDSS' are required to pay no less than 100 percent of each OCFS-established congregate care rate as well as each administrative/services rate for a therapeutic, special needs, or emergency foster home program. We do not contract with for-profit agencies. Our grantee provider manual explains the requirements to all applicants.

Where an LDSS contracts with a voluntary authorized agency, it must use a model contact prescribed by OCFS. The model contract addresses the statutory and regulatory standards the voluntary authorized agency must satisfy in regard to the care, planning and supervision of the foster child. It also addressed the role of the LDSS in the oversight of the operations and services provided by of the voluntary authorized agency. The URL below will provide the Model Contract for providers of such services.

http://ocfs.ny.gov/main/policies/external/OCFS 2013/ADMs/13-OCFS-ADM-08%20%20Revised%20Model%20Contract%20for%20Purchase%20of%20Foster%20Care%20Services.ndf

- Q. 6. Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit and for-profit providers operating in your state.
- A. 6. Our process is the same for not-for-profit and public agencies. We do not contract with for-profit providers. OCFS delineates the safety inspection process in the following publication entitled Regulations for Certified and Approved Foster Family Boarding Homes & Regulations for Designated Emergency Foster Family Boarding Homes. This publication can be found on our internet site at the following URL:

http://ocfs.ny.gov/MAIN/PUBLICATIONS/Pub5032.pdf

For residential facilities for children in foster care, OCFS conducts periodic assessments of each facility to which an operating certificate has been issued. OCFS inspects such facilities for compliance with physical plant standards, safety standards and program standards. Additional inspections and reviews occur when complaints are received or when there are allegations of abuse or neglect of children in such facilities.

- Q. 7. How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of the substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by not-for-profit, for-profit and public providers?
- A. 7. Prior to June 30, 2013, Institutional abuse or neglect (IAB) investigation reports were made to the SCR, which is housed within the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS), and were investigated by OCFS or the Commission on Quality Care and Advocacy for Persons with Disabilities (CQC). Beginning June 30, 2013, such reports are made to the Justice Center for the Protection of People with Special Needs (The Justice Center). Consequently, data reported in the table below are based on those reported to OCFS.

In the period from 2008 to 2013, the a majority of IAB reports involved were on children placed with private non-profit or for-profit agencies (voluntary) agencies (table 1). These ranged from a high of 65

percent in 2013 (only partial year available), 64 percent in 2009 and 2010 to a lowest of 58 percent in 2011. The residual were of children placed with New York public agencies. Of the reports that were indicated, the highest percent were those on children placed with private not- for-profit agencies (voluntary agencies).

Table 1: Institutional Abuse or Neglect (IAB) Investigations: Distribution of Reports and Indicated Reports from 2008 to 2013

	2008		2009			2010		2011		012	2013	
	Total	indicated	Total	Indicated	Total	Indicated	Total	Indicated	Total	Indicated	Total	Indicated
	•					Nu	nber					
Private Agencies	1,092	173	1,424	212	1,168	159	951	120	986	95	516	58
Public Agencies	724	97	798	106	649	101	679	81	584	63	275	23
Total	1,816	270	2,222	318	1.817	260	1,630	201	1,570	158	791	81
						Perc	ent (%)			***************************************		
Private Agencies	60%	64%	64%	67%	64%	61%	58%	60%	63%	60%	65%	72%
Public Agencies	40%	36%	36%	33%	36%	39%	42%	40%	37%	40%	35%	28%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Note: As of 6/30/13	, IAB repo	orts were tak	en by th	e Justice Ce	nter and	as a result 2	013 data	do not repre	senta co	mplete year.		
Source: Data Ware	ehouse (5/1/15)										

In the period from 2008 to 2013 (partial data available for 2013), the percentage of reports that were indicated in New York State ranged from a high of 15% in 2008 to a low of 10% in 2012 and 2013 (Table 2). An "indicated report" is a report for which some credible evidence of abuse or neglect was found after investigation.

Table 2: Institutional abuse or neglect (IAB) investigation Reports and the Percentage Indicated from 2008 to 2013

	2008		2008 2009		2010		2011		2012		2013	
	Total Reports	% Reports Indicate d	Total Reports	% Reports Indicated	Total Reports	% Indicated	Total Reports	% Indicated	Total Reports	% Indicated	Total Reports	% Indicated
Private Agencies	1,092	16%	1,424	15%	1,168	14%	951	13%	986	10%	516	11%
Public Agencies	724	13%	798	13%	649	16%	679	12%	584	11%	275	8%
Total	1,816	15%	2,222	14%	1,817	14%	1,630	12%	1,570	10%	791	10%
Note: As of 6/30/13 Source: Data War			en by the J	ustice Cent	er and as	a result 201	3 data do	not represe	nt a compl	ete year.		

- Q. 8. Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit or a for-profit provider?
- A. 8. For children in foster homes, reports of alleged abuse or maltreatment are made to the SCR and transmitted to the appropriate LDSS for investigation. If the report is substantiated, the LDSS that placed the child determines the appropriate action take based on the circumstances of the individual case. The LDSS has a range of options available, including removal of any or all children in care from the foster home and closing the home. In less severe cases, the options could include increased supervision of the foster home, or additional training for the foster parents.

Office of the Commissioner | 52 Washington Street, Rensselaer, NY 12144 | (518) 474-6666 octs.ny.gov

In cases of institutional abuse or neglect involving residential facilities that were are reported to the SCR, these claims are investigated and an "Institutional Abuse or IAB" hearing is held where testimony is given before an administrative law judge who renders a determination based on the evidence produced. OCFS is one of the state agencies that is overseen by the Justice Center. Their mission is to support and protect the health, safety, and dignity of all people with special needs and disabilities through advocacy of their civil rights, prevention of mistreatment, and investigation of all allegations of abuse and neglect so that appropriate actions are taken. The Justice Center can and has been called when reports of abuse in an out of home placement are placed. OCFS retains its oversight role over the foster care facilities subject to the Justice Center's investigations and continues to require corrective action from the agency operating the facility when necessary.

Actions do not differ based on whether the foster care facility is publicly or privately operated.

I hope that these responses are helpful to the Finance Committee's work. OCFS remains committed to serving vulnerable children and families. We hope that you will continue to reach out to OCFS through our Governor's Washington D.C. office for information regarding this or other child welfare issues.

Sincerely,

Sheila J. Poole Acting Commissioner

SP/ed



Executive Office

(701) 328-2538 Fax (701) 328-1545 Toll Free 1-800-472-2622 ND Relay TTY 1-800-366-6888

Jack Dalrymple, Governor Maggie D. Anderson, Executive Director

May 21, 2015

Senator Orrin G. Hatch, Chairman United States Senate Committee on Finance Washington, DC 20510-6200

Senator Ron Wyden, Ranking Member United States Senate Committee on Finance Washington, DC 20510-6200

Re: North Dakota's Response to Senate Finance Letter to Governors -- Foster Care

Dear Sen. Hatch and Sen. Wyden:

In response to your April 24, 2015, letter, The North Dakota Department of Human Services is pleased to provide the following information to the Senate Finance Committee at the request of Governor Jack Dalrymple.

 To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

PATH-ND, a private non-profit organization, is utilized as a private provider of foster care. PATH recruits and supervises their foster families but the state licenses the PATH family foster care providers. The state makes payment directly to PATH to cover the costs of foster care. PATH, in turn, pays their foster parents and keeps a percentage of the rate for administrative costs. PATH also provides case workers to support and oversee the family foster care providers. Public agencies with custodial and placement authority provide case management services for a child placed in a PATH foster home. Public agencies include the counties, the Division of Juvenile Services, and tribes.

Sen. Orin G. Hatch Sen. Ron Wyden May 20, 2015 Page 2

The state provides much of the training for the PATH foster parents through a long standing agreement with PATH. This training is provided by the University of North Dakota, Children and Family Training Center.

 What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

100 percent of the foster children placed into foster care are placed by a public agency (counties, Division of Juvenile Services, tribes) because they are the custodians of youth in the system. The public agencies make the placements into foster care for both public and private provider (PATH) homes. The private nonprofits serve foster youth, but they do not make the placement of youth nor do they have placement authority. As of May 7, 2015, PATH was serving 232 foster children. That accounts for about 17.3 percent of all North Dakota foster youth (N=1,339).

 Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-forprofit entity.

PATH-ND, Inc. 1202 Westrac Drive, Suite 400 Fargo, ND 58103 Phone: 701-551-6341 PATH is a private not-for-profit entity.

 Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

North Dakota does not require private providers be accredited. However, PATH is accredited by the Council on Accreditation for Services to Children and Families (COA) by choice. Their most recent accreditation was in October 2014 and is effective for four years. North Dakota does require all private foster care providers to be licensed through the North Dakota Department of Human Services (NDDHS) according to North Dakota Administrative Code (NDAC) 75-03-36 Licensed Child Placing Agency. This consists of an annual review and licensure by NDDHS to make sure NDAC is being followed.

 Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

NDDHS has had a long standing agreement with PATH (since 1994) to provide therapeutic foster care services in North Dakota. As noted above, NDDHS reviews and licenses PATH annually per NDAC 75-03-36. This review is conducted by a team to ensure compliance with NDAC 75-03-36 relating to the children being served, personnel, administrative processes, and provide family

Sen. Orin G. Hatch Sen. Ron Wyden May 20, 2015 Page 3

support and case management. The reviewers also review a representative sample of both personnel and children's files to ensure compliance. Any private provider wanting to establish services in North Dakota may do so if the provider is able to demonstrate the ability to comply with the rules set out in NDAC for a licensed child placing agency.

 Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-public providers operating in your state.

Inspections regarding the safety of the foster care settings are the same for public and private providers. All providers, public or private, are required to have an annual renewal of their license to ensure ongoing compliance with licensure standards. These standards are noted primarily in North Dakota Century Code (NDCC) 50-11, NDAC 75-03-14 and foster care service chapter 622-05. They relate to the physical structure of the home, on-going training of foster parents, make up of people residing in the home, continuing abuse/neglect registry, background checks for adults in the home, and a review of foster parent's performance/ability to work as team members over the past year. The reviews are conducted and information is gathered by the public or private organization that has oversight of the home, and presented to the NDDHS at the regional level where the information is reviewed. After the review, NDDHS determines if the license can be renewed for another year or if it should be denied based on the information gathered through the annual review.

 How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?

There have been 18 instances of abuse by foster parents substantiated in the past five years in North Dakota. NDDHS does not track if they were public or private providers of foster care.

 Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was place by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

The actions do not differ when dealing with a public versus a private agency providing foster care when an allegation of abuse/neglect is substantiated. When a report of suspected abuse/neglect is received, the child protection services staff in the county in which the provider resides is responsible to complete the assessment of the allegation. If there is a conflict of interest (the foster home is supervised by the county assigned to do the assessment or the county doing the assessment has custodial youth placed in that home), a neighboring county will

Sen. Orin G. Hatch Sen. Ron Wyden May 20, 2015 Page 4

be asked to complete the assessment. A determination is made whether or not it is safe to leave the foster child in the home with a strong safety plan in place, or to remove the child during the assessment to ensure their safety. If abuse/neglect is substantiated in the foster home, NDDHS will revoke that family's license to provide foster care as per NDAC 75-03-14 and NDCC 50-11. NDDHS does have the ability to determine that the family has been "rehabilitated" if they complete all of the recommendations made by the assessment team. If this determination is made, the license to provide foster care may be reissued. However, once a license is revoked, it usually stays revoked.

If you have further questions, contact me at 701-328-2617 or manderson@nd.gov

Sincerely,

Maggie D. Anderson
Executive Director

c Office of Governor Jack Dalrymple



Sequoyah Memorial Office Building PO Box 25352 Oklahoma City, OK 73125-0352 (405) 521-3646 • www.okdhs.org



May 28, 2015

Dear Ms. Shipp and Ms. Berntsen:

Please see our answers to the questions posed by Senators Orrin Hatch and Ron Wyden in their letter to our Governor dated April 24, 2015 regarding foster care.

To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

Oklahoma uses private entities for management services in traditional foster care, i.e., non-kinship, and therapeutic foster care services

What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

See Figure 1 below.

Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for profit entity.

See Figure 2 below.

Does your state require the private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited?

No, Oklahoma does not require foster care entities operating in our state to be accredited. However, therapeutic foster care contractors are considered health providers by the State Medicaid Agency and are required to be accredited by those standards. Applicable federal regulations and state laws are followed for approving foster care entities.

Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

Therapeutic foster care contracts are awarded as "fixed rate" contracts and are not required to be open for bidding. The state can enter into a contract with any qualified entity for the services of a fixed rate so long as services are needed and funding exists. The traditional foster care contracts were competitively bid and first awarded in 2013 for a one-year contract with five renewal options. The bids were conducted according the Oklahoma Central Purchasing Act.

Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-profit providers operating in your state.

The process in Oklahoma does not differ based on private/public nor profit/non-profit but does differ based on type of setting. While foster care and therapeutic foster care have the same requirements, they differ from the requirements for residential facilities. Foster care and therapeutic foster care go through a comprehensive family assessment that includes a series of background checks, medical assessment of all family members, financial assessment and an evaluation of the applicant's residence to assess the location, condition and capacity to accommodate the child. For further detail, refer to Oklahoma Administrative Code (OAC) 340:75-7 and 340:75-8 found at http://www.okdhs.org/library/policy/oac340/075/

Furthermore, all private foster care providers are licensed as Child Placing Agency and which, among other things, requires background checks on staff and to ensure they are not on the restricted registry. Child placing agency requirements are found at http://www.okdhs.org/library/policy/oac340/110/05/

Residential facilities are licensed by Child Care Licensing while foster homes are licensed by Child Welfare. The requirements for residential facilities are found at http://www.okdhs.org/library/policy/oac340/110/03/

How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?

See Figure 1 below.

Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement. Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider.

When a report of child abuse or neglect is substantiated in a foster home or therapeutic foster home, DHS staff is responsible for making the decision regarding the continued use of the home. If deemed appropriate for the home to remain open, a Written Plan of Compliance would be initiated. These plans are also initiated when non-compliance issues or rule violations in the foster home require remediation for continued use of the home to control the safety of and placement stability for the child in DHS custody.

The Office of Client Advocacy investigates reports of abuse and neglect in residential or congregate care settings. When abuse or neglect is substantiated in a congregate care setting, a Corrective Action Plan is developed by Child Welfare Services with the placement provider and/or other entities responsible for oversight such as Child Care Licensing or the Office of Juvenile Affairs. The Corrective Action Plan is monitored by members of Child Welfare, and possibly oversight partners, on-site until completion or compliance occurs. Monetary consequences and ultimately loss of contract can occur if concerns identified in the child abuse and neglect substantiation are not alleviated by the Corrective Action Plan.

Figure 1

US Senate	Request for	FFY 2010	throug	h FFY 2	014	
Resource Type	Placement Count	% of Total	MIC*	%of Type	% of Total	% of MIC
Agency	102563	94.03%	619	0.60%	0.57%	87.55%
Not For Profit	6064	5.56%	83	1.37%	0.08%	11.74%
For Profit	449	0.41%	5	1.11%	0.00%	0.71%
Totals	109076	100.00%	707	0.65%	0.65%	100.00%

^{*} Maltreatment in Care

Figur	e 2						
PROFIT							
Choices for Life							
Homebased Services & Resources							
Oklahoma Families First							
Shadow Mountain Therapeutic Foster Care							
Southwest Therapeutic Foster Care							
Youthcare of Oklahoma							
NON PROFIT							
St. Francis Community Services	Youth Emergency Shelter						
Tallgrass	Youth Services Creek County						
Bair Foundation	Community Children's Shelter						
Eckerd	J. Roy Dunning						
Angels	Lilyfield						
TFI Family services	Youth and Family Services						
Eagle Ridge	Panhandle Services						
Specialized Alternatives for Family and Youth	Anna's House						
Wesleyan Youth Inc	Oklahoma Lions Boys Ranch						
Western Plains Therapeutic Foster Care	Circle of Care						
Oklahoma Association of Youth Services	Eastern OK Youth Services						
Northwest Family Services	Sunbeam Family Services						
Multi County Youth Services	Youth Emergency Shelter						
Youth and Family Services	Youth Services Creek County						
Logan Community Services	Community Children's Shelter						
Northern OK Youth Services	J. Roy Dunning						
Payne County Youth Services	McClain/Garvin County Youth and Family						
Southwest Youth and Family Services	Choctaw/Pushmataha Youth Services						
Great Plains Youth and Family	Kiamichi Youth Services						
Crossroads Youth and Family Services							

Sincerely,

Ed Lake by Les for Brune Borne
Ed Lake, Director



May 28, 2015

Kate Brown Governor

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch, Chairman The Honorable Ron Wyden, Ranking Member United States Senate Committee on Finance Washington, DC 20510-6200

Dear Senators Hatch and Wyden,

Thank you for your inquiry into Oregon's policy and practices related to privatized foster care. In an effort to assist you in better understanding the degree to which Oregon has entered into public-private partnerships to administer the child welfare program, we have responded to each of your questions, in order, below:

To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case
management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing
casework and oversight of foster care placements).

Oregon has a state administered child welfare delivery system run through the Office of Child Welfare Programs in the Department of Human Services. Local child welfare offices are maintained in each of Oregon's 36 counties, with more than one branch location in some of the more populated areas. The vast majority of all case management and placement services are delivered by state employees of the Department. All foster homes in the State not associated with Private Child Caring Agencies, including all relative foster placements, are certified by the State prior to any placement being made in that home.

The Department does contract with Private Child Caring Agencies licensed by the State of Oregon, through the Department of Human Services' Office of Licensing and Regulatory Oversight.

Oregon Administrative Rules 413-215-0001 through 413-215-0131, govern the licensing requirements of these private agencies. Those rules and the associated policy can be found at: http://www.dhs.state.or.us/policy/childwelfare/manual_2/ii-c1.pdf.

2. What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

In 2014, the distribution between the public system of placement services and the private agencies on an average daily basis was approximately:

254 STATE CAPITOL, SALEM OR 97301-4047 (503) 378-3111 FAX (503) 378-8970 WWW.GOVERNOR.OREGON.GOV

Type of Placement	Average Daily	Percent of the
	Population (ADP)	ADP
DHS placements in family foster care (public)	3,078	39%
DHS placements in relative- family foster care (public)	2,448	31%
Private agency placements	373	5%
Children in Trial Home Visits – Reunification (public)	1,912	25%
Totals – Average daily Population of children in care.	7,811	100%

3. Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.

CONTRACTED PROVIDER:	PROFIT: FOR PROFIT /NOT FOR PROFIT
Albertina Kerr Centers	Not for Profit
Bob Belloni Ranch Inc.	Not for Profit
Boys and Girls Aid Society of Oregon	Not for Profit
Christian Community Placement Center	Not for Profit
Catholic Community Services WW	Not for Profit
CONTRACTED PROVIDER, continued	PROFIT: FOR PROFIT/NOT FOR PROFIT, continued
Catholic Community Services MWV	Not for Profit
Chehalem Youth and Family Services	Not for Profit
Daniel Moray Corporation	For Profit
Door To Grace	Not for Profit
Douglas County Shelter	For Profit
Eastern Oregon Academy	For Profit
Family Solutions	Not for Profit
Give Us This Day (GUTD)	For Profit
Greater Oregon Behavioral Health Inc. (GOBHI)	Not for Profit
Inn Home for Boys	Not for Profit
Integral Youth Services	Not for Profit
Janus Youth Programs	Not for Profit
Jasper Mountain Center	Not for Profit
Kairos	Not for Profit

Klamath Child and Family Treatment (KBBH)	Not for Profit
Lincoln County Shelter	For Profit
Maple Star of Oregon Inc.	For Profit
Morrison Child and Family Services	Not for Profit
The Next Door Inc.	Not for Profit
OSLC Community Programs	Not for Profit
Professional Therapeutic Community Network (PTCN)	For Profit
Salvation Army	Not for Profit
St. Mary's Home for Boys	Not for Profit
Youth Progress Association	Not for Profit
Youth Villages	For Profit

4. Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

No. Oregon Administrative Rules 413-215-0001 through 413-215-0131 govern the licensing requirements. While several private agencies seek out and become accredited by various entities, it is not a requirement in Oregon for agency licensure. Those rules and the associated policy can be found at: http://www.dhs.state.or.us/policy/childwelfare/manual_2/ij-c1.pdf.

Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

The Department of Human Services utilizes the State's formal contracting process established through various solicitation methods including Invitation to Bid (ITB), Request for Proposal (RFP), and Request for Quotes (RFQ). Historically the RFP has been re-solicited for new bids every five years to ensure fair and competitive processes. More recently the Department has created a Request for Applications (RFA) which will allow a more frequent solicitation process for contracting. These contracting opportunities are posted online for public review and participation through the State's Oregon Procurement Information Network (ORPIN), at: http://orpin.oregon.gov/open.dll/welcome.

In addition, due to the nature of child welfare services, Oregon has a special provision in contracting rules to allow for "client services" to be solicited on an as needed and individual basis. The Department uses this provision to create child specific contracts for children requiring specialized care, to add additional resources and supports to a current placement, or to extend the contracting capacity of a private agency to take an additional child.

6. Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-public [profit] providers operating in your state.

The processes for inspection and certification of private foster homes in Oregon is essentially equivalent to the process for public foster homes in that homes are certified based on the results of a comprehensive home study process that includes: assessment of multiple domains, including – motivation of the applicants to become foster parents – their life experiences and challenges – finances and employment – physical and mental health – marital history – spiritual beliefs – cultural background; and safety of the physical environment of the home.

In both public and privately certified homes nationwide background checks are required for all household members, in accordance with the requirements of the Adam Walsh Child Safety and Protection Act. Private agencies must be licensed by the State in order to certify individual foster homes; and private foster care agencies are reviewed and re-licensed every two years.

Publically certified foster homes differ somewhat in that Oregon's Department of Human Services, Office of Child Welfare Programs mandate the use of the Structured Analysis Family Evaluation (SAFE) home study process and for all DHS certified foster homes. Private agencies are not required to use the SAFE home study process, however they are required to meet the certification requirements of the State. Another difference between private and public foster homes is the frequency of certification renewal. Publically certified foster homes are reinspected and re-certified once every two years. Privately certified homes must be re-inspected and re-certified annually. There is no difference in Oregon between the requirements for private non-profit foster care agencies and private for-profit foster care agencies.

How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?

Federal Fiscal Year (FFY)	Number Abused in Other Foster Care Placements	Number Percent Abused in Abused in Contracted Contracted Total Placements Placements of Number those Abused Abused		% Abused in Foster Care	% NOT Abused in Foster Care
2010	81	3.6% \$4 81	12,913	0.65%	99.35%
2011	79	9 10.2%	12,808	0.69%	99.31%
2012	122	19, 15 7 (3.5% (3.714) 6	12,431	1.13%	98.87%
2013	92	13 m (12 4%) 15 105 W	12,129	0.87%	99.13%
2014	104	9 1 1 3 8 0% 1 1 1 1 1 3 8	11,445	0.99%	99.01%

^{*} Perpetrator Relationship to Victim is either Children's Care Provider -OIT (ward) or Residential Care Employee (ward).

7. Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out-of-home placement. Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was place[ed] by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider? Oregon has two approaches: one for the public certified foster homes and one for the private licensed agencies.

The public certified foster homes are governed by Oregon Administrative Rules 413-200-0404 through 413-200-0424: Department Responsibilities During Screening and Assessment of a Child Abuse or Neglect Report Involving the Home of a Department Certified Foster Parent or Relative Caregiver. Those rules and the associated policy can be found at: http://www.dhs.state.or.us/policy/childwelfare/manual_1/i-b223.pdf.

The Department's Child Protective Service screener assesses the allegations and makes a determination with their supervisor if it constitutes an allegation of abuse or neglect, in which case a Child Protective Service worker will be assigned to complete a comprehensive assessment. In conjunction with this comprehensive assessment the Department's Foster Home Certification staff and the Caseworkers responsible for all children in the foster home are notified and jointly make a plan for the children in this home.

During the assessment process if safety cannot be insured in the home, the Department may immediately remove the children from the foster home. The Department may also place the foster home on inactive status during the investigation thereby prohibiting additional children to be placed into the home.

At the conclusion of this assessment, the Child Protective Service worker and the Foster Home Certification staff meet jointly with the foster family to share information and the results of the CPS assessment. This is also the time in which if there are additional conditions added to the foster parent certification they will be discussed and acted upon, such as modification to their certificate to operate a foster home by limiting the number of children, changing the age range, require training, no changes required, or move forward to revoke their certificate and close the foster home.

When an allegation of abuse is made regarding a private agency in Oregon, the investigation is completed by the Department of Human Services' Office of Adult Abuse Prevention and Investigations (OAAPI) unit. This specialized unit is comprised of staff who focus solely on investigating allegations of abuse against private agencies. They are governed by Oregon Administrative Rules OAR 407-045-0800 through 407-045-0980 and the basic process follows:

When the OAAPI Screener receives a Children's Care Provider (CCP) abuse referral, they
immediately contact the CCP to ensure that any necessary action to protect the alleged

victim has been taken. This is done whether the case is ultimately assigned for investigation, or not.

- Depending on the severity of the allegation, OAAPI consults with Child Welfare (CW) and
 Office of Licensing & Regulatory Action (OLRO) to determine if any additional steps need
 to be taken to protect the alleged victim or other involved children.
- If there is reason to believe that a crime has occurred, OAAPI contacts appropriate law
 enforcement authorities. OAAPIs abuse investigation may be suspended while a criminal
 investigation occurs.
- If the case is assigned, the OAAPI Investigator follows up with the CCP to ensure the
 ongoing protective services are adequate to protect the child.
- If. after investigation, the abuse allegation is substantiated, the OAAPI Investigator writes a
 report which includes Required Actions (a.k.a., Corrective Actions) to prevent
 abuse/neglect from occurring again. This generally involves recommendations for
 additional training for staff or changes to policies or procedures. Personnel actions for a
 substantiated finding of abuse are the responsibility of the CCP (the employer).
- The perpetrator is notified of the substantiation in writing, and may request a review of the finding within 30 days of receipt of notice. Details of the review process are found in the Oregon Administrative Rules referenced above.
- Additional licensing concerns discovered in the course of the OAAPI investigation are also
 documented in the report, which, when completed, is sent to CW and OLRO for review and
 further action as needed.

If you have any questions related to the above information, please Laurie Price, Well-Being Program Manager, is also available at laurie.price@state.or.us or 503-945-6953.

Sincerely,

Governor Kate Brown

KB/DL/ar



May 29, 2015

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch The Honorable Ron Wyden United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Hatch and Ranking Member Wyden:

Thank you for the opportunity to share information about Pennsylvania's public-private partnerships with regard to our child welfare system. The primary focus is always on the safety, permanency and well-being of the children we serve. Responses to the questions posed in your April 24, 2015 letter regarding background information on Pennsylvania's policy and practices relative to private foster care are below.

Pennsylvania's Utilization of Private Entities to Provide Case Management Services

Pennsylvania's child welfare system is state administered and county operated which means that state law prescribes the minimum standards for the child welfare system. Child welfare and juvenile justice services are delivered by each of the Commonwealth's 67 <u>County Children and Youth Agencies</u> and County Juvenile Probation Offices.

The Department Human Services (DHS) is the agency that administers the state's child welfare program. The primary focus of DHS is always on the safety, permanency and wellbeing of the children they serve. Through annual inspections of county children and youth agencies, as well as licensed private child serving agencies, DHS reviews the services received by Pennsylvania's children and families to ensure the quality of services provided and purchased. Additionally, through reviews of annual county needs-based plans and budget requests and subsequent expenditure reimbursement, DHS monitors the financial commitment and spending of the counties with regard to the children and youth services they deliver. The financial review focuses on the reasonableness and necessity of the county request and whether the county plan and budget focuses on the state's goals of increasing safety; improving permanency; safely reducing reliance on out-of-home care, particularly residential institutional programs; and decreasing re-entry into placement.

Children in Foster Care in Pennsylvania Placed by the Public Agency

Each county, through its children and youth social service agency, is responsible for administering a program of children and youth social services to children and their families. Counties may deliver the services themselves or contract with private providers to deliver the services. The county children and youth social service program includes:

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

P.O. BOX 2675. HARRISBURG. PA 17105. I. 717.787.2600/3600. FAX:717.772.2062. I. www.dbs.state.na.us

- Services designed to prevent dependency and delinquency of children and that help overcome problems that result in dependency and delinquency;
- Services designed to first and foremost protect children from abuse and neglect and that enable children to remain safely in their own homes and communities;
- Services designed to provide permanency and stability for children in their own homes or in placement and to preserve relationships and connections for children with their families and communities of origin;
- Services designed to meet the needs of children and families and to enhance the family's capacity to provide for their children's needs including services to meet the educational, physical and behavioral health needs of children;
- Services designed for youth alleged and adjudicated delinquent, which are
 consistent with the protection of public interest and which provide balanced
 attention to the protection of the community, the imposition of accountability for
 offenses committed and the development of competencies to enable youth to
 become responsible and productive members of the community; and
- Services and care ordered by the court for children who have been alleged and adjudicated dependent or delinquent.

Additionally, Commonwealth statute, known as the Juvenile Act, (42 Pa.C.S, Chapter 63) provides the legal framework for children and youth agencies, juvenile probation offices and the courts when children cannot safely stay in their own homes due to dependency or are in need of supervision, care and rehabilitation due to delinquency. A dependent child can be defined in general terms as a child who is under the age of 18, is without proper parent care or control, is in need of supervision, or is under the age of 10 and has committed a delinquent act. A child who is adjudicated dependent may request to remain under the jurisdiction of the court until the age of 21 as long as they are engaged in an approved course of instruction or treatment.

The children and youth agency has the legal mandate to petition the court for dependency of a child when the following circumstances exist:

- The child is without proper parental care or control, basic needs, legally required education, other care necessary for the child's physical, mental or emotional health or morals;
- The behavior or actions of child's parent or other caregiver places the health, safety and welfare of the child at risk;
- The child has been placed for care or adoption in violation of the law;
- The child is without or has been abandoned by the parents, guardian or other custodian:
- The child is born to a parent whose parental rights regarding another child have been involuntarily terminated by the court within three years prior to the child's birth and the parent presents a risk to the child's health, safety and welfare;
- The child, of compulsory school age, is habitually and without justification, truant from school: or
- The child has committed an act or acts of habitual disobedience of the parent or other caregiver and is ungovernable and in need of care, treatment and supervision.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch The Honorable Ron Wyden

-3-

Therefore, all children who enter child welfare placement are placed by the county children and youth agency with court approval. However, children may be placed in the care of licensed private agencies. A review of State Fiscal Year 2013-2014 expenditures for foster family care shows that approximately 87 percent of foster family care is purchased at the county level from private foster family care agencies.

Number and Names of Private Entities Providing Core Services

Below please find a link to the DHS Human Services Provider Directory. This directory provides a list of each program licensed by DHS and provides the information requested along with the last licensing inspection summary, which provides information resulting from the annual inspection of the agency. To access this information using the below link, under the service code category, please select "foster family care" and select the submit search button to display the list of licensed foster care programs. Selecting the Office of Children, Youth and Families from the program office category will result in a complete listing of public and private children and youth agencies that provide placement services in the Commonwealth.

http://www.dhs.state.pa.us/searchforprovider/humanservicesproviderdirectory/index.htm

Accreditation of Private Foster Care Entities or Organizations

Private foster care agencies are not required to be accredited, but they are required to be licensed annually by DHS.

Process to Select and Contract with Private Entities

Contracts for the delivery of child welfare services are executed by each county children and youth agency. DHS regulation provides the general parameters for entering contracts with private agencies. These requirements are included below:

55 Pa. Code § 3170.93. Contracts

- (a) Contracts between Department and counties. The Department may enter into a purchase of service agreement annually with counties who wish to provide social services under Title XX and the comprehensive annual services program plan.
- (b) Purchase of service requirements. The county shall maintain a written contract or purchase of service agreement with providers to which clients are regularly referred, or with which the county agency, the juvenile probation office, and the court have a continuing relationship. This includes program-funded facilities. The contract shall represent a legally binding agreement between the county and the provider, and shall be renewed annually.

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch The Honorable Ron Wyden

-4-

- (c) Conformity. The contract shall provide for conformity with the regulations or procedures promulgated by the Department. The contract shall specify the types of services provided by the contracting agency for the county. In the case of unit of service agencies, the rate of reimbursement for a service shall be cited. In no case shall a provider agency be retroactively awarded an increased rate of reimbursement.
- (d) Suspension or revocation of contract. A county may suspend or revoke a contract if the contractor substantially fails to meet the regulations, standards, or terms of the contract during the period when the contract is in effect.
- (e) Service contracts or agreements.
 - (1) Services purchased by contract or agreement shall bear the signature of the chairperson of the county commissioners, or a duly authorized representative, and the director or administrator of the service provider. Purchased service contracts or agreements shall also include the following:
 - (i) Contracting parties and addresses.
 - (ii) Effective date and term of the contract.
 - (iii) Contracted amount or unit price and payment schedule.
 - (iv) Provisions for contract modification, amendments, or termination.
 - (v) Prohibition against reassignment of the contract without permission of the county.
 - (vi) Work statement, including the service provider's location and hours of operation.
 - (vii) Required reports for the county and the Department.
 - (viii) Maintenance and retention of required reports, documents, and accounting books.
 - (ix) Audit rights on the records in subparagraph (viii) and inspection rights of performance by the county and the Department.
 - (x) Procurement of liability insurance.
 - (xi) Client confidentiality and right of privacy.
 - (xii) Units of service to be provided and their definitions.

- (xiii) A provision that the parties to the contract shall not discriminate against any employe, client, or other persons on account of race, color, sex, religious creed, national origin, age, or handicap.
- (2) Contracts or agreements between the county and a program-funded provider shall contain the following additional components:
 - (i) Provisions for budget modification or amendment.
 - (ii) Property title rights for fixed assets purchased or materials, plans or procedures developed through the agreement.
 - (iii) A budget and fiscal statement of how fees or costs were determined.
 - (iv) Provision for the procurement of fixed assets.
- (3) Agreements shall be reviewed by the county solicitor who may require additional components beyond the requirements outlined in paragraph (2).
- (4) A narrative description of the services to be covered by the contract shall be included in the county's annual services plan. A signed contract becomes the authorization for the expenditure of funds for services identified by the agreement. Therefore, county agency funds cannot be expended for provider expenses until a contract is signed.
- (f) Contracts with providers outside of the county and the county children and youth agency. A county or county children and youth agency may purchase services from a service provider within the jurisdiction of another county. The services shall be purchased via contract or written agreement with the provider. If the provider is a program-funded agency, the payments received for the services shall be reported as income and subtracted from the gross expenses billed to the county agency of which it is part.
- (g) Conflict of interest. The appropriate county authority shall not make any contract or agreement with a person, company, or organization in which a member of the county children and youth staff has a financial interest; nor, shall the county authority contract with members in its own staff or their immediate families, except with the clear prior written approval of the regional office.

Process Pennsylvania Uses to Inspect the Safety of Foster Care Settings

Below please find a link to the Safety Assessment and Management Process Reference Manual, dated November 27, 2012. Section III, entitled Out-of-Home Care Safety Assessment and Management, provides a detailed description of the policy and procedures used to assess and monitor the safety of children in out-of-home placement. This information is specifically located on pages 90-125 of the reference manual.

http://www.pacwrc.pitt.edu/SafetyAssessment/Safety%20Manual12.7.pdf

Instances of Abuse in a Foster Care Placement in the Last Five Years

Below is a chart that outlines the number of residential facility staff and foster parents that were identified as perpetrators of child abuse in the corresponding year. We do not have a breakdown as to whether they were public or private agency staff or foster parents.

Year	Residential Facility Staff	Foster Parents
2013	26	13
2012	18	28
2011	10	15
2010	31	23
2009	55	38

Actions Taken When an Abuse Claim is Substantiated

The county children and youth agency, or DHS (when the alleged perpetrator is an agent of the county agency) is responsible for investigating suspected child abuse and neglect. The Child Protective Services Law (CPSL) (23 Pa. C.S., Chapter 63) includes the parameters for investigation of reports of suspected child abuse.

At the onset of the investigation, a determination is made if the child or children are safe. If the agency is unable to determine if the child is safe based upon the information received, then a worker must see the child or children immediately. However, the child or children must be seen within 24 hours. This determination includes whether the child must be relocated as a result of the alleged abuse or neglect.

Upon notification that an investigation involves suspected child abuse by a school or child-care service employee, including, but not limited to, a service provider, foster parent, independent contractor or administrator, the school or child-care service, the entity must immediately implement a plan of supervision or alternative arrangement for the individual under investigation to ensure the safety of the child and other children who are in the care of the school or child-care service. This plan of supervision or alternative arrangement must be approved by the county agency or DHS and is kept on file with the agency until the investigation is completed.

The investigation is typically completed within 30 days. If the investigation cannot be completed within 30 days, the agency must document the reasons and complete the investigation within 60 days. During the investigation, the worker must interview the child, parents, alleged perpetrator and anyone who may have knowledge of the abuse. Visits to the child's home or program where the abuse occurred must take place as part of the investigation. In the case of alleged abuse in a child care setting or school, as appropriate, other children receiving services from the agency are also interviewed. Alleged abuse committed by staff or foster parents from these agencies is also referred to law enforcement officials for investigation. Joint investigations are conducted between the county agency or DHS and law enforcement officials to minimize trauma to the child. Where available, Children's Advocacy Centers are used to conduct medical

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch The Honorable Ron Wyden

-7-

exams and forensic interviews. The terms used to describe the results of the investigation are listed below:

- Founded There is a judicial adjudication that the child was abused.
- Indicated The children and youth agency determines based upon substantial evidence that abuse occurred. This conclusion is drawn based on medical evidence, the investigation or admission by the perpetrator.
- Substantiated Cases that are indicated or founded.
- Unfounded Cases where there is a lack of evidence that the child was
 abused or it was determined that the child was not abused. (An unfounded
 status does not always mean that the incident did not occur. For example,
 the child may have received an injury from being struck as alleged, but the
 injury did not meet the definition of serious physical injury.)

If you have further questions regarding this response, please contact Ms. Jennifer DeBell, Director, Office of Policy Development, at (717) 772-4141.

Sincerely,

Theodore Dallas Acting Secretary

c: Governor Tom Wolf



June 1, 2015

Senator Orin G. Hatch, Chairman United States Senate Committee on Finance Washington, DC 20510-3200

Senator Ron Wyden, Ranking Member United States Senate Committee on Finance Washington, DC 20510-3200

Dear Senator Hatch and Senator Wyden,

I am responding to your letter of April 24, 2015, requesting information about contractual partnerships between states and private entities or organizations to administer some or all of their foster care programs. In South Dakota, the Department of Social Services (DSS) contracts with 6 private not-for-profit child placement agencies for the provision of Treatment Foster Care for approximately 114 children which is approximately 20 percent of all children in foster care placed by the DSS. The case management of the majority of foster care cases in South Dakota is provided by state staff.

South Dakota's response to the information requested in your letter is enclosed. I hope this information provides a better understanding of the partnerships between the state of South Dakota and private-not-for-profit child placement agencies in the area of foster care in our state.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide input regarding this topic. Please do not hesitate to contact me if additional information or clarification is needed.

Sincerely,

Dennis Daugaard

DD:ke

cc: Becky Shipp, Health and Human Resources Policy Advisor Laura Berntsen, Senior Human Services Advisor

STATE CAPITOL • 500 EAST CAPITOL • PIERRE, SOUTH DAKOTA • 57501-5070 • 605-773-3212

SOUTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES DIVISION OF CHILD PROTECTION SERVICES

 To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

The Department of Social Services (DSS), Division of Child Protection Serivces (DCPS) contracts with six (6) private Child Placement Agencies to provide case management services for children in the custody of DSS due to child abuse and negelct.

2. What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

As of April 30, 2015, the Department of Social Services had 997 children in in placements outside their homes with 570 children or 57% placed in foster care.

The Department of Social Services has Title IV-E Agreements with four (4) South Dakota Tribes who have an additional 49 children placed through the agreements with 34 children or 69% placed in foster care.

The Department of Social Services tracks the children placed with not-for-profit child placement agencies placed by the Department and children who are Title IV-E eligible under State-Tribal Title IV-E Agreements. The Department does annual licensing visits at all child placement agencies as mandated by state statute and South Dakota Administrative Rules. During licening visits, agency personnel files and child case files are reviewed for complaince with licensning statutes and rules. There are not any for-profit child placement agencies in South Dakota offering foster care services.

Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these cores services, as well as information on whether each provider is for-profit or not-for-profit entity.

The not-for-profit child placement agencies providing foster care case management services in South Dakota include the following:

Abbott House PO Box 700 909 Court Merrill Mitchell, South Dakota 57301 Capital Area Counseling Services PO Box 148 Pierre, SD 57501

Children's Home Society PO Box 1749 Sioux Falls, SD 57101

Northeastern Mental Health Center 703 3rd Ave SE Aberdeen, SD 57401

Lutheran Social Services 705 East 41st, Suite 200 Sioux Falls, SD 57105

Black Hills Special Services COOP PO Box 218 Sturgis, SD 57785

Volunteers of America, Dakotas 1309 W. 51st St. P.O. Box 89306 Sioux Falls, SD 57109-9306

All About U Adoptions ** Primary Service is Adoption 229 E. 2nd Ave., Suite 2 Milbank, SD 57252-0408 Mailing Address: PO Box 158 Faulkton, SD 57438-0158

Bethany Christian Services of Western SD ** Primary Service is Adoption 508 Columbus St. Rapid City, SD 57701

Bethany Christian Services of Eastern SD ** Primary Service is Adoption 400 S Sycamore Ave., Suite 103-1 Sioux Falls, SD 57110

New Horizons Adoption Agency, Inc. ** Primary Service is Adoption 2500 W. 49th St., Suite 203E PO Box 89532 Sioux Falls, SD 57109-9532

Catholic Social Services ** Primary Service is Adoption 918 5th St.
Rapid City, SD 57701-3798

Catholic Family Services ** Primary Service is Adoption 523 N. Duluth Sioux Falls, SD 57104-2714 **Has multiple offices in South Dakota

NOTE: The private entities the Department of Social Services contracts with are bolded above.

There are not any for-profit child placement agencies in South Dakota

4. Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organizations and how often is accreditation renewed?

State law requires initial licensure of child placement agencies by the Department of Social Services and the license is renewable on a yearly basis providing the agency is in compliance with all licensing rules.

Three of the child placement agencies the Department of Social Services contracts with are accredited. Abbott House and Lutheran Social Services are accredited through the Council on Accreditation and Children's Home Society is accredited through Joint Commission.

5. Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as review and renew such contracts.

The Department of Social Services contracts annually with the six private entities for foster care case management services after ensuring agencies remain in compliance with licensing standards.

Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-profit providers operating in your state.

The Department of Social Services requires monthly visits in the foster home where the child resides by the child's Family Service Specialist. Documentation of each home visit is required in the Department's child welfare data system describing the care of the child, the assessment of the child's safety and discussions with the child and the foster parent. Monthly reports are also required to be submitted by the foster parents to the Family Service Specialist which provides an overview of monthly activities like school, appointments for

medical, vision, dental or mental health care, contact with the agency, visits with family and other information regarding the child's care. Random monthly calls are also made by the Family Services Specialist's supervisor to assure staff are visiting the child and the foster parents and provide information and supports as needed.

The Department also requires not-for-profit child placement agencies the department contracts with for foster care case management to complete monthly home visits and document all contacts with the child and foster family.

7. How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?

The Department of Social Services substantiated instances of abuse in foster care during the following state fiscal years.

```
SFY 2015 - 1
SFY 2014 - 3 (1 case was of a tribally licensed foster home)
SFY 2013 - 1
SYF 2012 - 0
SFY 2011 - 0
```

The Department of Social Services implemented a process to place calls to randomly selected foster families and kinship families to ask a set of questions about contact by the child's Family Service Specialist, identify areas of need and or supports needed from the Department. The calls are made by the Department's Constituent Liaison and Child Protection Services management staff. The results are documented and identified issues or areas of need are addressed.

8. Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was place by the public agency, a not-forprofit provider, or a for-profit provider?

Children are removed from the foster home pending the outcome of an out of home investigation. When an abuse claim is substantiated against a foster parent in South Dakota, the foster care license is closed as state law prevents an individual from being licensed as a foster parent if there is a substantiated abuse claim, regardless if it is a public of not-for-profit provider.



STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN'S SERVICES 436 6th AVENUE NORTH CORDEL HOLL BLOS., 7TH FLOOR NASHVILLE, TN 37243

BILL HASLAM

JAMES M. HENRY COMMISSIONER

May 28, 2015

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch Chairman U.S. Senate Committee on Finance 219 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510-6200

The Honorable Ron Wyden Ranking Member U.S. Senate Committee on Finance 219 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510-6200

Dear Chairman Hatch and Ranking Member Wyden:

The purpose of this letter is to respond to your questions regarding privatized foster care in Tennessee. On behalf of Governor Haslam, I have provided the responses below in answer to your inquiry.

Sincerely,

James M. Henry Commissioner

Overview

In addition to recruiting and retaining its own network of Level 1 foster homes, Tennessee's Department of Children's Services (DCS) relies on a network of twenty eight (28) direct contracting private providers to deliver a wide array of clinical and therapeutic services to children and youth who come into DCS care. These providers assist with supports, services, experiences and opportunities that are individualized based on the strengths and needs of each specific youth.

DCS uses a number of assessment tools, guidelines and practices to determine the placement needs of all children and youth coming into its custody needing out-of-home and therapeutic care. A child/youth may be referred to a private provider based upon the match between a provider's clinical program services and outcomes and the child/youth's individual needs. Private providers do not make placement decisions and tax status is not a factor in the placement process.

This out-of-home care includes Level 1 foster care, Levels 2 & 3 residential and congregate care and Level 4 sub-acute psychiatric care. Several of these agencies also deliver residential specialty services, including programs for developmentally delayed children, medically fragile foster care, residential Alcohol & Drug treatment and juvenile sex offender programs.

In the event that a child is placed with a private provider agency, case management and other services are delivered not only by the provider but also by a DCS Family Service Worker (FSW) who monitors and coordinates the child/youth's care.

Private Provider Network

As of May, 2015, DCS' statewide private provider network is comprised of twenty eight (28) agencies. The names of those agencies and their tax status are attached hereto as "Exhibit A".

Data snapshot as of May 18, 2015:

All children and youth in DCS custody:	8.064

Those being served by private providers (PP):	4,049 (50.2%) – of <u>all</u> youth in custody
---	--

Number of those PP youth in foster homes:	2,549	(63.0%)
Number of those PP youth in congregate care:	1,310	(32.4%)

Chi	ldren	and y	outh/	served	by	private	providers:	4
	1							

Number of those served by for-profit agencies:	1,551 (38.3%)
Number of those served by non-profit agencies:	2,498 (61.7%)

Direct-contracting private provider agencies:	28
Those agencies with for-profit status:	8
Those agencies with non-profit status:	20

DCS requires that all providers be accredited by one of the following nationally-recognized accrediting bodies: Council on Accreditation (COA); and/or, Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities (CARF); and/or, Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHCO). Accreditation cycles are typically three (3) years. In addition, all providers are subject to all applicable requirements of the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) federal statute. In Tennessee, all primary DCS contractors deliver services through "Performance-Based Contracts" (PBC). The development and implementation of DCS' PBC initiative represents an overarching plan to achieve better outcomes for those children served in out-of-home care by privately contracted providers.

The PBC model in Tennessee employs an innovative approach stressing timely permanency outcomes for children, and utilizes a payment structure that reinforces provider agencies' efforts to offer services that improve those outcomes.

The outcomes DCS measures as a result of PBC model implementation include:

- improved likelihood of permanency (reunification, adoption or guardianship);
- · a reduction in the number of care days used to achieve permanency; and,
- a reduction in instances of re-entry into DCS care.
 Internal quality control systems are used to monitor providers on a scheduled as well as ad hoc basis. These quality control systems include, but are not limited to:
- annual on-site Program Accountability Review (PAR);
- annual on-site licensing reviews;
- · monthly monitoring of incident reports;
- weekly Provider Quality Team meetings;
- weekly Foster Care Quality team meetings;
- ad hoc investigations/visits based upon reported concerns; and,
- · on-site visits by clinical team members to provide technical program assistance.

The State of Tennessee utilizes a rigorous and competitive "Request for Qualifications" (RFQ) and "Request for Proposal" (RFP) process to select, execute and renew contracts with private providers. In the Spring of 2014, the RFQ process was used to re-establish uniform qualifications for the network provider pool. In addition to meeting mandatory requirements for items such as adequate operating capital, satisfactory credit bureau ratings, proof of accreditation, etc., all providers were rated on general qualifications and experience. These rated items included the submission of detailed descriptions of program expertise, use of evidence-based clinical programming, appropriate staff qualifications, training curricula, etc. Providers which received a threshold passing score were awarded a three-year contract. The RFQ process will be repeated in 2017.

The RFP process is similar to the RFQ process except that specific types of services identified by the Department are solicited through a competitive procurement process. Any new contracts awarded through the RFP process are aligned to fall into the normal RFQ contract cycle.

Foster Care Settings

DCS policy in Tennessee is written to provide for the safety, permanency and well-being of all children placed in the foster care system. Fostering custodial children and youth is not considered a right of all persons in Tennessee, but rather a privilege for well-qualified and well-screened persons making application. Tennessee's foster care approval policy and process also applies to all private providers delivering foster care services.

All applicants must meet a set of pre-established minimum requirements, such as: documentation of residence in Tennessee for the past six (6) months; documentation of US citizenship or permanent residence, proof of financial stability, verification of being at least 21 years of age and documentation of current marital status. Applicants are also pre-screened through Tennessee's child welfare system to assure they have not been indicated for child abuse. This and any other concerning history is discussed with each applicant prior to allowing that applicant to move further in the approval process.

The evaluation process, commonly referred to as a "Home Study", begins with a six (6)-week training program known as "Parents As Tender Healers" (PATH). This training focuses on providing potential foster families with an understanding of the public child welfare system, the impact of the trauma children in

foster care experience when removed from their families and subsequently experience if moved while in foster care, effective non-corporal discipline techniques and how to understand and respect the individual cultures of the families and children who are involved in the system. All adult household members are required to complete PATH. Applicants are observed by professional trainers and DCS staff during the presentations and discussions. Homework is assigned each week as the training progresses and must be submitted in a timely manner. All concerns observed are discussed with the applicant and included in the narrative of the Home Study, assuming the applicant is allowed to continue the approval process. PATH training concludes with first aid, CPR and medication administration training. Everyone seeking to be an approved foster parent must complete this training series.

A criminal records check is conducted during the training. Any records found are discussed with the applicant and included in the narrative of the "Home Study". Records reflecting conviction of any crime against a child, domestic violence, homicide, rape or sexual assault will not permit an applicant to continue in the approval process. Applicants with unresolved felony charges must wait until resolution of those charges prior to continuing in the process. Applicants with other felony convictions may be considered after further assessment during the Home Study and documentation of a waiver from the appropriate authority within DCS.

At the conclusion of PATH training, visits to the applicant's home begin. All household members are interviewed and assessed on their understanding of the concepts presented in PATH, their personal strengths and abilities, and their ability to build and maintain lasting relationships. References are also checked, including a blood relative for each applicant.

The physical condition of the home is assessed for safety. Included in the assessment for physical safety are: adequate and safe water supply; working plumbing and sewage disposal; LAN telephone with 911 service; appropriate sleeping space with furnishings; personal space and storage for each child; and adequate security for swimming pools or other bodies of water. DCS policy also requires that medications and polsons be secure, household pets be vaccinated and weapons be stored in a secured area.

An escape plan is posted in every home in the event of a fire. Working smoke detectors, carbon-monoxide detectors and fire extinguishers are required. Foster families are expected to hold periodic fire drills.

Once approved, foster families are reassessed every two (2) years or in the event of a major change within the household, whichever occurs first. Following approval, families must maintain in-service training. If a family experiences a Child Protective Services (CPS) investigation, the circumstances and outcome of the investigation are reviewed weekly by a team in DCS Central Office. This review is facilitated by the Quality Control unit. Homes closed as a result of these reviews may neither reopen without further review by this team, nor may they transfer between the state agency and contracted providers.

Substantiated Abuse Claims in Foster Care

An allegation of abuse or neglect involving a custodial child is investigated by the Special Investigations Unit (SIU), which is a specialized team within the Office of Child Safety. Early in the investigative process, if there is a concern for the child's safety, actions are taken to remove the child from the foster home until the

investigation is completed, whether this is a DCS foster home or a provider foster home. The child is placed in another approved foster home. The home is placed in a "frozen" status, which means there will not be any children placed with this family until the Investigation is concluded. Once the investigation is completed, the findings are debriefed with the regional staff and family. If the foster parent is substantiated, then the foster home is closed and this applies to DCS foster homes as well as private provider foster homes. Another placement is made for the children that were moved from that home.

If the child is in a residential placement, the agency works with the private provider to ensure the alleged perpetrator does not have access to children until the investigation is completed. If there is a substantiation, then it is the expectation that the provider terminate the employee and that there is no more access to the children in the facility.

Following the conclusion of an SIU investigation, a debriefing occurs with provider agency staff, DCS placement staff and foster care staff to share information with everyone to ensure that the safety and treatment for the victim and any other children involved is addressed.

The actions do not differ for the type of placement.

Substantiated allegations for children in custody from FFY 2010-2014

	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014	Grand Total
Count of INVESTIGATION_ID	517	404	311	292	293	1817

Note: DCS does not have the ability to break down this data by tax status.

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES (DFPS)



INFORMATION RELATED TO FOSTER CARE PROVIDERS

Overview

In Texas, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) is responsible for investigating allegations of child abuse and neglect, and if necessary, removing children from their home to ensure their safety. DFPS is also responsible for finding the most appropriate placement for children who are removed, and for ensuring their safety and well-being while in care

When Child Protective Services (CPS), a division of DFPS, determines that a child cannot live safely at home, the next step is to determine whether or not there is an appropriate relative or close family friend who is willing and able to care for them. If not, CPS will petition a state district court, and the court can give temporary legal possession of the child(i.e. conservatorship), to CPS acting on behalf of the State of Texas. CPS temporarily places these children in foster care. Foster care settings include:

- · Foster family homes
- Foster family group homes
- Residential group care facilities

For purposes of this paper, "foster care" means contracted and regulated settings, as opposed to unregulated relative/kinship settings.

While most children who are medically fragile or suffer from a severe emotional disturbance are placed in least restrictive settings, in very limited circumstances, the child may be placed in a state hospital or state supported living center if a child's needs cannot be met by one of the above providers.

CPS, Residential Child Care Licensing, and other programs and divisions within DFPS share responsibilities for ensuring that children placed in DFPS conservatorship are safe and receive quality services.

1

Placement

Once a court has given DFPS conservatorship of a child, CPS oversees the placement of that child. CPS is guided by federal and state law to place children in the least restrictive, most appropriate foster care setting for that particular child. CPS has the responsibility to maintain that criteria for each child as long as that child is in foster care. In an effort to find the best possible placement for each child and to ease any transitions in placement while in care, DFPS has a Centralized Placement Team in place. This team helps caseworkers make the most appropriate choice for placement, as close to the child's home and community as possible.

Licensing and Regulation

In Texas, 90 percent of foster children are placed with private, non-profit, and for-profit, contracted residential providers. The other 10 percent of children are placed in foster homes directly overseen by CPS as a child placing agency. DFPS oversees the placement of children into care, the licensing and regulation of private child placing agencies, and monitors the contracts between DFPS and private foster care providers.

Residential Child Care Licensing (RCCL) is the regulatory division of DFPS responsible for protecting children in residential operations through consistent and fair enforcement of licensing laws and standards. RCCL investigates reports of abuse and neglect, as well as violations of minimum standards, administrative rules, or licensing law. RCCL may impose penalties if an operation is deficient, up to and including revocation of a permit or license. RCCL is additionally responsible for:

- Development and monitoring of statewide rules and minimum standards;
- Processing applications and issuing permits to operations;
- Inspecting operations for compliance;
- Overseeing the Licensed Administrator's program;
- Providing technical assistance to residential child-care operations, to help them improve and meet or exceed minimum standards; and
- Random inspections of foster homes and sampling of records kept by child-placing agencies.

Contract monitoring

The CPS Residential Contracts division awards and manages contracts for 24-hour residential childcare facilities that provide substitute care to children in DFPS conservatorship. Through these contracts, DFPS establishes the qualifications, standards, services, expectations, and outcomes for 24-hour childcare facilities and child-placing agencies across the state. Residential contract employees work with CPS staff, RCCL staff, and a third-party service level system contractor to ensure compliance and oversight. Residential contract managers are regionally-

based CPS staff and serve as liaisons between CPS field staff and providers. They are responsible for assessing, monitoring, and managing residential contracts.

To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

In Texas, the state is responsible for and oversees case management services for every child in foster care. A CPS Conservatorship caseworker works with the residential provider to develop, monitor, and maintain a child's plan of service. The child's plan of service identifies any support services that the caregiver must receive to meet the child's needs, and (within the limits of available resources) ensure that the caregiver receives those services. The caseworker also encourages the caregiver to participate as a team member in planning for, delivering services to, and evaluating the progress of the child as well as attend and participate in court hearings and permanency planning meetings.

Texas requires that Conservatorship caseworkers make monthly contact with the child, with the majority of the visits taking place in the home where the child is residing. These visits are focused on ensuring the safety and well-being of the child, and to work toward obtaining permanency for the child.

Both during and after a visit with a child or family, the worker must assess:

- the child's progress and adjustment to substitute care;
- the child's interaction with the caregiver;
- · the safety of the home environment for the child; and
- · the child's ability to seek help if necessary.

During these visits, the caseworker also discusses with the caregiver specific concerns that the caregiver may express about the child's care, such as the child's relationship with the caregiver's family, changes in the composition or functioning of the caregiver's family, or issues with DFPS policies. The caseworker is focused on and responsible for helping the caregiver find ways to manage the child's behavior, assess the caregiver's ability to respond to and meet the child's needs, assess the caregiver's need for services to support the placement and provide any follow-up support services that are requested or needed. The caseworker will also identify any follow-up support services that may be needed.

Over the course of a child's placement with a foster care provider, the child's caseworker must ensure that the caregiver has up-to-date information about the child, including access to their education records, medical and developmental history. The caseworker is also responsible for the

initial permanency court report, subsequent permanency court reports, placement court reports, and any other documents that relate to the well-being of the child.

Foster Care Redesign

Foster Care Redesign (FCR) is a new way of providing foster care services that relies on a community-based, shared-decision making model, by which the state enters into a performance-based contract with one Single Source Continuum Contractor (SSCC). The SSCC must ensure the full continuum of foster care services to children in paid foster care and their families in a designated geographic area of the state. The guiding principles of foster care redesign are:

- · Above all, children and youth are safe from abuse and neglect in foster care;
- · Keep children and youth closer to home and connected to their communities and siblings;
- · Improve the quality of care and outcomes; and
- · Reduce the number of times children move in foster care.

While the SSCC matches and proposes placements for the child, participates in the joint development of a single plan of service, and coordinates services for the children and families, DFPS maintains oversight of child's case management and has final approval over all recommendations made by the SSCC.

Currently Texas is piloting FCR through one SSCC contract – ACH Child and Family Services. ACH is a not-for-profit agency that has been serving the Fort Worth area since 1915. The FCR contract with ACH serves a seven-county contract in north Texas. The initial performance measures for ACH are positive and point toward FCR meeting its goals, however these outcomes are still early and the state will continue to monitor the progress of Redesign and ACH. DFPS will be releasing a Request for Proposal (RFP) for another SSCC in an additional catchment area over the next biennium. The RFP will be open to Texas licensed for-profit and non-profit entities. Information obtained through evaluation of the first two SSCC's will be used to inform model modification as a part of the statewide roll-out of Foster Care Redesign.

STAR Health

In 2008, the Texas Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC), the umbrella agency over DFPS, worked with the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) to implement STAR Health as a medical care delivery system for children in state conservatorship. STAR Health serves children as soon as they enter state conservatorship and assists with case management decisions for foster children from a health care perspective. , Continuity of care is an ongoing challenge for foster children since they are a high-risk population with greater medical and behavioral health care needs than most children in Medicaid and continually changing circumstances...

HHSC administers the program under a contract with a single statewide managed care organization. STAR Health clients receive medical, dental, vision, and behavioral health benefits, including unlimited prescriptions. The program includes access to an electronic health record called the Health Passport, which contains a history of each child's demographics, doctor visits, immunizations, prescriptions, and other pertinent health-related information. The program also includes a 7-days-per-week, 24-hours-per-day nurse hotline for caregivers and DFPS caseworkers.

In 2010, the program began training and certifying behavioral health providers in Trauma Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (TF-CBT) and training in trauma-informed care was made available to all caregivers and caseworkers to effectively manage behavior issues that can destabilize children's health status and foster family placement and to promote healing from trauma associated with abuse or neglect.

What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, notfor-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

DFPS places 100 percent of the children in the conservatorship of the state. The chart below provides information for child care facilities related to total capacity, broken down by public, non-profit, and for-profit. The majority of the State's residential child care operations are operated by non-profit organizations.

	Residential Child Care Operations						
Fiscal Year	Number of Operations	Non-Profit Organization	For-Profit	Public	Total Capacity		
FY12	711	593	107	11	50,140		
FY13	697	576	110	11	48,684		
FY14	711	589	111	11	47,819		

The chart above describes total licensed capacity and does not necessarily equate to "filled" beds.

Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.

Please see attached documents "RCC Operations Fiscal Year 2012-2014" and "CPS-CPA Operations Fiscal Year 2012-2014."

Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

Texas requires that all foster care providers — non-profit and for-profit — meet state standards. The state child care licensing law sets guidelines for what must be included in the standards. The standards are comprehensively reviewed through a public process every six years and entities are evaluated for compliance at least once per year. Inspections may occur more often depending on how well the operation is meeting the required standards. In addition, standards can be updated at any time in order to comply with Legislative direction and evolving best practices. For example, in 2014 regulation was added to increase the requirements to verify a foster home, which increased the number of required, unannounced foster home visits by the Child Placing Agency. Regulation was also added to enhance the care of children with primary medical needs.

In the Foster Care Redesign Model, the Single Source Continuum Contract is awarded through a competitive Request for Proposal (RFP) process, which awards a contract based on the merits of the proposal. DFPS awards preference in the evaluation of proposals to those that are accredited or certify that they will become accredited within 36 months of award. Accreditation is considered and preferred under the Foster Care Redesign model. Accreditation is preferred through the Council of Accreditation (COA) or other relevant accrediting body. COA accreditation is renewed every four years following a self-study and an on-site peer review.

Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

Licensing of Residential Providers

Residential providers in Texas must be licensed by RCCL, which is done through a formal screening process. A formal Provider Enrollment solicitation is used to screen private entities who apply to serve Texas children who are in foster care. The screening process considers the following:

- ability to supply the solicited services and comply with contract requirements;
- licensing history;
- fiscal resources;
- · readiness to pay the required pass-through amounts to foster parents;
- · criminal and abuse background checks;
- facility physical plant review;
- Executive staff interviews;
- past business history that includes practices and conduct of the Applicant;
- organizational history;
- · compliance history of governmental contracts;

- history of applying to residential child-care solicitations;
- · geographical limitations in serving children in foster care;
- ability to meet the specific needs of the child population that the Applicant is seeking to serve and the Applicant's preparedness to provide the services;
- composition and organizational structure including the Board of Directors depicting lines of authority;
- · professional staffing plan;
- · Executive's experience with federal or state programs;
- · Executive's resumes and professional licenses; and
- Key management staff turn-over rate.

CPS Residential Contracts also screens the Child Placement Agency. Prior to contract award, new residential provider operations and processes are assessed for their ability to monitor foster families.

Foster Home Verification

While residential providers must be licensed by DFPS, individual foster family homes under the umbrella of a provider organization are "verified". This means the child placing agency (CPA) is responsible for oversight of individual foster homes verified under the CPA license. RCCL monitors and inspects the CPA and conducts investigations on the foster homes when abuse or neglect is reported. If issues are found in a foster home, the CPA is responsible for ensuring the necessary corrections are made to reduce risk to children placed in the individual home. This could include additional training for the foster parent; the CPA making more visits to the home; the CPA changing the gender, ages, or behaviors of children placed in the home; or the CPA could decide to no longer verify the home.

In September 2014, RCCL amended their rules to strengthen the foster home verification process. In addition, RCCL amended rules to increase the CPA's overview of the foster homes. These improved rules went into effect in Fall 2014, and require the following:

- Interview all adult children of prospective foster parents, as well as two new additional
 interviews with neighbors, school personnel, clergy, or other member of the foster
 parents' community who can provide a description of their suitability to provide care for
 children;
- Evaluate prospective foster parents' significant relationships and assessing their financial status to strengthen home screenings;
- Require CPAs to provide additional information regarding law enforcement services calls: and
- Educate CPAs and prospective foster parents on disciplinary methods.

24-Hour Residential Childcare Contract Monitoring

In Fiscal Year 2015, DFPS began an initiative to improve contracting and monitoring efforts with a focus on provider quality. This effort has resulted in the following milestones thus far:

- An updated DFPS contract monitoring tool. DFPS overhauled its previous tool, which
 monitored program requirements through a compliance model. Staff is now performing
 qualitative evaluations and analyzing fiscal and programmatic data to better evaluate the
 safety and well-being of children in care and determine the soundness of a residential
 provider's fiscal operations.
- The creation of a customized training for the contract monitoring tool. Customized training was created and provided to DFPS Residential Childcare Contracting staff that incorporated findings and recommendations to improve contractor operations.
- Began the first phase of implementation for the tool. The use of predictive analytics to
 monitor residential childcare contractors will help the agency better focus on safety and
 also analyze a provider's overall operational health.

DFPS is also collaborating with residential childcare providers to establish a work plan that focuses on the second phase of implementing the new contract monitoring tool: continuous quality improvement for contracting activities, outcome based performance measure, and a provider scorecard to promote transparency. DFPS' approach is to partner with the providers to promote the purpose of performance-based contracts and share the results of the scorecards. Scorecards will be used to assist DFPS with implementing enhanced targeted monitoring, which will allow for a more efficient and effective tiered structure of supports and interventions. Scorecards will also be used to help identify high risk providers and to provide an updated Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) process that will allow all providers to have access to data and methods to improve processes. The CQI process for providers who are not deemed high-risk will bring with it graduated levels of support from the community of care, such as DFPS programs and other high-performing providers.

Renewal

Prior to renewing residential contracts, a review of contractor performance is completed that is comprised of the following components:

- · data from outcome and output measures;
- licensing standards including incidents of abuse or neglect;
- · history of the enforcement of contract remedies;
- · complaints concerning contractors' performance overall; and
- compliance with residential child-care contract terms and conditions.

Contractors whose performance is less than acceptable are reviewed through a formal written and oral process by which risk indicators such as licensing requirements or contracting outcomes are presented; contract renewals are based on these indicators.

DFPS conducts a bi-weekly meeting whereby contractors' performance with licensing and contracting requirements are reviewed and examined by a group of interdisciplinary professionals comprised of legal, licensing, program and contracts. During these meetings, professionals collaborate regarding incidents and the possibility of increased risk to children who are placed with private providers. As a result of these meetings, contractors may undergo contract remedies including the suspension of future placements and contract termination.

Foster Care Redesign

For the Foster Care Redesign Model, the state releases a Request for Proposal (RFP) for a Single Source Continuum Contractor in a designated geographic area of the state. Respondents design and submit proposals back by the due date. The Texas Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) oversees the procurement process. Initially all proposals are reviewed to ensure that they meet the minimum qualifications as advertised in the RFP; if they do not, then they are screened out prior to evaluation. During the evaluation process, teams of individuals independently review and score each proposal based on their particular area of expertise using very thorough and objective metrics. HHSC procurement staff processes scores and further review outliers, which are reconciled if possible. Once final scores are tabulated, a tentative award is made. Negotiations commence and once agreement on the terms and conditions of the contract is reached, a final SSCC Contract award is made.

SSCC contracts are monitored using a team approach and focus on performance, administrative, and financial components. The contract is a six year contract and re-procured using the competitive RFP process.

Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-public providers operating in your state.

Safety of children is the State's first priority and therefore it is the highest priority in inspecting foster care settings. Both CPS and RCCL have a role in foster care inspections.

CPS

CPS Conservatorship caseworkers are required to have a face-to-face visit with children at least monthly, no matter whether they are placed under non-profit or for-profit arrangements. During those visits, caseworkers are discussing the child's safety, progress towards permanency, and visually inspecting the child's placement for any concerns. For those children placed outside of

their legal region, there is an additional secondary local caseworker assigned who meets the face-to-face requirement and reports back to the child's assigned caseworker. Any and all concerns noted from those visits are reported back to the primary caseworker and/or their chain of command. Additionally, any immediate or imminent safety concerns are promptly called in to the state's centralized, 24-hour abuse and neglect hotline. A report to the hotline may result in a new, formal investigation. Issues are also addressed with the placement at the time of the visit. Furthermore, when concerns are noted regarding a facility or the quality of care, then safety checks are implemented to ensure the safety and well-being of the children. Safety checks consist of one or more caseworkers going out to complete face-to-face interviews with all DFPS children placed within that home/operation/facility. During these visits, the worker is ensuring that the:

- children are safe,
- · facility is safe;
- children's basic needs are met (appropriate clothing, shelter, food, etc.);
- · appropriate supervision is being provided; and
- treatment needs are being met.

Any urgent matter needing immediate attention is addressed promptly prior to the worker leaving that visit. If a residential childcare provider or foster family appears to be struggling with meeting the ongoing needs of children in conservatorship, an interdisciplinary team consisting of Child Protective Services, Residential Contracts, and Residential Child Care Licensing meets to discuss possible assistance, action, and/or intervention measures that may need to be implemented.

RCCL

Public, not-for-profit, and for-profit providers are treated the same in Texas. Once an entity is licensed, Texas law requires the operation be inspected by the Residential Child-Care Inspector at least once per year based on RCCL rules. The frequency of inspections is based on how the operation complies with the minimum rule requirements. In addition, Residential Child-Care Licensing conducts; investigations of any abuse, neglect, or exploitation concerns; investigations related to minimum rule requirements; and conducts a sample visit to 25 percent of all foster homes each year. Through inspection, investigation, and sampling visits, Residential Child-Care Licensing makes determinations on the safety of children in the licensed entity.

How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for profit providers, and public providers?

There have been 295 instances where abuse or neglect has been substantiated in foster care in the last five years. The lowest year was 2010 with 40 cases and the highest year was 2014 with 76 cases. In a year, there are approximately 31,000 children in foster care. CCL investigates approximately 2,000 allegations of abuse or neglect in foster care operations.

Fiscal Year	For-Profits	Non-Profit	Public Agency	Total
2010	1	32	7	40
2011	7	44	7	58
2012	12	55	6	73
2013	6	37	5	48
2014	13	55	8	76
Total for all Fiscal Years Combined	39	223	33	295

Note: CPS as a CPA ("public agency" in the chart above) takes basic level children. Children needing treatment services and a higher level of need are placed in for-profit or non-profit agencies.

Each and every case where abuse or neglect is substantiated is of particular concern, however, many of the substantiated cases are not serious injuries. Examples of substantiated cases include: over-discipline, corporal punishment, and inadequate supervision. Every substantiated case results in a review by RCCL and CPS with appropriate action taken to maintain child safety.

Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

DFPS takes very seriously the oversight and regulation of all contracted residential child care providers. Through improved safety rules and regulation, ongoing dialogue with providers, and coordination and communication between licensing, contracts and placement - DFPS strives to provide the best, highest quality care for all children in foster care.

For this reason, public, not-for-profit, and for-profit providers are treated the same in Texas. When an abuse claim is substantiated in a licensed operation or foster home, a finding is made against the individual involved in the abuse. If the abuse occurred in a foster home, the children placed in the home are typically removed from the home and/or the child placing agency closes the foster home. If the abuse occurs at an operation, then the perpetrator is taken off duty pending due process or is terminated. Residential Child-Care Licensing will cite a provider for a

standards violations. To determine what steps should be taken next, RCCL evaluates risks related to this incident, the operation's overall compliance history, and overall risks to children. DFPS weighs the following options on a case-by-case basis:

- take no action if the incident seems to be an anomaly and the provider has taken steps to ameliorate the issue;
- · increase inspections at the operation;
- · request the operation voluntarily work on making changes based on identified risks;
- place the operation on a corrective action, which involves monthly inspections and the operation meeting conditions that are above minimum rule requirements; or
- take adverse action, which can include revoking an operation's license.

Note: Serious instances of confirmed abuse and neglect cases resulted in licensure revocation. There has been one licensure revocation in the last five years.

Foster Care Collaboration

Also of note, DFPS works closely with partner organization such as Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA), Child Advocacy Centers (CACs), Attorneys ad Litem, and multiple faith-based organizations to protect and serve children in the foster care system. Collaboration with with these and other child and family focused organizations allows for churches and communities to offer a variety of service to enhance safety, permanency and well-being outcomes for children.

DFPS encourages and provides opportunities for contracted providers to collaborate through network building and best practice sharing.

With the child population in Texas currently over 7 million and growing, the State of Texas is committed to partnership, transparency and accountability so that the child protection community may continue to improve.



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT Governor

SPENCER J. COX

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ANN SILVERBERG WILLIAMSON

MARK L. BRASHER

LANA STOHL Deputy Director

May 27, 2015

To: United States Senate Finance Committee

RE: Letter to Governors on Private Foster Care

In response to the request from the Senate Finance Committee, the State of Utah, Department of Human Services (DHS), Division of Child and Family Services (DCFS) issues the following:

Background

Utah bases its foster care evaluation model on a continuum with seven levels of care. As the levels of care progress, they are designed to provide more intensive services and supervision than the prior level of care. An assessment is completed for each child in foster care and the result of the assessment is a recommendation for a level meeting the child's needs. Services provided by direct care staff and/or out-of-home caregivers at each level are defined by the needs of the children being served.

The first three levels of care (Level I, Level II, and Level III) are most frequently provided in foster family homes licensed by the State of Utah, DHS, Office of Licensing (OL), and supervised by DCFS. Children with a need for higher intensity services and/or with a higher level of behavioral needs are most often provided care and supervision services through a private provider with whom the state contracts (Levels IV, V, or VI). There is some flexibility built into the model that permits a higher level of care to be achieved when a child is in a placement that would traditionally rate a lower level of care on the continuum, but has additional services in place to reach the intensity of services needed for that child. Utah's Level VII care is provided in an institution, such as a psychiatric hospital or the Utah State Hospital.

For all levels of care, DCFS caseworkers provide oversight and case management services for children in their placement. As of May 1, 2015 Utah's data shows approximately 25 percent of children in care are placed with private providers in Levels IV, V, and VI. Utah can provide further historical or cumulative data regarding foster care placement levels upon request.

To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

Utah does not utilize private entities to provide case management services. Casework activities and oversight of foster care placements are provided through staff employed through DCFS, with the exception of a small cohort of high-need mental health cases. For these welfare cases, DCFS contracts with a local county mental health authority such as Wasatch Mental Health Services. Wasatch Mental Health Services is a public agency, and as of May 1, 2015, manages 26 cases, which is 0.9 percent of the total number of foster care cases in Utah. Wasatch Mental Health case managers utilize the State Automated Child Welfare Information System (SACWIS) for case management and are subject to the same performance requirements as DCFS casework staff.

What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

The public agency, DCFS, makes all (100 percent) placement decisions of children in foster care. Private agencies in Utah may make a placement recommendation to the state; however, the placement decision authority ultimately rests with DCFS.

Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.

Requested information is contained in the attached spreadsheet (Attachment 1). Services provided by private entities are limited to care, supervision, and treatment of children in foster care. None of the entities provide case management services.

Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

Utah does not require accreditation; however, agencies may choose to become accredited on their own through an accreditation entity.

Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

DCFS develops a scope of work and a Request for Proposals (RFP) specific to the services we require for each level of care (Levels IV, V, and VI). The RFP is issued and private agencies have the opportunity to apply. When applications are received through the state purchasing process, they are scored according to the criteria in the RFP. Proposals from a private entity that meet requirements of the RFP are offered a contract.

Contracts are issued on a five-year cycle. The process is ongoing and providers must apply for a new contract at each contract cycle. The process to apply for a contract is

outlined on the DCFS website located at the following link: http://dcfs.utah.gov/pdf/HowtocontractwithDCFS.pdf. Attached is a sample of our last RFP for Level IV services that contains the scope of work and the criteria and process by which a private entity would apply for a contract for foster care services (Attachment 2).

Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-public providers operating in your state.

For state licensed and supervised foster homes (Levels I, II, and III), initial safety inspections of foster care homes is completed by the state Office of Licensing (OL) at the time of licensure. A copy of the OL Administrative Rule (R501-12) outlining foster home requirements can be found at the following link: <u>Human Services</u>, <u>Administrative Services</u>, <a href="Licensed actions Jicensed and supervised homes for Levels I, II, and III must renew their foster license on an annual basis. In addition to the OL initial and renewal processes, DCFS employees are dedicated to support and monitor quality care for children placed in foster homes. These staff, known as Resource Family Consultants (RFCs), are familiar with the homes they support to make informed placement decisions. RFCs are also required to make monthly contact with each foster home, as well as site visits to each foster home a minimum of once every six months. RFCs are experts in OL rule and DCFS safety requirements, and are therefore able to identify and report any problems they observe. RFCs also provide support to caseworkers for individual cases and follow up with visits to homes if safety is uncertain.

Private entities with family-based placements (Level IV) must meet comprehensive OL requirements of a child placing agency found in the OL General Provisions (R501-1), Core Rules (R501-2), and Foster Care Services (R501-12), which can be accessed here: Human Services, Administration, Administrative Services, LICENSING.

However, once the private entity has achieved status with OL as a child placing agency, they may oversee and "certify" their own family-based foster homes. The child placing agency is required to ensure that their "certified homes" meet OL requirements for foster homes. At irregular intervals, OL completes on-site reviews of a random sample of homes certified through the child placing agency to ensure they are in compliance with OL rules. OL completes a regular audit of the files kept by child placing agencies, and if discrepancies or errors are noted, OL may require on-site visits to foster homes overseen by the agency as a part of the audit as well.

Homes certified through child placing agencies do not have the direct state oversight and training that licensed foster homes have, despite taking on placements requiring higher levels of care. This can lead to inconsistency in training and oversight as it becomes largely incumbent upon the private entity to create and self-monitor their programs. In addition, private child placing agencies may have a financial incentive to certify homes that could create a conflict of interest in their quality assurance. Because of these issues, DHS is evaluating if this service delivery method that allows child placing agencies to certify their own foster homes should be changed.

In addition to the OL process, DCFS has an internal Audit Team that conducts annual audits of private entities that provide Level IV, V, and VI foster care services and Level V and IV residential treatment programs through contracts with DCFS. The DCFS audit team reviews personnel files maintained by the private entity and conducts interviews with foster parents and staff to verify they have completed all training requirements outlined in their DCFS contract. The audit also ensures that all foster parents and individuals over age 18 in the home and all residential treatment staff have the required, approved background screenings from OL. Furthermore, the audit team randomly selects and interviews children placed in these homes or facilities about issues regarding safety, treatment services, and relationships with foster parents and/or other staff. Two to four "certified" foster homes are randomly selected for inspection and the audit team inspects all residential treatment facilities to ensure they meet health and safety elements outlined by OL and in the contract with DCFS.

In accordance with federal ASFA and CFSR requirements, Utah requires caseworkers to complete a minimum monthly face-to-face visit with each child in foster care in their placement. The monthly visit must include a private conversation with each child to address safety and other issues. The requirement is built into the SACWIS system and an "action item" is sent to the caseworker each month for each child they oversee in foster care. The caseworker must enter an activity log with details of their visit with the child. According to the Utah quantitative review process, the performance rate is more than 96 percent annually for successful visitation of children in their foster care placements. If any safety concerns are identified by the child or caseworker during the caseworker's visit, the caseworker reports the safety concerns for investigation to Child Protective Services (CPS) Intake.

How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?

Over the past five years, Utah has served 23,196 children in foster care, and has had 89 substantiated instances of abuse of children in care. Please refer to Attachment 3 for a breakdown of instances of abuse, number of perpetrators, and number of victims for each level of care. The information was obtained for federal fiscal years 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 where the child victim was in foster care and the relationship to the victim was recorded as foster mother, foster father, or residential treatment staff. The data also includes cases that were substantiated against licensed kinship providers. Some of the entities with substantiated cases no longer have existing contracts with DCFS. Utah can

May 27, 2015 Page 5

provide further information regarding substantiated instances of abuse in foster care placements if needed.

Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was place by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

The Office of Services Review (OSR), Related Parties Investigations Team is the state agency assigned to investigate claims of abuse or neglect against a child in an out of home placement. The agency is housed within DHS, but is distinct from DCFS and is not overseen by DCFS. Since DCFS makes all placement decisions for children in out of home care, the actions taken to address a substantiated claim do not differ between levels of care.

If an allegation of abuse or neglect is substantiated in an out of home placement, OSR notifies the director of the DCFS region that oversees the placement of the child, informs DCFS of the identity of the perpetrator and relays any further safety concerns. Based upon the identity of the perpetrator and nature of other case-related details, OSR may also notify OL, the DCFS audit team, high-level administrators of DCFS, or the executive director of DHS to follow-up with concerns, recommendations, or a further assessment of the provider.

When OL is notified by OSR of a substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect against a provider, OL will assess if the provider still meets the background screening requirements as well as conduct an assessment of whether the provider is still in compliance with OL rule. OL will determine if action is needed against the license of the provider such as corrective action or revocation of the license.

When the DCFS audit team is made aware of a substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect against a private provider, the audit team will discuss the substantiated claim with DCFS administration and determine whether or not DCFS will continue placing children in the facility. If DCFS decides to discontinue placing children with the provider, the provider is contacted (phone and email) and informed of DCFS's decision. Each DCFS region is also notified (phone and email) of the decision. DCFS is in the process of developing a tracking system housed within the SACWIS system to "flag" the provider so that DCFS will make no further placements with a provider that has a substantiated case of abuse or neglect. This will ensure that if the foster parent attempts to change to another provider entity, they will not be able to continue to provide foster care services.



STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND HEALTH SERVICES
CHILDREN'S ADMINISTRATION
PO Box 45040 • Olympia WA • 98504-5710

May 27, 2015

Becky Shipp Health and Human Resources Policy Advisor Senate Finance Committee United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510-6200

Dear Ms. Shipp:

Governor Inslee has requested that I respond to the request by Senators Hatch and Wyden in their April 24, 2015 letter for information regarding Washington State's foster care system. The Senators asked eight questions which will be answered in order below.

1. To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g. placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

Children's Administration (CA) within the Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS)contracts with private agencies to provide individual and congregate placement of dependent children in the care and custody of the department. These private child placing agencies (CPAs) provide a variety of placement services depending on the individual child's need for supervision and services. CPAs certify family foster homes licensed by the CPA for children placed into care because their own home is not safe. These family foster homes provide supervision, care, and protection. Children placed in these homes attend school, participate in normal childhood activities, have visits with their own parents and may participate in services, provided through CA, with the goal of reunifying with their parents. CPAs may offer foster-to-adopt homes for children with a concurrent plan of reunification and adoption. Children placed in these family foster homes receive case management services through CA and do not require any additional case management services. CA also serves children with behaviors that pose a threat to themselves or others. They often face mental health, developmentally disabling, and other challenges that result in behaviors requiring on-site intensive case management. CPAs providing this level of care establish child-specific contracts with CA that include agreement to provide a higher level of supervision, behavioral management, treatment and other services known through the department as behavioral rehabilitation services (BRS). While the CPA provides the on-site intensive case management, CA maintains case management of these dependent children, and provides reports to court on the child's progress and permanent plan. CA has contracts with CPAs located within Washington State and with CPAs operating out-of-state.

2. What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

Senate Finance Committee May 27, 2015 Page 2

The State of Washington's database only includes children in the care and custody of the Department of Social and Health Services, and does not include licensed child-placing agencies who arrange private placements not involving dependent children. We do utilize private agencies for placement purposes, but the Department maintains case management and other legal responsibilities for these children and youth. The State of Washington does not contract for privatized child welfare case management.

3. Please provide the names and numbers of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.



4. <u>Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?</u>

The Department does not require that its child placing agencies be accredited although some of them are.

5. <u>Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities as well as to review and renew such contracts.</u>

Children's Administration recruits and licenses most of the foster homes it uses; it contracts with licensed Child Placing Agencies to increase the number of placement resources for the children in the state's care and custody. Children's Administration does not contract with Child Placing Agencies to perform case management for children in our care and custody; however, we do contract with a CPA for the purposes of utilizing the foster home placement. Once a CPA is licensed, the decision is made whether or not to contract with that agency based on the Department's need for foster homes and placement resources in that geographic area. CPAs are monitored on a regular basis and contracts are renewed annually.

Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which
children are placed and the extent to which the process differs for public, non-profit and for profit
providers operating in your state.

The minimum licensing requirements are identical for all foster homes, whether they are directly licensed by the State of Washington, or whether they are a private agency provider, either profit or non-profit. Families being directly licensed by the Division of Licensed Resources (DLR) in the Children's Administration will be assessed by a DLR worker. This assessment includes comprehensive interviews of all family members and others living in the household, facility inspections, completion of background checks (criminal history, child abuse registry, and other negative actions, such as licensing revocations), etc.

Families seeking licensure by a private agency will be assessed by a licensor employed by the private agency, and that agency attests to the department that the family meets the minimum licensing requirements. DLR completes the background checks and clears individuals for the private agencies. DLR issues a foster family license based on the certification by the private agency. The family may only remain licensed under the continued supervision of that private agency.

Senate Finance Committee May 27, 2015 Page 3

After the family is licensed, the CA social worker for the child makes monthly visits with the child to assess health and safety. If the child is placed in a private agency home that is contracted as a child-placing agency with the Department, the child-placing agency would be responsible to make visits to the home to assess health and safety, in addition to the DSHS worker's visit.

7. How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last 5 years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by public providers, for profit providers and nonprofit providers?

There have been 224 intakes resulting in at least one founded finding between January 1, 2010 and December 31, 2014. Again, we do not contract for privatized case management of children in the Department's placement and care authority. Of these founded findings, 138 of the children were placed in foster homes directly licensed by the Department, 70 were placed in private non-profit agencies, and 16 were placed in private for-profit agencies.

8. Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement. Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a nonprofit provider or for profit provider?

The Division of Licensed Resources completes all child abuse or neglect investigations in foster care, regardless whether the home is licensed directly by DSHS, or certified through a private agency provider. When there is a substantiation of child abuse or neglect, licensing action (revocation of the license) is nearly always taken. DLR initiates the action for revocation of the license, regardless of the supervising agency or the profit/non-profit status. In addition to following through with the CAPTA process, a home is not considered revoked until the 28-day time period for the family to request an administrative hearing has passed, or the hearing was held and the Department's actions upheld. If there was a child remaining in the home pending the outcome of a revocation, there would typically be a recommendation to remove the child from the placement. For the child in the care and custody of the Department to remain, shared decision-making with upper management would be required. Because the child welfare system is not privatized in the State of Washington, all placements of children in the Department's custody are managed by public child welfare.

Please contact me if you require further information or explanation about the information contained.

Sincerely,

Jennifer A. Strus, Assistant Secretary Children's Administration



STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES

Earl Ray Tomblin Governor Bureau for Children and Families Commissioner's Office 350 Capitol Street, Room 730 Charleston, West Virginia 25301-3711 Telephone: (304) 558-0628 Fax: (304) 558-4194

Karen L. Bowling Cabinet Secretary

May 22, 2015

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch Chairman, Committee on Finance United States Senate Washington, DC 20510-6200

The Honorable Ron Wyden Ranking Member, Committee on Finance United States Senate Washington, DC 20510-6200

Dear Senators Hatch and Wyden:

Please find the following to be West Virginia's response to your request for information in regards to the public-private partnerships within the foster care system.

Question 1: To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

In West Virginia, the Department of Health and Human Resources (otherwise known as DHHR) contracts with private non-profit and for-profit agencies to provide certain foster care case management services. As of April 30, 2015,1,013 children were placed in therapeutic foster care agency foster homes out of a total of 4,522 children in out-of-home placement.

These private agencies are responsible for case management; however, the DHHR is still required to provide case management services to the children and families. The private agencies are required to visit the child in their foster home twice a month, whereas the DHHR social worker is required to see the child once every three months in the foster home. Both the DHHR social worker and the private agency are required members of the Multidisciplinary Treatment Team (MDT) for the cases of the children who are placed with them. Additionally, the private agencies are required to

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch The Honorable Ron Wyden May 22, 2015 Page 2

provide monthly reports to the DHHR social worker on the status of the child and their current placement.

Question 2: What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

West Virginia does not have private foster care. All placements are made by the DHHR, which is the public child welfare agency. We are solely responsible for the removal and placement of children who are in State custody. We contract with private agencies only to provide foster care and foster care case management services, but all of the children are in the custody of the State and the DHHR. The DHHR remains fully responsible for the care of the children and oversees the services provided by the contracted not-for-profit and for-profit agencies.

Question 3: Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.

In West Virginia, there are ten (10) agencies with whom the DHHR contracts to provide foster care services to the children in State custody. These agencies are:

National Youth Advocate Program	(not-for-profit)
2. NECCO	(for-profit)
3. The Potomac Center	(not-for-profit)
Genesis Youth Crisis Center	(not-for-profit)
5. KVC West Virginia	(not-for-profit)
6. Pressley-Ridge	(not-for-profit)
7. B&T/ResCare	(for-profit)
Children's Home Society	(not-for-profit)
9. Burlington United Methodist Family Services	(not-for-profit)
10. Try-Again Homes	(not-for-profit)

Question 4: Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

West Virginia does not require the foster care agencies to be accredited. Several of the agencies are accredited, however, through COA, and Burlington United Methodist Family Services is accredited by Eagle Accreditation Commission.

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch The Honorable Ron Wyden May 22, 2015 Page 3

Question 5: Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

Specialized Foster Care Contracts are limited to those agencies that apply to provide specialized foster care services and are granted a child placing license by the Bureau's licensing staff. Contracts are reviewed annually and updated as needed. Content of the contracts is determined with input from program, field, finance, administrative, and provider staff. Contracts are null and void upon the loss of a child placing license.

Question 6: Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-profit providers operating in your state.

The licensing process in West Virginia is the same for all providers. All providers go through the Certificate of Need (CON) process. This is a very long and detailed process. It is outlined and explained in detail on this website: http://www.hca.wv.gov/certificateofneed/Pages/default.aspx.

Depending on the service to be provided, a provider may be able to go through the Summary Review Process and would not be required to go through the entire CON process. To be eligible for the Summary Review, the provider must meet the requirements laid forth in West Virginia Statute 49-7-30 found at: http://www.legis.state.wv.us/WVCODE/ChapterEntire.cfm?chap=49&art=7§ion=30#07.

Question 7: How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?

In the past five (5) years, there have been 63 cases of substantiated abuse in a foster care in placement in West Virginia. Since the DHHR is the only child welfare provider in the state, all of these children were placed by the state agency.

Question 8: Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out-of-home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch The Honorable Ron Wyden May 22, 2015 Page 4

Once an investigation on an out-of-home placement is completed, the investigating worker will prepare a copy of the Institutional Investigation Unit (IIU) / Child Protective Services (CPS) Summary Report, save it within the Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System (SACWIS), and send the report and all pertinent information to the IIU Supervisor. The IIU Supervisor will then review and approve the investigation and report as indicated. Upon supervisory approval, the investigating worker will then provide a copy of the IIU/CPS Summary Report to the agency (if the home is through one of the specialized foster care agencies) or to the Regional Homefinding Supervisor. That worker will also notify the Regional Program Manager for Social Services, the Community Services Manager, and the Residential Licensing Specialist (if applicable) by e-mail of the findings of the investigation.

The Regional Homefinding Supervisor or Residential Licensing Specialist will then determine policy or licensing violations based on the information provided within the "IIU/CPS Summary Report." If non-compliance is identified in group residential facilities or specialized foster care agencies, the Residential Child Care Licensing Specialist will direct the specialized foster care agency or group residential facility to develop a time-limited Corrective Action Plan. For West Virginia's DHHR foster family homes, the Regional Homefinding Supervisor will direct the Homefinding Specialist to develop a time-limited Corrective Action Plan. The development of all Corrective Action Plans must not exceed thirty (30) days.

The investigative worker must notify the foster family home in writing that foster care arrangements are being terminated and provide a copy of the "IIU/CPS Summary Report" when it is determined that child abuse or neglect occurred in a foster family home (per WV Code 49-2-14). For investigations resulting in a Corrective Action Plan, the Residential Licensing Unit or Regional Homefinding Unit will ensure that all the problems identified in the investigation that contributed to abuse or neglect or non-compliance with regulations or policies are adequately addressed in the Corrective Action Plan.

If you have any additional questions or if we can assist you further regarding West Virginia's policy and practices relative to privatized foster care, please let us know.

Sincerely,

Nancy N. Exlin Commissioner



201 East Washington Avenue, Room G200 P.O. Box 8916 Madison, WI 53708-8916 Telephone: 608-266-8684 Fax: 608-261-6972 Governor Scott Walker Secretary Eloise Anderson Secretary's Office

May 28, 2015

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch Chairman

The Honorable Ron Wyden Ranking Member

United States Senate Committee on Finance Washington, D.C. 20510-6200

Dear Senators Hatch and Wyden,

This letter responds to your April 24 letter to all Governors requesting information related to the use of contractors in the state's child welfare system. Attached is information responding to each requested item

As noted in the responses, contractors play a limited, but important role, in Wisconsin's child welfare system. Wisconsin contracts with providers that are able to provide services more effectively via external contractors or services for which there is no state capacity, such as residential treatment facilities. The state's contracting and licensing structures provide the necessary protections and requirements to ensure that children are served in a safe and effective way and that the state's programmatic objectives are met. We consider it important that the state have the flexibility to utilize contractors when it is efficient and effective to do so and view our external contractors as constructive partners in the child welfare system.

I trust that you find the enclosed information helpful.

Sincerely,

Bloise Anderson Secretary

Enclosure

Cc: Governor Scott Walker

Becky Shipp, Senate Finance Committee Laura Berntsen, Senate Finance Committee

DCF-F-22-E (R. 08/2013)

www,dcf.wisconsin.gov

State of Wisconsin: Response to April 24 letter

1. Describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services. Wisconsin has a state-supervised/county-administered child welfare system with the exception of the largest county, Milwaukee County, where the child welfare system is administered directly by the state through the Department of Children and Families (DCF). In Milwaukee, state (i.e., public) employees carry out the intake and assessment/investigation functions. DCF contracts with external agencies for case management of out-of-home care and intensive in-home cases. DCF is currently contracting with the following two agencies for case management services in Milwaukee County: Children's Hospital of Wisconsin Community Services (also known as Children's Service Society of Wisconsin) and SaintA. Both contracted agencies are not-for-profit.

Contracted case management agencies are required to follow state-issued standards, policies, and procedures that are applicable to public county agencies as well. The current contracts with both case management agencies require the following major areas of responsibility:

- To provide for the permanency, safety, and well-being of each child in care. Planning for these
 services includes goal-directed, time-limited, and measurable activities designed to help children live
 safely in permanent families. This process provides children continuity in their relationships with
 nurturing parents or caretakers and the opportunity to establish or maintain life-long family
 relationships.
- To provide services to address barriers to reunification or permanency and maintain accurate and timely documentation in case files of all significant case activity.
- To conduct family-centered assessments and develop case plans that identify and implement essential
 services to enhance parental capacity to provide a safe environment and to provide an environment
 free of current and future incidents of abuse and/or neglect and provide for permanency for the
 child(ren).
- To ensure that all children in out-of-home care receive medical and dental care in accordance with the schedule of the Wisconsin Medicaid Health Check program reflecting well-child health periodicity.
- To develop the permanency plan with the family and to document it within 60 days from the day the child was removed from his/her home.
- To ensure the ongoing case manager will have twice monthly face-to-face contact with all children
 three years of age and younger and other children deemed in need of more frequent contact under as
 outlined in the BMCW policies and procedures.
- To ensure permanency consultations occur for every child entering their 5th, 10th, and 15th month in
 out-of-home care. In addition, permanency consultations must occur periodically for any child in
 care longer than 15 months with a current legal permanency status below good.

To provide or purchase and coordinate intense specialized in-home services to support families in
alleviating crisis and maintain children safely in their own homes. The majority of services are to be
provided in the family's home or other natural setting. In all cases, the home environment must be
safe for the child, family, in-home worker, and community to maintain the child within the home.

The remaining 71 counties in Wisconsin, other than Milwaukee, do not contract with external agencies for child welfare case management services.

2. What proportion of the children in foster care is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

As of December 2014, Milwaukee County, which uses non-profit case management contractors, accounts for 33% of the state's foster care population. The remaining 67% of children in foster care in non-Milwaukee counties use public (i.e., county) child welfare case managers.

- 3. Provide the number and name of private entities and information on for-profit/non-profit status. Information provided in response to Question 1.
- 4. Does the state require that private foster care entities or organizations be accredited? No.
- 5. Describe the process used to select and contract with the case management agencies and the process to review and renew these contracts

DCF used the competitive Request for Proposal (RFP) process specified in state law and policy to select the Milwaukee County child welfare case management agencies. Under the RFP process, interested proposers were required to respond in a thorough manner to a number of criteria that included the agency qualifications to provide ongoing and intensive in-home services and the agency's detailed plans to achieve the requirements of the proposal. This RFP included all language and obligations required by federal law and state statutes as well as the standard terms and conditions of state requirements. Programmatic requirements of the RFP were written by Department staff familiar with, and expert in, the requirements of the ongoing and intensive in-home programs.

The evaluation committee to review and score the RFP was composed of members selected because of their special expertise and knowledge of the services that were the subject of this RFP. Evaluation committee members included individuals outside of the Department of Children and Families.

The Milwaukee child welfare case management contracts include outcome-based performance measures which are reviewed by DCF staff and shared publicly on a monthly and quarterly basis. The proportion of referrals each of the two agencies receives is based on the agencies' annual performance on the outcome metrics related to placement stability and achievement of legal permanency; with the stronger performing agency receiving relatively more referrals. DCF is able to require corrective action plans if a contracted agency has significant variance from the benchmarks. The benchmarks that are monitored and evaluated include, primarily, satisfactory performance in the areas of placement stability, safe reunification and the achievement of legal permanency. These benchmarks are in compliance with federal standards as well as with a courtnegotiated settlement regarding child welfare service in Milwaukee County.

The current Milwaukee case management contracts went into effective in January 2012. The initial contracts were for a two year period with the opportunity for an additional three two-year renewals, for a maximum total period of eight years. The Department will undertake a competitive RFP process, as described above, to select the case management agencies when the current contract is no longer in effect.

 Describe the process the state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, non-profit, and for profit providers.


The process of inspecting and assuring the safety of foster care settings is specified in state statute and administrative rules. The same process is applied to public, non-profit, and for profit providers.

- Group Homes, Residential Care Centers, and Shelters (congregate care settings); Group homes, residential care centers (RCCs) and shelters are required to be licensed. Initial licenses are granted following the successful completion of an application, which includes the background checks required under state statute (s. 48.685(2)(am)), as well as multiple background checks on the entity. A physical inspection of the facility, a review of environmental hazards by the planning commission the facility is located in, a fire inspection by the local fire department, and a review of the agencies policies and procedures also occurs. An agency is issued a probationary license and is inspected in accordance with state statute (s. 48.69). Upon successful completion of the probationary period, an agency may apply for a regular license, at which time all background checks under state statute (s. 48.685(2)(am)) are redone. Licensees and employees of all agencies are required to submit background check information to DCF monthly to enter into the state child welfare SACWIS information system. In addition, DCF staff ensures compliance with safety checks by reviewing staff and child files and conducting monitoring visits to facilities every six months, and investigating complaints and serious incident reports. When an issue arises, DCF issues corrective action and follows up with the provider to ensure compliance. If compliance cannot be ensured, DCF may pursue revocation of the license.
- Family Foster Care: DCF oversees both public and private child placing agencies that license foster homes on behalf of the Department in accordance with state statute (Ch. 48.75 and 48.62). All State of Wisconsin foster care licenses must be issued by a county agency or private child placing agency licensed by DCF. DCF staff licenses private child placing agencies and monitors for compliance with state administrative rule and ensures that the licensing agency has completed all necessary background checks, physical environment inspections, a home study, disaster plan, has home owners/vehicle insurance, etc. to ensure child safety. Private child placing agencies are required to submit safety related check information to DCF to enter into the child welfare SACWIS information system. DCF staff regularly review foster home licenses for compliance with safety checks. When an issue arises with a foster home, DCF staff follow up with the appropriate licensing agency regardless of whether it is a public or private agency. A private child placing agency may be

subject to regulatory actions for licensing violations. If a county is in violation a corrective plan will be implemented. Because the child placing agency is responsible for monitoring the individual foster homes, DCF staff do not physically inspect foster homes other than Level 5 foster homes or unless an issue requires an inspection of the home as permitted under state statute.

7. How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years? Of those substantiated, how many related to children placed by not-for-profit, for-profit, and public providers?

As noted above, Milwaukee County uses contracted non-profit case management agencies for all children placed in foster care. The chart below shows the number in each of the last five years of cases of maltreatment of children in out-of-home care. The blue sections of the chart represent cases in Milwaukee (BMCW stands for Bureau of Milwaukee Child Welfare) which were handled by the non-profit case management agencies and the orange sections of the chart represent the remaining non-Milwaukee counties (BOS stands for Balance of State) which were handled by public case management agencies.



8. Describe the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in out-of-home placement. Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, not-for-profit, or for-profit provider?

All decisions to screen in/out a report regardless of a child's placement is completed in accordance with the state Access/Initial Assessment Standards which requires the county child welfare agency or DCF (in the case of Milwaukee) to inform DCF or the licensing agency of any alleged maltreatment in a licensed facility or foster home, respectively. It is important to note that an incident may not meet the standard of child abuse or neglect, but may still violate licensing regulations.

When an Initial Assessment is initiated and substantiated for a child in out-of-home care, the county child welfare agency or DCF (in the case of Milwaukee) notifies the DCF licensing staff and the private child placing agency, if the case involves a foster home licensed by that child placing agency.

For congregate care settings licensed by the DCF, DCF licensing staff review the substantiation information and conduct an investigation of the incident to determine any other licensing violations, DCF licensing staff determine the appropriate regulatory response, which can include revocation of the facility license.

In the case of a substantiated maltreatment in a licensed foster home, the licensing agency must revoke a foster care license as it is a barred offense for foster care licensure to have a substantiated finding of abuse/neglect. Additionally, private child placing agencies must inform DCF of the licensing revocation.

If the maltreatment in out-of-home care involves a child death, serious injury, or egregious incident, DCF follows the public disclosure and review process established in state statute and Department policy for all cases of child death, serious injury, or egregious incident due to maltreatment.

Licensed congregate care and private child placing agencies are required to submit a Serious Incident Report regarding any alleged child abuse or neglect to DCF.

The actions taken in response to substantiation of maltreatment do not differ depending on whether the child was placed by the public agency, not-for-profit, or for-profit provider.



2300 Capitol Avenue Third Floor Hathaway Bldg Cheyenne, WY 82002-0490 Tel: 307.777.7564 Fax: 307.777.7747 dfsweb.wyo.gov

June 12, 2015

Orrin Hatch United States Senate 104 Hart Office Building Washington, DC 20510-6200

REF: SC-15-077

RE: Stat

State Utilization of Private Foster Care Entities

Department of Family Services

Dear Chairman Hatch and Ranking Member Wyden,

The State of Wyoming received correspondence from the United States Senate, Committee on Finance, dated April 24, 2015, requesting information regarding the State's use of foster care placements and the use of foster care placing entities in Wyoming. In order to provide concise information and answers regarding Wyoming's foster care system and to succinctly address the Senate's inquiry, the information provided in this document pertains *only* to foster care placements in a single family home setting with foster parents and does not include information regarding con 4, 2015 gregate care placements.

The State of Wyoming maintains placement and care responsibility for all children placed in the Department of Family Service's (Agency) custody and under no circumstances does the Agency contract with private placement providers to act fully on behalf of the Agency to oversee and provide all foster care activities and services.

The following responses correspond to the bullet-point questions posed by the Senate Committee on Finance in the letter dated April 24, 2015:

The Agency is a service agency and does not have placement authority, however, the
Agency is the placing agency for children court ordered to Agency custody. All children
placed in foster care by the Agency are court ordered to foster care and remain in the
physical and legal custody of the Agency. The Agency does not give private entities legal
custody or placement and care authority of children placed in the custody of the
Agency.



WYOMING DEPARTMENT of FAMILY SERVICES

Two not-for-profit child placing entities, YES (Youth Emergency Services) House and Catholic Charities of Wyoming, and one not-for-profit therapeutic foster care entity, Central Wyoming Counseling Center, provide limited foster care services for children in the physical and legal custody of the Agency. For example, a child may be placed at YES House, and as part of the YES House services, the child is assigned to a family home instead of residing in the congregate care building. Additionally, Catholic Charities of WY and Central Wyoming Counseling Center may assist in matching children with appropriate certified foster homes while the Agency oversees all case management services. The YES House, Catholic Charities of WY, and Central Wyoming Counseling Center are paid a child placing administration fee and the foster homes are paid a standard foster care rate. At all times, the Agency retains physical and legal custody of the child and maintains oversight and case management responsibilities, including face-to-face contact with foster children. At no time are the two entities' services used in place of the Agency's. Rather, the foster care services are in addition to the Agency's case management and oversight.

- 100% of children placed in foster care in Wyoming are placed through the Agency as the Agency has physical and legal custody in addition to care responsibility of all foster care children.
- Three entities provide home-setting foster care services in WY while the Agency retains full legal and physical custody of the children. These are:
 - YES House (Youth Emergency Services), non-profit;
 - Catholic Charities of WY, non-profit; and
 - Central Wyoming Counseling Center, non-profit.

These entities do not replace the Agency but offer services in cooperation with and in addition to the Agency.

- Wyoming does not require private foster care entities to be accredited, however, many are. All facilities and homes in which a child will be placed overnight are certified or licensed directly through the Agency.
- All foster care services are selected through a licensing and certification process as required by the Certification of Providers of Substitute Care Services rules.
- Fingerprint based criminal history screens, child abuse and neglect central registry screens, and references are required for all adults living in a foster home. Agency workers meet face-to-face with all children in the Agency's custody monthly at a minimum. All foster care settings in Wyoming are inspected and certified through the Agency regardless of whether the Agency's population is placed in such setting or not. Wyoming law requires Agency certification for all places where a child will be placed over night. Certified foster homes are reviewed annually and certification can be

Steve Corsi, Director

Matthew H. Mead. Governor



WYOMING DEPARTMENT of FAMILY SERVICES

revoked at any time with Agency Director approval due to, but not limited to failure of foster parent to maintain standards as required, refusal to cooperate with an investigation, or a substantiated finding of abuse or neglect. The inspection and certification process does not differ because of the providers' public, non-profit, or forprofit status.

- One instance of abuse has been substantiated in a foster care placement in the last five years. The foster care placement was through the Agency.
- Per policy, the Agency investigates or assesses all allegations of child abuse or neglect and violations of certification standards made against foster homes. When an allegation of abuse is made against a child's out-of-home placement provider, a special investigation is initiated and safety precautions are put into place prior to substantiation through use of a Safety/Risk Assessment, written Safety Plan, and Family Services Plan, and possible removal of children placed in the home. In-person contact is made immediately if the child in imminent danger as classified by rules, statute, and operating policy. The Agency's lead Attorney General and Division Administrator are alerted for all special investigations and law enforcement is notified if allegations contain possible criminal behavior. When an allegation of abuse is substantiated, the foster care certification may be revoked with approval from the Agency Director.

I am pleased the Senate Committee on Finance has taken an interest in the welfare of foster children and youth. As demonstrated by Wyoming's safety record and financial investments, Wyoming has been and will continue to remain committed to the safety, well-being, and permanency of our foster youth and all children. The state looks forward to the results of the Committee on Finance's inquiry and any communication from the Committee regarding improvements in the foster care system.

Sincerely,

Steve Corsi Director

SC/rc

Cc: Marty Nelson

Appendix C

MINE COAPE IDAHO
PAT ROBERTS, KANSAS
MICHAEL B. FINZI, WYOMING
JOHN COINNY, COA
JOHN TRUNK, SOUTH DAKOTA
RICHARD BURK, NIGHT CAROLINA
JOHNNY SAINER, CAROLINA
JOHNNY LORINER, RIPARA
JOHN PETERS, NIVADA

BON WYDER, OREGON
CHARLES E, SCHIMMER, NEW YORK
DEBUR STABENDY, MICHIGAN
MARIA CANTIVELL WASHINGTON
BILL NISTON, ET ORDIA
ROBERT MEMBADEZ, NEW JERSEY
HOMAS R. CARIDIN, MARYEYAND
SHERBOR BIRWAN, OHIO
MICHAEL E BENNET, COLURADO

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6200

CHRIS CAMPBELL, STAFF DIRECTOR
JOSHUA SHEINKMAN, DEMOCRATIC STAFF DIRECTOR

March 3, 2016

Clyde L. Reese III Commissioner, Department of Community Health Two Peachtree Street, NW 40th Floor Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Dear Commissioner Reese:

Since April of 2015, the United States Senate Committee on Finance (Committee) has been conducting an investigation into the states' use of private entities, and particularly for-profit entities, to serve as child placement agencies in the administration of state foster care programs. As you are aware, these state programs are funded under Title IV-E of the Social Security Act. This letter is a follow-up to the letter we sent your state on April 24, 2015, in which we requested information about your state's experience in contracting with private entities to administer portions of the state's foster care program.¹

Our interest in the use of private foster care providers is prompted in large part by a number of deeply disturbing stories appearing in the media in the recent past. Those stories recount lapses in judgment and mistakes by a for-profit child placement entity in the screening, recruitment, training and monitoring of foster parents that resulted in the placement of children, who represent the most vulnerable segment of our society, into the homes of individuals wholly unsuited to discharge the duties of foster parents. Tragically, a number of these children died while in the care and custody of these foster parents, while other children were abused, neglected or physically injured.

¹ We are certain that you share our commitment to protect the wellbeing of the many children whose difficult circumstances necessitate their removal from parental control and placement in foster homes. Therefore, please provide a response to the letter of April 24, 2015 at your earliest convenience so as to assist the Senate Finance Committee in its investigation.

² See, for example: Mother Jones, Brian Joseph: The Brief Life and Private Death of Alexandria Hill (Feb. 26, 2015); BuzzFeed News, Aram Roston and Jeremy Singer: Fostering Profits (Feb. 20, 2015); and BuzzFeed News, Aram Roston: In an Unmarked Grave, a Baby's Untold Story (June 18, 2015).

One of the many issues being examined by the Committee is the soundness, from a public policy perspective, of permitting for-profit entities to operate as child placement agencies. It is argued by some commentators that such entities are ill-suited to discharge the functions of child placement agencies, since their pursuit of profit could interfere with their responsibility to safeguard the interests of the children under their care.

The Title IV-E foster care program is a child welfare program under the Social Security Act. Consequently, it falls within the oversight jurisdiction of the Committee. As Chairman and Ranking Member of the Committee, we are writing to you to secure information necessary to advance the Committee's understanding of how well both for-profit and non-profit child placement agencies under contract with your state protect the interests of the children who fall under their care.

In accordance with the Committee's oversight responsibility for the Title IV-E program, we request that you provide the following information no later than close of business April 1, 2016:

- Identify all child placement agencies (both non-profit and for-profit non-public providers that
 carry out responsibilities related to case planning, including permanency planning, and
 review for children in foster care (collectively, "Contractors"), under contract in your State to
 provide foster care services at any time from January 2010 to the present, and the dates when
 those agencies began to provide these services, and when, if applicable, they terminated;
- For each individual Contractor, provide the following performance measures,³ for each year 2010 through 2015:
 - a. Total number of children served by such contractor during the year;⁴
 - b. Of this total number of children served by such contractor during each year, identify the number and percentage of children in the following subgroups:⁵
 - i. Special needs children (general category)
 - ii. Physically disabled children
 - iii. Children with special mental/emotional/behavioral health needs

³ In the interest of identifying measures for which statewide data should be readily available, several of these performance measures mirror the Statewide Data Indicators used by the Administration for Children and Families' Children's Bureau in conducted the Child and Family Services Reviews (CFSR). The Statewide Data Indicators are described in detail in CFSR Technical Bulletin #8A and the Final Notice of Statewide Data Indicators and National Standards for Child and Family Services Reviews Executive Summary—Amended (both published May 13, 2015).

⁴ The CFSR literature defines the statewide version of this measure as "all children who were served in foster care during the 12-month target period." This measure should include the total number of children served by the Contractor *at any time* during each year in question.

⁵ This measure is included to account for the fact that particular contractors may be qualified and relied upon to serve the needs of particular subgroups of children entering foster care. If necessary for purposes of specificity, please provide any working definitions or tests used to classify children within particular subgroups.

- iv. Infants
- v. Older youth
- Total number and percentage of children served whose placement was moved sometime during the year;⁶
- d. Of all children who entered foster care each year and were discharged within one year (to reunification, living with a relative, or guardianship), total number and percentage who re-entered foster care within one year of their discharge.⁷
- Total number and percentage of substantiated or indicated reports of maltreatment (by any perpetrator) during a foster care episode;⁸
- f. Of all children who were victims of a substantiated or indicated maltreatment report during each calendar year, total number and percentage of children who had a second substantiated or indicated maltreatment report within one year of their initial report;⁹
- g. Number and percentage of children served who, within one year, achieved the following permanency outcomes:¹⁰
 - i. Reunification;
 - ii. Adoption;
 - iii. Guardianship;
 - iv. Another planned permanent living arrangement;
- h. Number and percentage of children entering into care who have siblings placed in the same living arrangement;
- i. Number and percentage of children entering into care who are placed with relatives;
- j. Number and percentage of children entering foster care who received a full initial physical and mental health assessment within 60 days;

⁶ CFSR measure: Placement stability

⁷ CFSR measure: Re-entry to foster care in 12 months

⁸ CFSR measure: Maltreatment in foster care

⁹ CFSR measure: Recurrence of maltreatment

¹⁰ CFSR measures: Permanency in 12 months for children entering foster care

- Number and percentage of children entering foster care for whom permanency goals were established in a timely manner;¹¹
- 1. Number and percentage of children served who received monthly caseworker visits;
- The total cost to the state, county, or local government of services provided under the contract.
- n. Average caseload for each caseworker employed by the Contractor.
- 3. For each measure identified above, please provide the same performance measure for the state foster care system as a whole, for each year 2010 through 2015.
- 4. Provide a copy of any performance rankings, ratings, or reviews of Contractors prepared by your agency for years 2010 through 2015.
- Provide any additional information regarding individual Contractor performance that you believe may warrant our attention.¹²
- 6. Provide all performance and investigative reports and evaluations of Contractor placement agencies that are subsidiaries or affiliates of National Mentor Holdings, Inc., that have been prepared by or issued by the State, or by the contracting entity within the State, from January 2010 to the present. The term "investigative reports" includes reports relating to the death, sexual abuse or injury to a child while in the care/custody of foster parents recruited or employed by subsidiaries or affiliates of National Mentor Holdings, Inc.
- 7. Provide the name and contact information of a state official with whom Committee staff may communicate to resolve any questions regarding the operation of the state's foster care program, and in particular, the use by the state of for-profit child placement agencies.

¹¹ In answering this question, please identify the timeframe in which your agency seeks to establish permanency guidelines for each child entering into foster care.

¹² Such information may include observations about individual Contractors' performance in the following areas, which may be difficult to quantify in a single measure: thoroughness in conducting criminal background checks of potential foster parents; timely reporting of credible claims of abuse and neglect; provision of adequate training to caseworkers, etc.

We thank you for your prompt attention to this request. Please submit your response in electronic format to Kim Brandt, Chief Oversight Counsel (Majority), (kim_brandt@finance.senate.gov) and to David Berick, Chief Investigator (Minority), (david_berick@finance.senate.gov). Any questions may be directed to Ms. Brandt at (202) 224-4515 or to Mr. Berick at (202) 224-6399.

Sincerely,

Orrin G. Hatch

Chairman, Senate Finance Committee

Ron Wyden

Ranking Member

ORRENG HATCH UTAH CHARMAN

GRICKS GHASSI EY, ROWA MIKE CRAPE, DANG PAT ROBERTE, KANISA MCHAEL BE LUKEL, WYOMING JOEN COSPION, LEXAS JOEN LEWIS, BOUTH DAKOTA BICKARD BERRY, NORTH CAROLINA JOENT WAS ASSESSED SEPRICIA ROB PORTWAIN, ORD PATRICK, THOMPS, PENNSY VANIA DAGBE CEATS, RESIANA PAR MELLER, REVISION TIAN, CIAMMAN
RON WYDEN, DIEGON
CHARIESE SCHUMEN NEW YORK
PEBIE STARHON, MICHGAN
MARIA LANTWELL, WASHINGTON
BIL NISSON, FLUSHOA
ROBERT M-PEHNEZ, NIW JERSY
TROMASE CARPER DEL WARY
TROMASE CARRON, MARYLAND
SERRON LORON, CHEO
MISTART J. BITNIET, COLUMBO
MISTART J. BITNIET, COLUMBO
DEDIFTED CAST, J. J., PENNSYLVANI

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6200

CURS CAMPER LESTARTERRECTOR JOSHI A SHENKMAN, DEMOCRATIC STAFF LIBECTOR

March 3, 2016

George H. Sheldon Acting Director Department of Children and Family Services 406 Monroe Street Springfield, Illinois 62701-1498

Dear Acting Director Sheldon:

Since April of 2015, the United States Senate Committee on Finance (Committee) has been conducting an investigation into the states' use of private entities, and particularly for-profit entities, to serve as child placement agencies in the administration of state foster care programs. As you are aware, these state programs are funded under Title IV-E of the Social Security Act. This letter is a follow-up to the letter we sent your state on April 24, 2015, in which we requested information about your state's experience in contracting with private entities to administer portions of the state's foster care program. We thank you for the response provided to the Committee by your Department.

Our interest in the use of private foster care providers is prompted in large part by a number of deeply disturbing stories appearing in the media in the recent past. Those stories recount lapses in judgment and mistakes by a for-profit child placement entity in the screening, recruitment, training and monitoring of foster parents that resulted in the placement of children, who represent the most vulnerable segment of our society, into the homes of individuals wholly unsuited to discharge the duties of foster parents. Tragically, a number of these children died while in the care and custody of these foster parents, while other children were abused, neglected or physically injured.

One of the many issues being examined by the Committee is the soundness, from a public policy perspective, of permitting for-profit entities to operate as child placement agencies. It is argued by some commentators that such entities are ill-suited to discharge the functions of child

¹ <u>See</u>, for example: *Mother Jones*, Brian Joseph: The Brief Life and Private Death of Alexandria Hill (Feb. 26, 2015); *BuzzFeed News*, Aram Roston and Jeremy Singer: Fostering Profits (Feb. 20, 2015); and *BuzzFeed News*, Aram Roston: In an Unmarked Grave, a Baby's Untold Story (June 18, 2015).

placement agencies, since their pursuit of profit could interfere with their responsibility to safeguard the interests of the children under their care.

The Title IV-E foster care program is a child welfare program under the Social Security Act. Consequently, it falls within the oversight jurisdiction of the Committee. As Chairman and Ranking Member of the Committee, we are writing to you to secure information necessary to advance the Committee's understanding of how well both for-profit and non-profit child placement agencies under contract with your state protect the interests of the children who fall under their care.

In accordance with the Committee's oversight responsibility for the Title IV-E program, we request that you provide the following information no later than close of business April 1, 2016:

- Identify all child placement agencies (both non-profit and for-profit non-public providers that
 carry out responsibilities related to case planning, including permanency planning, and
 review for children in foster care (collectively, "Contractors"), under contract in your State to
 provide foster care services at any time from January 2010 to the present, and the dates when
 those agencies began to provide these services, and when, if applicable, they terminated;
- For each individual Contractor, provide the following performance measures,² for each year 2010 through 2015:
 - a. Total number of children served by such contractor during the year;³
 - b. Of this total number of children served by such contractor during each year, identify the number and percentage of children in the following subgroups:⁴
 - i. Special needs children (general category)
 - ii. Physically disabled children
 - iii. Children with special mental/emotional/behavioral health needs
 - iv. Infants
 - v. Older youth

² In the interest of identifying measures for which statewide data should be readily available, several of these performance measures mirror the Statewide Data Indicators used by the Administration for Children and Families' Children's Bureau in conducted the Child and Family Services Reviews (CFSR). The Statewide Data Indicators are described in detail in CFSR Technical Bulletin #8A and the Final Notice of Statewide Data Indicators and National Standards for Child and Family Services Reviews Executive Summary—Amended (both published May 13, 2015).

³ The CFSR literature defines the statewide version of this measure as "all children who were served in foster care during the 12-month target period." This measure should include the total number of children served by the Contractor at any time during each year in question.

⁴ This measure is included to account for the fact that particular contractors may be qualified and relied upon to serve the needs of particular subgroups of children entering foster care. If necessary for purposes of specificity, please provide any working definitions or tests used to classify children within particular subgroups.

- Total number and percentage of children served whose placement was moved sometime during the year;⁵
- d. Of all children who entered foster care each year and were discharged within one year (to reunification, living with a relative, or guardianship), total number and percentage who re-entered foster care within one year of their discharge.⁶
- e. Total number and percentage of substantiated or indicated reports of maltreatment (by any perpetrator) during a foster care episode;⁷
- f. Of all children who were victims of a substantiated or indicated maltreatment report during each calendar year, total number and percentage of children who had a second substantiated or indicated maltreatment report within one year of their initial report;⁸
- g. Number and percentage of children served who, within one year, achieved the following permanency outcomes:⁹
 - i. Reunification;
 - ii. Adoption;
 - iii. Guardianship;
 - iv. Another planned permanent living arrangement;
- h. Number and percentage of children entering into care who have siblings placed in the same living arrangement;
- i. Number and percentage of children entering into care who are placed with relatives;
- j. Number and percentage of children entering foster care who received a full initial physical and mental health assessment within 60 days;
- k. Number and percentage of children entering foster care for whom permanency goals were established in a timely manner; 10

⁵ CFSR measure: Placement stability

⁶ CFSR measure: Re-entry to foster care in 12 months

⁷ CFSR measure: Maltreatment in foster care

⁸ CFSR measure: Recurrence of maltreatment

⁹ CFSR measures: Permanency in 12 months for children entering foster care

¹⁰ In answering this question, please identify the timeframe in which your agency seeks to establish permanency guidelines for each child entering into foster care.

- 1. Number and percentage of children served who received monthly caseworker visits;
- m. The total cost to the state, county, or local government of services provided under the contract.
- n. Average caseload for each caseworker employed by the Contractor.
- 3. For each measure identified above, please provide the same performance measure for the state foster care system as a whole, for each year 2010 through 2015.
- Provide a copy of any performance rankings, ratings, or reviews of Contractors prepared by your agency for years 2010 through 2015.
- Provide any additional information regarding individual Contractor performance that you believe may warrant our attention.¹¹
- 6. Provide all performance and investigative reports and evaluations of Contractor placement agencies that are subsidiaries or affiliates of National Mentor Holdings, Inc., that have been prepared by or issued by the State, or by the contracting entity within the State, from January 2010 to the present. The term "investigative reports" includes reports relating to the death, sexual abuse or injury to a child while in the care/custody of a foster parent recruited or employed by subsidiaries or affiliates of National Mentor Holdings, Inc.
- 7. Provide the name and contact information of a state official with whom Committee staff may communicate to resolve any questions regarding the operation of the state's foster care program, and in particular, the use by the state of for-profit child placement agencies.

¹¹ Such information may include observations about individual Contractors' performance in the following areas, which may be difficult to quantify in a single measure: thoroughness in conducting criminal background checks of potential foster parents; timely reporting of credible claims of abuse and neglect; provision of adequate training to caseworkers, etc.

We thank you for your prompt attention to this request. Please submit your response in electronic format to Kim Brandt, Chief Oversight Counsel (Majority), $(\underline{kim_brandt@finance.senate.gov}) \ and \ to \ David \ Berick, \ Chief \ Investigator \ (Minority),$ (david_berick@finance.senate.gov). Any questions may be directed to Ms. Brandt at (202) 224-4515 or to Mr. Berick at (202) 224-6399.

Sincerely,

Orrin G. Hatch

Chairman, Senate Finance Committee

Kon Wirden

Ranking Member

ANDRES AS ASSAULT STREET, ASSAULT

CHCIGG GRASSIE EV, JOWA-MIKE CRAPUL, JAAHEJ PAT ROTH HTS, KAMSAG RICHAEL BE KAZI, WYOMING ROTH GROWN, TEXAS JOHN HEIMER, SOLUTE HAKOTA, RICHARDE BERE, HIGHTE CARDE INA SCHOOL STANDAY, DEBUT JOHNEY SISASON LEDERIGA RICHARD SISASON LEDERIGA PARIS K.A.T. CHIRADAY, PENNSYT VANIA JOHNEY SISASON LEDERIGA THIS SCHOOL SIGNEY, PENNSYT VANIA JOHNEY SISASON SIGNEY, PENNSYT VANIA JOHN SCHOL SIGNEY PENNSYT VANIA JOHN SCHOOL SIGNEY PENNSYT VANIA JOHN SCHOL SIGNEY PENNSYT VANIA JOHN SCHOOL SIGNEY PENNSYT VANIA ROT WYDEN, ORE GON CHARLES E. SCHEMMEN, NEW YORK DERBE STARFNOW, MICCHGARI MARIA CANTWELL, WASHINGTON BELL NEESUN, FORDA ROTHER MENDEZ, NEW JERREY PHAMASH E. CANDET, DE LAWARE BENJAMENT, CANDRA, MARYLAND SERRIOD BROWN, ORD MICHAEL F. BENNET, COLORADO MICHAEL F. BENNET, COLORADO BROWN ORD

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6200

CHRIS CAMPBILL, STAFF DIRECTOR JUSHUA SHEINKMAN, DEMOCRATIC STAFF DIRECTOR

March 3, 2016

Linda S. Spears Commissioner, Department of Children and Families 600 Washington Street Boston, Massachusetts 02111

Dear Commissioner Spears:

Since April of 2015, the United States Senate Committee on Finance (Committee) has been conducting an investigation into the states' use of private entities, and particularly for-profit entities, to serve as child placement agencies in the administration of state foster care programs. As you are aware, these state programs are funded under Title IV-E of the Social Security Act. This letter is a follow-up to the letter we sent your state on April 24, 2015, in which we requested information about your state's experience in contracting with private entities to administer portions of the state's foster care program. We thank you for the response provided to the Committee by your Department.

Our interest in the use of private foster care providers is prompted in large part by a number of deeply disturbing stories appearing in the media in the recent past. Those stories recount lapses in judgment and mistakes by a for-profit child placement entity in the screening, recruitment, training and monitoring of foster parents that resulted in the placement of children, who represent the most vulnerable segment of our society, into the homes of individuals wholly unsuited to discharge the duties of foster parents. Tragically, a number of these children died while in the care and custody of these foster parents, while other children were abused, neglected or physically injured.

One of the many issues being examined by the Committee is the soundness, from a public policy perspective, of permitting for-profit entities to operate as child placement agencies. It is argued by some commentators that such entities are ill-suited to discharge the functions of child

¹ <u>See</u>, for example: *Mother Jones*, Brian Joseph: The Brief Life and Private Death of Alexandria Hill (Feb. 26, 2015); *BuzzFeed News*, Aram Roston and Jeremy Singer: Fostering Profits (Feb. 20, 2015); and *BuzzFeed News*, Aram Roston: In an Unmarked Grave, a Baby's Untold Story (June 18, 2015).

placement agencies, since their pursuit of profit could interfere with their responsibility to safeguard the interests of the children under their care.

The Title IV-E foster care program is a child welfare program under the Social Security Act. Consequently, it falls within the oversight jurisdiction of the Committee. As Chairman and Ranking Member of the Committee, we are writing to you to secure information necessary to advance the Committee's understanding of how well both for-profit and non-profit child placement agencies under contract with your state protect the interests of the children who fall under their care.

In accordance with the Committee's oversight responsibility for the Title IV-E program, we request that you provide the following information no later than close of business April 1, 2016:

- Identify all child placement agencies (both non-profit and for-profit non-public providers that
 carry out responsibilities related to case planning, including permanency planning, and
 review for children in foster care (collectively, "Contractors"), under contract in your State to
 provide foster care services at any time from January 2010 to the present, and the dates when
 those agencies began to provide these services, and when, if applicable, they terminated;
- For each individual Contractor, provide the following performance measures,² for each year 2010 through 2015:
 - a. Total number of children served by such contractor during the year;³
 - b. Of this total number of children served by such contractor during each year, identify the number and percentage of children in the following subgroups:⁴
 - i. Special needs children (general category)
 - ii. Physically disabled children
 - iii. Children with special mental/emotional/behavioral health needs
 - iv. Infants
 - v. Older youth

² In the interest of identifying measures for which statewide data should be readily available, several of these performance measures mirror the Statewide Data Indicators used by the Administration for Children and Families' Children's Bureau in conducted the Child and Family Services Reviews (CFSR). The Statewide Data Indicators are described in detail in CFSR Technical Bulletin #8A and the Final Notice of Statewide Data Indicators and National Standards for Child and Family Services Reviews Executive Summary—Amended (both published May 13, 2015).

³ The CFSR literature defines the statewide version of this measure as "all children who were served in foster care during the 12-month target period." This measure should include the total number of children served by the Contractor *at any time* during each year in question.

⁴ This measure is included to account for the fact that particular contractors may be qualified and relied upon to serve the needs of particular subgroups of children entering foster care. If necessary for purposes of specificity, please provide any working definitions or tests used to classify children within particular subgroups.

- Total number and percentage of children served whose placement was moved sometime during the year;⁵
- d. Of all children who entered foster care each year and were discharged within one year (to reunification, living with a relative, or guardianship), total number and percentage who re-entered foster care within one year of their discharge.⁶
- Total number and percentage of substantiated or indicated reports of maltreatment (by any perpetrator) during a foster care episode;⁷
- f. Of all children who were victims of a substantiated or indicated maltreatment report during each calendar year, total number and percentage of children who had a second substantiated or indicated maltreatment report within one year of their initial report;⁸
- g. Number and percentage of children served who, within one year, achieved the following permanency outcomes:9
 - i. Reunification;
 - ii. Adoption;
 - iii. Guardianship;
 - iv. Another planned permanent living arrangement;
- Number and percentage of children entering into care who have siblings placed in the same living arrangement;
- i. Number and percentage of children entering into care who are placed with relatives;
- j. Number and percentage of children entering foster care who received a full initial physical and mental health assessment within 60 days;
- Number and percentage of children entering foster care for whom permanency goals were established in a timely manner;¹⁰

⁵ CFSR measure: Placement stability

⁶ CFSR measure: Re-entry to foster care in 12 months

⁷ CFSR measure: Maltreatment in foster care

⁸ CFSR measure: Recurrence of maltreatment

⁹ CFSR measures: Permanency in 12 months for children entering foster care

 $^{^{10}}$ In answering this question, please identify the timeframe in which your agency seeks to establish permanency guidelines for each child entering into foster care.

- 1. Number and percentage of children served who received monthly caseworker visits;
- m. The total cost to the state, county, or local government of services provided under the contract.
- n. Average caseload for each caseworker employed by the Contractor.
- 3. For each measure identified above, please provide the same performance measure for the state foster care system as a whole, for each year 2010 through 2015.
- Provide a copy of any performance rankings, ratings, or reviews of Contractors prepared by your agency for years 2010 through 2015.
- Provide any additional information regarding individual Contractor performance that you believe may warrant our attention.¹¹
- 6. Provide all performance and investigative reports and evaluations of Contractor placement agencies that are subsidiaries or affiliates of National Mentor Holdings, Inc., that have been prepared by or issued by the State, or by the contracting entity within the State, from January 2010 to the present. The term "investigative reports" includes reports relating to the death, sexual abuse or injury to a child while in the care/custody of a foster parent recruited or employed by subsidiaries or affiliates of National Mentor Holdings, Inc.
- 7. Provide the name and contact information of a state official with whom Committee staff may communicate to resolve any questions regarding the operation of the state's foster care program, and in particular, the use by the state of for-profit child placement agencies.

¹¹ Such information may include observations about individual Contractors' performance in the following areas, which may be difficult to quantify in a single measure: thoroughness in conducting criminal background checks of potential foster parents; timely reporting of credible claims of abuse and neglect; provision of adequate training to caseworkers, etc.

We thank you for your prompt attention to this request. Please submit your response in electronic format to Kim Brandt, Chief Oversight Counsel (Majority), (kim_brandt@finance.senate.gov) and to David Berick, Chief Investigator (Minority), (david_berick@finance.senate.gov). Any questions may be directed to Ms. Brandt at (202) 224-4515 or to Mr. Berick at (202) 224-6399.

Sincerely,

Orrin G. Hatch

Chairman, Senate Finance Committee

Ron Wyden

Ranking Member

ORRING HATCH LITAR CHARMAN

CHUK GRASHEY, KIWA MIKE CHAPO IDAHO PAT INBERTS, KANSAN MICHAEL EL, HALL WOORNG JERN TROITS, SOUTH DAKOTA BE RABD BERR, NOJI HE CARRI BA JERNY BASKIN, TUCBHAR BERRY, BASKIN, TUCBHAR PATRICK, J. TOOMY, JERNSYLVANIA DANIEL LOATS, INDANA DANIEL LOATS, INDANA DANIEL LOATS, INDANA JABL CHARIMAN
ON CHARLES I: SOBRIBE BEW YORK
CHARLES I: SOBRIBE BEW YORK
DEBNE STARKERM, RICHIGAN
MARIA CANTWELL, WASHENITUN
BILL NITSON, ELORIDA
ROBERT MENN, NOEZ, NW. JERSEY
HOMANS R. GARPIN, MARYLAKO
SIE BRION BRIVAN, CHIO
MICHAEL E BYNNET. COLORADO
MICHAEL E BYNNET. COLORADO
GORBER P. GASCY, J., J. PINNEY, VANDY
MICHAEL E BYNNET. COLORADO

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6200

CHRIS CAMPBEL: STALL OBJECTOR
JOSHUA SHERIKMAN DEMOCRATIC STALL DIRECTOR

March 3, 2016

Sam Malhotra Secretary, Department of Human Resources 311 West Saratoga Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Dear Secretary Malhotra:

Since April of 2015, the United States Senate Committee on Finance (Committee) has been conducting an investigation into the states' use of private entities, and particularly for-profit entities, to serve as child placement agencies in the administration of state foster care programs. As you are aware, these state programs are funded under Title IV-E of the Social Security Act. This letter is a follow-up to the letter we sent your state on April 24, 2015, in which we requested information about your state's experience in contracting with private entities to administer portions of the state's foster care program. We thank you for the response provided to the Committee by your Department.

Our interest in the use of private foster care providers is prompted in large part by a number of deeply disturbing stories appearing in the media in the recent past. Those stories recount lapses in judgment and mistakes by a for-profit child placement entity in the screening, recruitment, training and monitoring of foster parents that resulted in the placement of children, who represent the most vulnerable segment of our society, into the homes of individuals wholly unsuited to discharge the duties of foster parents. Tragically, a number of these children died while in the care and custody of these foster parents, while other children were abused, neglected or physically injured.

One of the many issues being examined by the Committee is the soundness, from a public policy perspective, of permitting for-profit entities to operate as child placement agencies. It is argued by some commentators that such entities are ill-suited to discharge the functions of child placement agencies, since their pursuit of profit could interfere with their responsibility to safeguard the interests of the children under their care.

¹ See, for example: Mother Jones, Brian Joseph: The Brief Life and Private Death of Alexandria Hill (Feb. 26, 2015); BuzzFeed News, Aram Roston and Jeremy Singer: Fostering Profits (Feb. 20, 2015); and BuzzFeed News, Aram Roston: In an Unmarked Grave, a Baby's Untold Story (June 18, 2015).

The Title IV-E foster care program is a child welfare program under the Social Security Act. Consequently, it falls within the oversight jurisdiction of the Committee. As Chairman and Ranking Member of the Committee, we are writing to you to secure information necessary to advance the Committee's understanding of how well both for-profit and non-profit child placement agencies under contract with your state protect the interests of the children who fall under their care.

In accordance with the Committee's oversight responsibility for the Title IV-E program, we request that you provide the following information no later than close of business April 1, 2016:

- Identify all child placement agencies (both non-profit and for-profit non-public providers that
 carry out responsibilities related to case planning, including permanency planning, and
 review for children in foster care (collectively, "Contractors"), under contract in your State to
 provide foster care services at any time from January 2010 to the present, and the dates when
 those agencies began to provide these services, and when, if applicable, they terminated;
- For each individual Contractor, provide the following performance measures,² for each year 2010 through 2015:
 - a. Total number of children served by such contractor during the year;³
 - b. Of this total number of children served by such contractor during each year, identify the number and percentage of children in the following subgroups:⁴
 - i. Special needs children (general category)
 - ii. Physically disabled children
 - iii. Children with special mental/emotional/behavioral health needs
 - iv. Infants
 - v. Older youth

² In the interest of identifying measures for which statewide data should be readily available, several of these performance measures mirror the Statewide Data Indicators used by the Administration for Children and Families' Children's Bureau in conducted the Child and Family Services Reviews (CFSR). The Statewide Data Indicators are described in detail in CFSR Technical Bulletin #8A and the Final Notice of Statewide Data Indicators and National Standards for Child and Family Services Reviews Executive Summary—Amended (both published May 13, 2015).

³ The CFSR literature defines the statewide version of this measure as "all children who were served in foster care during the 12-month target period." This measure should include the total number of children served by the Contractor at any time during each year in question.

⁴ This measure is included to account for the fact that particular contractors may be qualified and relied upon to serve the needs of particular subgroups of children entering foster care. If necessary for purposes of specificity, please provide any working definitions or tests used to classify children within particular subgroups.

- Total number and percentage of children served whose placement was moved sometime during the year;⁵
- d. Of all children who entered foster care each year and were discharged within one year (to reunification, living with a relative, or guardianship), total number and percentage who re-entered foster care within one year of their discharge.⁶
- e. Total number and percentage of substantiated or indicated reports of maltreatment (by any perpetrator) during a foster care episode;⁷
- f. Of all children who were victims of a substantiated or indicated maltreatment report during each calendar year, total number and percentage of children who had a second substantiated or indicated maltreatment report within one year of their initial report,⁸
- g. Number and percentage of children served who, within one year, achieved the following permanency outcomes:9
 - i. Reunification;
 - ii. Adoption;
 - iii. Guardianship;
 - iv. Another planned permanent living arrangement;
- h. Number and percentage of children entering into care who have siblings placed in the same living arrangement;
- i. Number and percentage of children entering into care who are placed with relatives;
- j. Number and percentage of children entering foster care who received a full initial physical and mental health assessment within 60 days;
- Number and percentage of children entering foster care for whom permanency goals were established in a timely manner;¹⁰

⁵ CFSR measure: Placement stability

⁶ CFSR measure: Re-entry to foster care in 12 months

⁷ CFSR measure: Maltreatment in foster care

⁸ CFSR measure: Recurrence of maltreatment

⁹ CFSR measures: Permanency in 12 months for children entering foster care

¹⁰ In answering this question, please identify the timeframe in which your agency seeks to establish permanency guidelines for each child entering into foster care.

- 1. Number and percentage of children served who received monthly caseworker visits;
- m. The total cost to the state, county, or local government of services provided under the contract.
- n. Average caseload for each caseworker employed by the Contractor.
- 3. For each measure identified above, please provide the same performance measure for the state foster care system as a whole, for each year 2010 through 2015.
- Provide a copy of any performance rankings, ratings, or reviews of Contractors prepared by your agency for years 2010 through 2015.
- Provide any additional information regarding individual Contractor performance that you believe may warrant our attention.¹¹
- 6. Provide all performance and investigative reports and evaluations of Contractor placement agencies that are subsidiaries or affiliates of National Mentor Holdings, Inc., that have been prepared by or issued by the State, or by the contracting entity within the State, from January 2010 to the present. The term "investigative reports" includes reports relating to the death, sexual abuse or injury to a child while in the care/custody of foster parents recruited or employed by subsidiaries or affiliates of National Mentor Holdings, Inc.
- 7. Provide the name and contact information of a state official with whom Committee staff may communicate to resolve any questions regarding the operation of the state's foster care program, and in particular, the use by the state of for-profit child placement agencies.

¹¹ Such information may include observations about individual Contractors' performance in the following areas, which may be difficult to quantify in a single measure: thoroughness in conducting criminal background checks of potential foster parents; timely reporting of credible claims of abuse and neglect; provision of adequate training to caseworkers, etc.

We thank you for your prompt attention to this request. Please submit your response in electronic format to Kim Brandt, Chief Oversight Counsel (Majority), (kim_brandt@finance.senate.gov) and to David Berick, Chief Investigator (Minority), (david_berick@finance.senate.gov). Any questions may be directed to Ms. Brandt at (202) 224-4515 or to Mr. Berick at (202) 224-6399.

Sincerely,

Orrin G. Hatch

Chairman, Senate Finance Committee

Ron Wyden Ranking Member ORRING HATCH LITAR CHARREN

CHRIK GHASSILEY, IOWA
MINEL CHAPLY DAHIO
PAT ROBERTIS, KANNYA
MACHAEL HE RAZI, MYDANING
JOHN COHNYE, TEXAS
JOHN CONNYE, TEXAS
SICHARD BURRE, NOPHT CAROLINA
JOHNEN TREASE, SOUTH DANOTA
JOHNEY INSANSON, GEORGA
REB ROBERTAMO, DHIO
DANIEL COALS, INDANOY, PENNSYI VANDA
DANIEL COALS, PENNSYI

ROW MYDEN OR GON CHARLEST, SCRIMMEN NEW YORK LITBERT STAFFONOW, MICHIGAN MARIA CARLIVER IL, WASHINISTON BELL NELSON, HORBIAS ROBERT MI NENDEZ, NEW JERSEY FIDMASE ROBER, DELAWARDE BERNON SERVIN, ORDO MICHAEL F. BERNET, COLORADO MICHAEL F. BERNET, COLORADO MICHAEL F. BERNET, COLORADO MICHAEL F. BERNET, SAFENSYLVAN

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6200

CHRIS CAMPRELL STAFF ERRECTOR JOSHUA SHEINKMAN, DEMOCRATIC STAFF DIRECTOR

March 3, 2016

John J. Specia, Jr.
Commissioner, Department of Family and Protective Services
701 West 51st Street
M.C. E-654
Austin, Texas 78751

Dear Commissioner Specia:

Since April of 2015, the United States Senate Committee on Finance (Committee) has been conducting an investigation into the states' use of private entities, and particularly for-profit entities, to serve as child placement agencies in the administration of state foster care programs. As you are aware, these state programs are funded under Title IV-E of the Social Security Act. This letter is a follow-up to the letter we sent your state on April 24, 2015, in which we requested information about your state's experience in contracting with private entities to administer portions of the state's foster care program. We thank you for the response provided to the Committee by your Department.

Our interest in the use of private foster care providers is prompted in large part by a number of deeply disturbing stories appearing in the media in the recent past. Those stories recount lapses in judgment and mistakes by a for-profit child placement entity in the screening, recruitment, training and monitoring of foster parents that resulted in the placement of children, who represent the most vulnerable segment of our society, into the homes of individuals wholly unsuited to discharge the duties of foster parents. Tragically, a number of these children died while in the care and custody of these foster parents, while other children were abused, neglected or physically injured.

One of the many issues being examined by the Committee is the soundness, from a public policy perspective, of permitting for-profit entities to operate as child placement agencies. It is argued by some commentators that such entities are ill-suited to discharge the functions of child

¹ See, for example: Mother Jones, Brian Joseph: The Brief Life and Private Death of Alexandria Hill (Feb. 26, 2015); BuzzFeed News, Aram Roston and Jeremy Singer: Fostering Profits (Feb. 20, 2015); and BuzzFeed News, Aram Roston: In an Unmarked Grave, a Baby's Untold Story (June 18, 2015).

placement agencies, since their pursuit of profit could interfere with their responsibility to safeguard the interests of the children under their care.

The Title IV-E foster care program is a child welfare program under the Social Security Act. Consequently, it falls within the oversight jurisdiction of the Committee. As Chairman and Ranking Member of the Committee, we are writing to you to secure information necessary to advance the Committee's understanding of how well both for-profit and non-profit child placement agencies under contract with your state protect the interests of the children who fall under their care.

In accordance with the Committee's oversight responsibility for the Title IV-E program, we request that you provide the following information no later than close of business April 1, 2016:

- Identify all child placement agencies (both non-profit and for-profit non-public providers that
 carry out responsibilities related to case planning, including permanency planning, and
 review for children in foster care (collectively, "Contractors"), under contract in your State to
 provide foster care services at any time from January 2010 to the present, and the dates when
 those agencies began to provide these services, and when, if applicable, they terminated;
- For each individual Contractor, provide the following performance measures,² for each year 2010 through 2015:
 - a. Total number of children served by such contractor during the year;³
 - b. Of this total number of children served by such contractor during each year, identify the number and percentage of children in the following subgroups:⁴
 - i. Special needs children (general category)
 - ii. Physically disabled children
 - iii. Children with special mental/emotional/behavioral health needs
 - iv. Infants
 - v. Older youth

² In the interest of identifying measures for which statewide data should be readily available, several of these performance measures mirror the Statewide Data Indicators used by the Administration for Children and Families' Children's Bureau in conducted the Child and Family Services Reviews (CFSR). The Statewide Data Indicators are described in detail in CFSR Technical Bulletin #8A and the Final Notice of Statewide Data Indicators and National Standards for Child and Family Services Reviews Executive Summary—Amended (both published May 13, 2015).

³ The CFSR literature defines the statewide version of this measure as "all children who were served in foster care during the 12-month target period." This measure should include the total number of children served by the Contractor *at any time* during each year in question.

⁴ This measure is included to account for the fact that particular contractors may be qualified and relied upon to serve the needs of particular subgroups of children entering foster care. If necessary for purposes of specificity, please provide any working definitions or tests used to classify children within particular subgroups.

- Total number and percentage of children served whose placement was moved sometime during the year;⁵
- d. Of all children who entered foster care each year and were discharged within one year (to reunification, living with a relative, or guardianship), total number and percentage who re-entered foster care within one year of their discharge.⁶
- Total number and percentage of substantiated or indicated reports of maltreatment (by any perpetrator) during a foster care episode;⁷
- f. Of all children who were victims of a substantiated or indicated maltreatment report during each calendar year, total number and percentage of children who had a second substantiated or indicated maltreatment report within one year of their initial report;⁸
- g. Number and percentage of children served who, within one year, achieved the following permanency outcomes:⁹
 - i. Reunification;
 - ii. Adoption;
 - iii. Guardianship;
 - iv. Another planned permanent living arrangement;
- h. Number and percentage of children entering into care who have siblings placed in the same living arrangement;
- i. Number and percentage of children entering into care who are placed with relatives;
- j. Number and percentage of children entering foster care who received a full initial physical and mental health assessment within 60 days;
- Number and percentage of children entering foster care for whom permanency goals were established in a timely manner;¹⁰

⁵ CFSR measure: Placement stability

⁶ CFSR measure: Re-entry to foster care in 12 months

⁷ CFSR measure: Maltreatment in foster care

⁸ CFSR measure: Recurrence of maltreatment

⁹ CFSR measures: Permanency in 12 months for children entering foster care

¹⁰ In answering this question, please identify the timeframe in which your agency seeks to establish permanency guidelines for each child entering into foster care.

- 1. Number and percentage of children served who received monthly caseworker visits;
- m. The total cost to the state, county, or local government of services provided under the contract.
- n. Average caseload for each caseworker employed by the Contractor.
- 3. For each measure identified above, please provide the same performance measure for the state foster care system as a whole, for each year 2010 through 2015.
- 4. Provide a copy of any performance rankings, ratings, or reviews of Contractors prepared by your agency for years 2010 through 2015.
- Provide any additional information regarding individual Contractor performance that you believe may warrant our attention.¹¹
- 6. Provide all performance and investigative reports and evaluations of Contractor placement agencies that are subsidiaries or affiliates of National Mentor Holdings, Inc., that have been prepared by or issued by the State, or by the contracting entity within the State, from January 2010 to the present. The term "investigative reports" includes reports relating to the death, sexual abuse or injury to a child while in the care/custody of foster parents recruited or employed by subsidiaries or affiliates of National Mentor Holdings, Inc.
- 7. Provide the name and contact information of a state official with whom Committee staff may communicate to resolve any questions regarding the operation of the state's foster care program, and in particular, the use by the state of for-profit child placement agencies.

¹¹ Such information may include observations about individual Contractors' performance in the following areas, which may be difficult to quantify in a single measure: thoroughness in conducting criminal background checks of potential foster parents; timely reporting of credible claims of abuse and neglect; provision of adequate training to caseworkers, etc.

We thank you for your prompt attention to this request. Please submit your response in electronic format to Kim Brandt, Chief Oversight Counsel (Majority), (kim_brandt@finance.senate.gov) and to David Berick, Chief Investigator (Minority), (david_berick@finance.senate.gov). Any questions may be directed to Ms. Brandt at (202) 224-4515 or to Mr. Berick at (202) 224-6399.

Sincerely,

Orrin G. Hatch

Chairman, Senate Finance Committee

Ron Wyden
Ranking Member

Appendix D



MEMORANDUM October 27, 2016

To: Senate Finance Committee

Attention: Dave Berick and Ian Nicholson

From: Emilie Stoltzfus, Specialist in Social Policy, 7-2324

Subject: Statewide data indicators used in the Child and Family Services Review (CFSR)

This memorandum responds to your questions about national standards established for use in the third round of the Child and Family Services Review (CFSR). The CFSR is a comprehensive review done to determine if a state child welfare agency is achieving positive outcomes for the children and families it serves, and, specifically, if the agency is "in substantial conformity" with federal child welfare requirements. The national standards are derived from statewide data indicators and have been a part of the CFSR assessment of whether a state is ensuring safety and achieving permanency for children served. The majority of the memorandum looks at the seven national standards established for the current CFSR (round 3). It discusses data sources used, what the measures look at, why and how the data on state performance were risk-standardized, data quality issues, and finally, how states performed compared to each other and the national standards.

The discussion of the standards in this memorandum, including the seven figures ranking state performance on each, is based on the statewide data indicators as they were finalized in May 2015 However, on October 11, 2016, the Children's Bureau - the agency within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Administration for Children and Families (ACF), Administration on Children, Youth and Families (ACYF) that administers federal child welfare programs and the CFSRannounced that due to "technical errors in syntax and formulation of the statewide data indicators" it was suspending their use as a "conformity" measure for CFSR round 3. At the same time, the Children's Bureau announced it was conducting a thorough re-analysis and testing of each of the seven standards and that it plans to revise and re-release the standards, along with revised state performance on them, before the end of 2016. In other words, while the national standards will not play a role in determining any potential fiscal penalties for states during CFSR round 3, states are still expected to use these measures (revised) as part of assessing and better understanding their performance going forward. Additionally, while the Children's Bureau expects this revision to change, to some degree, the values of each of the national standards (and state performance against the standards), it has made clear that the revision will not change what it seeks to measure. Instead, the Bureau's goal is to perfect its code to enable state performance on each of the measures to be more accurately reflected.

Accordingly, while the precise values shown in this memorandum, as well as state performance against the national standards, are subject to change (exact degree of change uncertain at this point), what is being measured will remain unchanged. Thus, CRS believes this memorandum will have value in explaining what the measures intend to look at and how they seek to capture this information, as well as in showing initial values and state performance against the initial values. I trust this information will meet your needs. Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have additional questions.

Overview of CFSR

The Child and Family Services Review (CFSR) is periodically conducted in each state to determine if a state is in "substantial conformity" with federal child welfare policies included in Title IV-B and Title IV-E of the Social Security Act. The reviews focus on whether the state child welfare agency achieved seven specific outcomes for children and families served and whether it has in place and is effectively operating seven specific systems discussed in federal law and intended to help the agency achieve those outcomes. There are two major steps in the process, a state's own assessment of its performance (statewide assessment) followed by an onsite review of state performance. After the CFSR, states not found to be in "substantial conformity" with one or more of these outcomes or systems must develop a Program Improvement Plan (PIP) or face a fiscal penalty. ²

A PIP, developed by the state but with approval of the Children's Bureau required, must describe the steps the state will take and progress it will make to address each outcome and/or system for which it was found not in conformity; specifically, it must establish benchmarks and indicate the level of progress the state must make against those benchmarks in order to be considered to have successfully completed the PIP. States typically have two years to implement the PIP and during this time HHS must regularly evaluate progress. If the state successfully completes all aspects of its PIP, all penalties assessed based on the CFSR are rescinded. If the state successfully completes only some (or none) of its PIP, the amount of its fiscal penalty is determined commensurate with its level of success/failure. As further laid out in the CFSR regulations, the penalty is taken as a specified share of funding the state receives under the child welfare programs included in Title IV-B and Title IV-E of the Social Security Act.

Through the first two rounds of the CFSR, no state has been found in substantial conformity with all the outcomes and systems assessed. Every state has entered into a PIP and most states have been successful at completing those PIPS. However, HHS assessed varying penalty amounts totaling \$9.6 million on 4 jurisdictions (DC, GA, RI, and SC) following round one of the CFSR³ and it assessed various penalty amounts totaling \$13.5 million on 7 jurisdictions (IL, MN, NC, ND, OH, PR, WI) following round two of the reviews.⁴

Outcomes Assessment in the CFSR

The CFSR looks at whether states achieve seven outcomes related to safety; permanency; and well-being. These outcomes are 1) Children are first and foremost protected from abuse and neglect; 2) Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate; 3) Children have permanency and stability in their living situations; 4) The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved; 5) Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs; 6) Children receive appropriate

¹ Specifically the review is to look at state plan requirements included in the child welfare programs authorized in Title IV-B and Title IV-E. For a discussion of many of those requirements see CRS Report R42794, *Child Welfare: State Plan Requirements under the Title IV-E Foster Care, Adoption Assistance, and Kinship Guardianship Assistance Program,* by Emilie Stoltzfus.

² The statutory authority for these reviews and for "corrective action" (PIPs) is included in Sec. 1123A of the Social Security Act [42 U.S.C. § 1320a—2a]. The CFSR and PIP regulations are at 45 C.F.R. 1355.31 through 45 C.F.R. 1355.37.

⁵ Initially HHS assessed fines on five additional jurisdictions (TX, FL, IL, MI, and CA) following CFSR round 1. However, all of those states successfully appealed (to either HHS ACF or, in CA's case the HHS Departmental Appeals Board) and the fines were rescinded.

⁴ Two of those jurisdictions (IL and PR) appealed the CFSR round 2 fines. As of October 21, 2016, the PR appeal was still pending. However, HHS Departmental Appeals Board (DAB) denied Illinois's appeal in a decision issued September 20, 2016. See HHS, DAB, Appellate Divisions, *Illinois Department of Children and Families*, Docket No. A-15-108, Decision No. 2734, http://www.hhs.gov/dab/decisions/dabdecisions/2016/dab2734.pdf.

services to meet their educational needs; and 7) Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.

Each of the seven outcomes is assessed based on an intensive review of a sample of case files (40 children served in foster care and 25 children served in the home). Intensive case review includes document reviews and interviews with all relevant individuals (e.g., child, child's parents, case worker, foster parents, and relevant service provider). To be found in "substantial conformity" with a given outcome, the review must find the outcome achieved in no less than 95% of the applicable cases assessed. 6

To determine whether the outcome was achieved in an applicable case, the reviewer examines one or more specific items associated with each outcome. For example, in assessing whether "children are first and foremost protected from abuse and neglect," the case reviewer must determine whether reports of child abuse or neglect were investigated on a timely basis and if that investigation included face-to-face contact with the child. (For a quick list of "items" associated with each outcome assessment see the text box "Outcomes Assessed in the CFSR.") The Children's Bureau has developed a detailed review instrument that must be followed as part of conducting a CFSR case review. It provides specific instructions on determining when a case is "applicable" for a given item along with detailed guidance on how the reviewer should assess and rate the state's performance on individual items. ⁷

For the first two rounds of the CFSR (conducted in 2001-2004 and 2007-2010), achieving the given safety, permanency or well-being outcome in the vast majority of applicable cases reviewed (90% in round 1 and 95% in round 2) was necessary but not sufficient to ensure a "substantial conformity" determination on two of the seven outcomes assessed. Specifically, to be found in conformity with federal requirements concerning the outcomes: "Children are first and foremost protected from abuse and neglect;" and "Children have permanency and stability in their living situations," states were additionally required to have statewide data showing they met specific national standards.

By contrast, for CFSR round 3, and as announced on October 11, 2016, achieving the given outcome in 95% of applicable cases reviewed will be sufficient to show conformity with the given outcome. The Children's Bureau announced that it would suspend the use of the national standards during CFSR round 3 at the same time it announced it was revising the standards, previously issued in May 2015, to correct technical errors in the syntax and formulation of the computer code. These errors may have inadvertently included or excluded data needed to accurately measure state performance on each standard.⁸

⁵ The CFSR case sample is drawn from no fewer than three counties of the state, one of which must be the state's most populous county.

⁶ For the CFSR round 1 a smaller number of cases were reviewed and states needed to be successful in 90% of applicable cases.

⁷ The onsite review instrument and guide (OSRI) is 93 pages long and provides specific instructions on determining whether a case is applicable and how to review and rate each case. CFSR Round 3 Onsite Review Instrument and Instructions available at http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/cfsr_r3_osri.pdf.. See also "Reviewer Brief: Understanding Federal Expectations for Rating Cases," http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/round3_reviewer_brief.pdf.

⁸ See HHS, ACF, ACYF, Children's Bureau, Letter to State Child Welfare Administrators, October 11, 2016, attached "List of round 3 Statewide Data Indicators Issues as of Publication." See "transmittal letter" for Technical Bulletin #9 available at https://training.cfsrportal.org/resources/3105#CFSR%20Technical%20Bulletins%20and%20Related%20Information.

Outcomes Assessed in the Child and Family Services Review (CFSR)

Determination of a state's success (or not) in achieving a given outcome is based solely on case reviews for CFSR round 3. A state must achieve the outcome in no less than 95% of the applicable cases reviewed. National standards shown are used in CFSR round 3 for context only.

SAFETY OUTCOMES

Children are first and foremost protected from abuse and neglect:

Case review: Was there a **timely response** to the report alleging maltreatment; did it include **face-to-face contact** with the child or children?

National standards: Do statewide data meet recurrence of maltreatment standard? Do statewide data meet maltreatment in foster care standard?

Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate.

Case review: Did the agency make concerted efforts to prevent entry or permit reunification, and to assess and address risk and safety for children living at home or in foster care?

PERMANENCY OUTCOMES

Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.

Case review: Was the **child in a stable foster care placement**? Did the agency establish **appropriate permanency goals** for the child in a **timely** manner and did it make concerted **efforts to achieve** reunification, guardianship, adoption, or other planned permanent living arrangement?

National Standard: Do statewide data meet the standards related to -1) permanency for children entering care within 12 months; 2) re-entry to foster care; 3) placement stability; 4) permanency for children in care 12-23 months; and 5) permanency for children in care 24 months or more?

The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved.

Case review: Did agency make concerted **effort to place siblings together**, as appropriate; **ensure visits** with parents or siblings of sufficient frequency and quality, as appropriate; **place the child with relatives** when appropriate; and **promote positive relationships between child in care and parent(s)/primary caregiver** from whom the child was removed through activities other than arranging visits?

WELL-BEING OUTCOMES

Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs.

Case Review: Did agency make concerted effort to assess needs of, and provide services to, children, parents, and foster parents and to involve parents and children (when developmentally appropriate) in case planning on an ongoing basis? Were the frequency and quality of visits between caseworkers and children and, separately, between caseworkers and the mothers and fathers of children, sufficient to achieve positive outcomes and case plan goals?

Children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs.

Case Review: Did the agency make concerted **efforts to assess children's education needs** and **appropriately address them** in case planning and case management activities?

Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.

Case Review: Did the agency address the physical health (including dental) and mental/behavioral health needs of children?

Source: Prepared by Congressional Research Service (CRS) based on "Child and Family Services Reviews: Quick Reference Items List" and "CFSR Round 3 Statewide Data Indicator Series."

Systems Assessment in the CFSR

In addition to successfully achieving safety, permanency, and well-being outcomes for 95% of the cases reviewed, a state must demonstrate that it is successfully operating seven "systems" on a statewide basis. These systems are – 1) statewide information; 2) case review; 3) quality assurance; 4) staff and provider training; 5) service array and resource development; 6) agency responsiveness to the community; and 7) foster and adoptive parent recruitment and training.

Federal law spells out specific requirements (some with far greater detail than others) related to each of these "systems" and they are assumed necessary to enable the state to be successful in achieving positive outcomes for the children and families it serves. As with outcomes assessed, there are typically multiple factors that must be considered as part of determining whether a given system is successfully functioning in the state. ⁹ The Children's Bureau considers a given system factor to be functioning "if it is occurring, or is being met, consistently and on an ongoing basis across the state for all relevant populations," and this must be demonstrated by more than "mere description of a law, procedure, or process." The Children's Bureau encourages states to "use quantified data to show how well each systemic factor functions statewide, when possible and appropriate." ¹⁰

For round 3 of the CFSR, determination of whether a state is successfully operating a required *child welfare system* is based on the state's assessment of its work, which must be guided by a Children's Bureau supplied assessment instrument. This may also include relevant information submitted to the Children's Bureau (regarding functioning of each of the seven systems), as part of a separate federally mandated planning and goal-setting process that includes a comprehensive five-year Child and Family Services Plan (CFSP) and an annual update of that plan known as the Annual Progress and Services Review (APSR). ¹²

Following the statewide assessment and prior to the onsite review, the Children's Bureau examines the information supplied by the state and, to the extent possible, rates each factor associated with one of the seven systems as a "strength" or as an "area needing improvement." If the state does not supply enough suitable information to make these determinations prior to the onsite review, the onsite review must include stakeholder interviews to gather the needed information. ¹⁴

There is only one system factor associated with each of the statewide information system and the quality assurance system; a state must receive a rating of "Strength" on the factor to be determined in "substantial conformity." For the remaining five systems, there are multiple factors assessed regarding how well each functions; a state may receive an "area needing improvement" for no more than one of the factors associated with a system to be found in "substantial conformity" with that system. The text box below lists each of the systems reviewed, along with the factors (or items) assessed for each system.

 $^{^9\} Guidance\ on\ Potential\ Data\ and\ Information\ that\ Can\ be\ Used\ to\ Assess\ Systemic\ Factor\ Functioning,\ p.\ 1.$ http://www.acf.hhs.gov/cb/resource/round3-guidance-on-potential-data

¹⁰ Ibid, p.2

¹¹ Statewide Assessment Instrument, http://www.acf.hhs.gov/cb/resource/round3-cfsr-statewide-assessment

 $^{^{12}\ 45\} C.F.R.\$1357.15\ and\ \$1357.16,\ as\ revised\ by\ annual\ program\ instructions\ concerning\ the\ CFSP/APSR.\ See\ also\ http://www.acf.hhs.gov/cb/programs/state-tribal-cfsp$

 $^{^{13}\} CFSR\ Procedures\ Manual, http://www.acf.hhs.gov/cb/resource/round3-cfsr-procedures-manual$

¹⁴ Ibid. In the first two CFSR rounds, stakeholder interviews, conducted as part of the onsite review, were the primary avenue of assessment for system factors. See also Stakeholder Interview Guide http://www.acf.hhs.gov/cb/resource/round3-cfsr-stakeholder-interview-guide. Under federal regulations, stakeholder input is required to assess state conformity with the system "service array."

Systemic Factors Assessed in the Child and Family Services Review (CFSR)

Determination that a state is successfully (or not) operating a given system is determined based on Children's Bureau reviews of state assessment or planning documents and, as needed, on-site stakeholder interviews.

Statewide Information System

Is this system functioning to ensure that the state can, at least, **identify the status**, **demographic characteristics**, **location**, **and goals for every child in foster care** or in care within last 12 months?

Case Review System

Is this system functioning to ensure -- each child in foster care has a written case plan; the child's status in care is reviewed at least once every 6 months; a permanency hearing occurs every 12 months (i.e., within 12 months of entry and every 12 months thereafter while child remains in care); that filing of termination of parental rights (TPR) proceedings occurs as required; and that foster parents, pre-adoptive parents and relative caregivers of children in care receive notice of and have a right to be heard in any review or hearing with respect to the child?

Quality Assurance System

Is this system operating in all served areas? Does it have standards to evaluate quality of services, identify strengths and needs of the service delivery system, provide relevant reports and evaluate implemented program improvement measures?

Staff and Provider Training

Is system functioning on a statewide basis to ensure initial and ongoing training for staff providing services, and training for prospective foster and adoptive parents as well as staff at facilities providing foster care? Does training address skills and knowledge needed for each to carry out their duties?

Service Array and Resource Development

Is system functioning to assure services are accessible in all relevant areas to assess strengths and needs of families, create safe home environments for children, enable children to remain with parents and allow children in foster care to achieve permanency? Can these services be individualized to meet unique needs of children and families served?

Agency Responsiveness to the Community

Is system functioning to ensure **state engages in ongoing consultation with relevant entities** and individuals in planning services and to **ensure that the agencies' child welfare services are coordinated** with other federal or federally assisted programs serving the same children and families?

Foster and Adoptive Parent Licensing, Recruitment, and Retention

Is system functioning to ensure — state standards apply to all licensed foster care homes and facilities receiving federal child welfare funds; state complies with criminal background clearance requirements; state meets diligent recruitment requirements to ensure potential foster and adoptive families are available that reflect the ethnic and racial diversity of children needing these homes and state ensures effective use of cross-jurisdictional resources to facilitate timely placements?

Source: Prepared by CRS based on "Child and Family Services Reviews: Quick Reference Items List."

National Standards

In each round of the CFSR, the Children's Bureau has developed "national standards" and has asked states to compare their performance, using state-reported data, to those national standards. The national standards have consistently focused on safety and permanency concerns but the specific measures have varied, as the Children's Bureau works to improve how state performance is measured (often in response to strong criticism from states or outside child welfare researchers). This memo discusses each of the seven national standards developed for this third round of the CFSR, beginning with an overview of the child welfare data systems used to develop the standards and determine state performance against those standards.

Federal Child Welfare Data Collection and Reporting Systems

All states collect electronic data as part of administering their child welfare programs and as of May 2016, 36 states claimed federal Title IV-E support to operate systems consistent with a federal model known as the Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System (SACWIS). Developed in the early 1990s to support case management and data collection needs related primarily to serving children in foster care, the SACWIS model has become increasingly outmoded as technology and child welfare practice have undergone significant change. Accordingly, in June 2016, HHS ACF finalized new regulations for the Comprehensive Child Welfare Information System (CCWIS). The new system is expected to increase the ease with which states can update systems using "off-the-shelf" products and incorporate varying practices across the state while maintaining needed statewide data. It also intends to place new focus on data quality and broader exchange of data (moving outside state health and human services agencies to include exchange with education, courts, and other entities important to the work of the child welfare agency). States have 24 months (until July 31, 2018) to decide whether to transition their current SACWIS to a CCWIS system, to build an entirely new CCWIS, or to opt out of the system.

17

A SACWIS/CCWIS (or a state's independent model) provides the superstructure – or form for *collecting*, *accessing*, *and sharing data* primarily within the state. Further, although meeting certain federal parameters, these state systems vary in how and what information they collect. At the same time, all states *report* certain child welfare administrative data to the Children's Bureau in standard electronic formats. The data are submitted via two reporting systems – the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis Reporting System (AFCARS) and the National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS). ¹⁸ State-collected

¹⁵ For criticism of standards produced for CFSR Rounds 1 and/or 2 see Brittany Orlebeke, Fred Wulczyn, and Susan Mitchell-Herzfeld, Improving Public Child Welfare Agency Performance in the Context of Federal Child and Family Services Reviews, Chapin Hall Center for Children, University of Chicago, 2005; Mark Testa, Eun Koh, and John Poertner, Can AFCARS be Rescued: Fixing the Statistical Yardstick that Measures Child Welfare Performance, Children and Family Research Center, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, March 2008; and John Schuerman and Barbara Needell, The Child and Family Services Reviews Composite Scores: Accountability Off the Track, Chapin Hall Center for Children, University of Chicago, 2009.

¹⁶ Once tribes were permitted to make direct claims for Title IV-E support (effective with FY2010), the SACWIS was renamed SACWIS/TACWIS, although no tribes opted to build a TACWIS.

¹⁷ Federal Register, "CCWIS Final Rule," vol. 81, no. 106, June 2, 2016. See also this short overview of the CCWIS system http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/ccwis_overview.pdf and the CCWIS Final Rule Presentation, http://www.acf.hhs.gov/cb/resource/ccwis-final-rule-overview-presentation.

¹⁸ Additionally, beginning with FY2011, states also report specific data to the Children's Bureau via the National Youth in Transition Database. For more information about NYTD see CRS Report R43752, Child Welfare: Profiles of Current and Former Older Foster Youth Based on the National Youth in Transition Database (NYTD), by Adrienne L. Fernandes-Alcantara.

data provided via these standardized reporting systems are used to both set national standards and to determine a state's performance against those standards. ¹⁹

AFCARS

Congress required development of a system for states to collect and report certain data about children in foster care in the 1980s. The final regulation for AFCARS was released in 1993 and submission of electronic AFCARS data files, consistent with that regulation, are required for a state's participation in the Title IV-E program. Beginning with FY1995 states have been required to report data, twice each year, on children served in foster care during the preceding six-month period.²⁰

The data are primarily reported at a child level – not on a summary or "aggregate basis." States are required to provide data on 66 specific elements for children served in foster care during each six-month reporting period and on 33 items for children who were adopted out of foster care during that period. At the federal level, these data are used for a variety of policy making and accountability purposes and for distribution of certain program funds. ²¹ At the basic information level, HHS annually produces summary information on children in foster care and those who have left foster care, in a given fiscal year, including demographic information, as well as information about their length of stay in foster care, current placement settings, and reasons for leaving foster care. ²²

Submitting "child-level" data means a state submits records to the Children's Bureau that show for each child served in foster care, for example, the child's birthdate, date of removal (and placement in foster care), race and ethnicity, discharge date and reason (if applicable) and many more pieces of information. With those child level data, the Children's Bureau can determine many summary statistics: How many children were served in foster care, what were their ages, what percentage were of a particular race/ethnicity, and how long had they been in foster care during the year. Further, it can "cut" those data in many ways allowing it, for example, to examine whether or not children's length of stay in care varied by the race of a child, or if children of a particular age were more or less likely to enter foster care. In sum, the provision of child level information requires data analysis at the federal level but it gives the Children's Bureau much greater flexibility in analyzing the data for policy study and performance review.

In February 2015, HHS formally proposed revising the AFCARS regulation to -- 1) update the system to gather information that incorporates and reflects statutory changes made since made since the original regulations were finalized; 2) institute previously authorized penalties for states submitting noncompliant AFCARS data; and 3) modify and expand data elements reported to promote more accurate understanding of current data, and that allow for information relevant to new statutory interests (e.g., educational outcomes of children served, placement with siblings); and 4) to collect data in a way that will better enable analysis of a single child's whole experience in foster care (longitudinal data).²³ In April 2016, the agency released a supplement to the AFCARS proposed rule, related to reporting on Indian children,

¹⁹ As provided for in regulation, (45 C.F.R. § 1355. 33(b)(2) states have been permitted to use an alternative data source for the child abuse and neglect data used to gauge whether they met a particular safety-related standard. The regulation permits this because NCANDS is considered a voluntary reporting system as is discussed in the memorandum (although all states currently participate in NCANDS).

²⁰ Sec. 479 of the Social Security Act.

 $^{^{21}\;}See\; "About\; AFCARS"\; http://www.acf.hhs.gov/cb/resource/about-afcars.$

²² Basic summary information is included in the annual AFCARS Report, available http://www.acf.hhs.gov/cb/research-data-technology/statistics-research/afcars(scroll to bottom of page).

²³ Federal Register vol. 80, no. 26, February 9, 2015, pp. 7132-7221. See also http://www.acf.hhs.gov/cb/resource/afcars-proposed-rule-overview.

including compliance with the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA).²⁴ A final AFCARS rule, incorporating the initial and supplemental proposals, is expected before the end of 2016.

National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS)

In 1988 Congress required HHS to develop a national data collection and analysis program that made use of existing data gathered by individual states concerning child abuse and neglect.²⁵ HHS, in consultation with state agencies and technical experts established specific reporting standards and the resulting system is known as NCANDS.²⁶ In 1996, Congress required states, as a condition of receiving certain funding under the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA), to annually report – "to the maximum extent practicable – certain child abuse and neglect information."²⁷ (For example, how many children were reported to the state during the year as victims of child abuse and neglect and how many of those children did the state determine were victims of abuse and neglect during the year?) These CAPTA-specified data elements are primarily reported to the Children's Bureau via NCANDS.

Because there is not absolute statutory requirement that states provide data, NCANDS is considered a voluntary reporting system and there are no formal regulations on the system. Nonetheless, all states report NCANDS data annually to the Children's Bureau. Among other things the data are used to inform policymaking and may be used for accountability purposes. Most, but not all of this data is provided on a child level (rather than aggregate or summary) basis. That means specific information (e.g., race ethnicity, age, type of maltreatment) is reported for each child determined to be a victim of child abuse and neglect. As required by law, HHS annually produces a report that summarizes information reported via NCANDS. The report provides both national and state level data.

Data from both AFCARS and NCANDS are used to annually produce a report, *Child Welfare Outcomes*, showing state performance on certain child welfare outcome measures and additional information as required by Congress or otherwise supplied by HHS.²⁹ In addition, AFCARS and NCANDS are the two child welfare data sources HHS has used to develop national standards to be used in the Child and Family Services Review (CFSR).

Development of the National Standards

The regulations implementing federal reviews of child welfare agency practice provide that the HHS secretary may use AFCARS and NCANDS data to develop statewide data indicators for any of the CFSR outcomes related to safety, permanency, or well-being and that these data indicators may be used to

²⁴ Federal Register vol. 81, no. 67, April 7, 2016, pp. 202083-20301. See also http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/afcars_snprm_overview.pdf.

²⁵ The requirement was included in 1988 amendments to the Child Abuse Prevention And Treatment Act (CAPTA) (P.L. 101-294). This provision is included in current law at Sec. 103(c)(1)(C) of CAPTA [42 U.S.C. §5104(c)(1)(C)].

²⁶ For discussion of development, see HHS, ACF, ACYF, Children's Bureau, *Child Maltreatment 2014*, pp

²⁷ HHS, ACF, ACYF, Children's Bureau, Child Maltreatment 2014, Chapter 1, "Background of NCANDS," p. 2. See also Sec. 106(d) of CAPTA [42 U.S.C. §5106a(d)]. See also NCANDS information available at http://www.acf.hhs.gov/cb/research-data-technology/reporting-systems/ncands.

²⁸ See series of reports titled Child Maltreatment, available at http://www.acf.hhs.gov/cb/research-data-technology/statistics-research/child-maltreatment.

²⁹ The initial outcome measures followed in this report, known as *Child Welfare Outcomes*, were developed pursuant to Section 479A of the Social Security Act, which was first added to the law in 1997 (P.L. 105-89). Additional data have subsequently been required and HHS has also used the report to discuss state performance on national standards. The *Child Welfare Outcomes* report is typically quite lengthy. You can also review the data on the online data portal for the report http://cwoutcomes.acf.hhs.gov/data/overview.

determine whether a state is determined to be "in substantial conformity" with federal child welfare policy. Further, the regulations note that "when appropriate," the HHS secretary may "add, amend, or suspend" any such data indicators. To date, HHS has consistently developed national standards related to safety (maltreatment in foster care, and recurrence of maltreatment) and permanency (timeliness to permanency, placement stability, re-entries to care) outcomes for each of the three rounds of CFSR. However, for each round, the way the standards were developed – and consequently the way a state's performance was measured – varied.

For round three, there are seven national standards and each standard is set at the national average among all children served. This means that the average is effectively weighted by child rather than by state (as was the case in previous iterations of the standards) and thus the performance of states serving more children has a larger effect on the national standard than do states serving fewer children.³² According to HHS, setting the national standard at the overall national average performance represents "a reasonable benchmark that would appropriately challenge states to improve their performance.³³

Risk Standardized Performance

The process of risk adjustment standardizes the state's age (and for some indicators, entry-rate) profile on a given indicator to the national caseload. It is computed to help ensure comparability across states and to the national standard. Any assessment of whether a state meets or exceeds the standard is to be based on the risk-adjusted performance, *not* the "observed performance." (The "observed performance" is calculated as the percentage (or rate) based entirely on the reported data without adjustment by age and/or rate of entry.)

Risk adjustment by age recognizes that the likelihood of a child experiencing a given outcome is known to vary considerably based on a child's age. For example, the risk that a child will be determined by the state to be a victim of abuse or neglect is much higher for younger children than for teenagers and the risk that a child will leave foster care to permanency also varies considerably by age.

Risk adjustment by the state's rate of entry to foster care recognizes that states with very low rates of entry to foster care (i.e., number of children entering foster care for every 1,000 children in the state's population) are likely to have brought in a more challenging group of children than a state with a high rate of entry. Children with more challenges are less likely to leave care quickly and they may also be more likely to re-enter care.

³⁰ 45 C.F.R. § 1355.34(b)(4)

³¹ HHS has never developed a national standard related to any of the three well-being outcomes included in the CFSR. This is very possibly due in some part to lack of relevant AFCARS or NCANDS data to speak to those outcomes and might also be related to the fact that 1) the role of the agency in ensuring well-being *outcomes* of children served may be harder to separate from other agencies; and/or 2) the most obvious federal requirements are more process-based. For example, the child welfare agency is responsible for working with educational agencies to ensure educational stability for children in care. This work (a process) is intended to improve educational outcomes for children in care. However, while this child welfare agency work to ensure educational stability for foster children may be necessary to ensure the child's academic success, it is not necessarily sufficient to ensure that success absent the work of educational agencies.

 $^{^{32}}$ For example, if state A has 1,000 children in care and 60% experience the outcome of interest and state B has 100 children in care of whom 90% experience the outcome of interest, a "national" standard that was based on average experience of children served in these two states alone -- would be 63%. (i.e., 690 children with desired outcome divided by total of 1,100 children served = 63%). By contrast, a national standard that was based on an average of the two states' performance would be 75% (i.e., the combined state performance would be 150% of children achieving desired outcomes divided by total number of states (n=2) = an average performance of 75%.

³³ In the first iteration of the CFSR, national standards were pegged at the 75th percentile of state performance on each standard. However, although the standards focused on the same kind of safety and permanency issues, the calculation of that performance was significantly different than is the case for this current iteration of the standards.

Once a state's risk-standardized performance is determined, a margin of error for that performance is calculated. The margin of error is necessarily greater for states with smaller caseloads and thus these states will have a wider risk-standardized performance range, and vice versa. As long as any value in the state's risk-adjusted range (from low end of confidence interval to high end of confidence interval) matches or is better than the national standard, the state's performance is considered to meet (or match) the standard.

Data Quality

Before it calculated the national standards for CFSR round 3, the Children's Bureau performed certain data quality checks on data submitted by states for periods used to establish those standards. Data quality may be considered subpar if a certain percentage of records do not include needed information (e.g., date of birth, date of entry to foster care, date of discharge from foster care, reason for discharge, etc.). Alternatively a state may fail a data quality check if a certain percentage of records doesn't appear logical (e.g., date of birth is reported as after date reported for child's entry to foster care; age of child is given as 21 or older at entry or discharge; virtually every child is reported as having entered foster care for the first time etc.). Finally, a state's data may be disqualified if an insufficient share of record ID numbers matches across reporting periods or across different data sets (i.e., AFCARS and NCANDS) or if the state obviously "dropped" records for a child across the time period.³

Different pieces of information (data) from different reporting periods and different data sets are needed to calculate each of the seven national standards. Because each standard drew on multiple reporting periods and multiple data elements, a state may have been excluded based on data quality concerns on just one element and for one period. Alternatively, a state's data quality may have been subpar for multiple reporting periods and/or for multiple data periods.³⁵

For each standard, data from between 3 and 6 states (includes Puerto Rico) were excluded from calculation of national standards based on data quality. However, all states met the vast majority of these data quality checks across multiple time periods. Missing data was a far less more common data quality concern than child ID records not properly linking or dropped records. Additionally, data quality was a more common problem with NCANDS, which as discussed above is considered a "voluntary" system, than for AFCARS. (States whose data were excluded from creation of a given national standard are listed in a note accompanying the memorandum's Figures 1-7, showing state performance on the standard.)

Planned Revision of the National Standards

Information about each of the seven national standards, as they were developed for the third round of the CFSR, is discussed below based on data available on the Children's Bureau website, or in formal regulations, prior to the October 11, 2016 announcement that the standards would be revised and state performance recalculated.³⁶ CRS believes the information provided below remains relevant because HHS

³⁴ See CFSR Round 3 workbook (May 2015) for time periods relevant to each standard, a table listing all data quality items, and tables, showing by state, any data item that failed the quality check.

³⁵ For example, on the one extreme Florida was excluded from development of five national standards - including three related to timely permanency, one concerning placement stability, and one concerning re-entries to foster care) – because for a single 6-month reporting period the state's data indicated that 97.2% of children served were entering care based on a first removal. The data quality standard for this issue sets the limit for this information at 95%. Accordingly, while Florida met the data quality limits on that specific issue for each of the other relevant reporting periods, and it supplied all the data needed to calculate the standards, it was excluded from the national standards calculation based solely on that single data quality issue in a single reporting period. By contrast, North Carolina and Puerto Rico, failed to meet one or more data quality standards for all or most of the reporting periods used to calculate the standards and both were excluded from calculation of most of the national standards. ³⁶ HHS, ACF, ACYF, Children's Bureau, Technical Bulletin 9 and transmittal letter, October 11, 2016. Available at

⁽continued...)

does not intend to change what it has already announced that it will be measuring. Instead it seeks to perfect the computer code it wrote to ensure that it obtains a more accurate measurement of state performance.

For example, the number of days children spent in foster care is relevant to determining the national standard for maltreatment of children in foster care, as well as placement stability. HHS, in reviewing its computer code has identified several ways in which it may have *over-counted* placement days (e.g., it included days in care after a child turned age 18) and at least one way that it under-counted days in care (i.e., it did not account for the extra day in leap years). Perfecting the code to address an over-count of days in care could, if large enough for a given state, worsen its performance (although that worsened performance would also be incorporated into the revised national standard and so would lower the standard as well). At the same time, correcting for an undercount of placement days, if large enough, could improve state performance and raise the national example.

In a separate, possibly more troubling example, HHS notes two ways that it may have undercounted recurrence of maltreatment. In one situation, the computer code was written to rule out recurrence of maltreatment if there were two reports of maltreatment for a child but the maltreatment was reported to have occurred on the same date. The intention was to ensure that if a child was reported twice for the same occurrence of maltreatment, this was not treated as recurrence. However, as HHS now acknowledges, as the code was written, it ruled out a child that met this criteria, without first checking whether a *third* report of maltreatment occurred at some later date in the 12-month period.

In sum, HHS has stated that it intends to carefully review all of its code and will release revised national standards and state performance related to those standards before the end of the calendar year. This may change all or some of the values of the national standards and the state ranking of performance on those standards. At the same time, CRS is providing the following description of the measures because they are expected to be unchanged; it is providing figures of state performance based on the previously published standards and performance measures to illustrate how the standards compare to observed and risk-standardized performance. However, the values of those standards and state performance are expected to change.

Seven National Standards

Two of the national standards relate to ensuring children are protected from abuse and neglect and five concern ensuring permanence and stability in living situations for children experiencing foster care. Each of those standards is described below and that description is followed, in each case, by a figure showing state performance against the national standard (as calculated in May 2015).

The national standard for each measure is indicated by a red vertical line shown in each figure. State performance values shown in these figures are the state's observed performance (red circle), as well as two markers for its risk-standardized performance: (RSP) range (black horizontal line) and midpoint of the RSP range (blue bar). The states, which for purposes of this discussion include 52 jurisdictions (50 states, DC and PR) are ranked, best to worst performance, based on the midpoint of their risk standardized performance. However, a state was considered in compliance with the national standard if *any* value in its RSP range – which represents the low and high end of statistical confidence in the state's risk standardized performance – equaled or was better than the national standard. When reading each figure, if the state's RSP range (black horizontal line) crosses, touches, or is better than the national standard (may

(...continued)

https://training.cfsrportal.org/resources/3105 # CFSR%20 Technical%20 Bulletins%20 and %20 Related%20 Information.

be to the left or right of national standard depending on the measure and as indicated in each figure), it was in compliance with the national standard.

Recurrence of Maltreatment

This indicator has traditionally been used as part of assessing whether a state achieves the following safety outcome: Children are first and foremost protected from abuse and neglect.

It is focused on *all* children (under age 18) who come to the attention of the child welfare agency (via a report of abuse or neglect) and for whom the state determines abuse or neglect occurred. (It is not limited to children in foster care.) Specifically it asks – Of all the children who were found by the state to be victims of abuse or neglect in a given year, how many were *again* found to be victims of abuse or neglect within 12 months of the earlier maltreatment finding? In the May 2015 workbook, the national standard was set at 9.1% recurrence.³⁷

Maltreatment is more often determined for younger children. A state's performance is risk-adjusted by the age of children when the first maltreatment finding is made. Data used for this indicator are reported by states via NCANDS, and HHS must link data across two report years to determine the state's performance.


Figure 1 shows state performance on this measure as calculated in May 2015. A state was considered to be in compliance with the national standard for recurrence of maltreatment if *any* value in its RSP range equaled or was less than the national standard (9.1%). Therefore, if the state's black RSP range line crosses, touches, or is to the left of (lower value) the red national standard line, it was in compliance with the national standard.

Figure 1 indicates that 27 states were in compliance with the 9.1% national standard for recurrence of maltreatment. This includes Washington and Vermont, both of which were considered to have a performance no different than the national standard. It does not include Florida, even though that state appears *above* Washington and Vermont in the figure. This ranking happened because – even though the mid-point of Florida's RSP range indicated a better performance than the comparable information for both Washington and Vermont – Florida's entire RSP range falls to the right of the national standard; thus all the values in Florida's RSP range were *higher than* the national standard of 9.1%.

³⁷ For more detailed information on the specific construction of this indicator, and the various NCANDS data elements used as well as rules about excluding or including data, see the two-page fact sheet on CFSR Statewide Data Indicators Round 3: Recurrence of Maltreatment, 2015.

Figure 1. Recurrence of Maltreatment

Risk standardized performance (RSP) = observed performance adjusted by age of children. A state meets the national standard (9.1% - shown as red line) if any value in its RSP range includes, matches or is *lower than* that value.



Source: Chart prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS) based on *CFSR Round 3 Statewide Data Indicators Workbook*, May 2015. http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/cfsr_stateperformanceworkbook.pdf

Notes: Data used to calculate the national standard, and to show state performance against that standard were submitted by states as part of the National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS) for FY2012 and FY2013. Data from MO, PA, PR, TN and VA are not shown due to data quality concerns.

Maltreatment in Foster Care

This indicator has traditionally been used as part of assessing whether a state achieves the following safety outcome: Children are first and foremost protected from abuse and neglect.

The indicator is focused on children in foster care (under age 18) and measures the *rate* at which these children experience maltreatment while in care, regardless of who is the reported perpetrator of the maltreatment. 38 In the May 2015 workbook, the national standard for this indicator was set at 8.5 occurrences of maltreatment per 100,000 days of foster care. 39

This measure counts all the occurrences of maltreatment for children while they were in foster care (in a 12-month period) and then divides that by the total number of days those children were in foster care during that same 12-month period. The final result is multiplied by 100,000 to allow for a more understandable whole number. The final result is multiplied by 100,000 to allow for a more understandable whole number. Thus the rate determined is the number of times maltreatment was determined to have occurred for a child in foster care per 100,000 days of foster care.

This measure is one of two national standards based on the number of days a child spent in foster care. Using days in care is particularly useful when the likelihood of an event occurring is directly related to a child's length of stay in care. With regard to maltreatment in care, a longer stay provides more "opportunity" for maltreatment, thus the use of placement days equalizes risk determination whether a child is in care for two months or the whole year.

Maltreatment is more commonly determined to have occurred for younger children. A state's performance with regard to maltreatment in foster care is adjusted by the median age of children entering care, or, if already in care, median age on first day of the 12-month period. Data used for this indicator are reported by states via NCANDS and AFCARS. Data from these two systems must be linked (by child ID) to identify children who are found to be maltreated (NCANDS) and children who were in foster care (AFCARS). The AFCARS data is also used to count the number of days a child spent in foster care.

Figure 2 shows state performance on this measure as calculated in May 2015. A state was considered to be in compliance with the national standard for maltreatment in foster care if *any* value in its RSP range equaled or was less than the national standard (8.5 victimizations per 100,000 days in foster care). Therefore, if the state's black RSP range line crosses, touches, or is to the left of (lower value) the red national standard line, it was in compliance with the national standard.

Figure 2 indicates that 25 states were in compliance with this national standard. This includes Louisiana and Delaware. It does not include Tennessee, even though that state appears *above* Louisiana and Delaware in the figure. This ranking happened because – even though the mid-point of Tennessee's RSP range indicated a better performance than the comparable information for both Louisiana and Delaware – Tennessee's entire RSP range falls to the right of the national standard; thus all the values in Tennessee's RSP range were *higher than* the national standard of 8.5 victimizations per 100,000 days in foster care.


³⁸ The maltreatment in foster care standard used in earlier rounds of the CFSR looked only at maltreatment reported as perpetrated by a foster parent or other foster caregiver. In this round, however, HHS has determined that while the responsibility for the child rests with the agency, maltreatment by any perpetrator (even by a parent if done during a "trial home visit") must be counted as the responsibility of the child welfare agency.

³⁹ For more detailed information on the specific construction of this indicator, and the various AFCARS and NCANDS data elements used as well as rules about excluding or including data, see the two-page fact sheet on CFSR Statewide Data Indicators Round 3: Maltreatment in Foster Care, 2015.

⁴⁰ When describing relatively rare events, statisticians often turn to a larger scale (e.g., 100,000) so that the rate can be expressed as something that is 1 or greater. Using the more common, 1,000 scale would result in a maltreatment rate of .085 (i.e., less than one) maltreatment per 1,000 days in care. For another example of a rate scaled to 100,000 see the statistics related to death by suicide, which are based on suicides per 100,000 individuals in the population. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/suicide.htm

Figure 2. Maltreatment in Foster Care

Risk standardized performance (RSP) = observed performance adjusted by age of children. A state meets the national standard (8.5 victimizations per 100,000 days in foster care – as shown by red line) if its RSP range includes or is *lower than* that value.



Source: Chart prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS) based on *CFSR Round 3 Statewide Data Indicators Workbook*, May 2015. http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/cfsr_stateperformanceworkbook.pdf

Notes: Data used to calculate the national standard, and to show state performance against that standard were submitted by states as part of the National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS) for FY2013 and the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis Reporting System (AFCARS) for FY2013. Data from MS, NC, PA, PR, are not shown due to data quality concerns.

Permanency for Children within 12 months of Entering Care

This indicator has traditionally been used as part of assessing whether a state achieves the following permanency outcome: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.

It is focused on children (under age 18) who enter foster care during a 12-month period and it asks the question: Of those children, how many left foster care to "permanency" within 12 months of that entry to care? Permanency in this instance means the child was formally discharged from foster care to be reunited with his/her parent(s), to live with a relative, for adoption or for legal guardianship. In the May 2015 workbook, the national standard was set at 40.5%.

Children's speed of exit from care may be affected by age. Additionally, in states where the rate of entry to foster care is low – suggesting children are only brought into formal care when circumstances are most difficult – the speed of exit may also be slowed. Accordingly, a state's observed performance is riskadjusted by the age of children when they enter care and by the rate at which children enter foster care in the state (i.e., number of children entering foster care per every 1,000 children in care).

Data used for this indicator are reported by states via the AFCARS. HHS must link data across multiple years (3 years, including six reporting periods) to determine the state's performance. A state with a risk standardized performance range that includes, matches, or is *above* the national standard of 40.5% meets (or is no different than) the national standard.


Figure 3 shows state performance on this measure as calculated in May 2015. A state was considered to be in compliance with the national standard for permanency for children within 12 months of entering care if *any* value in its RSP range equaled or was *greater than* the national standard (40.5%). Therefore, if the state's black RSP range line crosses, touches, or is to the right of (higher value) the red national standard line, it was in compliance with the national standard.

Figure 3 indicates that 27 states were in compliance with this national standard. This includes New Hampshire. It does not include Texas, even though that state appears *above* New Hampshire in the figure. This ranking happened because – even though the mid-point of Texas's RSP range indicated a better performance than the comparable information for New Hampshire – Texas's entire RSP range falls to the left of the national standard (as did its observed performance); thus all the values in Texas's RSP range were *lower than* the national standard of 40.5% permanence for children within 12 months of entering foster care.

⁴¹ For more detailed information on the specific construction of this indicator, and the various AFCARS data elements used as well as rules about excluding or including data, see the two-page fact sheet on CFSR Statewide Data Indicators Round 3: Permanency in 12 months for Children Entering Foster Care, 2015.

Figure 3. Permanence for Children within 12 Months of Entering Care

Risk standardized performance (RSP) = observed performance adjusted by age at entry to care and foster care entry rate. A state meets the national standard (40.5% - shown with red line) if its RSP range includes or is *higher than* that value.



Source: Chart prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS) based on CFSR Round 3 Statewide Data Indicators Workbook, May 2015. http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/cfsr_stateperformanceworkbook.pdf

Notes: Data used to calculate the national standard, and to show state performance against that standard were submitted by states as part of the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis Reporting System (AFCARS) for three years (April 2011-March 2014). Data for FL, NC, PR and WV are not included due to data quality issues.

Re-Entry to Foster Care

This indicator has traditionally been used as part of assessing whether a state achieves the following permanency outcome: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.

It is focused on children (under age 18) who leave foster care for a permanent home within 12 months of entering care. It asks: Of those children exiting to permanency within 12 months of entering care, how many *re-enter care* within a year of that exit to permanency? Permanency in this instance means the child was formally discharged from foster care to be reunited with his/her parent(s), to live with a relative, or for legal guardianship. (Exits to adoption are excluded from the denominator in this measure because some states change a child's identifiers (e.g., ID code) following an adoption and this means the child's re-entry (numerator count) cannot be reliably tracked. In the May 2015 workbook, the national standard was set at 8.3%. 42

Children's speed of exit from care may be affected by age and by the rate at which the state brings children into care (its entry rate). Accordingly, a state's observed performance is risk-adjusted by the age of children when they enter care and by the rate at which children enter foster care in the state (i.e., number of children entering foster care per every 1,000 children in care).

Data used for this indicator are reported by states via AFCARS. HHS must link data across three years (including 6 reporting periods) to determine the state's performance. A state with a risk standardized performance range that includes, matches, or is *lower than* the national standard of 8.3% meets (or is no different than) the national standard.


Figure 4 shows state performance on this measure as calculated in May 2015. A state was considered to be in compliance with the national standard for re-entry to foster care if *any* value in its RSP range equaled or was *less* than the national standard (8.3%). Therefore, if the state's black RSP range line crosses, touches, or is to the left of (lower value) the red national standard line, it was in compliance with the national standard.

Figure 4 indicates that 29 states were in compliance with this national standard and they are shown as the first 29 states displayed in the figure (from the top).

⁴² For more detailed information on the specific construction of this indicator, and the various AFCARS data elements used as well as rules about excluding or including data, see the two-page fact sheet on CFSR Statewide Data Indicators Round 3: Reentry to Foster Care, 2015.

Figure 4. Re-entry to Foster Care

Risk standardized performance (RSP) = observed performance adjusted by age at entry to care and foster care entry rate. A state meets the national standard (8.3% - shown with the red line) if its RSP range includes or is *lower* than that percentage.



Source: Chart prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS) based on *CFSR Round 3 Statewide Data Indicators* Workbook, May 2015. http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/cfsr_stateperformanceworkbook.pdf

Notes: Data used to calculate the national standard, and to show state performance against that standard were submitted by states as part of the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis Reporting System (AFCARS) for three years (April 2011-March 2014). Data for FL, NC, PR and WV are not included due to data quality issues.

Placement Stability in Foster Care

This indicator has traditionally been used as part of assessing whether a state achieves the following permanency outcome: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.

It is focused on children (under age 18) who enter foster care during a 12-month period. It asks: At what rate did those children move to a new placement setting? In the May 2015 workbook, the national standard was set at 4.12 placement moves per 1,000 days of foster care.⁴³

This measure counts the number of times children who entered care within a 12-month period were moved to a new placement setting and then divides that by the total number of days those children were in foster care during that same 12-month period. The final result is multiplied by 1,000 to allow for a more understandable whole number. Thus the rate determined is the number of foster care placement moves for a child in foster care per 1,000 days of foster care.

The placement stability indicator is one of two national standards that determines the likelihood of an event based on the number of days a child spent in care – rather than a number of children experiencing the event. Using days in care is useful when the likelihood of an event occurring is directly related to length of stay in care. With regard to placement stability a longer stay provides more "opportunity" for placement moves thus the use of placement days equalizes the risk determination of a move whether a child is in care for two weeks, 60 days, or for the whole 365 days in the 12 month-period.

Children's likelihood of moving may vary due to age. A state's observed performance is risk-adjusted to estimate placement stability in the state. Data used for this indicator are reported by states via AFCARS. HHS used data from a single year (two reporting periods linked) to determine the state's performance. A state with a risk standardized performance range that includes, matches, or is *less than* 4.12 placement days per 1,000 days of foster care, meets (or is no different than) the national standard.


Figure 5 shows state performance on this measure as calculated in May 2015. A state was considered to be in compliance with the national standard for placement stability in foster care if the state's black RSP range line crosses, touches, or is to the left of (lower value) the red national standard line.

Figure 5 indicates that 27 states were in compliance with this national standard and they are shown as the first 27 states displayed in the figure (from the top).

⁴³ For more detailed information on the specific construction of this indicator, and the various AFCARS data elements used as well as rules about excluding or including data, see the two-page fact sheet on CFSR Statewide Data Indicators Round 3: Placement Stability, 2015.

Figure 5. Placement Stability in Foster Care

Risk standardized performance (RSP) = observed performance adjusted by age at entry to care and foster care entry rate. A state meets the national standard (4.12 moves per 1,000 days in care – shown by red line) if its RSP range includes or is *lower than* that value.



Source: Chart prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS) based on CFSR Round 3 Statewide Data Indicators Workbook, May 2015. http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/cfsr_stateperformanceworkbook.pdf

Notes: Data used to calculate the national standard, and to show state performance against that standard were submitted by states as part of the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis Reporting System (AFCARS) for three years (April 2011-March 2014). U.S. Census Data (child population estimate by state) were used to calculate foster care entry rate. Data for AL, CO, FL, NC, PR and UT are not included due to data quality issues.

Permanency in 12 Months for Children in Care 12 to 23 Months

This indicator was developed to help assess whether a state achieved the permanency outcome: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.

It seeks to measure how effectively a state sticks with efforts to find a permanent home even when it is not successful during the child's first year in care. 44 Accordingly, the measure is focused on children (under age 18) who have been in care for at least one year (12 months) but not as long as two years. The indicator asks: Of all children who at the start of a 12-month period had already been in care for at least 12, but not more than 23, months, how many were successfully moved to a permanent home within that 12-month time period? Permanency in this instance means the child was formally discharged from foster care to be reunited with his/her parent(s), to live with a relative, for adoption or for legal guardianship. In the May 2015 workbook, the national standard was set at 43.6%. 45

Children's speed of exit from care may be affected by age. Accordingly, a state's observed performance is risk-adjusted by the age of children at the start of the 12-month period. Data used for this indicator are reported by states via the AFCARS. HHS used data from a single year (two reporting periods were linked) to determine the standard and state performance. A state with a risk standardized performance range that includes, matches or is *greater than* 43.6% meets (or is no different than) the national standard.

Figure 6 shows state performance on this measure as calculated in May 2015. A state was considered to be in compliance with the national standard concerning permanency for children in care for at least one but not two years if the state's black RSP range line crosses, touches, or is to the right of (higher value) the red national standard line.


Figure 6 indicates that 35 states were in compliance with this national standard and they are shown as the first 34 states displayed in the figure (from the top) as well as New Hampshire (some states lower). d.

⁴⁴ Federal law has specific time frames within which states are to establish permanency goals for a child and no less often than once every 12 months while a child remains in foster care, a court must make a determination related to continued permanency efforts of the state. In the recent Texas child welfare litigation, MD v. Abbott, the focus of the case is specifically on the group of children in care for at least 12 (sometimes 18 months). Texas has applied different (less aggressive) permanency efforts for this group of children in care.

⁴⁵ For more detailed information on the specific construction of this indicator, and the various AFCARS data elements used as well as rules about excluding or including data, see the two-page fact sheet on *CFSR Statewide Data Indicators Round 3:* Permanency in 12 months for Children in Care 12 to 23 months, 2015.

Figure 6. Permanency for Children in Foster Care for at Least One but not Two Years

Risk standardized performance (RSP) = observed performance adjusted by age of children. A state meets the national standard (43.6% - shown by red line) if its RSP range includes or is greater than the national standard.



Source: Chart prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS) based on *CFSR Round 3 Statewide Data Indicators Workbook*, May 2015. http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/cfsr_stateperformanceworkbook.pdf

Notes: Data used to calculate the national standard, and to show state performance against that standard were submitted by states as part of the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis Reporting System (AFCARS) for April 2013-March 2014. Data for FL, NC, PR are not included due to data quality issues.

Permanency in 12 Months for Children in Care 24 Months or More

This indicator was developed to help assess whether a state achieved the permanency outcome: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.

It seeks to measure how effectively a state sticks with efforts to find a permanent home even when it is not successful during the child's first two years in care. Accordingly, the measure is focused on children (under age 18) who have been in care for at least two years (24 months). The indicator asks: "Of all children who at the start of a 12-month period had already been in care for at least 24 months, how many were successfully moved to a permanent home within that 12-month time period?" Permanency in this instance means the child was formally discharged from foster care to be reunited with his/her parent(s), to live with a relative, for adoption or for legal guardianship. In the May 2015 workbook, the national standard was set at 30.3%. ⁴⁶

Children's speed of exit from care may be affected by age. Accordingly, a state's observed performance is risk-adjusted by the age of children at the start of the 12-month period. Data used for this indicator are reported by states via AFCARS. HHS used data from a single year (two reporting periods linked) to determine this standard and state performance. A state with a risk standardized performance range that includes or is *greater than* 30.3% was considered in compliance with this standard.

Figure 7 shows state performance on this measure as calculated in May 2015. A state was considered to be in compliance with the national standard concerning permanency for children in care for two years or more if the state's black RSP range line crosses or is to the right of (higher value) the red national standard line.


Figure 7 indicates that 33 states were in compliance with this national standard and they are shown as the first 31 states displayed in the figure (from the top) as well as Maine and Rhode Island (lower).

4

⁴⁶ For more detailed information on the specific construction of this indicator, and the various AFCARS data elements used as well as rules about excluding or including data, see the two-page fact sheet on CFSR Statewide Data Indicators Round 3: Permanency in 12 months for Children in Care 24 months or More, 2015.

Figure 7. Permanency for Children in Care For Two Years or More

Risk standardized performance (RSP) = observed performance adjusted by age of children. A state meets the national standard (30.3% - shown by red line) if its RSP range includes or is higher than the national standard.



Source: Chart prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS) based on CFSR Round 3 Statewide Data Indicators Workbook, May 2015. http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/cfsr_stateperformanceworkbook.pdf

Notes: Data used to calculate the national standard, and to show state performance against that standard were submitted by states as part of the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis Reporting System (AFCARS) for April 2013-March 2014. Data for FL, NC, PR are not included due to data quality issues.

Appendix E

CRUSE GRASSLEY KOWA
MINE CRAPA DARY
PAT ROBERTS, VANSAS
ROCIARE IS EXCL. WOYDING
ROHN TO TEXT, WOYDING
ROHN TO THE COURT CARCOLA
ROBERTS, WOYDING
ROHN TO THE CARCOLA
ROBERTS COURT DAKCTA
ROLAND THE CARCOLA
ROBERTS COURT CARCOLA
ROBERTS COURT

JIAH, CHAIMMAN
RIN WURN, GREGON
CHARLEST, SCHUMER, NEW YORK
CHARLEST, SCHUMER, NEW YORK
OERIBE STABEN TWON, MICHIGAN
MARIA GANTWELL, WASHINGTON
RICHEST, TO GIDA
ROBERT MENN NDEZ, NEW JERSEY
FROMAS R. CARPER, DELAWARE
RENJAMIR L. CARDIN, MAREYLAND
SIERROD BREWN, OHIO
ROBERT F. CASEN, J. B. (FANNYL) VANIM
MARE R. WASHING JURGINIA.

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6200

CHRIS CAMPBELL, STAFF DIRECTOR JOSHUA SHEINKMAN, DEMOCRATIC STAFF (PRECTOR June 17, 2015

Bruce F. Nardella, President The MENTOR Network 313 Congress Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210

Dear Mr. Nardella:

The title IV-E Foster Care program benefits children who meet certain eligibility requirements and who have been removed from their homes due to mistreatment, lack of care, lack of supervision, or other problems attributed to a relative caregiver. Under the program, title IV-E state and tribal agencies place these children, who can no longer remain safely in their own homes, in temporary living arrangements with the goal of achieving permanency for them through family reunification, adoption or legal guardianship. The program provides federal funds to title IV-E state and tribal agencies in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Those agencies use the federal funds to support the daily living costs of eligible children by making subsidy payments to individual foster caregivers or to organizations that provide foster care services to a number of eligible children. Federal funds are also used by the agencies to meet the administrative costs they incur in managing the title IV-E program and to pay for the costs of training foster parents, agency staff and others. Individuals and private entities may apply to the title IV-E agencies to become sub-grantees or contracted providers of foster care services.

Over the last several months, a number of deeply disturbing articles have appeared in the media regarding The MENTOR Network, which appears to be comprised of a variety of for-profit and not-for-profit affiliates, subsidiaries, branches and related entities (collectively referred to herein as "Mentor.") Mentor contracts directly with title IV-E agencies in a number of states to provide foster care services for children who have been removed from their homes. These media articles have focused attention on what appear to be serious deficiencies in Mentor's screening, training and oversight of foster parents. According to these media stories, at least six children have died while in the custody of foster parents recruited or trained by Mentor. Others have been abused, neglected and physically injured by foster parents who were wholly unqualified to hold such a prominent position of trust in the lives of these children. Serious errors in judgment by Mentor and lapses in due diligence when screening these foster parents, as well as failures to heed plain warning signs regarding the unsuitability of foster parents after children have been placed in their

homes, have reportedly led to a number of tragic consequences. These reports raise serious questions about Mentor, its operations and its business practices.

The title IV-E program is a health program under the Social Security Act. As such, it falls within the oversight jurisdiction of the Senate Finance Committee. Moreover, state title IV-E agencies pay Mentor for foster care services in large part with federal title IV-E funds. In accordance with the Committee's oversight responsibility for this program, we request that you provide the following information to the Committee no later than close of business, July 10, 2015:

- 1. Please describe the corporate structure of Mentor as follows:
 - a. Identify each and every Mentor affiliate, subsidiary, branch and related organization;
 - For each entity so identified, state whether it is for-profit or not-for-profit, and whether it is tax-exempt;
 - c. Describe each entity's lines of business and the services that it provides; and
 - d. Identify the geographic locations where each entity carries out its primary lines of business and its primary place of business.
- For those Mentor entities identified in response to Question 1 that operate as taxexempt organizations, please provide copies of the publicly available portions of their IRS Form 990 filings made since 2010.
- 3. Please describe Mentor's relationship to Civitas Solutions, Inc.
- 4. Please describe Mentor's relationship to Alliance Human Services.
- 5. Please describe Mentor's relationship to Alliance Children's Services.
- 6. Please provide the total number of children who are currently in Mentor foster homes nationwide, as well as in Mentor foster homes in each state.
- Please provide a copy of every assessment or performance review of state Mentor programs and contracts issued by state or local title IV-E agencies from January 2012 to the present.
- 8. Have any Mentor entities identified in response to Question 1 as providing foster care services ever been the subject of a statewide investigation related to the provision of those services? If so, please identify the state in question, the Mentor entity investigated, and the results of the investigation. Please provide copies of any reports issued by the state investigative agencies, to the extent that they are in the possession of Mentor.
- 9. Do any Mentor entities identified in response to Question 1 as providing foster care services require caseworkers to meet numerical quotas or targets for placements of

children in Mentor foster care homes? If so, please provide those numerical quotas or targets for each state in which they are required or imposed, together with copies of all written policies or procedures that describe said numerical quotas or targets.

- 10. Have any Mentor entities identified in response to Question 1 as providing foster care services ever offered any employees or contractors bonuses (cash or other consideration) for placing individual children in foster homes, or for attaining numerical targets for placements of children in foster homes? If so, please describe when such bonuses were paid, their amounts, the conditions under which they were paid, and provide copies of all written policies or procedures that describe the payment of such bonuses.
- 11. Please provide the following information on a state-by-state basis for each Mentor entity identified in response to Question 1 as providing foster care services:
 - a. The current average caseload of social workers employed by the entity; and
 - b. The total number of social workers currently employed by the entity.
- 12. For each state in which a Mentor entity identified in response to Question 1 has provided foster care services from January 2012 to the present, please provide the following information on an annual basis:
 - a. The total dollar amount of payments made by state, local or tribal title IV-E agencies to Mentor entities within that state for foster care services;
 - b. The total dollar amount of payments made by Mentor entities to foster care providers/parents within that state;
 - The total dollar amount of costs claimed by Mentor entities as overhead and operating expenses;
 - d. The total dollar amount of payments made by local Mentor entities that provide foster care services within the state to Mentor corporate entities (within or without the state) for services received from those entities (please identify those services); and
 - The total dollar amount of profit earned by Mentor entities for providing foster care services within the state.
- 13. Please describe the current process employed by each Mentor entity identified in response to Question 1 as providing foster care services for investigating and vetting applicants who desire to become foster caregivers. Please provide copies of all written policies and procedures descriptive of that process. If the current process is different than the process employed by the Mentor entity in January 2012, please describe how it has changed since January 2012 and the dates of each change to the process up to the date the Mentor entity adopted its current process.

- 14. Do Mentor entities identified in response to Question 1 as providing foster care services currently perform criminal and non-criminal background checks on: a) applicants who desire to become foster caregivers; b) individuals who reside temporarily or full-time in the home of such applicants, or; c) individuals providing references for applicants who desire to become foster caregivers? If so, when did these Mentor entities first begin to perform such background checks? Please provide state-specific responses for each category of background checks for each state in which a Mentor entity provides foster care services.
- 15. What standards are used by Mentor entities identified in response to Question 1 as providing foster care services to determine whether they will approve/disapprove an application from an individual who desires to become a foster caregiver? Please provide a copy of all written policies and procedures descriptive of the process by which Mentor entities apply these standards when they evaluate applicants. If the process varies from state to state or from entity to entity, describe those differences and provide copies of the relevant documentation applicable to that state or entity.
- 16. Please describe how Mentor entities identified in response to Question 1 as providing foster care services recruit or solicit applicants for the position of foster caregiver and provide copies of all written policies and procedures descriptive of the recruitment process. If the process varies from state to state or from entity to entity, describe those differences and provide copies of the relevant documentation applicable to that state or entity.
- 17. Please describe how Mentor entities identified in response to Question I as providing foster care services train foster caregivers and the frequency and duration of such training. Please provide copies of all written policies and procedures descriptive of that training. If the training process varies from state to state or from entity to entity, describe those differences and provide copies of the relevant documentation applicable to that state or entity.
- 18. Please describe how Mentor entities identified in response to Question 1 as providing foster care services monitor foster caregivers and the frequency of their monitoring activities. Please provide copies of all written policies and procedures descriptive of those monitoring practices. If the process varies from state to state or from entity to entity, describe those differences and provide copies of the relevant documentation applicable to that state or entity.
- 19. Please describe how allegations of misconduct against foster caregivers reported to Mentor entities identified in response to Question 1 as providing foster care services are currently handled by those entities and provide copies of all written policies and procedures descriptive of the process for handling such allegations. If the current process is different than the process employed by Mentor entities in January 2012,

please describe how it has changed since January 2012 and the dates of each change to the process up to the date the Mentor entity adopted its current process.

- 20. Please describe how Mentor entities identified in response to Question 1 use nondisclosure/confidentiality agreements and clauses with regard to:
 - a. Employees of Mentor;
 - b. Foster caregivers providing services on behalf of Mentor;
 - c. Individuals (victims, witnesses or any others) alleging misconduct by foster caregivers in the performance of their foster parent responsibilities.
- 21. To the extent that Mentor entities use non-disclosure/confidentiality agreements and clauses with respect to the above individuals, please provide copies of five representative agreements of each such type of agreement signed in the past five years together with copies of all written policies and procedures that describe the use of such agreements and clauses and conditions for their use.
- 22. Since January 2005, has Mentor entered into any agreements containing non-disclosure/confidentiality clauses with the legal representatives of children who were, or are now, placed in Mentor foster care homes? For each such agreement, indicate the legal status (i.e., parent, guardian ad litem, etc.) of the individual who signed the agreement on behalf of the child.
- 23. Please provide the number of settlements entered into by Mentor since 2005, either before or after the commencement of litigation, in which a claim for damages against Mentor was asserted based upon the alleged negligent performance by Mentor in recruiting, selecting, training, or monitoring foster care providers. Please provide a copy of each settlement agreement with the names and addresses of specific individuals redacted consistent with the requirements of 45 CFR Parts 205 and 1355. For each such settlement, please briefly summarize the allegations against Mentor.
- 24. Please provide the number of judgments entered against Mentor as the result of litigation since 2005 in which a claim for damages against Mentor was asserted based upon the alleged negligent performance by Mentor in recruiting, selecting, training, or monitoring foster care providers. Please provide a copy of each judgment with the names and addresses of specific individuals redacted consistent with the requirements

281

of 45 CFR Parts 205 and 1355. For each such judgment, please briefly summarize the allegations against Mentor.

Questions regarding this request for information may be directed to Kim Brandt, Chief Oversight Counsel, Majority Staff, or David Berick, Chief Oversight Counsel, Minority Staff. Ms. Brandt may be reached on extension (202) 224-4515 and Mr. Berick may be reached on extension (202) 224-6399.

Sincerely,

Orrin G. Hatch

Chairman, Senate Committee on Finance

Ron Wyden Ranking Member

Appendix F

Attorney/Client Privileged a	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1	
	a Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks, Complete All Boxes. CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 2 Years 7 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 1 Years 3 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B: 12. State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
15. Program Name: Medically Complex		
 () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with I () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individua than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services 		
SECTION C:	INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) social worker	
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)	
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living in Home: () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Disscharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Mentalization	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	ntial: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/individual Name:	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC	RIPTORS (check all that apply)
DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): (x) Expected Death of Client/individual () Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENTANDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Healtin De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Iverbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment
[] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emolional Abuse [] Physical Assaul/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Cilent/Individual's Funds [] Misspropriation/Destruction of Cilent/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	[] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Staff or Mentor []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Other Third Party [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privileg	ed and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIONE: PROG	RAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	ali that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date: LIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY/ nlatric diagnoses, behavioral history(e.g. st.) selzures, diabetes, etc.) and medications.	
Current Diagnoses: tetrology of Fallot with Swallon; Total Parenteral Nurtrition; DD; Fl		
23. SEC Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	TION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT and activities prior and leading up to this in	
Client has been hospitalized since cardiac s Pediatric Hospital on Control instability. Client underwent an attempted coordinator, informed this writer via phone in wehre needed to be revived.	nd transferred back to	, On-call MENTOR
24. SEC Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronologica injuries, interventions and current status. Report services, etc. Include sources of information (e.g. affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manager.)	Involvement of other parties such as law e . "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	i how. Provide Information on alleged nforcement, emergency medical
medical conditions that has and pos Social Worker at informed the been exhausted in treating the has and pos The phy	re mentor and this writer that all medical side of the mentor her for so go home, they reported that the for the control of the control of the that a critical cardiac event could happer received a phone call from swriter left the hospital. This writer info	elings on Hospice Care. In the id have another cardiac event en at anytime and cause the reporting that
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary DC	NOT WRITE ON BACK OF BACE!	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 4 SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES				
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date	

Attorney/Cilent Privileged a	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.			
	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4		
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:		
5. DOB:	6. Age: 7 Months 7. Gender:		
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Chack one)		
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness () MR/DD () MR/MI		
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 2 Months 16 Days	() MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:		
SECTION B:			
12. State: 13. City: 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:			
	15. Program Name:		
(x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with I () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	ls with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)		
SECTION C: 17. Date & Time of Incident:	INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:		
11. Sale & Halle & Hickory	By: (Name & Title)		
19. Location of incident; (check one)	DCFS investigator 20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)		
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Cilents/Individuals Living In Horne: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Cilent/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Cilent/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community (x) Other: Hospital	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new horne/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Mospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] Im-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x) Death [] Other:		
	moven w any mo dia management database.		

mother noticed breathing was problematic and that was rushed to surgery. It was discovered that due to pre- had built up in a abdomen causing intestinal ruptu	was rushed to the hospital by ambulance when foster was blue from the waist down. Upon arrival at the hospital, saure and floid buildup from the problems with the Getube, re and loss of circulation to when body.
pronounced dead on the operating table at attacks, on attacks. Attorney/Client Privileged and Confid	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page :
Client/Individual Name:	
The same of the sa	CRIPTORS - (check all that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Menhal Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Medication Error [] Medication Error [] Medication Error [] Medication Error [] Mesing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury: EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS:
Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Bome Pathogens Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Bome Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Slaff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theit [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privile	ged and Confidential: Risk Managen	nent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIONE: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Sectusions [] Chemical []	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	X Funding Source Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:		
22. SECTION F: C List Client/Individual's current medical and psyr running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (e	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / chiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. s .g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications	exually abused, physically aggressive,
Pulmonary Hermorrhage; History PPHN; F hyperbilirubinenia; Anemia; Metabolic Alk GERD: SIP Nissen Fundoplication and G-t 2 hours and was on oxygen.	alosis; Hypoalbuninemia; Pressured Ch	onia (HX): VRE Colonization; Indirect sylothorax; Left pleural Effusion;
23. SEC Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	CITON G: ANTECEDENT EVENT a and activities prior and leading up to this in	
Hospital In the several times in the		ne G-tube on Foster
24. SEC Describe IN <u>DETAIL</u> the incident in chronologic injuries, interventions and current status. Repo services, etc. include acurces of information (e. affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manager	rt involvement of other parties such as law o g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
she noticed that was runn Later noticed having diff hospital, noticed that le	abdomen, cutting off circulation to	edication and the fever broke. aring to take by car to the so called and an ambulance was pital to the foster home. Upon The fluid leaking from the G-tube Blower body and causing
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary. D	O NOT WRITE ON BACK OF PAGE)	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 4 SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES				
Print Name	Title	Date		
Print Name	Title	Date		
Print Name	Title	Date		
Print Name	Title	Date		
	Print Name Print Name Print Name Print Name	Print Name Title Print Name Title Print Name Title Print Name Title Print Name Title		

Attorney/Client Privileged	NETWORK -: INCIDENT REPORT and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
	e Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4		
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log ## (
5. DOB:	8. Age: 12 Years 7. Gender:		
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)		
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental litness		
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 5 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/QD Offender () Education () Other:		
SECTION B:			
12. State: 13. City: 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:			
	15. Program Name:		
() Group or Shared Living (3+ cilents/individuals with i () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 cilents/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:			
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:		
	By: (Name & Title) mentor		
19. Location of Incident: (check one) 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)			
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living In Home: () Client/Individuals Eliving In Home: () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Program Office () Community () Other: Remain in Current Placement Placement Discripted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) () Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK () Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home () Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) () Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization () Emergency Medical Hospitalization () In-school suspension () School Suspension () Client/Individual Arrest/Detention (x) Death () Other: 21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the Incident for entry into Risk Management database.			

* On (at approximately , on-call worker, Police Department. He was in the foster home of had been taken to the police of the po	received a call from Officer of the foster parent. He reported being found hanging in a bedroom closet by the neck from a
weight strap and being found non-responsive. According to foldead at	
Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidence	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
	CRIPTORS (check-all that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Joppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Lexhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Presure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fail [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury: EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS:
[] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privile	ged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Sectusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	X Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [x] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [x] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	33 (33) 6	
List Client/Individual's current medical and psyrunning, confabulation, etc.), medical history (e		exually abused, physically aggressive,
23. SEC Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition According to foster parent, the morning of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ncident.
	came angy and went and sat at the top o	
24. Describe IN DETAIL the Incident in chronologic injuries, interventions and current status. Reposervices, etc. include sources of information (saffiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manager	rt involvement of other parties such as law e .g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
Department who was at the home of the had been taken to the hospin hanging by the neck with a weight strap in who went to the hospital.	bedroom closet and was non-respor	Officer reported that foster parent had found to be said to be sai
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary. D	O NOT WRITE ON BACK OF PAGE)	

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: R	sk Management/Peer R	eview Page 4	
SECTION 1: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES				
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date	

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INGIDENT REPORT AttornsylCilent Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1			
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes. SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION			
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4		
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log ##			
5. DOB:	5. Age: 15 Years 1 7. Gender:		
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)		
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental illness		
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 6 Months	(x) MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:		
SECUTON B: 12. State: 13, City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:		
	15. Program Name:		
() Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	() Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational		
	: INCIDENT INFORMATION		
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Mentor		
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)		
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Program Office () Program Office () Community (x) Other: Hospice-Hospital			
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the *Client deceased.	incident for entry into Risk Management database.		

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confid	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name:	
	CRIPTORS (check all that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL:
(x) Expected Death of Client/Individual () Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other:	[] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitions/m/Vebile Masturbation
CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Missuse of Cilent/Individual's Funds [] Missuse of Cilent/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Cilent/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	MEDICATION INCIDENTS: () Medication Error [1 Missing Controlled Substances [] Senious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition () Pregnancy () Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction () Presure Sores () Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: (] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care () Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care () Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking () Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries () Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	[] Other Accidental Injury: EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [1 Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shopkiting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal crueity)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privile	eged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3	
SECTION E: PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all that apply)			
[*] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [1] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan [2] Developed with Client/Individual [3] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [4] Physical [5] Mechanical [6] ISectusions [7] Chemical [6] ILaw Enforcement	Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [x] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:	
Client/Individual Name:	Log #: ((((((((((((((((((
List Client/Individual's current medical and ps running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (Severely developmentally delayed,, hx of		exually abused, physically aggressive,	
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	CCTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT on and activities prior and leading up to this is to stated that client was being transported	ncident.	
24. Describe IN <u>DETAIL</u> the incident in chronologi injuries, interventions and current status. Rep services, etc. include sources of information (affiliation (i.e. Joen Smith; DCFS Case Manage	ort involvement of other parties such as law a e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical	
Hospice nurse came to the home on transported to the hospice hospital so the report the nurse stated for her to administ however it was determined transported client to the hospital. It was to paramedics were able to find a heartbeat.	at medications could be administered and ter medications every 15 minutes so that better in the hospital. When paramedics believed that at one point client had expir Client was transported to	Nurse recommended client be could be monitored. Mentor client could remain asleep, arrived at the scene they at the mentor home, however	

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer	Review Page 4	
SECTION I: REVIEWS/SIGNATURES				
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date	

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT AttornsyClient Privileged and Confidentiat: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1				
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes. SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION				
1. Client/Individual's Name: 2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4				
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #:				
5. DOB: 6. Age: 16 Years 8 M 7. Gender:				
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)			
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Iliness () MR/DD () MR/MI			
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 6 Years 6 Months	() MR/MI () MR/MI () MR/MI () Education () Other:			
The state of the second control of the secon	PROGRAM INFORMATION			
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:			
	15. Program Name:			
(x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)				
17. Date & Time of Incident:	INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:			
	By: (Name & Title) ICU Nurse Hosp, Pediatrics			
19. Location of incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)			
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of ClientsIndividuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Program Office () Community (x) Other: Month of Mentor Network 1 Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home 2 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) 3 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 4 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 5 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 6 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 6 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 7 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 8 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 9 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 1 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 2 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 3 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 3 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 4 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 5 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 6 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 6 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 7 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 8 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 9 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 1 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 1 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 1 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 2 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 1 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 2 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 3 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 3 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 4 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 5 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 6 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 6 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 7 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 8 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 9 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 9 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation 1 Emergency Psychiatric Evaluati				
The state of the s				

went into Cardiac Arrest or was well as well a	Order was granted. On the birth family visited with
The scheduled removal from life support occurred on on the case of death was Cardiac Arrest.	was pronounced dead at
Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	entlal: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log#:	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): (x) Expected Death of Client/individual () Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other:	CRIPTORS (check all that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation
CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse	MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [x Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction
[] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	[] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] That by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Unider \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] If o Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS; (Defined per client/Individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

	leged and Gonfidential: Risk Managem			
SECTIONE: PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all that apply)				
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor I SEVENgenonision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Sectusions [] Chemical [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	X Funding Source Notified Date:		
Client/Individual Name:	Log #: ((((((((((((((((((
running, confabulation, etc.), medical history Minor is diagnosed with Duchenne's Mi	sychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. s (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications uscula Dystrophy; Tracheostomy and requ Meds: Ativan; Prevacid; Nystatin Ointment ne	ires chronic ventilatory support;		
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condit	ECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT don and activities prior and leading up to this in ary arrest with resultant severe anoxic brai neous breath.	ncident:		
Describe <u>IN DETAIL</u> the incident in chronolog injuries, interventions and current status. Re	ECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV global order. Include who, what, where, when an port involvement of other parties such as law e (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed" per.).	d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical		
Hospital revealed that and only evide ventilation required full support. A DNR a Committee, consults with the birth parer by the birth family, was taken into performed as the initial cause of cardiac	bed from Hospital to Hospital to Hospital to Hospital to Hospital evere anoxic brain injury. Results of examine of brain function was intermittent spond removal of life support was pursued with the support was pursued with the support was care to the foster parent. Of the pronounced dead. Cause of death was care surgery for organ donation. Mentor was arrest is still undetermined. Autopsy is examined to the support of the s	nations performed at the control of		
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary	DO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF PAGE)			

nd Contidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer	Review Page 4		
SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES				
Print Name	Title	Date		
Print Name	Title	Date		
Print Name	Title	Date		
Print Name	Title	Date		
Print Name	Title	Date		
	Print Name Print Name Print Name Print Name	Print Name Title Print Name Title Print Name Title Print Name Title		

	and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Raview Page	
Please PRINT Clearly or Type In Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes. SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
3. Guardian: () Self () State (x) Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 9 Months 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: () Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Medically Complex () Mental Illness		
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 21 Days	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name: Medically Complex	
 Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most clot ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual Mentor Home/ Host Home Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed less than 24/7) () Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with (x) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual () Mentor Home/ Host Home	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speecl Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with (x) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) : INCIDENT INFORMATION	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with (x) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)	
() iCF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with (x) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual () Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational als with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) : INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2			
Client/Individual Name: Log#:			
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESCE DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENTINDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assauti/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assauti/Abuse [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Ilerabi Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Teal [] Choking [] Batting/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Vehicle [] Semming/Near Drowning		
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	Other Accidental Injury: EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: Other Vindividual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens Other Vindividual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens Pathogens		
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other: POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/Individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pormography, illicit drugs, etc.)		

Attorney/Client Pri	vileged and Confidential: Risk Managem	nent/Peer Review Page 3		
SECTION E: PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all(that apply)				
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor I SP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [x] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Sectusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	[*] Funding Source Notified Date: [] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [x] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:		
Client/Individual Name:				
List Client/Individual's current medical and	: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. s ry (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications	exually abused, physically aggressive,		
	SECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT			
pulmanologist had been to the ER.	ealth issues during the previous weekend he expressed frustration that neither pecutive feets or medications and that client had lungs.	liatrician nor the pulmanologist		
Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronol injuries, interventions and current status. Fi	SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV ogical order, include who, what, where, when an teport involvement of other parties such as law in (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed" ager.):	ed how. Provide information on alleged enforcement, emergency medical		
breathing and performed CPR with her over when they arrived and transported arrive with the paramedics instructed h speaking with writer contacts speaking with this writer ther physicians were attempting to resuscit DSS on call worker, and reported that the Police had inform Physician in Pediatric Emergency.	n contacted Hospital and a Nurse ate client. The writer notified appropriate M contacted this contacted this led Hospital died. Worker received reported that client died. Worker when died that after working on client for over 30 mit staff and the staff and the staff at the working on client for over 30 mit staff and the staff at the working on client for over 30 mit staff and the staff at the working on client for over 30 mit staff and the working on client	She reports the paramedics took tates that the police officers that detectives to arrive. After r some detectives to arrive. After in pediatric emergency stated that ENTOR staff and the city worker at approximately red a call from a arrived at the hospital but did		

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer	Review Page 4	
SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES				
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date	

THE MENTORINETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1				
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.				
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION				
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4			
3. Guardian: () Setf (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4, Log #:			
5, DOB:	6. Age: 4 Years 10 M 7. Gender:			
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)			
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness			
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 10 Days	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:			
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION			
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:			
	15. Program Name:			
() Group or Shared Living (3 + clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School than 24/7) () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: INCIDENT INFORMATION				
17. Date & Time of Incident: 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) DSS Hottline Supervisor				
19. Location of incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)			
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Cilent/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Cilent/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Cilent/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:				
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	incident for entry into Risk Management database.			

to the program. The State Director () had b	State Director () asking for confirmation on the admission of seen called from DSS about a child that died while in the care of covering supervisor of the DSS Hotlime, that
died from cardiac arrest at Hospital around	dential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page :
Client/Individual Name:	iential: Risk Management/Paer Review Page
	CRIPTORS (check all that anoly) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Thaft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury: EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS:
[] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	[] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mantor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Other Third Party	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privilege	ed and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review	Page 3
SECTION E: PROG	RAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all that apply)	
[*] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan [] Developed with Client/Individual [*x] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Sactusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [x] Licensing Notified Date: [x] Licensing Notified Date: [x] Law Enforcement Date:	
Client/Individual Name:	Log#	
	LIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / DIAGNOSES latric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. sexually abused, phys. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications.	ilcally aggressive,
year old sister and setting a fire. It is shown	story according to mother of being sexually inapproper in memorate that none of these behaviors are noted an ly only because the evaluator wanted to be cautious. She or.	ywhere and
	TION G: ANTECEDENT EVENTS and activities prior and leading up to this incident.	
It was reported by DSS that mentor and reported by DSS that mentor and fell off the bed. The client hit head of to the hospital. On-call was notified at that the	n a radiator. The foster mother checked head and did	n the evening not take
Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronological injuries, interventions and current status. Report	TION H: INCIDENT NARRATIVE order. Include who, what, where, when and how. Provide infor involvement of other parties such as law enforcement, emerge." "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"). When using name	ncy medical
		s, include title and/o

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: R	sk Management/Peer	Review Page 4
SECTI	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Lavel 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privilaged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1			
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes. SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION			
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION 1. Client/individual's Name: 2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3			
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () 4	Other: 4. Log #:		
5. DOB:	6. Age: 3 Years 9 M 7. Gender:		
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)		
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: 11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 10 Months	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental liness () MR/DD () MR/DD () MR/DD () Education () Other:		
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION		
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:		
	15. Program Name:		
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 17. Date & Time of Incident: 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK;			
	By: (Name & Title) mentor		
19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: 5 of Clients/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardien Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new		
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	incident for entry into Risk Management database.		

already there working on CC called back immed dead. She said that she went into that she and her husband administered CPR (artificial respirates)	to feed and was pale and not breathing. We said tion and chest compressions) in tandem. When EMS arrived,
they hooked the sees up to a monitor and determined that Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	
Cilent/Individual Name:	illuar, Kisk Management/eer Review Page 2
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [1 Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: (] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/inappropriate Punishment (] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical intervention [] Inaglequal Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misuse of Client/Individual' Personal Property [] Other:	CRIPTORS (cheek all that apply) CLIENTINDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Viclence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illiness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury: EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS:
Solicidal Chientinor Monaci Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: () Property Damage Under \$1,000 () Property Damage Over \$1,000 () Vehicle Theft () Fire Setting () Theft/Shoplifting () Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animats (animat cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Cli	ent Privileged and C	Confidential: Risk Manage	ment/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION	E: PROGRAMM	ATIC RESPONSE (Chec	k all that applÿ)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/fi [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Pl Developed with Client/Individual [x] Internal Investigation Underwal Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Iseclusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	an al ay () 5 [*]	Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: Substantiated () Unsubstantial Licensing Notified Date:	Date: (Carlotted)
Client/Individual Name:	Marie L	.og#: (300)#:	
	ical and psychiatric dia		sexually abused, physically aggressive,
Patch changed every 3 days	Solis diagnosed with Selzure Disorder.	i 299,80 Pervasive Developm	
23. Briefly describe the Cilent/Individu		: ANTEGEDENT EVEN ties prior and leading up to this	
reported that she fed checked on are	(bolus feeding d appeared sleep		bd at
injuries, interventions and current	chronological order. In status. Report involvem formation (s.g. "Mentor	ient of other parties such as lav	and how. Provide information on alleged
pale and not breathing. She sa chest compressions). When EM	g on (***) CC called lead. She said that she id that she and her hu IS arrived they hooke compressions while E	back immediately an e went into the same room is sband administered CPR in	was not breathing and that the dishe reported that EMS had stand was to feed and was tandem (artificial respiration and didetermined that was dead, unitor,

SECTION	ON I: REVIEWS / S	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1				
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.				
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION				
1, Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4			
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #4			
5, DOB:	6, Age: 6 Years 3 M 7. Gender:			
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)			
19. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness			
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 5 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:			
	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:			
	15. Program Name:			
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)				
	: INCIDENT INFORMATION			
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) mentor			
19. Location of incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)			
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other:			
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the				
was found in bed by nurse blue and not breathing.				

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	ntial: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Cilent/Individual Name: Log#:	
SECTION D: NCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/Individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/Individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Missap or Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Seff-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Jexhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICAL Tool INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENTINDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Bums [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] TO Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []To Other Client []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privile	ged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIONE: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Seclusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	x Funding Source Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #: Carallel Section 1	
List Client/Individual's current medical and pay running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (etc.) has Cerebral Palsy.		
23. SE Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition was asleep in bed,	CTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT n and activities prior and leading up to this in	
24. Describe IN <u>DETAIL</u> the incident in chronologic injuries, interventions and current status. Raps services, etc. Include sources of information (e affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manage)	ort involvement of other parties such as law e .g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide Information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
immediately called 911. They attempted to Medical Center in Control of the Contro	and found that hips were the found no pulse. The was still warrived the ambulance arrived by ambulance and was pronounce and he was taken to	at same was taken to
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary.	O NOT WRITE ON BACK OF PAGE)	

Attorney/Client Privileged	ina Compachtial. R	an iraniagement) eci	Review Page 4
SECTION	ONI: REVIEWS/	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks, Complete All Boxes.		
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #4		
5. DOB:	6. Age: 2 Months 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 2 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education (x) Other: basic Care	
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION	
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name:	
() Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuats with less than 24/7) () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: INCIDENT INFORMATION		
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Mentor	
19. Location of incident: (check one) 20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)		
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mantor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: 2 () Client/Individuals Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Program Office () Community () Other: [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pendling () Client/Individual Placed in Respite () Placement Decision Pendling () Client/Individual Placed in Respite () Placement Decision Pendling () Client/Individual Placed in Respite () Placement Decision Pendling () Client/Individual Placed in Respite () Placement Decision Pendling () Client/Individual Placed in Respite () Placement Decision Pended in Respite () Client/Individual Placed in Respite () Placement Decision Pended in Respite () Placement Decision Pended () Placement Decision Pended		
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the The foster parent reported hat on Client was transported by embulance to the Cause of death has been fisted as aspiration. The	topped breathing. Called 911 and immediately began CPR. The	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:		
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESCRIPTORS (check all that apply)		
DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/Individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [*] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation	
CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Varbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Missappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENTANDIVIDIAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our car [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our car [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []TO Other Client []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

Attorney/Client Private	vileged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIONE: P	ROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical []Mechanical []Seclusions [Chemical []Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [x] Licensing Notified Date:	x Funding Source Notified Date: Pamily Notified Date:
Cilent/Individual Name:	Log#:	
List Client/individual's current medical and	: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. si y (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications.	exually abused, physically aggressive,
indoor but plowed outdoor-lighted NIC were some concerns that might had diagnosis. Client had suffered with so pediatrician who did not voice any con	ly (no beginners please). Looking for someo CE Client was born 5 weeks premature, falled we some permanent hearing damage. Client ome chest congestion of the past few month neerns about the client's health. Upon admi mulation to wake the up and initiate feeding	d a hearing test at birth and there did not have any other medical s. Client made regular visits to he ssion Mentor staff was informed
	SECTION G: A TECEDENT EVENT	
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's cond	iltion and activities prior and leading up to this ir	ncident.
symptoms had not worsened nor impro times over the course of the past few m	d had some problems with chest congestion over during this time period. Client had bee noths. And not prescribed any me parent reported that prior to the actual incident had shown illness in the last week.	n seen by pediatrician several dication but urged the foster
Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronol injuries, interventions and current status. F	SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRARILY ogloal order, Include who, what, where, when an keport involvement of other parties such as law en (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed" ager.).	d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
yelled for her from the living responded to find her flancé standing i what she described as a milky substan "grabbed" client from her flancé and m unresponsive. She noticed was no disconnected before she was able to h CPR (rescue breathing and chest comp made at when some standard when some stand	itting at her kitchen table reading the news is proom where had been lying on the floor in the living room holding client saying some ce coming out of mose and mouth. Clien assaged chest in order to stimulate to treathing and immediately called 911 and ave a conversation with the dispatcher. Shoressions and her flancé called 911. Police the ambulance coming she ran outside and mbulance. Scontacted mentor to reen he arrived in "cardiac arrest". Client was too indicate the at that the cause of death wingstromer.	on a pallet with cilent. She athing's not right. Mentor noticed it was unresponsive. Mentor consciousness. Cilent was dropped the phone and was a began what she described as records indicate the call was handed cilent to the paramedic. pot. According to hospital staff, pronounced dead at the as "aspiration". The investigator

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer	Review Page 4
SECTION	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Titie	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attornsy/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 4 Months 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	hild 9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 1 Days	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B: PROGRAM INFORMATION 12. State: 13. City: 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:		
	15. Program Name: Child Protective Services	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ citents/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential properties of the control of the		
SECTION C	: INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident: 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)		
	By: (Name & Title)	
19. Location of Incident: (check one)		

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:		
	CRIPTORS. (check all that apply) CLIENT/MDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Iverbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Selzure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Presumenta [] Pressure Sores [x] Other: client became rigid, taken to ER CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicla Theft [] Fire Settling [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

Attorney/Client Privileged	l and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all(that apply)		
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Seclusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:	
22. SECTION F: CLI List Client/Individual's current medical and psychia running, confabulation, stc.), medical history (e.g. Client was reported by CPS placement team genitals. CPS reported client had been hosp at time of placement included: Phenobarbital	seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications. to have congenital adrenal hyperplas italized four times since birth for unk	exually abused, physically aggressive, la, hyponatremia and both nown reasons. Medication of child
23. SECT. Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition an Client was reported to have adrenal hyperplas hospitalized four times since birth. CPS was to	ia, hyponatremia, and dual genitalia.	ncident:
24. Describe IN <u>DETAIL</u> the incident in chronological of injuries, interventions and current status. Report is services; etc. include sources of information (e.g. affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manager.).	nvolvement of other parties such as law e	d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
was taking client to called and informed me that the to come to the hospital." , clin cal supervisor, was program manager was also info	seizures reported tha e. gave client presc and seyes rolled to the bac and was told to take client to the hos S caseworker for the conformation of the seyes Hospital. Upon arrival at baby was "code red and not breathin informed of the situation and also left mmed. I informed d coded and CPR was being administ	oftal immediately as it sounded m him of the situation and that Hospital, ig and to call immediately mmediately went to the hospital. for the hospital. I just gotten off the phone with tered. While I was speaking with

and Confidential: Ri	isk Management/Peer I	Review Page 4
SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES		
Print Name	Title	Date
Print Name	Title	Date
Print Name	Title	Date
Print Name	Title	Oste
Print Name	Title	Date
	Print Name Print Name Print Name Print Name	Print Name Title Print Name Title Print Name Title Print Name Title Print Name Title

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type In Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () C	Other: 4. Log #4	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 2 Years 8 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness () MR/MI	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 1 Years	() MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name: 15. Program Name: Child Protective Services	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services		
SECTION C: INCIDENT INFORMATION		
. Date & Time of Incident: 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) physician		
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)	
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community (x) Other: Hospital	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite t) [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mantor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Exputsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x) Death [] Other:	
	sator to report that condition had worsened and that was not	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:		
Client/Individual Name: SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/Individual is a Level 4 incident): (x) Expected Death of Client/Individual () Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/IAWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIOUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury;	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []To Other Client []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shopifting [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []TO Other Client []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] Reported to Adult/Child Protective [x] Funding Source Notified Date: Date Dat
Client/Individual Name: Log #:
22. SECTION F: CELENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / DIAGNOSES List Client/Individual's current medical and psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. sexually abused, physically aggressive, running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (e.g. saizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications.
has been diagnosed with Cerebral Palsy - Spastic Quadriplegia, Colostomy, Rheumatoid Arthritis, Scoliosis, Lordosis, Gastrostomy, Osteoporosis, Asthma/Chronic Obstructive Airway, Chronic Urinary Tract Infections , Seizures Dermatitis, Severe Developmental Delay, Profound Mental Retardation.
23. SECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENTS Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition and activities prior and leading up to this incident.
was admitted to Hospital on For treatment of a bowel obstruction. Attempts at correcting problem with medication was not successful. Surgery to correct the obstruction was performed or when the surgery of the surger
24. SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIVE Describe INDETAIL the incident in chronological order, include who, what, where, when and how. Provide information on alleged injuries; interventions and current status. Report involvement of other parties such as law enforcement, emergency medical services, etc. include sources of information (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"). When using names, include title and/o affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manager.).
At approximately on the CC was contacted by attending physician who reported condition had worsened and was not expected to live. This CC arrived at the hospital at approximately reatment team reported was experiencing multiple organ failure and machines were sustaining life. CPS caseworker, was contacted and kept informed of condition throughout the day. passed away at approximately the condition hotline was contacted and a report provided for licensing.
Continue on an additional chast if necessary DO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF DAGE.

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: R	isk Management/Peer	Review Page 4
SECTION	on I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Cliant Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible	e Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.	
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
3. Guardian: () Self () State () Parent(s) (x)	Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	6, Age: 1 Years 11 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 2 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B: 12. State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name:	
 (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with I () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services 		
SECTION C:	INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Mentor	
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)	
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Cilent/Individual's Living in Home: () Cilent/Individual's Residence (group home, iCF, apt) () Cilent/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Cilent/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:	[x] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporantly or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [] Death [x] Other: ER for respiratory distress	
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	incident for entry into Risk Management database.	
* *	incident for entry into Risk Management database. t she and her husband brought the client to the	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual () Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENTANDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Carotaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Missappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENTINDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Savaual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [x] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [x] Other: Respiratory Distress CLIENTINDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fail [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swirmning/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL SEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shop/lifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per cilent/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privile	ged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3	
SECTION E: PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all that apply)			
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] [SP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Sectusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:	
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:		
22. SECTION F: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / DIAGNOSES List Client/individual's current medical and psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. sexually abused, physically aggressive, running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (e.g. setzures, diabetes, etc.) and medications. Hydrocephaly, DD, Oral Motor Dysfunction, NG-Tube, and Reflux h/o VP Shunt, Dyphagia, CLD, and ROP. Medications: Zantac, Regalan.			
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	ordinator that on the evening of	cident. the client was having cramping in the flu and visiting the	
Describe IN DETAIL the Incident in chronologic injuries, interventions and current status. Reposervices, stc. include sources of information (a. affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manager	rt Involvement of other parties such as law e g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed").	d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical). When using names, include title and/or	
hours crisis center, who contacted the on- to discuss specifics of the incider Clinical Coordinator. At this writer room at Hospital with the client, that two doctors had already seen and were fixed straight and pupils were dila	this time they were performing CPR on ad this writer again to state that the doct going to take the client by helicopter to head to be the control of the co	the client because was in or's felt as though the client's Hospital in soon as the client left. This writer writer spoke of the contacted this writer at the after then contacted this writer at the stated that she was in the seeing the client. Mentor stated thor reported that the client's eyes at the with any updates/concerns. Into this morning.	

SECTION	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENT OR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1 Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #: (2008)	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 4 Years 8 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenille Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11, Length of Current Placement/Services: 2 Years	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B: 12. State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15, Program Name:	
() Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)		
SECTION C		
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) mentor	
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of incident; (check all that apply)	
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: 2 () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [> Death [] Other:	
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	Incident for entry into Risk Management database. contacted the on-call services to report the death of the services. Mrs.	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confident	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/inDiviDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Inadequate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Missuperopriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CRIPTORS (check all that apply) CLIENTINDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illiness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fail [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., aicohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

202200000000000000000000000000000000000	leged and Confidential: Risk Management	Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PR	OGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all	that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Clent/Individual [x] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical []Mechanical []Sectusions []Chemical [x]Law Enforcement	Services Date: [: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [:	k1 Funding Source Notified Date: \$1 Family Notified Date: \$2 Guardian Notified Date: \$2 Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Cilent/Individual Name:	Log#: (2000) (4)	
List Client/Individual's current medical and p running, confabulation, etc.), medical history has been diagnosed with	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / DI eychlatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. sexu (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications. Cerebral Palsy and Gastroesphageal Reflux, e	ally abused, physically aggressive,
through a G-tube. Currently is on Prilosec 7.5cc 2xs daily for reflux, Glyco salivation) and Pediasure with fiber GT		ib 3xs daily (muscle spasms),
	ECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENTS ilon and activities prior and leading up to this incident	and the second second
	on sorgans caused by severe Scoliosis. Ma	
anesthesia wore off, seemed to be	doing fine. However, when they gave the had had problems keeping the temperate	pain medication started are and oxygen levels up,
anesthesia wore off, seemed to be to have a hard time breathing. Although was discharged from on on 24. 24. Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronologing injuries, interventions and current status. Re	doing fine. However, when they gave the had had problems keeping temperate the without monitoring equipm ECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIVE gleal order, include who, what, where, when and he port involvement of other parties such as law ento (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed") when the problem of the parties and the port involvement of other parties such as law ento (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed") when the problem of the parties are the problem of the p	pain medication started are and oxygen levels up, ent. ow. Provids information on alleged reement, emergency medical
anesthesia wore off, seemed to be to have a hard time breathing. Although was discharged from on on on on one of the seemed to be seeme	doing fine. However, when they gave the had had problems keeping temperate the without monitoring equipm ECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIVE gleal order, include who, what, where, when and he port involvement of other parties such as law ento (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed") when the problem of the parties and the port involvement of other parties such as law ento (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed") when the problem of the parties are the problem of the p	pain medication started are and oxygen levels up, ent. ow. Provids information on alleged reement, emergency medical
anesthesia wore off, seemed to be to have a hard time breathing. Although was discharged from on on on on one of the breathing of the breathin	doing fine. However, when they gave the had had problems keeping temperate the without monitoring equipm ECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIVE gleal order, include who, what, where, when and he port involvement of other parties such as law ento (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed") when the problem of the parties and the port involvement of other parties such as law ento (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed") when the problem of the parties are the problem of the p	pain medication started are and oxygen levels up, ent. ow. Provide information on alleged reement, emergency medical
anesthesia wore off, seemed to be to have a hard time breathing. Although was discharged from on on on on one of the breathing of the breathin	doing fine. However, when they gave the had had problems keeping temperate the without monitoring equipm ECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIVE gleal order, include who, what, where, when and he port involvement of other parties such as law ento (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed") when the problem of the parties and the port involvement of other parties such as law ento (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed") when the problem of the parties are the problem of the p	pain medication started are and oxygen levels up, ent. ow. Provide information on alleged reement, emergency medical

Ms. reported that on temperature or exhibit any signs of distress. The followed the normal routine bathing and dressing wound before putting to bed at approximately Following surgery, Ms. slept in the room with in order to closely monitor recovery. She also repositioned every two hours in the bed. Mrs. checked on an additional 2-3 times during the right as well. On Saturday, work of approximately completion at approximately Ms. reported on that had received all of morning medications on the morning of good to go approximately was dressed and had diaper changed. At that time, Ms. reported that behavior appeared to be typical and that there were no signs f any distress or change to health. Was then placed in chair with back brace on. Mrs. left the room to prepare breakfast and Mr. remained in the room with At approximately Mr. left the room to go eat breakfast. The door to groom was left open. At Spytiosing they additionally all the continued to call \$11. She then checked for a pulse. She then removed clothing and brace and started CP. Ms. reports that when she began CPR, was warm and had normal coloring. After approximately 5 minutes, Ms. reports that when she began CPR, was warm and had normal coloring. After approximately 5 minutes, Ms. reports that when she began CPR, was warm and had normal coloring. After approximately 5 minutes, Ms. reports that when she began CPR, was warm and had normal coloring. After approximately 5 minutes, Ms. reports that when she began CPR, was warm and had normal coloring. After approximately 5 minutes, Ms. reports that when she began CPR, was warm and had normal coloring. After approximately 5 minutes, Ms. reports that when she began CPR, was warm and had normal coloring. After approximately 5 minutes, Ms. reports that when she began CPR, was warm and had normal coloring. After approximately 5 minutes, Ms. reports that when she began CPR, was warm and had normal coloring. After approximately 5 minutes, Ms. reports that when she began CPR is was made and had normal coloring. Afte	-

Attorney Cheat I I Walegea	and Commutations Re	sk Management/Peer Review	Page 4
SECTI	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INGIDENT REPORT AttornsylClient Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type In Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () 0	Other: 4. Log #: (***********************************	
5. DOB:	5. Age: 1 Years 1 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental filness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 9 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B: PROGRAM INFORMATION 12. State: 13. City: 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:		
	15. Program Name: Child Protective Services	
() Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)		
SECTION C:	INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident: 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Dr. Dr.		
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)	
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living In Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Program Office () Community (x) Other: hOSPITAL	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] Inschool suspension/Expulsion [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other:	
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the incident for entry into Risk Management database. ** Dr		

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2			
Client/Individual Name: Log #:			
Client/Individual Name: SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/Individual is a Level 4 incident): (x) Expected Death of Client/Individual () Unexpected Death of Client/Individual () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Prysical Assault/Abuse [] Prysical Assault/Abuse [] Prysical Assault/Abuse [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Ineglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENTANDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Eshibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENTANDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:		
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens		
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:		
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []To Other Client []To Staff or Mentor [∏o Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)		

Attorney/Client	Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E	PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staft/Meni ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [Physical Mechanical Seclusions (Chemicat Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Survices Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:
List Client/Individual's current medical	N F: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / DIAGNOSES and psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. sexually abused, physically aggressive, listory (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications.
Client was diagnosed with asthmo	a. Client was on Pulmicort .25mg/2ml via nebulizer.
ti in ili in ili ili ili ili ili ili ili	
23. Briefly describe the Cliant/Individual's	SECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENITS condition and activities prior and leading up to this incident.
was unresponsive. Client had a br	lospital on America (1988) due to breathing issues. Upon admission, client ain scan, and results showed no brain activity. After more then 12 hours passed, a completed and again showed no brain activity.
injuries, interventions and current stat	SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIVE onological order. Include who, what, where, when and how. Provide information on alleged us. Report involvement of other parties such as law enforcement, emergency medical nation (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"). When using names, include title and/or Manager.).
was called at least due to two	owing no brain activity the hospital policy is to call time of death. Time of death brain scans showing no brain activity. Dr. and also stated that they were an donation. Dr. and also included in her report that would remain ion for future organ donations.

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: R	sk Management/Peer Re	view Page 4
SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES			
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title CC Super	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title State Dir.	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1			
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.			
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION 1. Client/Individual's Name; 2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4			
3. Guardian: () Self () State () Parent(s) (x)	Other: 4. Log #:		
5, DOB:	6. Age: 11 Years 7. Gender:		
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)		
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness		
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 1 Months	(x) MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:		
SECTION B: PROGRAM INFORMATION 12. State: 13. City: 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:			
	15. Program Name:		
() Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speec () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)			
SECTION C			
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) mentor		
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)		
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Cilents/Individuals Living in Home: 7 () Cilent/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Cilent/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:			
**Client stopped breathing.			

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2			
Client/Individual Name: Log #:			
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/Individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation		
CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other:	[] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS:		
CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Saxual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alicohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds	Medication Error Medication		
Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property Other:	[] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:		
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens		
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/ShopHfing [] Other:		
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []TO Other Client []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelly)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS; (Defined per client/indlvidual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)		

Attorney/Client Privile	ged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3	
SECTION E: PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all that apply)			
[x] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor ISP/Supervision/Behavloral Pfan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical []Mechanical []Sectusions []Chemical []Law Enforcement	[x] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: (x) Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [x] Licensing Notified Date:	X Funding Source Notified Date: X Family Notified Date: X Guardian Notified Date: X Guardian Notified Date: X Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date: X X X X X X X X X X	
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:		
List Client/Individual's current medical and payr running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (s Down's Syndrome	ELIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / chlatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. si .g. saizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications.	exually abused, physically aggressive,	
23. SEC Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition Getting ready for bed.	CTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT nand activities prior and leading up to this in		
24. SE. Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronologic injuries, interventions and current status. Reposervices, etc. Include sources of information (a. affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith), DCFS Case Manager	rt involvement of other parties such as law e g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	i how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical	
		,	

П	ON The state on a call therapist, the same of the same
1	stated that client had stopped breathing and was taken to the
13	Foster mother stated that on the state of th
	discovered "Something wrong with ". Foster mother stated that see screamed and ran into bedroom
1:	
1:	to awaken the from sleep. The toster mother stated that she found Client lying in the bed and observed that the was unconscious and was not breathing. The foster mother indicated that the brought client into the living room and
1;	began performing CPR and directed to call 9-1-1. The foster mother did not have additional information at
1	that time. On -call therapist directed foster mother to contact this therapist when she found out more information
1:	regarding Client's health status. Foster mother contacted on-call therapist again at the case and stated that client
1:	was deceased. Foster mother indicated that the second control of Sheriff's Department Homicide Unit and Child
	was deceased. Foster mother indicated that the second second Sheriff's Department Homicide Unit and Child Protective Services had been conducting an investigation since she last spoke with this therapist. Foster mother
	stated that was taken to the state of the st
<u>اسة</u>	complete the investigation and to contact this therapist with the police report numbers and names, identification
ì	badges and telephone numbers to Sheriff's Deputies involved after the investigation was completed. On -call
ì	therapist contacted Lead Clinical Therapist
ì	incident. On-call therapist received a phone call from Coordinator and the second at the regarding the incident.
ì	Coordinator was directed to contact the legal guardians of all the clients involved (see above). On-call therapist
	contacted the foster mother at the state of follow up regarding the incident. The foster mother stated that on
	foster child left bedroom to use the bathroom and upon returning to bedroom
	witnessed another 11 year old foster child residing in the home holding a pillow over client's face. The
	oster mother stated that graphed the pillow from the and attempted to awaken client although was
i	unresponsive. The foster mother stated that Child Protective investigator name unknown stated that could
7	remain in the foster home at this time. The foster mother reported that she was unable to obtain the police report
r	number and names and phone numbers of the Sheriff's Deputies involved. Lead therapist contacted on-call therapist
2	to report that she obtained additional information from the foster mother. Lead Therapist stated that
ě	client was observed to have bite/teeth marks on both cheeks and arms. Coordinator spoke with
	on and at a second in regards to the above incident. Ms. Second provided additional information
	regarding the death of client. Ms. stated that client had extensive trauma to the head and eye that
	indicated that extreme force was used.
	The state of the s

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer Review	Page 4
SECTION	on i: reviews/	SIGNATURES	NE SANDE
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title PC	Date (Common of the Common of
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Titie State Compliance Manager	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO SENATE RULE 26

MENTOR0004917

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attornsy/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Stanks. Complete All Soxes.		
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4	
3. Guardian: (x) Self () State () Parent(s) () (Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 19 Years 7 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: (x) Adult (18+) () Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services:	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B: PROGRAM INFORMATION 12. State: 13. City: 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:		
	15. Program Name: Children's Services	
(x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Day Program () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () School than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: INCIDENT INFORMATION		
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)	
19. Location of Incident: (check one) () Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individual's Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:	home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other:	
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the **Client was in the bathtub. Foster parents daught	incident for entry into Risk Management database. er discovered *** was blue. She called 911 and gave mouth-to-mouth	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Pear Review Page 2			
Cilent/Individual Name: Log #: €			
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/Individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Carataker: [x] Mentor [x] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Inadequate Supervision [] Inglect [x] Inadequate Supervision [] Griminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	RPTORS (check all that anniv) CLIENT/INDN/DUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Imapropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illiness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Salzure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] I Shall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:		
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens		
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []TO Other Client []TO Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:		
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)		

	leged and Confidential: Risk Managen	Andrew Control of the
SECTIONE: PE	ROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Seclusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	X Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	[] Funding Source Notified Date: [] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/individual Name:	Log#:	
List Cilent/Individual's current medical and prunning, confabulation, etc.), medical history	psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. s y(e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications as been in the Foster home for nearly rry connected to the foster family.	exually abused, physically aggressive,
	SECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT Itlon and activities prior and leading up to this in nattended for several minutes.	
Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronological injuries, interventions and current status. Re	SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV ogical order. Include who, what, where, when an eport involvement of other parties such as law in (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed iger.).	d how. Provide information on alleged enforcement, emergency medical
underwater in the tub and was "blue". (eport that foster parents bio daughter, Client started mouth to mouth and called 91 unced dead. M.E. was called.	had discovered client was 1. Paramedics transported client

and Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer Revie	w Page 4
ON I: REVIEWS / !	SIGNATURES	
Print Name	Title Case Manager	Date (Same Same
Print Name	Title	Date
	Print Name Print Name Print Name Print Name Print Name	Case Manager Print Name Title Print Name Title Print Name Title

Piesse PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible	nd Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page
	Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION
, Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4
6. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () C	Other: 4. Log #: (6.5)
5. DOB;	6. Age: 20 Years 2 M 7. Gender:
s. Population: (x) Adult (18+) () Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)
Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness
Length of Current Placement/Services; 8 Years	() MR/DD () MR/Mi () MR/DD Offender () Education (x) Other: MF
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION
2. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:
	15. Program Name:
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2	
() Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (x) Mentor Homel Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with It () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed) Day Program ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)
() Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (x) Mentor Home Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with It) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECITION C:	is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed) Day Program ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION
() Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (x) Mentor Homel Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with In) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECITION C:	is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed) Day Program ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)
() Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (x) Mentor Homel Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with It () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speec () Day Program ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational is with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:

Attorney/Cilent Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	Date of Incident:	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/iNDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Allegad or Confirmed) Carataker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Allegad Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Inaglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/individual's Funds [] Missuse of Client/individual's Funds [] Missuse of Client/individual's Property [] Other:		
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []TO Other Client []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

Attorney/Client Privil	eged and Confidential: Risk Managen	ent/Peer Review Page 3	
SECTION E: PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Gheck all that apply)			
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor SISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Daveloped with Citent/Individual Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical []Mechanical []Sectusions []Chemical []Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	[] Funding Source Notified Date: [] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:	
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:		
List Client/Individual's current medical and ps running, confabulation, etc.), medical history	(e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications I palsy; profound mental retardation; G-tu	exually abused, physically aggressive,	
23. SI Briefly describe the Client/Individual's conditi	ECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT on and activities prior and leading up to this i		
Per foster parent's initial report, staff was parent from the hospital, had awww.became unresponsive.	unable to awaken are from anap. akened from a nap at school, and staff wa		
24. SJ Describe <u>IN DETAIL</u> , the incident in chronolog injuries, interventions and current status. Rep services, etc. include sources of information affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manag	oort involvement of other parties such as law (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide information on alleged enforcement, emergency medical	
Education Center, and staff at the school property of the school property of the supervisor, case supervisor informed this worker that autopsy. Also, they were waiting for the land stated that she was at the hospital but	had stated that she received a call at because they could not wake buy a heading to the school. I licens reported that was being taken to lied Hospital and spoke to the nursin had been pronounced dead at foster parent to arrive. She also stated the unresponsive while being moved in a triple of the school of t	home from school after nay, and the paramedics aing worker, called graphosphaling. At graphosphaling supervisor. The nursing and they would be doing an attacted at that school staff reported that, loyer lift. CPR was started, he school to obtain information the administration staff, and they o with the school in the morning.	

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer Re	eview Page 4
SECTI	ON I: REVIEWS /:	SIGNATURES	* 17***
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Super.	Date (Cartes)
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Prog. Mgr.	Date (623-623)
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title State Dir.	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT AttornsyClient Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4	
3. Guardian: () Self () State () Parent(s) (x)	Other: Foster parent 4. Log #	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 17 Years 3 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
18. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services:	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B: 12. State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name: Children's Program	
(x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Day Program () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shool () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) () Home Health Agency Services		
SECITION C:	INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Mentor	
19. Location of Incident; (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)	
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living In Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community (x) Other:	home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other:	
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	incident for entry into Risk Management database.	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confid	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Comment Commen	
	CLIENT/MDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/MDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fail [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Path/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Settling [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal crueity)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per cllent/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

	ey/Client Privileged and Confidential; Risk Management/Peer Review Page 3
SE(CTION E: PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training fi [] ISP/Supervisor/Beha Developed with Client [] Internal Investigation i Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] ISeclusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	vioral Plan Services Date: /Individual Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:
22. List Client/Individual's curre running, confabulation, etc.	SEGTION F: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / DIAGNOSES ant medical and psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. sexually abused, physically aggressive,), medical history (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications.
Medical history: Seizur	e disorder; quadriplegic; blind
23. Briefly describe the Client/l	SECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENTS Individual's condition and activities prior and leading up to this incident.
was sleeping.	was at the state of the state o
injuries, interventions and	SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIVE ident in chronological order. Include who, what, where, when and how. Provide information on alleged current status. Report involvement of other parties such as law enforcement, emergency medical ses of information (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"). When using names, include title and/or DCFS Case Manager.).
	, a former DCFS ward whom she had taken subsidized guardianship, died on the state of the weekend. It is supported that she received a phone call from the state of the state

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: R	sk Management/Peer Revi	ew Page 4
SECTION	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Licensing Rep.	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title Nurse	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title Super.	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title State Dir,	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page			
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.			
	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4		
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:		
5. DOB:	6. Age: 11 Months 7. Gender:		
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)		
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness		
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 6 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:		
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:		
	15. Program Name:		
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ citents/individuals with	als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational		
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	24/7) services less than 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech () Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)		
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuels with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuel x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C	24/7) services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech () Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational als with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) : INCIDENT INFORMATION		
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuels with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuel X) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C	24/7) services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech		
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 17. Date & Time of Incident:	24/7) services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech () Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational als with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) : INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:		
() Group or Shared Living (3+ cilents/individuels with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 cilents/individuel x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ cilents/individuels with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 cilents/individuels than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Cilents/individuals Living In Home: () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt)	24(7) services less than 24(7) als with 24(7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech		
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuels with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuels x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION:C 17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: () Clients/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle	24/7) services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech () Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational als with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) : INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Mentor 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite		
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuels with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuels with () Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 17. Date & Time of Incident: () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/individual's Riological Family/Guardien Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment	24/7) services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech		

client, and heart rate was high and O2 was very low. She and the nurse administered CPR until EMs arrived and continued CPR to the hospital. Client died.		
Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Pag		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:		
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESI DEATH (death of client/Individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/Individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENTINIDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker:	CRIPTORS (check all that apply) CLIENT/IND/IDJAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Saxual Comments/Threats [] Yerbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibition/smi/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication	
[] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse	MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction	
[] Physical Assautl/Abuse [] Corporal/nappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker	[] Pheumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our ca	
[] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	[] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per cilent/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)	


Attorney/Client	Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review	Page 3
SECTION E:	PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all that apply)	
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Ment [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan	tor [x] Reported to Aduit/Child Protective Services Date: [] Family Notified Date: [] Family Notified Date: [] Guardian Notified Date: [] Licensing Notified Date: [] Law Enforcement/Prot Date:	
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:	
List Client/Individual's current medical	N F: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / DIAGNOSES and psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. sexually abused, physically a letery (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications.	aggressive,
been taking the following medicat	ne liver; blindness; deafness in one ear; and severe fetal alcohol syndron ions: Latanoprost Eye Drops; Brinzolamide (Azopt) Eye Drops; Petrolate is on oxygen and requires suctioning thorughout the day.	
23. Briefly describe the Client/Individual's	SECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENTS condition and activities prior and leading up to this incident.	
O2 saturation was low Albuterol treatments, which should	The mentor and the nurse spoke with the doctor, who stated to include with the doctor, who stated to include with the doctor, who stated to include the doctor, who stated the doctor include the doctor include the doctor.	crease the
injuries, interventions and current state	SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIVE onological order. Include who, what, where and how. Provide information us. Report involvement of other parties such as law enforcement, emergency menation (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"). When using names, inclu Manager.).	edical
mount of Albuterol. Nonethele pn . The mentor sp instead of the increased Albuterol Medicald, which is unavailable on timentor, see	They took weeks to the war south of the located on and and), at about ion val by the turse Irop. She

SECTION	ONI: REVIEWS/:	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Prog. Mgr.	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Prog. Mgr.	Date (Second
Signature	Print Name	Title Clinical Coord.	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT AttornsyClient Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (19-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION 2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4	
1. Client/Individual's Name:		
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () (Other: 4. Log #4	
5, DOB:	6. Age: 2 Months 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 1 Months 3 Days	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B: 12. State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name:	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services () Group or Shared Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)		
	INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident: 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Mentor		
19. Location of Incident: (check one) 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)		
19. Location of Incident: (check ane) () Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Client/Individual's Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK () Discharged from MENTOR NE		
**Client was a medically fragile baby under hospice client's health was rapidly declining. The same day client had died.	e care. On Mentor on-call received a call from Mentor saying that	

Attorney/Cilent Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/individual Name: Log #:		
Client/Individual Name: Log #: SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/Individual is a Level 4 Incident): (x) Expected Death of Client/Individual () Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENT/InDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Volence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Preumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] TO Other Client [] TO Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] TO Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animats (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

	vileged and Confidential: Risk Managem	
SECTIONE: P	ROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staft/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavloral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical []Mechanical []Seclusions []Chemical	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [x] Licensing Notified Date:	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [x] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
[]Law Enforcement Client/Individual Name:	Log#:	
List Client/Individual's current medical and	: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. s ry (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications	exually abused, physically aggressive,
pre-natal care. Client was diagnosed delayed maturation of the white matte hospital and was placed on oxygen 2	nd tested positive for cocaine. with moderately severe hydrocephalus and ir in client's brain. suffered from apnea e 477. Client was also diagnosed with reflux au nd also received Karo syrup to help with co	a CT scan also revealed markedly pisodes while in security nd received medication for it.
23.	SECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT	'S
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's con-	dition and activities prior and leading up to this in	ncident.
During the evening of the Mentor was limp and the body was cool to	he touch. At some on the same	fferently. had been crying and called the on call CC and Hospice
During the evening of Mentor was limp and Dody was cool to to tell them about client. Due to client	noticed that client was acting di the touch. At on s s DNR (Do Not Resuscitate) order, 911 was r	fferently. had been crying and called the on call CC and Hospice of called.
During the evening of Mentor was limp and Boody was cool to to tell them about client. Due to client' 24. Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chrono injuries, interventions and current status.	noticed that client was acting di he touch. At a not s DNR (Do Not Resuscitate) order, 911 was r SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV logical order. Include who, what, where, when an taport involvement of other parties such as size in (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	fferently. had been crying and called the on call CC and Hospice lot called. E. d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
During the evening of Mentor was limp and Body was cool to to tell them about client. Due to client' to tell them about client. Due to client' 24. 24. Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chrono injuries, interventions and current status. Is services, etc. include sources of informatic	noticed that client was acting di he touch. At a not s DNR (Do Not Resuscitate) order, 911 was r SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV logical order. Include who, what, where, when an taport involvement of other parties such as size in (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	fferently. had been crying and called the on call CC and Hospice lot called. E. d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
During the evening of Mentor was limp and Body was cool to to tell them about client. Due to client' to tell them about client. Due to client' 24. 24. Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chrono injuries, interventions and current status. Is services, etc. include sources of informatic	noticed that client was acting di he touch. At a not s DNR (Do Not Resuscitate) order, 911 was r SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV logical order. Include who, what, where, when an taport involvement of other parties such as size in (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	fferently. had been crying and called the on call CC and Hospice lot called. E. d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
During the evening of Mentor was limp and Body was cool to to tell them about client. Due to client' to tell them about client. Due to client' 24. 24. Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chrono injuries, interventions and current status. Is services, etc. include sources of informatic	noticed that client was acting di he touch. At a not s DNR (Do Not Resuscitate) order, 911 was r SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV logical order. Include who, what, where, when an taport involvement of other parties such as size in (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	fferently. had been crying and called the on call CC and Hospice lot called. E. d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
During the evening of Mentor was limp and Dody was cool to to tell them about client. Due to client' 24. Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chrono injuries, interventions and current status. Is services, etc. include sources of informatic	noticed that client was acting di he touch. At a not s DNR (Do Not Resuscitate) order, 911 was r SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV logical order. Include who, what, where, when an taport involvement of other parties such as size in (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	fferently. had been crying and called the on call CC and Hospice lot called. E. d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
During the evening of Mentor was limp and Dody was cool to to tell them about client. Due to client' 24. Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chrono injuries, interventions and current status. Is services, etc. include sources of informatic	noticed that client was acting di he touch. At a not s DNR (Do Not Resuscitate) order, 911 was r SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV logical order. Include who, what, where, when an taport involvement of other parties such as size in (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	fferently. had been crying and called the on call CC and Hospice lot called. E. d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
During the evening of Mentor was limp and Body was cool to to tell them about client. Due to client' to tell them about client. Due to client' 24. 24. Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chrono injuries, interventions and current status. Is services, etc. include sources of informatic	noticed that client was acting di he touch. At a not s DNR (Do Not Resuscitate) order, 911 was r SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV logical order. Include who, what, where, when an taport involvement of other parties such as size in (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	fferently. had been crying and called the on call CC and Hospice lot called. E. d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical



nd Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer R	eview Page 4
NI: REVIEWS/	SIGNATURES	
Print Name	Title CC	Date
Print Name	Title	Date
	Print Name Print Name Print Name Print Name	Print Name Title Print Name Title Print Name Title

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 16 Years 1 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 7 Months () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:		
SECTION B:		
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name:	
() Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services () Cinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed (2) Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)		
	INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident: 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)		
Mentor (Color Color Colo		
19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite (x) Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Program Office () Community () Other: () Other: () Client/Individual Place of Employment () School Suspension/Expulsion () I Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization () I Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation () School Suspension/Expulsion () Client/Individual Arrest/Detention (x) Death () Other:		
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the **Mentor called Clinical Supervisor		
Called Clinical Supervisor	to report that client had passed away.	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:		
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Carataker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Jenal Theats of Violence [] Jenal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illiness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Balting/Scalding Related Injuries [] Uther Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENTINDIVIDUAL: []TO Other Client []TO Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Farmity Member []To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theat [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

Attorney/Client Pri	vileged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Pa	
SECTION E: PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all that apply)			
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Seclusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [x] Licensing Notified Date:	x Funding Source Notified Date: Pemily Notified Date:	
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:		
running, confabulation, etc.), medical history Dysthymic DO, Chronic Moderate Ma thyroid, recent removal from foster his	I psychlatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. s ory (e.g. selzures, diabetes, etc.) and medications. for Depressive DO, Single episode, ODD, Bor ome, historically client can be threatening an ipam, Cortef, Zoloft, and Levothyroxin	derline intellectual functioning,	
23. Briefly describe the Client/Individual's con On Client was admitted to	SECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT dition and activities prior and leading up to this in		
and low heart rate. Premained in the Hospital by ambulance due to reported	hospital until On On One One One One One One One One O	taken to the same was taken to	
injuries, interventions and current status.	SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV logical order. Include who, what, where, when an Report Involvement of other parties such as law e on (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed" lager.).	d how. Provide information on alleg inforcement, emergency medical	
Mr. stated that had gone in stated he called 911 and attem at his house. He also stated that clien medication had been changed. Mrs. Collection called Mr. bed sleeping and he had last checked lot so he was not concerned that wappeared different -@ looked too stiff	to client's room to check on and discove pted CPR but client was already deceased. It	fr. stated the police were on and client's to report the incident. stated that client was in it is normal for client to sleep a gain at and said body y was stiff and cold. Called	

Autorney/Chem Frivineged	ing Comidential, Ri	sk Management/Peer Review	Page 4
SECTIO	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title CS	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT AttorneyClient Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
3. Guardian: (x) Self () State () Parent(s) () C	Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 18 Years 9 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: (x) Adult (18+) () Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 3 Years 4 Months	(x) MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B: PROGRAM INFORMATION 12. State: 13. City: 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name: 15. Program Name:		
() Group or Shared Living (3 r clients/individuals with 24/7) (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3 r clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: INCIDENTINFORMATION		
17. Date & Time of incident: 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)		
19. Location of Incident: (check one) () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Nama: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Program Office () Community (x) Other: Hospital 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement withIn MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] In-school suspension/Expulsion [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Delention [] Death [] Other:		
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the **Client has been in the hospital due to vital pneum arrangements. Cause of death listed as vital pneum	onia for 10 days. was referred to hospital, but died prior to those	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Cilent/Individual Name: Log #:		
	A STATE OF THE STA	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations	[] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury: EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens	
[] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Ostaff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	[] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Bome Pathogens PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Thet/Shoplifting [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []TO Other Client []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/Individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

The state of the s	eged and Confidential: Risk Manager	
SECTION E: PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all that apply)		
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behaviora Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical []Mechanical []Seclusions []Chemical []Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: [] Substantiated [] Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	Date: (**) Family Notified
Client/Individual Name:	Log #	
running; confabulation, etc.), medical history (Client had been in our care over three ye Mental Retardation, Cerebral Palsy, Spas hospitalization were for seizures and anx	ars. is non-ambulatory and nonverba	I Client is diagnosed with: Profound
23. SE Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	CCTION G: ANTECEDENT EVEN on and activities prior and leading up to this	
Client had been hospitalized on no treatment. Only comfort and care issue		
24. SE Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronologic injuries, interventions and current status. Repservices, etc. include sources of information (affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manage	ort involvement of other parties such as law e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed	nd how. Provide Information on alleged enforcement, emergency medical
and client did not appear to be functioning	, who stated client was deceased. Mento	thing and then saw the client stop
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary	DO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF BACE!	

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer Review	v Page 4
SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES			
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Program Manager	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
and the second s	e Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.	
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 5 Months 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness () MR/VD () MR/MI	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 3 Months	() MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B:		
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name:	
Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) Nome Health Agency Services SECTION C.	() Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) mentor	
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)	
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Cllents/Individuals Living In Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle	home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization	
() Program Office () Community (x) Other: hospital 21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	[] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other:	
** passed away on and at	Hospital in the way the property of the proper	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidentials Black Management/Peer Review Page			
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	Date of Incident:		
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Carataker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	RPTORS (check all that annity) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Healtin De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [x] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:		
[] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL	[] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL:		
BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Other Third Party	[] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:		
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []TO Other Client []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)		

Attorney/Client	Privileged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3	
SECTION E: PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all that apply)			
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Ment [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Clien/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical []Mechanical []Seclusions []Chemical []Law Enforcement	or [] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantialed () Unsubstantiale [] Licensing Notified Date:	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:	
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:		
was born with bir	ures. A G-tube was inserted befre discharge fro	has severe brain damage with	
Mrs. reports that client b	vated. Was given a shot of Rocefin and sch the appointment on client's G-tube be ER. According to Mrs. Was I while at due to client's fever and distressed breathin	ncident. I to pediatrician. Tests showed eduled to be re-checked n came dislodged and mentor was , she expressed concern	
24. Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chr injuries, interventions and current stat	SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV onological order, include who, what, where, when an us. Report involvement of other parties such as law nation (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide information on alleged enforcement, emergency medical	
to the emergency room - reports that she was informed that According to Mrs. , client's 86. ER staff gave client a supposite transport client to breathing and she had to stop the reported to be having convulsions. provided care throughout the day it staff could not respond when clien at to report that she was during feeding. , clie Appaiding sites BHRIO release if house. client's DNR order was in place. ER contacted by Mrs.	taking client to the ER to have G-tube re-inent's coordinator, spoke with scholars (SENDERWESTING) Audient SENDERWESTING) Audient was doing poorly and learned that client had just died. Mrs.	on arrival at the ER, mentor ian who originally inserted it. 03 and oxygen saturation was structed by hospital staff to hat client was having difficulty rival at	

SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES				
	Problem State Control	and the second s		
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Program Supervisor	Date	
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Program Manager	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date	

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legib	le Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.	
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 15 Years 1 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Cara () Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 21 Days	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION	
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name:	
 () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services 		
SECTION C	: INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)	
	CC CC	
19. Location of incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)	
(x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living in Home: 1 () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) 1 () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home 2 () Day Program 2 () School 3 () Client/Individual's Place of Employment 3 () Vehicle 4 () Program Office 5 () Community 6 () Other: 21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] School Suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other:	
** On between the hours of		
Detween the nours of	expired.	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page			
Client/Individual Name; Log fi			
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESCRIPTORS (check all that apply)			
DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/Individual	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior		
(x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual	[] Mental Health De-compensation		
CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL:	[] Oppositional Behaviors		
() Located at the Time of the Incident Report	[] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats		
() Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report	[] Verbal Threats of Violence		
() Other:	[] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation		
CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT:	MEDICATION INCIDENTS:		
(Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed)	[] Medication Error		
Complete	[] Missing Controlled Substances		
Caretaker:	[] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication		
[] Mentor	MEDICAL INCIDENTS:		
[] Mentor Family Member [] Staff	[] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
[] Other Caretaker:	[] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy		
Alleged Misconduct:	[] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment		
[] Sexual Boundary/Abuse	[] UTI		
[] Verbal or Emotional Abuse	[] Bowel Impaction		
[] Physical Assault/Abuse	[] Pneumonia		
[] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment	[] Pressure Sores		
[] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention	[] Other:		
[] Neglect			
[] Inadequate Supervision	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY:		
[] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker	[] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our car		
[] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker	[] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care		
[] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds	[] Theft by Third Party		
[] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal	[] Fall		
Property	[] Choking		
[] Other:	[1 Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries		
	[] Other Burns		
	[] Vehicle		
	[] Swimming/Near Drowning		
	[] Other Accidental Injury:		
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL:	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS:		
[] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations	[] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens		
[] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	[] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne		
	Pathogens PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL:		
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL	Property Damage Under \$1,000		
BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL:	[] Property Damage Over \$1,000		
[] To Other Client	[] Vehicle Theft		
[] To Staff or Mentor	[] Fire Setting		
[]To Mentor's Family Member	[] Theft/Shoplifting		
[]To Other Third Party	[] Other:		
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL:	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per		
[] To Other Client	client/individual/program)		
[] To Staff or Mentor	A December of District Co.		
[]To Mentor's Family Member	[] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol,		
[] To Other Third Party	lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)		
[]To Animals (animal cruelty)			

Attorney/Client Privileg	ed and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PROG	RAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: Iphysical Mechanical Sectusions Chemical Chemical Chemical Chemical Chemical Chemical	Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	(x) Funding Source Notified Date: [Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:	
List Client/Individual's current medical and psycl running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (e.g.	; setzures, diabetes, etc.) and medications. Development Delay, NOS, due to genera onal, due to eneral medical condition (C Itiple foster home placements, severe n	axually abused, physically aggressive, al medical condition (Cerebral erebral Palsy); Ill: Cerebral Palsy, tental, physical, and behavioral
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	TION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT	icident.
	Involvement of other parties such as law e . "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	how. Provide Information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
On between the hours of found on the flor flor flor flor flor flor flor flor	or not breathing:	unfortunately, did where was pronounced dead. d notified of the above me the assigned Sup and where was greated to the state of the sta

SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES			
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Clinical Supervisor	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Program Manager	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title Clinical Director	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR N Attorney/Client Privileged a	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.			
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION			
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4		
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:		
5. DOB:	6. Age: 1 Years 4 M 7. Gender:		
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)		
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness		
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 8 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:		
SECTION B: 12. State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:		
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
	15. Program Name:		
Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) Home Health Agency Services	() Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)		
17. Date & Time of Incident:	INCIDENIT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:		
Tr. Date of filled in accident.	By: (Name & Title) Mentor		
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)		
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community (x) Other: Medical C Medical C Medical C [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Clostop Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within METWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x) Death [] Other: 21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the incident for entry Into Risk Management database.			
mainly falling liver and bowels. had beer	death was due to complications from various medical conditions,		

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	antial: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): (x) Expected Death of Client/Individual (Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENTINDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Selvain Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] The Box Sexual Commonia [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [x] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [x] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] TO Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Thet/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/Individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lightar, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privil	eged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PRO	OGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical []Mechanical []Sectusions []Chemical []Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	[*] Funding Source Notified Date: [] Family Notified Date: [*] Guardian Notified Date: [] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
22. SECTION F:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY /	DIACNOSES
List Client/Individual's current medical and pa	sychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (s.g. s (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications.	exually abused, physically aggressive,
bowel, had maternal HIV infection, anem exposure. Client had been admitted to the	ed Necrotizing Enterocolitis, an infection ina, cholestatic jaundice, ostoepenis, and he hospital on six different occasions sinc it Bowel Syndrome. MEDS: TPN (Total Pa Zantac.	had intrauterine cocaine e placement. DX: Medically
23. SI	ECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT	S
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's conditi	on and activities prior and leading up to this in	cident
feedings and has liver damage. The hat treatment and possible transplant of liver		s flown to has had various
Describe <u>IN DETAIL</u> , the incident in chronolog injuries, interventions and current status. Rep	ECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV loal order. Include who, what, where, when an port involvement of other parties such as law e (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed" er.).	d how. Provide information on alleged nforcement, emergency medical
passed away on at a conditions, mainly failing liver and bo	death was due to complication wels. had been in raiting a liver and bowel transplant when	at at a second s
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary	DO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF DAGE	

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: R	sk Management/Peer Review	Page 4
SECTIO	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Clinical Coordinator	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Program Manager	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

Diago DRINT Clearly or Type in Leathing	THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete Ali Boxes.			
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION			
1. Client/Individual's Name:	4.2.40	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #:			
5. DOB:	6. Age: 10 Months	7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9, Service Category: (Gheck one)		
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Juvenile Justice (x) Medically Comple	() Elder Care × () Mental illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 6 Months	() MR/DD Offender	() MR/MI () Education () Other:	
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFOR		
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partne	er, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name: Medically Com		
18. Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most close			
(x) Mentor Homel Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)			
		School	
() Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:	INCIDENT INFOR	School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MATION	
() Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:	INCIDENT INFOR 18. First Reported to MEr	School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MATION	
() Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:	INCIDENT INFOR	School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MATION	
() Home Health Agency Services	INCIDENT INFOR 18. First Reported to MEr	School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MATION NTOR NETWORK: Mentor	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2			
Cilent/Individual Name: Log #:	M		
SECTIOND: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 Incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/iNDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Poproprait/lappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [x] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [x] Other: DOA at Hospital CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Svimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:		
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens		
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:		
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per cilent/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)		

X Counseling/Training for StaffMentor Reported to Adult/Child Protective X Funding Source Notified Services Date: Services Date: Family Notified Date:	 — manufacture of the control of the c	eged and Confidential: Risk Manageme	The state of the s
SECTION F: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / DIAGNOSES List Client/Individual's current medical and psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. sexually abused, physically agrunning, confabulation, etc.), medical history (e.g. selzures, diabetes, etc.) and medications. Ex- 25 week premature infant, intra-uterine drug exposure, GERD, Bronchopulmonary dysplasia, Retinopathy Prematurity, Anemia of prematurity, developmental delay/HX Respiratory distress syndrome requiring ventila oxygen, feeding intolerance requiring parenteral nutrition with central line, chronic lung disease, apnea, hear murmur, prencephaly, Grade 4 Intraventricular hemorrhage, suspected Sepsis. Current Medications: Polyvi Iron, Zantac, Reglan, Amoxicillin. 23. SECTION G: ANHECEDENT EVENTS Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition and activities prior and leading up to this incident. One week prior to incident Child had a 105 degree fever. Mentor took child to get the continuous continuous and continuous cont	X Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified
List Cilent/Individual's current medical and psychlatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. sexually abused, physically agrunning, confabulation, etc.), medical history (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications. Ex- 25 week premature infant, intra-uterine drug exposure, GERD, Bronchopulmonary dysplasia, Retinopathy Prematurity, Anemia of prematurity, developmental delay/HX Respiratory distress syndrome requiring ventila oxygen, feeding intolerance requiring parenteral nutrition with central line, chronic lung disease, apnea, hear murmur, porencephaly, Grade 4 intraventricular hemorrhage, suspected Sepsis. Current Medications: Polyvi Iron, Zantac, Reglan, Amoxicillin. 23. SECTION G: ANRECEDENT EVENTS Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition and activities prior and leading up to this incident. One week prior to incident Child had a 106 degree fever. Mentor took child to ER on where mentor reported was examined and sent home with prescription for amoxicillin. Follo ER visit, client was examined by pediatrician, Dr. Medical Center. Cilent was conti with amoxicillin at time of incident. 24. SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIVE Describe IN DETAL the incident in chronological order. Include who, what, where, when and how. Provide information or injuries, interventions and current status. Report involvement of other parties such as law enforcement, emergency mediservices, etc. Include sources of Information, etc., "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"). When using names, includes means, include sources of Information and services, etc. Include sources of Information.		Log #:	
Iron, Zantac, Reglan, Amoxicillin. 23. SECTION G: ANHECEDENT EVENTS Briefly describe the Client/individual's condition and activities prior and leading up to this incident. One week prior to incident Child had a 106 degree fever. Mentor took child to ER on where mentor reported was examined and sent home with prescription for amoxicillin. Follo ER visit, client was examined by pediatrician, Dr. Medical Center. Client was conti with amoxicillin at time of incident. 24. SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIVE Describe IN DETAL the incident in chronological order. Include who, what, where, when and how. Provide information or injuries, interventions and current status. Report involvement of other parties such as law enforcement, emergency mediservices, etc. Include sources of information [c., "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed") when using names, include	running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (c Ex- 25 week premature infant, intra-uterin Prematurity, Anemia of prematurity, deve	e.g. selzures, diabetes, etc.) and medications. ne drug exposure, GERD, Bronchopulmon elopmental delay/HX Respiratory distress	nary dysplasia, Retinopathy of syndrome requiring ventilation and
ER on where mentor reported was examined and sent home with prescription for amoxicillin. Follo ER visit, client was examined by pediatrician, Dr. at Medical Center. Client was conti with amoxicillin at time of incident. 24. SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIVE Describe IN DETAIL the Incident in chronological order. Include who, what, where, when and how. Provide information or injuries, interventions and current status. Report involvement of other parties such as law enforcement, emergency mediservices, etc. Include sources of Information (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed") when using names, include	Iron, Zantac, Regian, Amoxicillin. 23. SE	CTION G: ANDECEDENT EVENT	S
injuries, interventions and current status. Report involvement of other parties such as law enforcement, emergency medi services, etc. include sources of information (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"). When using names, include	ER on the where mentor reported by ER visit, client was examined by pediatricl with amoxicillin at time of incident.	was examined and sent home with prescri	al Center. Client was continuing
	injuries, interventions and current status. Reposervices, etc. include sources of information (s	ort involvement of other parties such as law e e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	nforcement, emergency medical

Or mentor, , contacted this coordinator at and sated she is at	
Hospital with client. She explained that approximately one hour earlier her husband, Mrchecked on	
client, who was in bed, and determined client was not breathing. Mr. then called 911 and gave CPR.	
the ambulance arrived, Mr. urged Mrs. urged Mrs. to come home immediately. Mrs. arrived h	
and accompanied client to ER. After Mr. made arrangements for care of the other children in the home	
arrived at the ER at approximately At that time, this writer contacted Mrs. , who stated "they	
continuing to work on (client)." At writer reported current situation to continuing to work on (client)." At writer reported current situation to	
worker at City DSS. At writer called Hospital ER to request information on client	
status. The responding nurse stated she could not give writer information and transferred call to Dr.	
stated client was determined to be Dead on Arrival when ambulance brought to ER after full efforts were m	ade
to resuscitate client. Writer notified at a state of the City DSS of client's death. After notifying	
, Program Manager of Medically Complex Program, of client's death at writer proceeded to	İ
Agrelia Hospital ditinual with thirm and larg. Dann Bellevi Edward Converted Client's death. When writer arrived	
hospital at Mr. & Mrs. indicated they had been interviewed by County Police. After	r the
received permission to view client, writer accompanied them into the room where client lay. Mrs.	
was very distressed at the viewing and was comforted by her husband. Police request the	talk
	16
homicide detective assured the the they were not suspect and advised them the police were required to	go to
the home to view client's home environment and also take items, such as bedding etc. The	٥,
were cooperative with law enforcement and hospital personnel. Throughout the time at the hospital, writer talk	
with the about the situation, what they might expect, and offered support and counseling services.	Writer
remained with the control of until control of control of the contr	

SECTION	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
2019 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 1	ariju, sakaraji jest Emriekaja pakasareki iz	in resource of muse and instance is a seal of \$1000.000 to \$1000 t	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Clinical Coordinator	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Program Manager	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title State Director	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT AttornsylClient Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1			
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.			
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION			
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4		
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #			
5. DOB;	6. Age: 3 Years 9 M 7. Gender:		
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child 9. Service Category: (Check one)			
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavloral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness		
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 1 Years	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:		
SECTION B	PROGRAM INFORMATION		
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:		
	15. Program Name: Children's Services		
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) () Home Health Agency Services			
	: INCIDENT INFORMATION		
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK; By: (Name & Title) Primary Mentor		
19. Location of incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)		
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name:	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending		
# of Clients/individuals Living in Home:	[] Client/Individual Placed in Respite		
() Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt			
() Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program	hame/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK		
) School [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home			
() Client/Individual's Place of Employment (x) Vehicle	[] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization)		
() Program Office	[] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization		
() Community () Other:	[] In-school suspension		
, outer	[] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention		
	[x] Death [] Other:		
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	a incident for entry into Risk Management database.		
was in respite with that was having difficulty breathing. At appr	; they were traveling in a vehicle on the way to the store and noticed oximately they pulled over and called 911, who responded and		

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:		
	CRIPTORS (check all that apply)	
DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/Individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker:	CLIENT/NDIVIDUAL BEHAVIÓRĂL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances	
[] Mentor Family Member [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alloged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assautt/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Missues of Cleint/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	[] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Soras [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scaldling Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [ITo Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruetty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, filicit drugs, etc.)	

Attorney/Client Privileg	ed and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIONE: PROG	FRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Gheck	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Oeveloped with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical []Mechanical []Sectusions []Chemical []Law Enforcement	X Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	X Funding Source Notified Date: X Family Notified Date: X Guardian Notified Date: X Guardian Notified Date: X Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date: X Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date: X X X X X X X X X
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:	
22. SECTION F: C. List Client/Individual's current medical and psyc running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (e,f Client is diagnosed with speech and langu followed for low weight; however, since PRN, which was last used once in	g seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications age delay, as well as asthma, which is r	exually abused, physically aggressive, :
23. SEC Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition The client was behaving and eating normalis		
Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronologica injuries, interventions and current status. Report services, etc. include sources of information (e., affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manager.) (Respite Mentors	Involvement of other parties such as law e . "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide Information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical). When using names, include title and/or (client) since
reported to be riding in a vehicle with to and was talking with pulled over and called 911. The medics arrived to the hospital. Once the however, at was pronounced des Supervisor) responded to the hospital. The Detective of the listory and the circum Mentor) about history and the circum	n Mrs. exported to notice was have and reported to notice was have ded and reportedly provided medical cases arrived at Program Manager) an nospital doctor reported that he believe a Homicide Unit questioned the matances of the day. and Ms. exported that he believe a Homicide Unit questioned the instances of the day. and Ms. exported that he believe a Homicide Unit questioned the instances of the day.	afforts were made to revive ; d Clinical ; d had had a heart attack. l and (primary City Child Protective

SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES				
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Program Manager	Date	
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Program Director	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title Clinical Supervisor	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title State QA Manager	Date	
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title State Director	Date	

THE MENTOR I	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.			
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION			
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4		
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()) Other: 4. Log #:		
5. DOB:	6. Age: 15 Years 1 7. Gender:		
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)		
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness		
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 4 Years	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:		
SECTION B: 12. State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:		
	15. Program Name: Children's Program		
Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individua than 24/7) Home Health Agency Services	Is with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)		
	INCIDENT INFORMATION		
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Caseworker		
19. Location of Incident: (check one)			
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name:	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending		
# of Clients/individuals Living In Home: () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home			
() Day Program () School	home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home		
() Client/individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle	I emporanily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization		
() Program Office () Community	[] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension		
(x) Other:	[] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death		
21 Summarize in 2.3 conteness the key a	[] Other:		
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	incident for entry into Risk Management database.		
** Client died from multiple organ failure.	X.		

Attorney/Cilent Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	Date of Incident:	
	CRIPTORS. (check all that anph) CLIENTINDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Imappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illiness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Thef by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:	
SUIGIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] TO Other Client [] TO Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelly)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per cllent/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

Attorney	Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Manag	rement/Peer Review Page 3
SECT	ION E: PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (CH	čk ali that applÿ)
[x] Counseling/Training for S [] ISP/Supervision/Behavio Developed with Client/inc [] Internal Investigation Unit Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Seclusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	ral Plan Services fividual Date:	Date: [x] Family Notified
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:	
Diagnosis: Chronic lung o Keppra; Topomax.	disesse; scoliosis; seizure disorder; cerebral palsy; s	severe mental retardation. Medications:
23. Briefly describe the Client/Indi	SECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVE	
injuries, interventions and cur	SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRAT int in chronological order. Include who, what, where, wher rent status. Report hyolvement of other parties such as of information (e.g. "Mantor reported" or "Staff observe PS Gase Manager.).	n and how. Provide information on alleged aw enforcement, emergency medical
the Pediatric Intensive Care failure by the following mor infection causing the multip negative, and was to was no chance of recovery, as consent for no escalatio physician spoke to both the treatment and continuing at this time, but not escalation at this time, but not escalations.	unit. White treatment and the degrees. Was stabilize Unit. White health began to decompensate over Ining. The treating physician stated that he felt beloe organ fallure; however, he could not verify this. I oo sick to do a spinal tap. I kindrys had shu hallth continues. Consent was obtained by the guardian of DCFS for nof treatment and withdrawal of treatment. On be birth family and the foster family regarding all of the provide all treatment available; continuing to proving that treatment; or withdrawing all treatment. All seguing that treatment, or withdrawing all treatment. All seguing that treatment, or withdrawing all treatment.	night and was in multiple organ had some kind of underlying hat stated that the blood cultures were it down, and he was not outputting it to decline, until the doctor felt there a Do Not Resuscitate order, as well have the treating e options, including continuing the treatment that is receiving birth family and foster family

SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES				
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Trainer	Date	
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title Nurse	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date	

Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible	nd Confidential: Risk Manageme		
	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL I		
. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Le		
. Charter full violatis Name.		vel: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4	
i. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other:	4, Log #:	
DOB:	6. Age: 3 Years 5 M 7. Ge	ender: (Sagrapatiti-reasona) (Salitati)	
. Population: () Adult (18+) (★) Child	9, Service Category: (Check one)		
Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness () MR/DD () MR/DD () Education () Other:		
Length of Current Placement/Services: Years 1 Months			
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMAT	TION	
2. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, spec	cify company name:	
	15. Program Name: Medically Complex		
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ cilients/individuals with: () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 cilients/individual (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ cilients/individuals with) () Shared Care Care Care Care Care Care Care Care	(4/7) servi s with 24/7) () Clinic () Day l ass than 24/7) () Supp	orted Employment/Vocational	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with: () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals) (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home	(4/7) servir s with 24/7) () Clinic () Day I ass than 24/7) () Supp s with less () Scho	ces less than 24/7) cal/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speer Program oorled Employment/Vocational	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with: () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C	(4/7) servit s with 24/7 () Clint () Day ass than 24/7 () Supp s with less () Scho () Broke	ces less than 24/7) cal/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Spee Program onted Employment/Vocational ol erage/Case Management (non-residential) ION	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with: () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C	14/7 Servic	ces less than 24/7) cal/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Spee Program orted Employment/Vocational oil erage/Case Management (non-residential) ION NETWORK:	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with: () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 1 han 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	14/7 Servic	ces less than 24/7) cal/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Spee Program orted Employment/Vocational oil erage/Case Management (non-residential) IION NETWORK:	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:		
	CCRETORS (check all that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy	
[] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Missaps of Client/Individual's Funds [] Missappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	[] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] TO Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Settling [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Antimals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/Individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

Attorney/Client Privile	eged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Ispervision Interventions: Interventions: Physical IMechanical Seclusions Chemical IJenical IJenical	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [} Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [x] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/individual Name:	Log #:	
List Client/Individual's current medical and psyrunning, confabulation, etc.), medical history (c	a.g. selzures, diabetes, etc.) and medications	exually abused, physically aggressive,
Semilobar Holoprosencephaly, Centeral cleft lip and cleft palate, Right retinal and Patent Ductus Arteriosis, Non verbal, Wh Pulmicort and Oxycodine.	i iris colomba, Microcephaly, Ventricular eelchair dependent, Global development	septal defect, VRE, Chordee, al delays. DDAVP, Poly-vi-Sol,
23. SE Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	CTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT on and activities prior and leading up to this in	
Mentor reported that had returned home from a 2.5-n hospitalized in Both hospit remained a concern his affect once	alizations resulted in surgeries. Although	health status
24. SE Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronologic injuries, interventions and current status. Reposervices, etc. include sources of information (carffiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manage	ort involvement of other parties such as law e a.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide Information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
contacted city DSS call a funeral home to remove reason to take in for an autopsy natural cases. When Mentor that has worked with DSS to make funeral with a list of funeral homes he could call a	when Mentor r was alive at when r was alive at when re CPR. According to Mentor paramedics clical examiner pronounced des con call. DSS on call worker Ms body since according to the r due to extensive medical history and cooke to arrangements. At fifter he spoke to DSS supervisor corker to state that body was	during the night. Mentor found dead in last checked on The arrived first and were followed by ad in the home and Detective instructed to medial examiner did not see a d that it appeared had died of ested that he call a funeral home DSS was able to provide Mentor At Mentors removed from their home by

and Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer R	eview Page 4
on i: Reviews/	SIGNATURES	
Print Name	Title CC	Date
Print Name	Title PM	Date
Print Name	Title	Date
Print Name	Title	Date
Print Name	Title SD	Date
	Print Name Print Name Print Name Print Name Print Name	CC Print Name Title PM Print Name Title Print Name Title Print Name Title

1. Client/Individual's Name: 1. Client/Individual's Name: 3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 5. DOB: 6. Ag 8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child 9. Se 10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: () 11. Length of Current Placement/Services: () 12. State: 13. City: 14. It 15. F 16. Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most closely fits () ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 18 state () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 18 state () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 18 state () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 18 state () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 18 state () Home Health Agency Services 17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: 2 () Clients/Individuals's Residence (group home, ICF, ap); () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home [] 18. f	10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes. NT/INDIVIDUAL_INFORMATION 2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4 4. Log #: 15 Years 2 M 7. Gender: Price Category: (Check one)) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury) Juvenile Justice () Elder Care) Medically Complex () Mental Illness) MR/DD () MR/MI) MR/DD Offender () Education () Other: OGRAM INFORMATION Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
1. Client/Individual's Name: 3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 5. DOB: 6. Ag 8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child 9. Se 110. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: () 111. Length of Current Placement/Services: () 112. State: 13. City: 14. t. 115. F 116. Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most closely fits 14. t. 117. City: 14. t. 118. F 119. Location of Incident: (check the ONE that most closely fits 14. t.) 119. Location of Incident: (check the ONE that most closely fits 15. f 119. Location of Incident: (check the ONE that most closely fits 15. f 119. Location of Incident: (check the ONE that most closely fits 15. f 119. Location of Incident: (check one) () 119. Location of Incident: (check one) () 120. Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: () Client/Individuals Living In Home: () Client/Individuals Residence (group home, ICF, ap) () Client/Individuals Residence (group home, ICF, ap) () Client/Individuals Residence (group home, ICF, ap) () Client/Individuals's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program ()	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x) 4 4. Log #: e: 15 Years 2 M 7. Gender: Price Category: (Check one)) Behavioral Health) Juvenile Justice () Elder Care) Medically Complex () Mental Illness) MR/DD () MR/DD () MR/DD () Other: PGRAM INFORMATION Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 5. DOB: 6. Ag 8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child 9. Se 110. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: () 111. Length of Current Placement/Services: () 112. State: 13. City: 14. t. 115. FR 116. Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most closely fits 1. The control of the control	4. Log #: Price Category: (Check one) Behavioral Health Juvenile Justice Medically Complex MR/DD MR/DD MR/DD GRAM INFORMATION Acquired Brain Injury J Education J Education J Other: OGRAM INFORMATION Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child 9. Set 19. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: () (2) (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (5) (5) (6) (6) (6) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7	rvice Category: (Check one)) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury) Juvenile Justice () Elder Care) Medically Complex () Mental Illness) MR/DD () MR/MI) MR/DD Offender () Education () Other: JGRAM INFORMATION Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury) Juvenile Justice () Elder Care) Medically Complex () Mental Illness) MR/DD () MR/MI) MR/DD Offender () Education () Other: DGRAM INFORMATION Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 4 Years 12. State: 13. City: 14. It 15. Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most closely fite () ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less that () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less that () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with lithan 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: INC 17. Date & Time of Incident: 18. F 19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: g of Clients/individuals Living in Home: 2 () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home []) Juvenile Justice () Elder Care) Medically Complex () Mental Illness) MR/DD () MR/MI) MR/DD Offender () Education () Other: OGRAM INFORMATION Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
12. State: 13. City: 14. t 15. F 16. Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most closely fits () ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 1 (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 1 (x) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 1 than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: INC 17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) 17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) 18. f 19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: 2 () Clients/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Beiological Family/Guardian Home []	() Other: OGRAM INFORMATION Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
12. State: 13. City: 15. F 16. Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most closely fits () ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 16x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 16x) Home (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 16x) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 16x) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: INC 17. Date & Time of Incident: 18. f 19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: () Client/Individuals's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individuals's Biological Family/Guardian Home	Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
12. State: 13. City: 15. F 15. Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most closely fits () ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 1 (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less that () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 1 than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: INC 17. Date & Time of Incident: 18. f 19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: () Cilent/Individuals Living In Home: 2 () Cilent/Individuals Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home [] Day Program		
16. Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most closely fits () ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 1 (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less that () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 1 than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: INC 17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: ## of Clients/individuals Living in Home: 2 () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home [] Company () Program []	rogram Name:	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ cilents/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 cilents/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 cilents/individuals with 25 common		
17. Date & Time of Incident: 19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program [ass () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) IDENIT INFORMATION	
19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program [irst Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:	
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite [Mentor Name: 2 [C] () Clients/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apl) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program	By: (Name & Title) foster parent	
Mentor Name: [lutcome of Incident: (check all that apply)	
() Client/Individual's Place of Employment [] () Vehicle	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite apt) [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new	
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the incide] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization)] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization] Emergency Medical Hospitalization] In-school suspension] School Suspension/Expulsion] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention	

"Orlegate the assigned worker informed that the minor was transported to Hospita that the minor had labored breathing, seemed limp and there	was vomit on the bed. Worker contacted nurse clinician
, on-call supervisor, the program of the program of the program of the minor passed at	am manager,
Attorney/Cilent Privileged and Confidence	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual (c) Lentrandividual ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Stuff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Missappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENTINDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [x Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Selzure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENTINDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Saxual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theth by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehice [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [1 Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [3 Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENTINDIVIDUAL: []To Other Client []To Slaff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Statf or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privile	ged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Gheck	all that applÿ)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical []Mechanical []Sectusions []Chemical []Law Enforcement	X Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	x Funding Source Notified Date: x Family Notified Date: x Guardian Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:	A. Weeks
List Client/Individual's current medical and psy running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (e The minor is diagnosed with Spastic Qua Asthma. The minor takes the following m Carbamazepine, Glycopyrrolate adn Trihi	.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications. draplegic, Cerebral Palsy, Seizure Disord edications: Baclofen, Albuterol Inhaler (er, Neuromotor Retardation and
23. SE/ Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition The minor had just finished receiving being tired.		- Icident
24. SE/Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronologic injuries, interventions and current status. Reposervices, etc. include sources of information (e affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manager	rt involvement of other parties such as law e .g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	how. Provide Information on alleged nforcement, emergency medical
Or at the assigned work was informed that the minor was transport informed that the minor had labored breat clinician . Worker and nurse clinician a away at	ed to Hospital in Hospital in Hospital in Hospital in Hospital and were in Hospital and were in Hospital and were in Hospital in Hospital and were in Hospital in	voin ambulance. Worker was vomit. Worker contacted nurse and paged the program manager formed that the minor passed d to resuscitate the minor for an were told by the nursing staff to hat the autopsy would be
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary. D	O NOT WRITE ON BACK OF PAGE)	

Attorney/Glient Privileged	and Confidential: Ri	isk Management/Peer Review	Page 4
SECTION 1: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES			
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Program Coordinator	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Program Manager	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title Program Supervisor	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title State Director	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client-Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page			
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.			
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION			
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4		
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:		
5. DOB:	5. Age: 3 Years 1 M 7. Gender:		
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)		
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness		
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: * Days	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:		
	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:		
	15. Program Name:		
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with: () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with: (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with! () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals han 24/7) () Horne Health Agency Services	ils with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech) () Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational		
SECTION C	: INCIDENT INFORMATION		
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)		
	On call person at Hospital		
19. Location of incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)		
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: 1 () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:	home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Cllent/Individual Arrest/Detention [x) Death [] Other:		
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the **Client was transported to Hospital due to ha			

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confid	entlal: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
	CRIPTORS (check sil that apply) CLIENT/MDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Heath De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: () Property Damage Ouder \$1,000 () Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft () Fire Settling () Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: () To Other Client () To Staff or Mentor () To Mentor's Family Member () To Other Third Party () To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, elc.)

	Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 3				
SECTION E: PROC	RAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)			
[xz] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [1] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [1] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [2] Physical [3] Mechanical [3] Seclusions [4] Chemical [5] Law Enforcement	Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	x Funding Source Notified Date:			
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:				
unning, confabulation, etc.), medical history (e.e. Client was diagnosed as having cerebral programmer of tube, history of failure to thrive some sei medications: Miralax, D'Allergy, Phenobart Erythronycin and Reglan. Client received programmer in a control of the control	alsy, eating D/O/gastro esophageal refli zure activity and is wheelchair bound. (pital, Valproic acid, Predoisolone, Q Dry	ix, developmental D/O, non-verbal, Client is prescribed the following le, Baclofon-Diazapem, Prevacid,			
bruises easily and has hip displacement.					
	TION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT				
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition		WHICH I			
	TION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV	Č.			
A. SEC Describe IN <u>DETAIL</u> the incident in chronologica njuries, interventions and current status. Report ervices, etc. Include sources of information (e.g. fiffiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manager, 1	l order. Include who, what, where, when and Involvement of other parties such as law e L "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	I how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical			
Describe <u>IN DETAIL</u> the incident in chronologica njuries, interventions and current status. Report services, etc. include sources of information (e.g.	l order. Include who, what, where, when and Involvement of other parties such as law e L "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	I how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical			

I	On at approx mentor's daughter noticed that client's breathing was "different" and immediately
۱	awakened mentor, who had reportedly just went to sleep at mentor reportedly picked up the
I	client who had defecated in diaper and began to clean up and issue a breathing treatment. Per mentor the
I	client was "gasping for breath and making a strange noise" therefore the mentor called 911while continuing to issue
ļ	a breathing treatment. Per mentor at approximation she had to phone 911 approx 5 minutes prior to getting through
I	to an actual switchboard operator who issued the ambulance. At approx the ambulance arrived and began
I	working on the client to stabilize breathing. After working with the client for approx 5 minutes mentor was
l	instructed to meet them at Hospital. Per mentor she arrived at Hospital approx while numerous
l	doctors tried to work with the client to stabilize health. At approx
I	call coordinator was called at by the on call personnel at Hospital to notify
i	Mentor that the client went into cardiac arrest and passed away. Mr. phoned this writer at the control of the
١	clients passing. This writer phoned Director and an and left a detailed voice message regarding the
į	Change assing dilitional the model that model the model of the contract of the
•	incident. During the conversation mentor was crying and appeared to be very distraught during the phone
	conversation. Per mentor the doctor informed her that the client had too many health issue and they were surprise
	the (the client) made it this long. Mentor explained that she tried to do everything she could to try and help the
	client with the breathing and was hopeful that the client would "come through as the past when the p
	breathing difficulties". Mentor explained that the DCS CM supervisor was contacted by Hospital
	and informed of the situation with the client, Mr. spoke with mentor to send his apologies fir the clients
	passing. Clients biological mother was notified of her passing. Mentor left the hospital at
	approx die to the act that Mrs. was en route to say her "goodbyes" to client.
	77

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer Review	Page 4
SECTION I: REVIEWS/SIGNATURES			
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title SD	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1			
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks, Complete All Boxes.			
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4		
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:		
5. DOB:	6. Age: 4 Years 7. Gender:		
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)		
19. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness		
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 3 Years	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:		
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION		
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:		
	15. Program Name:		
	() Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) : INCIDENT INFORMATION		
17. Date & Time of incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)		
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	MENTOR 20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)		
(x) Mentor Home Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: 3 () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporally or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other:		
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the			

"Client decanulated while in the MENTOR home. The foster is across from the second bedroom and noticed trach was a	out. She got her father who was answering the door to let
nurse mand Nurse and Mr. Trainin the room contacted EMS. EMS showed up a few minutes later at Child was treated at CU and died while in care.	n. Nurse purper track in and started CPR white Mr. s did the police. CMS rushed purper to provide the police.
Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of Time Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of Time Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of Time Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of Time Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of Time Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of Time Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report (x) Unabl	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mentai Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Jinappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Jinappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Werbal Threats of Violence [] Jinappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Presumonia [] Pressure Sores [x] Other. decanulated CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our car [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Batting/Scalding Retated Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [x] Other Accidental Injury: client pulled was a control of the control of th
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens
[] To Other Third Party PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [I To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal crueity)	[] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other: POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, waapon, pornography, lilicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privileg	ed and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review F	Page 3
SECTIONE: PROC	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)	
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Seclusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	x Funding Source Notified Date: x Family Notified Date: x Guardian Notified Date: x Guardian Notified Date: x Law Enforcement/Probation Date: Cay Cay Enforcement/Probation Date:	Notified
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:		
22. SECTION F: C List Client/Individual's current medical and psyc running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (s. Client has multiple medical problems inclu hearing impairments Pulimicort	g. selzures, diabetes, etc.) and medications.	oxually abused, physically aggres	siva,
23. SEC Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition Client just got done playing and foster father		cident.).
Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronologics injuries, interventions and current status. Report services, etc. include sources of information (e. affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manager. Pc received a message on voicemail approximate the date on the incident and was around so he could go check on the fathers bio daughter went to the bathroom the room. Was answering the doc Nurse put Itrach back in and started Cl. Nurse put Itrach back in and started Cl.	t involvement of other parties such as law e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed". I. The message immediately called. He stated that labeled in the other 2 foster children in the home at that is located across from the terran down the half to get him approx to rot let the state of the state o	A how. Provide information on all inforcement, emergency medical inforcement, emergency medical inforcement, emergency medical inforcement	on need r ach eft

SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES				
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title PC	Date	
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Dir of Ops	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date	

	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT d and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1
	ble Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION
Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4
Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #:
DOB:	6. Age: 5 Months 7. Gender:
Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)
. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness
. Length of Current Placement/Services: 10 Days	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:
SECTION B	S: PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:
	15. Program Name: Medically Complex
() Home Health Agency Services SECTION (Date & Time of Incident:	() Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:
	By: (Name & Title)
Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confiden	tal: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	Date of Incident:
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESCE DEATH (death of Client/Individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/Individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual (CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Inadequate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Negléct [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misspropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [x] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Thett by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other: POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/Individual/program)
[] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	[] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

	ileged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PR	OGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Clent/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical []Mechanical []Sectusions []Chemical []Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [x] Licensing Notified Date:	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [x] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:	
List Client/Individual's current medical and prunning, confabulation, etc.), medical histor Ex-24-week preemie, hx of grade il IVH tube dependent, reflux, chronic lung di atrophic R kidney and seizure disorder	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. se) y (e.g. selzures, diabetes, etc.) and medications. s/p nissen fundoplication, s/p laser eye su sease, oxygen and apnea monitor depende Medications – Aldactone, Diuril, Zantac, A	exually abused, physically aggressive, gery, oral motor dysfunction, g nt, retinopathy of prematurity,
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condi	SECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT tion and activities prior and leading up to this in	icident.
around Mentor reported she laid	ad g-tube feeding at the land stooled, uring in in graph for man and in the protect it was minutes later she heard the apnea moninurse saw 2 days prior. MENTOR CC a	nat at approx pediatrician 3 days
Describe <u>IN DETAIL</u> the incident in chronolo injuries, interventions and current status. Re	SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV gical order: include who, what, where, when an sport involvement of other parties such as law et (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed" ger.).	how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical

SECTION	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title CC	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title State QA Manager	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1			
	e Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.			
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION			
Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4			
3. Guardian: () Self () State (x) Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #:				
5. DOB:	6. Age: 17 Years 9 M 7. Gender:			
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)			
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness			
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 12 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:			
SECTION B: 12. State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:			
	15. Program Name: TFC			
(x) Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with I () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:				
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)			
	mentor			
19. Location of Incident: (check one) () Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living In Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:	home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other:			
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	incident for entry into Risk Management database.			
	•			

"Of secondary mentor) called to report upon checking on client this morning at bed gasping for air. Clients pulse was reportedly low and 911 was called and paramedics continued medical intervention upon their arrival. Secondary mentor administered CPR in the interim of waiting for the paramedics. Client was transported to the secondary mentor administered CPR in the interim of waiting for the paramedics. Client was transported to

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confid	entlal: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
	CRIPTORS. (check all that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [Self-Injurious Behavior [Mental Health De-compensation [Oppositional Behaviors [Imappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [Verbal Threats of Violence [Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [Medication Error [Missing Controlled Substances [Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [Illiness Requiring Medical Treatment [Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [Pregnancy [Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [UTI [Bowel Impaction [Pressure Sores [Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [Theft by Third Party [Fall [Choking [Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [Vehicle [Swimming/Near Drowning [Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Slaff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL:	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Yehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other: POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per
[]To Other Client []To Staff or Mentor [∏o Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party [∏o Animals (animal cruelty)	client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privile	eged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIONE: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Seclusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [x] Family Notified Date: [] Guardian Notified Date: [] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:	
22. SECTION F: List Client/individual's current medical and ps running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (axually abused, physically aggressive,
	moderate MR and family disruption. Selzure D/O. The included and ambula carbamazepine.	
23. SE Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	CTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT on and activities prior and leading up to this in	
24. Describe <u>IN DETAIL</u> the Incident in chronologi injuries, interventions and current status. Repervices, etc. include sources of information (affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manage	ort involvement of other parties such as law e e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
in bed grasping for air. Reportedly, af	client on automatic CPR and transported and amount drove behind the ambulance	k airway, client's head went rgency medical services, who and felt a shallow pulse. Mentor client to Hospital.
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary, i		

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: R	isk Management/Peer Review	Page 4
SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES			
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title CC	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1	
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legib	le Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.	
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 17 Years 8 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: () MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:		
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION	
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name:	
Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 17. Date & Time of Incident:	als with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) : INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:	
	By: (Name & Title) Mentor	
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)	
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Client/Individuals Living in Home: 2 () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:	home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x) Death [] Other:	
** On and a second mentor, arrived home		

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confid	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Cilent/individual Name: Log #:	
	CRIPTORS. (check all that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Jerious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Thent by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privile	ged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Gheck	all that apply)
[x] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Seckusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: Substantiated () Unsubstantiate Licensing Notified Date:	X Funding Source Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #: @graphen Lubert State	
	disorder with disturbance of conduct and long.	l oppositional defiant disorder.
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition On the condition of th	CTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT in and activities prior and leading up to this in and activities prior and leading up to this in a daughter and leads she had a date that night.	ner 18-year-old client.
24. SE Describe IN DETAIL the Incident in chronologic injuries, interventions and current status. Repe services, stc. include sources of information (saffiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manage	ort involvement of other parties such as law e e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
that she called the police. The police are c DSS Social Worker/guardian belonged to her and her husband.	ras unresponsive, "had no pulse" and wa alling the season death an apparent suick i, there was a handgun and a rifle present i states that it appeared that the properties of the s locked in a safe, and the rifle was also i	s "already cold". states le by use of a firearm. Per states that both guns te into her bedroom to get the ocked up, both of which were
Continue on an additional chest if necessary.		

			-
SECTION I: REVIEWS/SIGNATURES			
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Coord.	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title QA	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title State Dir.	Date

	IETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT und Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1			
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.				
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION				
1. Client/Individual's Name: 2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3				
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #:				
5. DOB:	6, Age: 3 Years 10 M 7, Gender:			
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)			
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness			
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 3 Years 7 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:			
SECTION B: 12. State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:			
	15. Program Name: Medically Complex			
 Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with I- () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) Home Health Agency Services 	() Day Program ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational			
SECTION C:	INCIDENT INFORMATION			
17. Date & Time of Incident: 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) CC				
19. Location of Incident: (check one) 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)				
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Client/Individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community (x) Other: Hospital	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other:			
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the "* Client died in the hospital as a result of RSV and				

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confid	lential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #: (
	CRIPTORS. (check all that apply) CLIENTINDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Iverbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fail [] Choking [] Basting/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Settling [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privile	ged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Seclusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [x] Licensing Notified Date:	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:	
22. SECTION F: (List Client/Individual's current medical and psy running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (e Medical diagnoses: Hypoxic ischemic en NAS; scollosis; Hypertonicity; GER; anen	.g. selzures, diabetes, etc.) and medications. cephalopathy; seizure disorder; cortical	exually abused, physically aggressive, blindness; temperature instability;
self-resolving; RSV; pneumonia. Current Miralax; Robinal; Zantac; Baclofen; Kepp 23. SE Briefly describe the Client/Individual's conditio	ra; Flovent; Atrovent. CTION G: ANTIECEDENT EVENT	'S
Mentor, , reported that diagnosed with RSV and pneumonia. On the courts. This decision was made based quality of life issues. Therefore, was	a Do Not Resuscitate/Do Not Intui	
24. SE Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronologic injuries, interventions and current status. Repc services, etc. include sources of information (e affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manager	ert involvement of other parties such as law e .g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
	alist, were with the mentor and the ment writer notified the program of the progr	
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary. D	O NOT WRITE ON BACK OF PAGE)	

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer Revi	ew Page 4
SECTI	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	12.3
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Clinical Super.	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title SD	Date

	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1
	a Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4
3. Guardian: (x) Self () State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:
5. DOB:	6. Age: 1 Months 7. Gender:
B. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Medically Complex () Mental Illness
11. Length of Current Placement/Services:	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:
	15. Program Name: Child Protective Services
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 1 (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 1 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7)	Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech, () Day Program ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational
() Home Health Agency Services	
SECTION C:	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	Mentor 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)
(x) Mentor Home Mentor Name: # of Cllent/Individuals Living in Home: 3 () Client/Individuals Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individuals Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Im-school suspension
() Other: 21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	[] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/individual Arrest/Detention [] Dotah [] Other:

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confident	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:			
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESCRIPTORS (check all that apply)			
DEATH (death of client/individuel is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/Individuel (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Carataker:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances		
[] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse	[] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI		
Verbal or Emotional Abuse Physical Assault/Abuse Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention Neglect Inadequate Supervision	[] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT///NDIVIDUAL INJURY BY:		
Criminal Arrest of Caretaker Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker Missaps of Client/Individual's Funds Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property Other:	[] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our car [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:		
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [) Sulcidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens		
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:		
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []To Other Client []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)		

Attorney/Client Privile	eged and Confidential: Risk Managemen	nt/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Gheck al	i that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor SP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: Physical Mechanical Sectusions Chemical C	Services Date: [() Substantiated () Unsubstantiate] Funding Source Notified Date:] Family Notified Date:] Guardian Notified Date:] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:	
	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / D pchlatric diagnoses, behavioral history (a.g. sex s.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications.	
No medical history. and and birth experienced fussiness and colic during t pediatrician, and no abnormalities were re		had been seen by a
	CTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENTS on and activities prior and leading up to this incl	dent.
had been fussy "as usual" during work, and her adult daughter, approved fo left for the store when the incident occurre		Mentor, , went to had been home also, but
Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronologic injuries, interventions and current status. Repo	CCTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIVE cal order. Include who, what, where, when and lort involvement of other parties such as law enf e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"). 'r.).	orcement, emergency medical
reached CC, then taken to pronounced dead at in the home. Since one of the other bables preserve the placement and not add any fi preliminary findings of cause of death and and agreed on the safety plan of the would NOT be alone to give care to them to	nued CPR for over 30 minutes in their rescuipped. I PM. I The baby was also went to the sentative called PM for a sa in the home is recovering from open-hear urther stress to When the hospital persultaw enforcement reported no evidence or sping the other two babies in the home with until the investigation was completed. PM piddent, and explained the safety plan the w	shed home from work. The se vehicle. allegedly resuscitated and hospital, and fety plan for the other children t surgery, PM wanted to sonnel named SIDs as the signs of abuse or neglect, PM t the restriction that personality visited the

		-	
SECTIO	ON I: REVIEWS / :	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

Attorney/Client Privileged	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1
	e Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:
5. DOB:	6. Age: 21 Years 3 M 7. Gender:
Population: (x) Adult (18+) () Child 9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 2 Days	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:
	15. Program Name: Children's Services
 Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most clost I CF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with) Mentor Home/ Host Home Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with) 	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech () Day Program
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with : () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with: () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with: () Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpetlent Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech () Day Program Iess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with: () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals) (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpetlent Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech () Day Program Ides than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational Is with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with: () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with: () Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpetient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech Day Program (ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational Is with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confid	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
	CRIPTORS: (check all that anply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [x] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [x] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theit by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Priv	ileged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PR	OGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Sectusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [x] Licensing Notified Date:	x Funding Source Notified Date: x Family Notified Date: x Guardian Notified Date: x Guardian Notified Date: x Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:	
running, confabulation, etc.), medical history	sychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. s r (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications Type 2 Diabetes and Astigmatism. RX: Lam	
	d Diazepam. ECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT to and activities prior and leading up to this in	7
according to the medical staff at the physician at the school and med medications, had received two orally, to be taken if pegins twitching medication could not be given. The staff medication. The foster parent was traine removing any surrounding objects near also had Diabetes, which was no twice a day and documented blood sidepending on the results of blood sto a strict time schedule for eating, as when no significant issues with blood.	ications had been adjusted accordingly. In pm medications while in the school. The fig. The second was a rectal syringe of medicat the school state of the school only gave the few staff on what to do when lying on back and placing nanaged through diet. The foster parents a sugar levels. They were directed to alter larger levels. The foster parents were diligent ell as the kinds of foods at the keep of a sugar that day.	ion had been followed through addition to addition to additive geneen of st is a liquid Valium administered cation to be administered if oral oral parent the eral pm had selzures, which included a pillow under the additional person of the property of the
injuries, interventions and current status. Re	gical order. Include who, what, where, when an port involvement of other parties such as law e (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed" ger.).	inforcement, emergency medical

was on vacation with Mentor family, traveling through At Mentor noticed was making some unusual sounds that often preceded a selzure. The foster parent pulled the car over into the Waffle House while he monitored in the event was going into a grand mal selzure. The foster parent said that lips turned an ashen color, which he has never observed during a selzure, so he called 911. While the ambulance was en route, they instructed the foster parent to be sure throat was not obstructed, which he did (there was no blockage). The ambulance arrived and took to Medical Center in At approximately treating physician, informed Mentor that had passed away from the seizure. Coroner in the informed the Mentor that they wanted to do a full autopsy on the due to being a ward of the state, and he had transferred to that they needed authorization from a guardian to perform the autopsy and release the body. The coroner also explained that a detective would need to interview the foster parent to rule out any abuse. At MENTOR on-call worker with this medical mention and informed her of the incident. MENTOR on-call worker received a phone call from
Supervisor and provided her with the same information. She was also given MENTOR
Program Manager contact information spoke with DSS supervisor throughout the day.
The autopsy was concluded by late afternoon on an and they ruled the death as a heart arrhythmia secondary
to seizure. There was no evidence of external trauma. Detective light to the interviewed force of foster parent.
told him he was "free to go." The referring agency, and the made arrangements to store
body at a nursing home close to the hospital while they attempted to contact and mother regarding her
preference for burial plans (i.e., cremation or embalmment).
body brought back to see the second spoke with mother at approximately second s
sister is also in a MENTOR home and Program Manager and Clinical Coordinator
went to see the sister on also spoke with the
vehicle with the foster family are coping adequately, per foster parent The foster parent will be returning
to and the second secon

SECTION	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Clinical Coordinator	Date
Signature of Manager/Director LCSW-C	Print Name	Title Program Manager	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENITOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1			
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks, Complete All Boxes. SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION			
1. Client/Individual's Name: 2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4			
	Other: 4. Log #:		
5. DOB: 6. Age: 11 Years 1 M 7. Gender:			
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child 9. Service Category; (Check one)			
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness		
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 7 Years 11 Months	() MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:		
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:		
	15. Program Name: TFC		
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 17. Date & Time of Incident: 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:			
	By: (Name & Title) Social Worker		
9. Location of Incident: (check one) (1) Mentor Home (2) Primary (3) Respite Mentor Name: (3) Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) (4) Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home (5) Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home (6) Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home (7) Client/Individual's Place of Employment (8) Check (1) Client/Individual's Place of Employment (9) Vehicle (1) Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK (1) Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home (2) Program Office (3) Program Office (4) Program Office (5) Community (6) Other: (6) Mentor Home (5) Placement Decision MENTOR NETWORK (6) Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK (6) Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home (7) Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization (8) Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization (9) Emergency Medical Hospitalization (1) Emergency Medical Hospitalization (1) In-school suspension (1) School Suspension/Expulsion (2) Client/Individual Arrest/Detention (3) Death (3) Other:			
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the .	Incident for entry into Risk Management database.		

**On On-call worker , was notified by sick and currently at the Medical Center (acility) when became it and trandsported to	Hospital Social Worker, that was was on respite at the was was later transported to the same day. Once
arriving had internal bleeding and no pulse. was also pla	
Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	ntial: Risk Managament/Peer Rsylew Page 2
Cilent/Individual Name: Log#	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inappropriate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Iverbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumoria [] Pneumoria [] Pressure Seres [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Teat by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Staff or Mentor	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Satting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other: POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/Individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol,
[]To Other Client	I client/individua

Attorney/Client Pri	vileged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: P	ROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical []Mechanical []Seclusions []Chemical []Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	X Funding Source Notified Date: Family Notified Date: X Guardian Notified Date: Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date: Oate: Calculate Calculate Oate: Calculate Oate: Calculate Oate: Calculate Calcul
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:	
	nalopathy, Seizure Disorder, and Blindness. (nea Monitor. Meds: Transderm Patch, Phenc	
23. Briefly describe the Client/Individual's con-	SECTION G: ANTEGEDENT EVEN'I dition and activities prior and leading up to this in	
Injuries, interventions and current status, is services, etc. include sources of informatic affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Man On and at approximately	on-call coordinator received a ca	d how. Provide Information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical (). When using names, include title and/o
treated and later released that same do on and was later sent to the client was experiencing it to increase blood clotting and to assis hospital social worker, spoke with PM, and family needed to be contacted with the nurse, received a call from reported that heart stopped beating Bottfeedom land were attledome.	use client was sick the day before and was tak sy. became ill a second time and was track Medical Center for additional treatment to nternal bleeding, respiratory distress, and net with blood pressure. The client was also and informed h immediately to assist with making medical d was informed that would probably not ma daughter. Informing her that the	sported to again he same day. Once arriving at o pulse. Was given medication o on a ventilator. If the client was gravely illections also spoke ke it through the night. On the client died at the cruise in the Bahamas, they were the concerning the client's

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer Revi	ew Page 4
SECTION	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Deputy State Dir.	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title State Dir.	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1			
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.			
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION			
1. Client/individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4		
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #:			
5. DOB:	6. Age: 11 Years 1 M 7. Gender:		
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)		
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness		
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: *Years 6 Months 17 Days	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:		
	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:		
	15. Program Name:		
	Child Protective Services		
Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) Home Health Agency Services	() Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)		
SECTION C: 17. Date & Time of Incident:	INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK;		
11. Date of fine of incident.	By: (Name & Title) CPS Caseworker		
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)		
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt)	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite () [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new		
() Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle	[] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization)		
() Program Office () Community (x) Other: Hospital	[] Emergency Psychlatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] School Muspension/Expulsion		
	[x] Death [] Other:		
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the ** The serious had seizures requiring Paramedic trans detected that the was brain dead or	incident for entry into Risk Management database. sport to the hospital. Was on life support since Brain scan		

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2				
Client/Individual Name: Log #:				
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESCRIPTORS (check all that apply)				
DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): (x) Expected Death of Client/Individual () Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Inagequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENTINDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Survise Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:			
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens			
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [x]To Other Client [1 To Staff or Mentor [x]To Mentor's Family Member [1 To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:			
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: () To Other Client () To Staff or Mentor () To Mentor's Family Member () To Other Third Party () To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)			

Attorney/Client Privile	ged and Confidential: Risk Manager	ment/Peer Review	Page 3
SECTIONE: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Checi	k all that apply)	
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Sectusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	Date: [] Family Notified	
Client/Individual Name:	Log #: (Deputy September 1)	rossa ares president	Caracan
List Client/Individual's current medical and pay running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (e Dx: Seizure Disorder, Cerebral Palsy, proi Rx: Dilantin (Phenytoin) 15mL qd f	.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medication	s. g and brain dead per bra	
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition Prior to this incident, child's death, Mentor was relin	was placed on life support at make an appropriate was placed on life support at medical was placed of all responsibility for medical case.	Incident. Hospital at all decisions by CPS due	on to the fact
that biological mother and CPS had me 24. Describe IN <u>DETAIL</u> the incident in chronologic injuries, interventions and current status. Reposervices, etc. include sources of information (saffiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Cese Manager	CTION H: INCIDENT NARRATI pal order, Include who, what, where, when a ort involvement of other parties such as law i.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed.	VE and how. Provide informatic enforcement, emergency	medical
indicated that was non-responsive, pup ambulance from her home to Hospital. PM came to program's responsibility for medical conse- and informed PM that the mentor and/or PI hospital. The bio mother was expected to a	Hospital ER, and when hospital ER, and when hospital to sit with the mentor of and and care. At hospital ER, and CW segment no longer had to sign medical cagree to turn off life support sometime d that hospital passed away on the source of the support sometime.	was present at the ride by transported to until CPS officially reling spoke by telephone consent and could leave soon, and	y uished the e to CPS the expected
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary. C	OO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF PAGE)		i de la composition della comp

CECTIV	ONLY DESCRIPTION	DICKLA TURES	
SECING	ON I: REVIEWS / S	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Deputy State Director	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title State Director	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENITOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #:		
5. DOB;	6. Age: 15 Years 3 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental liness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services;	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
12. State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name: Child Protective Services	
() Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 17. Date & Time of Incident:	() Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) : INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Program Manager	
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)	
(x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: 2 () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x) Death [] Other:	
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the **Client was admitted to believed to be a bowel obstruction. An autopsy is p	On the at the client passed away. The cause of death is	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Conflic	dential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:			
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESCRIPTORS (check all that apply)			
DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): (x) Expected Death of Client/individual () Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Other. CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: (] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alscohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Missupe of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Salf-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Doterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:		
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens		
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shopifiting [] Other:		
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []To Other Client []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party []To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)		

Attorney/Client Privile	eged and Confidential: Risk Managen	nent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PRO	OGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Sectusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	X Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [] Family Notified Date: [] Guardian Notified Date: [] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:	
22. SEGTION F: List Client/Individual's current medical and ps running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (sexually abused, physically aggressive,
CP, Profound MR, G-tube, Seizures. Med Albuterolac, Bactoren, Mylicon, Albutero 23.		
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition		
Client was admitted to continue doctor, Dr. continue admission to continue doctor.	or a fever was previously red to provider care when was dischar	
24. Describe IN <u>DETAIL</u> , the incident in chronologic injuries, interventions and current status. Repservices, etc. include sources of information (affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Management of the control o	ort involvement of other parties such as law e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed	nd how. Provide information on alleged enforcement, emergency medical
Client was admitted to the client was not strong enough for surg pressure medications but was no able death is believed to be a bowel obstructio Hotline was notified at on follow up on hotline report. She stated she	to have surgery. On the later the control of the co	d transfusions and three blood ne client passed away. The cause of state Director notified at that time.
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary.	DO NOT MEITE ON BACK OF BACE	

Attorney/Glient Privileged	and Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer Revie	w Page 4
SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES			
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Program Manager	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title State QA	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title State director	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT AttornsyClient Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 15 Years 3 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Iliness	
11, Length of Current Placement/Services:	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION	
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name: Child Protective Services	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with: () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:	Ils with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech) () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational Ils with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:	
	By: (Name & Title) CPS Caseworker	
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)	
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:	home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Ollent/Individual Arrest/Detention [x) Death [] Other:	
	t Incident for entry into Risk Management database. It to the hospital. Client was on life support since (************************************	
detected that was brain dead on	t to the nospital. Client was on the support since	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:		
	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Image Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	Other Accidental Injury: EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens Other Accidental Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Settling [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/findividual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

Attorney/Client Privi	leged and Confidential: Risk Manager	nent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PR	OGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	(all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor ISP/Supervision/Behaviorat Plan ISP/Supervision/Behaviorat Plan ISP/Supervision/Behaviorat Plan Isp Internal Investigation Underway Interventions:	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	Date: [] Family Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:	
22. SECTION F: List Client/Individual's current medical and pr running, confabulation, etc.), medical history		sexually abused, physically aggressive,
Rx: Dilantin (phenytoin 15ml qd (roblems with gastric reflux. Brain swelling for seizures. Miralax 17ml for constipation of the constipation of the constitution of the constitutio	n. TS
Prior to this incident, client's death, the c		Hospital at on all decisions by CPS due to the fact
24. Describe IN <u>DETAIL</u> the incident in chronolog injuries, interventions and current status. Reservices, etc. Include sources of information affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Management of the control of the	port involvement of other parties such as law (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed	nd how. Provide information on alleged enforcement, emergency medical

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: Ri	isk Management/Peer Review	y Page 4
SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES			
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Program Manager	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title QA	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title Deputy State director	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title State Director	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 1 Years 7 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 4 Months 10 Days	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B: 12. State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name: TFC	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services		
SECTION C:	INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) mentor	
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)	
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Client/Individuals Living in Home: 4 () Client/Individuals Residence (group home, iCF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Cilent/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Cilent/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Imercancy Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion	
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	[] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other: Incident for entry into Risk Management database. topped breathing while at the foster care home, efforts to revive at	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:		
Client/Individual Name: SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual Personal (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual Personal (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual Personal (x) Physical Assault/Subuse (x) Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention (x) Neglect (x) Inadequate Supervision (x) Criminal Arrest of Caretaker (x) Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker (x) Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker (x) Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds	RIPTORS (check.sil.that.apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Iverbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Delenforation in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shop/liting [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

The second secon	rivileged and Confidential: Risk Managem	
SECTION E:	PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[x] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	x Funding Source Notified Date: Family Notified Date: x Guardian Notified Date: Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:	
List Client/Individual's current medical ar	F: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / nd psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. a tory (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications	exually abused, physically aggressive,
23	SECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT	· C
	indition and activities prior and leading up to this is	
injuries, interventions and current status	SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV ological order. Include who, what, where, when an . Report involvement of other parties such as law e tion (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
At approx of the same of the s	program CC received a phone call from	the foster parent

ınd Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer Review	Page 4
ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Print Name	Title PC	Date
Print Name	Title PM	Date
Print Name	Title	Date
Print Name	Title	Date
Print Name	Title	Date
	Print Name Print Name Print Name Print Name	PC Print Name Title PM Print Name Title Print Name Title

Attorney/Client Privileged	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1
	le Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION
1. Client/Individual's Name	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:
5, DOB:	6. Age: 18 Years 1 7. Gender:
8. Population: (x) Adult (18+) () Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Iliness
11. Length of Current Placement/Services:	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:
SECTION B	PROGRAM INFORMATION
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:
	15. Program Name:
Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) Home Health Agency Services	() Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)
SECTION C	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Coroner's Office
19. Location of Incident: (check ane)	20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite	[] Remain in Current Placement
Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living In Home:	[] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite
() Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt	[] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new
Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home Day Program	
() School	[] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home
() Client/Individual's Place of Employment	[] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization)
() Vehicle () Program Office	[] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization
(x) Community	[] In-school suspension
() Other:	[] School Suspension/Expulsion
	[] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death
	[] Other:
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	e Incident for entry into Risk Management database.
** The Coroner's Office notified deceased. Additional information could not be released.	Mentor that client's body was identified by fingerprints and that was eased, due to the fact that death is currently under investigation by the

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	ntlal: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Oriminal Arrast of Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	RIPTORS (check all that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Selzure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Uther Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fine Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []To Olher Client []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/Individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Clie	ent Privileged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION	E: PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/M [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Pl.	an Services	[] Funding Source Notified Date: [] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [x] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:	
List Client/Individual's current med running, confabulation, etc.), medic is diagnosed with asth	TION F: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / Ical and psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. s cal history (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications man and utilized an Albuterol inhaler is needed.	exually abused, physically aggressive,
shoplifting. 23.	SECTION G: ANTECEDENIT EVENT	
The foster parent reported that	there were no unusual activities or conditions regarders SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV	
Describe IN DETAIL the Incident in injuries, interventions and current	chronological order. Include who, what, where, when an status. Report involvement of other parties such as law of formation (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide information on alleged anforcement, emergency medical
parent, as well as the name of released, because it is being inv fingerprints. The DFCS hotline	isor and Mentor wee notified by the) was identified to be deceased. It is the were provided with contact information biological sister. Additional details surrouvestigated as a homicide. The identification of was contacted. According to hotline worker, port is being prepared.	n for the caseworker and foster

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer Review	Page 4
SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES			
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Children's Prog. Super.	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Children's Prog. Super,	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title State Dir.	Date

Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible	and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page	
	e Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks, Complete All Boxes.	
	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
. Client/individual's Name:	2. Levet () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () C	Other: 4. Log #) (Constitution)	
DOB:	6. Age: 2 Years 2 M 7. Gender: (STATE OF THE STATE OF THE	
. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9, Service Category: (Check one)	
Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
Length of Current Placement/Services: 1 Years 11 Months 19 Days	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION/B: 2. State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name:	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 2 to 1 or 2 clients/individuals with 2 clients/individua	ils with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Spee	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 3	24(7) services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Spee () Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 2 (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 1 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	24(7) services less than 24(7) Is with 24(7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Spee () Day Program less than 24(7) Is with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 2 () Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 1 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:	24/7) services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Spee () Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational Is with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 2 (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 1 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	24/7) services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Spee () Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational Is with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	ntial: Risk Managemen∜Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): (x) Expected Death of Client/individual () Unexpected Death of Client/individual () Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELDEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Cther: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alicohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	RIPTORS (check all that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL; [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Thet/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Farmity Member [] To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privil	eged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PRO	OGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[x] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [x] Licensing Notified Date:	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #: (
List Client/Individual's current medical and pe	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / sychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. selzures, diabetes, etc.) and medications.	exually abused, physically aggressive,
developmental delay. Recently,	has Cerebral Palsy, reflux, feeding into openic bladder with recurrent urinary tract was diagnosed with pulmonary edema. ompletely with fluid and would no long CCTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT	infections and global The results of x-ray on ger have usage.
D.	SCITON G: ANTECEDENT EVENT on and activities prior and leading up to this in	
III Palsy, Neurogenic Bladder with recurre 24. Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronolog injuries, interventions and current status. Reg	ECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV loal order. Include who, what, where, when an oort Involvement of other parties such as law e (a.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	E. d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
MTS Caseworker, were present throughout the remainder o edema for a few weeks. receive lungs as they were completely filled with platelets remaining low core Although b	hat a medically fragile clie esent at the time of death Mentor report Program Pedia f the day reports d an x-ray on The results showe	triclan, and Mr. Coroner ad been battling pulmonary demono longer had usage of eding from hose due to ss. An autopsy is scheduled for

SECTIO	ON I: REVIEWS / S	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Clinical Coordinator	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Program Manager	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1	
	la Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.	
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	() Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB;	6. Age: 1 Years 6 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Iliness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services; 11 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B: 12. State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name:	
Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) Home Health Agency Services	als with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)	
SECTION C	: INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) PM	
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)	
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community	home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [x] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension	
(x) Other: Children's Hospital 21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the "Ortion PM the minor	[] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other:	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	Date of Inciden
SECTION D: INCIDENT DRS(DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): (x) Expected Death of Client/individual () Unexpected Death of Client/individual () Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARETAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assautif/Abuse [] Physical Assautif/Abuse [] Corporal/inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Indequate Supervision [] Oriminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Pressure Sores [] Pressure Sores [] Pressure Sores [] Pressure Sores [] Util District Pressure Sores [] Self-Injuriou Behavior [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Imappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Vertbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICALION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: [] Phissical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theth by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE: CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shopfifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per cilent/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privile	ged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	ill that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical [] Mechanical [] Seclusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	[x] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	x Funding Source Notified Date:
Client/individual Name:	Log#:	
22. SECTION F: (List Client/Individual's current medical and psy running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (i		exually abused, physically aggressive,
severe failure to thrive, urosepsis and de Enulose, Phenobarbitol, Tylenol (PRN), P	revacid, and Triamcinolone	following medications Diazepam,
SE Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	CTION G: ANTEGEDENT EVENT on and activities prior and leading up to this in	
The minor was hospitalized on Hospital. Minor had several infe staff tried all interventions possible includ maintained contacts with the guardian's o requested all significant parties come to h	ections including RSV, pneumonia, sinus ling 100& oxygen, three chest tubes, vent ffice. Draws and spoke with blo	and ear infection. The hospital
24. Describe IN <u>DETAIL</u> the incident in chronologi injuries, interventions and current status. Repservices, etc. include sources of information (affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manage	ort involvement of other parties such as law e e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
On at the minor Respiratory Fallure secondary to RSV per extended family and mentor worker were present when the minor passed away	The bio mother, maternal gra	ospital from Acute Hypoxic ndmother, foster parents e hospital for several hours and
Continue on an additional cheet if necessary	DO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF BACES	

		sk Management/Peer Review	Page 4
SECTION	ON I: REVIEWS / :	SIGNATURES	2000
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title PC	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title PS	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title Dir of Ops	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT AttorneyClient Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible	e Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.	
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
1, Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #:		
5. DQB:	6. Age: 12 Years 4 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services:	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B: 12. State: 13. City;	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name:	
Sroup or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with i Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) Home Health Agency Services	ils with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)	
	INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident: 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) mentor		
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)	
(x) Mentor Home Mentor Name: (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: (y) Client/Individual's Eloiogical Family/Guardian Home () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Calent/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle		
() Program Office () Community () Other:	[Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [x] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/individual Arrest/Detention [] Death [] Other:	
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the		
**Client became ill with a fever and sore throat. Client was admitted into the hospital.	was taken to the ER where was diagnosed with a lung infection.	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidence	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual () Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/iNDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Proporal/inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Missappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CRIPTORS. (check all that apply) CLIENTINDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [) Self-Injurious Behavior [] Idental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [x] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] That by Third Party [] Falt [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Ouder \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Settling [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privile	eged and Confidential; Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Sehavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Sectusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [x] Licensing Notified Date:	x Funding Source Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name	Log#:	
22. SECTION F: List Client/Individual's current medical and parunning, confabulation, etc.), medical history (exually abused, physically aggressive,
Axis I – Adjustment DO Axis II – none Ax Axis V – 58	kis III – none Axis IV – separation from fan	nily, medical neglect and abuse
23. SE Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	CTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT on and activities prior and leading up to this in	
Client was complaining of having a sore to the sole of	CCTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV cal order. Include who, what, where, when an incoverent of other parties such as law o e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
to the ER. The client had a fe infection that required hospitalization. The notified of the need for ourrently being treated in the pediatrics use was transferred by airliff to the several incidences of loss of blood pressimorning to the several morning to the several properties of the several propertie	ver and sore throat. The client was evalue e client was admitted to the client to be hospitalized. DYSA signer int for a bacterial infection in the lungs. I Hospital where ure. was tested and found to have signed on life support with the approval of that Shad give that Shad give	On call coordinator into the hospital and is JPDATE — evening was placed on a ventilator after one of MRSA in inch in ose. On guardian birth father. In approval to the hospital to ed that he was told that this

SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES				
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title CC	Date	
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title APM	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title Supervisor	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title SQM	Date	
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date	

THE MENTOR N Attornsy/Client Privileged a	ETWORK - INCIDE nd Confidential: Risk Man	NT REPORT pagement/Peer Review Page 1
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible		
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDU/	
1. Cilent/Individual's Name:		2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () C	Other:	4. Log #: 65000000000
5. DOB:	6. Age: 19 Years 8 M	7. Gender: Carlotte Control of the C
8. Population: (x) Adult (18+) () Child	9. Service Category: (Che	eck one)
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services:	() MR/DD Offender	() MR/MI () Education () Other:
SECTION B: 12, State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFOR 14. If Acquisition/Partne	MATION rr, specify company name:
	15. Program Name: Child Protective	e Services
() Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: 17. Date & Time of Incident:	INCIDENT INFOR	
17. Date & time of incident:	18. First Reported to MEI By: (Name & Title)	Mentor
19. Location of Incident: (check one) () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardien Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle	me home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization	
() Program Office (x) Community () Other:	(] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other:	
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the "Client was participating in the rodeo trail ride with morning, the family was not able to rouse revive as."	foster family and wa	s staying with them in the family RV. On

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2			
Client/Individual Name Log i			
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	RIPTORS (check all that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Healtin De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:		
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: I Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Slood Borne Pathogens		
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/ShopHiting [] Other:		
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/lindividual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)		

Attorney/Client Priv	rileged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PF	ROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical []Mechanical []Seclusions []Chemical []Law Enforcement	[*] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: (*) Substantiated (*) Unsubstantiate [*] Licensing Notified Date:	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [] Family Notified Date: [] Guardian Notified Date: [] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:	the control of the co
running, confabulation, etc.), medical histor	psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. s y (e.g. selzures, diabetes, etc.) and medications. llectual functioning and a history of asthma.	
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condi	SECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT litton and activities prior and leading up to this it cipating in a rodeo trail ride and were campi	- ncident.
Describe <u>IN DETAIL</u> the incident in chronoic injuries, interventions and current status. Re	SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV ogical order. Include who, what, where, when an eport involvement of other parties such as law e n (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed" uger.).	d how. Provide information on alleged enforcement, emergency medical
the and EMs were called. Par	amedics worked on the family was suffering that it appears all of the family was suffering to the family was fintervied of the family was suffering to the family was suff	the morning. CPR was started by le to revive The police on ag from carbon monoxide wed Mr. and Mrs.

CECTU	MI DEMENS	ELCALATUDE C		
SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES				
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title PM	Date	
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title State QA	Date	
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title State Dir.	Date	

	Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.
	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION
. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4
i. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () C	Other: 4. Log #:
i. DOB:	6. Age: 9 Months 7. Gender: Control of the control
. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)
0. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (*) Medically Complex () Mental Illness
1. Length of Current Placement/Services: 3 Months 1 Days	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:
SECTION B: 12. State: 13, City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:
	15. Program Name:
6. Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most clos () ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with Intermediate Comments of the Comments of	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed Day Program Ses than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (34 ctlents/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (x) Mentor Home! Host Home	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed Day Program Ses than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Sharred Living (34 ctlents/individuate with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (34 clients/individuals with It () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7)	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinica/Cutpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed Day Program ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational Is with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ ctients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with It () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed (Day Program (Day Pro
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (34 ctlents/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals () Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (34 ctlents/individuals with It () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program ess than 24/7) Is with less () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Broksrage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confid	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
DEATH (death of client/Individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/Individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual (LIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Carataker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Ciminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CRIPTORS (check all that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [x] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Iverbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICALION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Selzure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (aminal crueity)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client	Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review	Page 3
SECTION E	: PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all that apply)	
[x] Counseling/Training for Staff/Ment [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan	tor [] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: [x] Funding Source No Date: [x] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [x] Licensing Notified Date: [x] Law Enforcement/Fig. Date: [x] Da	
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:	Receive)
List Client/Individual's current medical	N F: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / DIAGNOSES and psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. sexually abused, physical history (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications.	ily aggressive,
is diagnosed with Grade III IVH re	s born premature at 29 weeks. Client has hydrocephalic with a subgiale spiratory distress syndrome, muscle weakness, abnormal posture and I musculoskeletal systems Meds – Baclofen, Robintil, Zantac, Miralax,	i other
23. Briefly describe the Client/Individual's	SECTION G: ANTEGEDENT EVENTS condition and activities prior and leading up to this incident.	
lungs. She checked client for a few reports placing sections in her of	scame a little worse and administered a neb treatment in hopes of hell er because was diagnosed with an ear infection on ther foster child's room (medically complex and confined to a hospital on the state of th	Mentor bed) while
Injuries, interventions and current stat	SECTION H: INCIDENIT NARRATTVE ronological order, include who, what, where, when and how. Provide informat tunner, Report involvement of other parties such as law enforcement, emergency mation (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"). When using names, in Manager.).	/ medical
She immediately grabbed her foste didn't hear a thing. She took padministering CPR and called 91. unresponsive to all machines. authorized to. Mentor reports the ECOU doctor that law enforcement, CSI a where an autopsy will be	ate. When she went in to move she noticed that was not pulse ox and it did not show red. She grabbed the scope to list ulse and couldn't get one. She then took Grabbed the scope to list ulse and couldn't get one. She then took Grabbed the scope to list ulse and couldn't get one. She then took Grabbed the scope to list ulse and couldn't get one of the state of the sta	ten, Mentor egan Lastana as not hen contacted ing with her

ind Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer Review	Page 4		
SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES				
Print Name	Title CC	Date		
Print Name	Title PM	Date		
Print Name	Title	Date		
Print Name	Title	Date		
Print Name	Title	Date		
	Print Name Print Name Print Name Print Name	Print Name Title CC Print Name Title PM Print Name Title Print Name Title Print Name Title		

THE MENTOR N Attorney/Client Privileged a	ETWORK - INCIDE: and Confidential: Risk Mana	NT REPORT agement/Poer Review Page 1
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible	Font (10-12) - DO NOT Le	eave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUA	L INFORMATION
1. Client/Individual's Name:		2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other:		4. Log #) (
5, DOB:	6. Age: 3 Years 5 M	7. Gender:
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Che	ck one)
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: () Behavioral Health () Juvenile Justice () Medically Complex		
11. Length of Current Placement/Services:	() MR/DD () MR/DD Offender	() MR/MI () Education () Other:
SECTION B:	PROGRAM.INFOR	MATION
12. State: 13. City:	Call Principal Commission (Commission Commission Commis	r, specify company name:
	15. Program Name:	
than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: 17. Date & Time of Incident;	INCIDENT INFORM 18. First Reported to MEN	
	By: (Name & Title)	Foster Parent
19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home:] () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:	home/ program/pla [] Discharged from M [] Temporarily or Per [] Emergency Psychi [] Emergency Psychi [] Emergency Mcdica [] In-school suspensi [] School Suspensio [] Client/Individual Au [x] Death [] Other:	Placement in Pending aced in Respite dd (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new acement within MENTOR NETWORK) IENTOR NETWORK manently Closed Mentor Home fatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) latric Hospitalization al Hospitalization ion n/Expulsion mrest/Defention
		, menegenent Uddaudst.

	oite foster parent. All foster parent went to wake the and non-responsive. At that time, foster parent called 911 and and could not revive child.
Police were called, as well as County coroner. Coroner p	
Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verhol or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Verhol or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misuse of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENTINDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Selzure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENTINDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fail [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury: EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] TO Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Aminals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, atc.)

Atte	orney/Client Priviles	ged and Confidential: Risk Managem	tent/Peer Review Page 3
	ECTION E: PRO	CRAMINIATUG RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Trainit [] ISP/Supervision/B Developed with Cl [] Internal Investigati Interventions: [] IPhysical [] Mechanical [] Sectusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcemen	ehavioral Plan ienUndividual ion Underway	X Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	X Funding Source Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:		Log #: (55,000)	Commence Services
running, confabulation, Shaken baby; cerebi Child[s medications had surgery	etc.), medical history (e. rai palsy; seizure diso		rely delayed and retardation. Inhaler; and Albuterol PRN. Child
23. Briefly describe the Clie		CTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT	
Minor was sleeping, wake the child to pro- parent called 911 and		d noticed the child was cold and non-res	
Injuries, interventions a services, etc. include so	Incident in chronologic nd current status. Repo	CTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV al order. Include who, what, where, when an it Involvement of other parties such as law. .g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed").	d how. Provide information on alleged enforcement, emergency medical
foster parent went to child at the second and noticed that the child could not revive the cwas called to the hondetective has been as	I the child was sleeple was cold and non-reschild. The state County ne, and the child was a ssigned to the case sle	(DOB) was unresponding fine, and when she went back to chiese sponsive. The foster parent called 911, r sherilff and Detectives were pronounced dead by the coroner at noce the death occurred at home. The autacted the DCFS hotline and provided in	ck at that is when she and the paramedics responded and called to the home. The coroner on the coroner are stored to the coroner are stored to the coroner or the coroner are stored to the coroner are

SECTION SECTIO	ONI: REVIEWS/	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title PC	Data
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Mgr.	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title Exec, Dir.	Date

Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legib	ile Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION
I. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:
5. DQB:	6. Age: 2 Months 7. Gender:
3. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 3 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education (x) Other:
SECTION B	: PROGRAM INFORMATION
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:
	15, Program Name:
 Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most cld ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with Mentor Home/ Host Home Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech Day Program () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individu (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals)	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech Day Program () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with an 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech Day Program () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) (als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) 2: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 17. Date & Time of Incident: 19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) tals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speecf () Day Program to less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) 2: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals () Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 17. Date & Time of Incident: 19. Location of Incident: (check one)	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech Less than 24/7) () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living in Home: 4 () Client/individuals Residence (group home, ICF, api	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program aless than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 17. Date & Time of Incident: 19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: 4 of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: 4 () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, api () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program aless than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) 2: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement () Placement Decision Pending () Client/Individual Placed in Respite () Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) (**) Mentor Home (**) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: ** of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: 4 () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) (als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program (aless than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) 2. INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (1) 17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: 4 () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) 2: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement () Placement Decision Pending () Client/Individual Transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home () Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals and than 247) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (1) 17. Date & Time of Incident: 19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, api () Client/individual's Place of Employment	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) (als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program (alses than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational (b) School (c) Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) 25: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Discission Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Discission Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Discission Pending [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 17. Date & Time of Incident: 19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living in Home:	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) ratis with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speecf () Day Program ness than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) 2: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement () Placement Decision Pending () Client/Individual Placed in Respite () Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK () Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home () Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization () Emergency Medical Hospitalization () In-school suspension/Expulsion
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 1) Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 17. Date & Time of Incident: (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, api () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech () Day Program als with 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational less than 24/7) () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) 2: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidence	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
Cleartindividual Name: Log #: DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Stalf [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Inadequate Supervision [] Inadequate Supervision [] Inadequate Supervision [] Ciminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/individual's Funds [] Misuse of Client/individual's Punds [] Misuse of Client/individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Iverbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illiness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Selzure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UII [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Palhogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Settling [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privile	ged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Gheck	all that apply)
[x] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Seclusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement Client/Individual Name: 22. SECTION F: (List Client/Individual's current medical and psy	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date: Log #: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY /	
care by DSS with bio mother and 2 si medication and has no medical history pr	t was in the custody of County County blings and the plan was for mom to repolems.	r DSS. was placed in foster egain custody. was not on any
23. SE Briefly describe the Client/Individual's conditio	CTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT	
felt fine, was not any more fussy than	ort involvement of other parties such as law e s.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	E. d how Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
bed by seemand there were no toys or of that were visiting went to sit on the front p	ther items lying on the bed with Mor	back in the middle of mom's m, FP and other family members mom went inside to check on mom went in to the bedroom was not breathing and

SECTIO	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	100
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title TFC Coordinator	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title TFC Supervisor	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title QA Dir	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION 2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4 3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #: 5. DOB: 6. Age: 19 Years 9 M 7. Gender: 9. Service Category; (Check one) () Behavioral Health	THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1			
2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4 3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #: 5. DOB: 5. Age: 19 Years 9 M 7. Gender: 6. Age: 19 Years 9 M 7. Gender: 7. Gender: 8. Population: (x) Adult (18+) () Child () 9. Service Category: (Chack one) () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness (x) MR/DD () MR/DD () MR/DD () MR/DM () Education () Other: 8. SECTION B: PROGRAM INFORMATION 12. State: 13. City: 13. City: 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name: 15. Program Name: 16. Service Setting/Modek (check the ONE that most closely fits) () Group or Shared Living (3 - clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential Mentor Name: 9. of Clients/Individual's Residence (group home; DCF, apt) () Clients/Individuals Living in Home: 19. Castion of Incident: (check one) (1) Client/Individual's Residence (group home; DCF, apt) () Client/Individuals Living in Home: 10. Client/Individual's Residence (group home; DCF, apt) () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Client/Individual Placed in Respite () Client/Individual's Place of Insegency Psychiatric Evaluation () Descharge () Client/Individual Home () Client/Individual Home () Client/Individual Home () Client/Individual Home	Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes. SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION			
S. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #: 6. Age: 19 Years 9 M 7. Gender: 9. Service Category: (Check one) () Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juverille Justice () Elder Care () MR/DD () MR/DM ()				
6. Ags: 19 Years 9 M 7. Gender: 8. Population: (x) Aduit (18+) () Child 9. Service Category: (Check one) 19. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: 19. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: 19. Length of Current Placement/Services: 19. Medically Complex 19. Medically Complex 19. MR/DD 19. M	1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4		
19. Population: (x) Aduit (18+) () Child 19. Service Category: (Check one) 19. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: 19. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: 19. Length of Current Placement/Services: 19. A Years 7 Months 19. SECTION B: PROGRAM INFORMATION 19. SECTION B: PROGRAM INFORMATION 19. Service Setting/Modet (check the ONE that most closely fits) 19. City: 19. City: 19. Service Setting/Modet (check the ONE that most closely fits) 19. Service Setting/Modet (check the ONE that most closely fits) 19. City: 19. City: 19. Program Name: 19. Program Name: 19. Program Name: 19. City: 19. Service Setting/Modet (check the ONE that most closely fits) 19. Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) 19. Shared or Supported Living (2+ clients/individuals with 24/7) 19. Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) 19. Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) 19. Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) 19. Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) 19. Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) 19. Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) 19. Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) 19. Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) 19. Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) 19. Citentificative and the supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) 19. Citentificative and the supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) 19. Citentificative and the supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) 19. Citentificative and the supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) 19. Citentificative and the supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) 19. Citentificative and the supported Living (1 or 2 clients/indiv	Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #:			
() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () MR/DD (5. DOB:	6. Age: 19 Years 9 M 7. Gender:		
1. Length of Current Placement/Services: A Years 7 Months () Mirch () Mirch () Mirch () Mirch	8. Population: (x) Adult (18+) () Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)		
A Years 7 Months Content Placement/Services: A Years 7 Months Content Conten	10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness		
15. Program Name: TFC 16. Service Satting/Model: (check the ONE that most closely fits) () ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3 - clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) 19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: (x) Client/individual's Residence (group nome, ICF, apt) () Client/individual's Place of Employment () School () Client/individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Client/individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) () Imergency Medical Hospitalization () Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation () Imergency Medical Hospitalization () Client/individual Arrest/Detention () Other: () O	11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 4 Years 7 Months	() MR/DD Offender () Education		
15. Program Name: TFC (16. Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most closely fits) (17. CF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) (18. Service Specification of Intermediate Care Facility) (19. Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 24/7) (19. Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) (20. Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) (3. Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) (4. Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) (5. Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) (6. Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) (7. Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) (8. Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Primary Mentor 19. Location of Incident: (check one) (10. Client/individuals Residence (group home, ICF, apt) (5) Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home (6) Day Program (7) School (7) Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home (8) Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK) (8) Client/individual's Place of Employment (9) Client/individual's Place of Employment (10) Vehicle (11) Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) (11) Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) (12) Cilent/individual Arrest/Detention (13) Centry (1) Client/Individual Arrest/Detention (14) Other: 21. Summar/ze in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the incident for entry into Risk Management database.	SECTION B	PROGRAM INFORMATION		
() CF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 124/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 124/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Employment/Or School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) 17. Date & Time of Incident: 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK. By: (Name & Title) Primary Mentor 19. Location of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement 1	12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:		
18. Service Setting/Modet (check the ONE that most closely fits) () ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ cilents/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) () Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: TNCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Primary Mentor 19. Location of Incident: (check one) () Clients/individuals Living in Home:				
SECTION C: INCIDENT INFORMATION	() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individu (x) Menlor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with	() Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational		
18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Primary Mentor 19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: 2 Client/Individuals Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Familty/Guardian Home () Client/Individual's Biological Familty/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Primary Mentor [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disputated (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) (] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK (] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK (] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home (] Program Office (] Program Office (] Demergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) (] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization (] Emergency Medical Hospitalization (] Demergency Medical Hospitalization (] Din-school suspension (] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention (] Death (] Other: 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement (] Placement Decision Pending (] Client/Individual Placed in Respite (] Placement Decision Pending (] Placement Decision	than 24/7)			
By: (Name & Title) Primary Mentor 19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () C) Client/Individual's Place of Employment () C) Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Program Office () Program Office () Program Office () Residence () Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK () Discharged from MENTOR NETW	SECTION (: INCIDENT INFORMATION		
19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name:	17. Date & Time of Incident:			
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living in Home: 2 () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/individual's Biological Familty/Guardian Home () Day Program () Client/individual's Place of Employment () Client/individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other: () Client/individual's Place of Employment () Client/individual's Place of Employment () Program Office () Community () Other: () Client/individual's Place of Employment () Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK () Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) () Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization () Emergency Beychiatric Hospitalization () Emergency Beychiatric Psychiatric () Community () Other: () Client/individual Arrest/Detention (x) Death () Other:				
Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: 2 {) Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) } Client/Individual's Biological Familty/Guardian Home () Day Program () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other: () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Program Office () Community () Other: () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Program Office () Community () Other: () Client/Individual Arrest/Detention () Client/Individual Arrest/Detention () Death () Other:	19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)		
# of Clients/individuals Living in Home: 2 () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Program Office () Community () Other: () Other: () Other: () Client/Individual Placed in Respite () Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK () Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK () Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home () Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) () Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) () Emergency Medical Hospitalization () Other: () School Suspension () School Suspension () Client/Individual Arrest/Detention (x) Death () Other:				
Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK 1 Day Program 1 Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK 1				
[] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK () School [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) () Program Office [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization () Community [] In-school suspension () Other: [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x) Death [] Other: 21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the incident for entry into Risk Management database.				
() School [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) () Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) () Emergency Medical Hospitalization () Emergency Medical Hospitalization () Emergency Medical Hospitalization () Im-school suspension () School Suspension () School Suspension () School Suspension/Expulsion () Client/Individual Arrest/Detention (x) Death () Other:				
() Vehicle [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization () Program Office [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization () Community [] In-school suspension () Other: [] School Suspension/Expulsion (] Sichool Suspension/Expulsion (] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention (x) Death () Other:				
() Program Office [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization () Community [] In-school suspension () Other: [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x) Death [] Other: 21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the incident for entry into Risk Management database.	() Client/individual's Place of Employment [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization)			
[] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other: 21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the incident for entry into Risk Management database.				
[] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other: 21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the incident for entry into Risk Management database.				
[x] Death [] Other: 21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the incident for entry into Risk Management database.	() Other:			
[] Other: 21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the incident for entry into Risk Management database.	>			
	*			
	21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	incident for entry into Risk Management database.		
Citient passed away from medical causes after coing to beg on the company of the	**Client passed away from medical causes after			

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:		
	CRIPTORS (check all that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Jevabal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] That by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scakling Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shopliffing [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] If o Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per cilent/Individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

Attorney/Client Privile	eged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIONE: PRO	OGRAMINATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: IPhysical Mechanical Sectusions Chemical Chemical	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [x] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:	
22. SECTION F: List Client/individual's current medical and ps running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (exually abused, physically aggressive,
currently taking Nitrofurantoin 50mg, Tri	na Bifida, 742.3 Hydrocephalus w/ shunt a leptal 300mg, Nexium 40mg, Septra 480m edical issues and developmental disabilit	g, Zyrtec 10mg and Zoloft 100mg.
23. SE Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	CCTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT on and activities prior and leading up to this in	
Client had recently been diagnosed with s	leep apnea and had gotten the C-PAP ma	sk and machine to help with this.
24. Describe IN <u>DETAIL</u> the incident in chronologi injuries, interventions and current status. Rep services, etc. include sources of information (affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manage	ort involvement of other parties such as law e e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide information on alleged enforcement, emergency medical
Client had gone to bed at approximately to check on and found an nose, but with the oxygen machine not ye noticed was bleu and took was bleu and took responder arrived within four minutes but	etill sitting in the wheelchair with the CPAI at turned on. The head was leaning back as the chair and began CPR, also having a fam	nd mouth slightly open. She
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary	DO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF PAGE	

SECTION	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	100
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Program Supervisor	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Program Manager	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1	
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 1 Months 7. Gender;	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services:	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B		
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name: Child Protective Services	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)		
SECTION C 17. Date & Time of Incident:	: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:	
71. Date & Time of Incident.	By: (Name & Title) Mentor	
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)	
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Client/Individual's Elizing in Home: 3 () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Program Office () Community () Other: [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending () Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK () Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [*] Death [] Other:		
() Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community	home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Dischargad from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death	
() Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community	home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Dischargad from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x) Death [] Other:	

** Mentor reported she went to get client up for the day at app the side. ** was not breathing. She called 811. ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	checked mouth and administered CPR. 911 arrived, and control of the control of th
Attorney/Client Privileged and Confid	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name Log #:	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual (CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcholt/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behavior [] Indepropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Iverbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Selzure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury: EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS:
[] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENTINDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per cllent/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Glient Privi	leged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PR	OGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor I SP/Supervison/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Sectusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	x Funding Source Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	I Log#C	
List Client/individual's current medical and p	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / sychlatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. s (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications	exually abused, physically aggressive,
No diagnosis; child and mother tested p	positive at birth for cocaine. No medicatio	ns.
	ECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT ion and activities prior and leading up to this i	
Mentor reported she fed the state of at approximation a short time, was placed on the state of t		, changing and playing with
Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronolog injuries, interventions and current status. Re	ECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIVe ideal order. Include who, what, where, when an port involvement of other parties such as law. (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed" per.).	d how. Provide information on alleged enforcement, emergency medical
Mentor reported she went to get slumped to the side and was not brea 911 arrived, and was transported by a but chest was warm. Once investiga Later, a police detective informed Mento information. Mentor staff will cooperate	thing. She called 911. checked imbulance to hospital. to tors arrived, we were not able to continue r staff that hospital was declared dead. M	reported back was cool,
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary	. DO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF PAGE)	

SECTION	ON I: REVIEWS /:	SIGNATURES	
	D. L. M.	T61-	D-t-
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title DSD	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title State QA	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title SD	Date

	TETWORK - INCIDENT RE and Confidential: Risk Managemen	
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legibl	e Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Bl	anks. Complete All Boxes.
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL IN	FORMATION
I. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Leve	si: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other:	4. Log #)
5. DOB:	6. Age: 5 Months 7. Gen	der:
3. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Juvenile Justice (x) Medically Complex	() Acquired Brain Injury () Elder Care () Mental Illness
11. Length of Current Placement/Services:	() MR/DD Offender	() MR/MI () Education () Other:
SECTION B: 12. State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFORMATI 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specif	
	15. Program Name:	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals () Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with	24/7) service is with 24/7) () Clinica () Day Pr less than 24/7) () Suppo	ogram rted Employment/Vocational
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home	24/7) service is with 24/7) () Clinica () Day Pr () Suppose is with less () School	s less than 24/7) VOutpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech ogram rted Employment/Vocational
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C	24/7) service Is with 24/7) () Clinica	is less than 24/7) (Volipatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech ogram rted Employment/Vocational age/Case Management (non-residential) ON
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (**) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	24/7) service is with 24/7) () Clinica () Day Pr less than 24/7) () Suppo is with less () School () Broker	is less than 24/7) (Volipatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech ogram rted Employment/Vocational age/Case Management (non-residential) ON
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C	24/7) service Is with 24/7) () Clinice () Day Pr less than 24/7) () Suppo Is with less () School () Broker INCIDENT INFORMATIF 18. First Reported to MENTOR N	is less than 24/7) I/Outpallent Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech ogram ted Employment/Vocational age/Case Management (non-residential) ON ETWORK:

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	antial: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
SECTION D: NCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual (LIENTANDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Carotaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/inappropriate Punishment [] Inaperpopriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Cirminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alicohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Missap of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENTINDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Saxual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENTINDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] That by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other: POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program)
[]To Staff or Mentor []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelty)	[] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, Illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privi	leged and Confidential: Risk Man	agement/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIONE: PR	ogrammatic response (c	heck all that apply)
[x] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual I Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: IPhysical Mechanical ISeclusions Chemical Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Prote Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubsta [] Licensing Notified Date:	Date: (x) Family Notified
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:	
List Client/Individual's current medical and p running, confabulation, etc.), medical history Client is diagnosed with Partial Trisomy palate. Client has a history of seizures, has central apnea and obstructive apne	(e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medic y 14, heart disease, hypothyroidism, I Client has multiple genetic abnormal a. Medications: Albuterol Inhalation	(e.g. sexually abused, physically aggressive, attons. Dandy-Walker Malformation, cleft lip and ittles (abnormal chromosome 14). Client
8pm).	ECTION G: ANTECEDENT EX	
Briefly describe the Cliant/Individual's condit		
Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronologinjuries, interventions and current status. Re	ECTION H: INCIDENT NARR, gleat order, include who, what, where, whood involvement of other parties such as (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff obser	nen and how. Provide Information on alleged
On the morning of client's nurse noticed no abnormalities in behavior. Fo she went to pick up client again, nurse s up, noticed client was not breathing, and immediately. Ensier mother called PC at house at the two paramedics were determine that client had a heart beat, but any treatment. Paramedics collected the client shall fits of fussiness due to be certain the client has a history of seeming to position.	illowing the bath, nurse put client in a tated client was "balled up" and turn immediately told foster mont to call foster mother called FCM the checking. Foster mother called FCM that it could not be felt with their hands ir things and left by Foster mitral and obstructive apnea when it be panic when it is on an oxygen monitor that sound went off indicating that there was a monitor that sound went off indicating that there was a second part of the panic when it is on an oxygen monitor that sound went off indicating that there was a second panic when it is on an oxygen monitor that sound went off indicating that there was a second panic when it is on an oxygen monitor that sound went off indicating that there was a second panic when it is on an oxygen monitor that sound went off indicating that there was a second panic when it is on an oxygen monitor that sound we have a second panic when it is on an oxygen monitor that sound we have the panic when it is on an oxygen monitor that sound we have the panic when it is on an oxygen monitor that sound we have the panic when it is on an oxygen monitor that sound we have the panic when it is on an oxygen monitor that sound we have the panic when it is on an oxygen monitor that sound we have the panic when it is on an oxygen monitor that sound we have the panic when it is on an oxygen when it is on an oxygen monitor that sound we have the panic when it is on an oxygen when it is on an oxygen when it is on an oxygen monitor that sound we have the panic when it is on an oxygen	a onesie. She set client down and when ed blue. At nurse picked 911. Foster mom called 911 Ship and the state of the stat
declared client dead at pick up S. CASA w whome picked client up at arrangements.	stated that the as contacted via voicemail at stated stated would co	funeral home would be coming to Staff from Funeral ontact team members about funeral MENTOR0005079

SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES					
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title PC	Date		
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date		
Signature	Print Name	Title PS	Date		
Signature	Print Name	Title DOO	Date		
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date		

Attorney/Client Privileged a	ETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT nd Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1
	Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes. CETENT/INDIVIDUAL. INFORMATION
Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () C	Other: 4. Log #1
5. DOB:	6. Age: 7 Years 8 M 7. Gender:
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 35 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:
	15. Program Name: Medically Fragile
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with is () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:	() Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech) () Day Program ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational
	Foster Parent
19. Location of Incident: (check one) () Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:	home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other:
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	
** Client passed away on the same was in a pe	ersistent vegetative state and was ventilator dependent.

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confid	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Cilent/Individual Name: Log #:	
	CRIPTORS (check all that anniv) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illiness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Theft by Third Party [] Theft by Third Party [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENTINDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per cilent/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client	Privileged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E:	PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Gheck)	all that apply)
X Counseling/Training for Staff/Ment ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Cleintr/Individual Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: Physical Mechanical JSeclusions Clemical Law Enforcement	or [x] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	x Funding Source Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:	
22. SECTIO	N F: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY /	DIAGNOSES
List Client/Individual's current medical	and psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. seistory (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications.	exually abused, physically aggressive,
23.	SECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENI	S
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's a	condition and activities prior and leading up to this in	
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's in the state of	condition and activities prior and leading up to this in the health nurse and mentors. SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV chological order. Include who, what, where, when an is. Report involvement of other parties such as law e side (a.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	E d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
Briefly describe the Citent/Individual's of was at home with how was at home with how how was at home with how how was at home with had lowered. In home health nurs stabilize. After a few minutes.	condition and activities prior and leading up to this in the health nurse and mentors. SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV chological order. Include who, what, where, when an is. Report involvement of other parties such as law e side (a.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	E d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical); When using names, include title end/d had passed away. e of passing, heart rate which caused heart rate to resulting in death. its to the hor. CPS hotline was

SECTION SECTIO	ONI: REVIEWS/	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title State QA	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

	and Confidential: Risk Man e Font (10-12) - DO NOT L				Boxes.	Pa
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUA			-		
Client/Individual's Name:		2. Level:	()1	()2	()3	(x)4
Guardian: () Self () State () Parent(s) (x)	Other:	4.	Log#:		0.000	
DOB:	6. Age: 16 Years	7. Gender:	(41)			
Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Che	ck one)				
), Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Juvenile Justice () Medically Complex		() Elde () Mer	ir Care ital Iline:	ain injury ss	
I. Length of Current Placement/Services: 1 Months	() MR/DD Offender		() MR () Edu (x) Oth	cation		
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFOR	MATIO	i			
: State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partne	r, specify c	ompany i	iame:		
	15. Program Name: ED/BD Program					
 Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most clot) ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/17) 	24/7) Ils with 24/7) () Iless than 24/7) () Ils with less ()	Family/Sci services le Clinical/Or Day Progr Supported School Brokerage	ss than 2 itpatient 7 am Employn	4/7) herapy/i ent/Voc	Rehab (C ational	OT, PT, Spe
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	24/7) Is with 24/7) () less than 24/7) () Is with less ()	Services le Clinical/Or Day Progr Supported School Brokerage	ss than 2 stpatient 7 am Employm /Case Ma	4/7) herapy/i ent/Voc	Rehab (C ational	OT, PT, Spe
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ citents/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 citents/individuals x) Mentor Home Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ citents/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 citents/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C	24/7) () Is with 24/7) () less than 24/7) () Is with less () () INCIDENT: INFOR	services le Clinical/Or Day Progr Supported School Brokerage	ss than 2 stpatient T am Employm /Case Ma	4/7) herapy/i ent/Voc	Rehab (C ational	OT, PT, Spe
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ citents/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 citents/individuals x) Mentor Homer Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ citents/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 citents/individuals with () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C	24/7) Is with 24/7) () less than 24/7) () Is with less ()	services le Clinical/Ou Day Progr Supported School Brokerage MATION ITOR NETV	ss than 2 stpatient T am Employn /Case Ma	4/7) herapy/l ent/Voc nageme	Rehab (C ational	OT, PT, Spe
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Homel Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	24(7) () Is with 24(7) () Jess than 24(7) () Is with less () INCIDENT INFOR 18, First Reported to MER	services le Clinical/Ot Day Progr Supported School Brokerage MATION TOR NETV	ss than 2 tipatient T am Employm /Case Ma //ORK:	1/7) harapy/l ent/Voc nageme	Rehab (C ational	OT, PT, Spe

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confider	ntial: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESCI DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/iNDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Carataker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/individual's Funds [] Missuse of Client/individual Personal Property [] Other:	RIPTORS (check all that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Selzure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENTINDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Cl	ient Privileged and Confidential: Risk	Management/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIO	NE: PROGRAMMATIC RESPONS	SE (Gheck all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staffu [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral F Developed with Client/Individ [] Internal Investigation Underw Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Seclusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	rian Services ual Date	Date: [*] Family Notified
Cilent/Individual Name:	Log#:	Date of Incident:
List Client/Individual's current me	TION F: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL H dical and psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral h ical history (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and	ulstory (e.g. sexually abused, physically aggressive,
	s hospitalized at Exercise for dangerous ddress Cal diagnosis of Major Depression	s and self-injurious behaviors. Client was taking n, BiPolar, ADHD.
23. Briefly describe the Client/Individual	SECTION G: ANTECEDEN ual's condition and activities prior and leading	
The client appeared to be happ brother.	by because was planning to spend the	day with step-father and biological
Injuries, interventions and current	t status. Report involvement of other parties s nformation (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff	ARRATIVE are, when and how, Provide information on alleged such as law enforcement, emergency medical robserved*), When using names, include title and/o
On Police Department. hanging at a friend's house. Th concerned.		

SECTION 1: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES				
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Program Coordinator	Date	
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Program Supervisor	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title Director of Operations	Date	

Attorney/Client Privileged	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT and Confidential: Risk Management/Feer Review Page 1	
	le Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.	
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 3 Years 7 M 7. Gender:	
B. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 2 Years	() MR/DD () MR/M! () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B	: PROGRAM INFORMATION	
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name:	
Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) Home Health Agency Services OFFICENCY (2004)	() Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	2: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:	
17. Date & Time of Incident: 18. First Reponde to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)		
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)	
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite	[] Remain in Current Placement	
Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home:	[] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite	
() Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, ap		
() Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home	home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [1 Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK	
() Day Program () School	[] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home	
() Client/Individual's Place of Employment	[] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization)	
() Vehicle () Program Office	[] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization	
() Community	[] In-school suspension	
(x) Other: Hospital.	[] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention	
	[x] Death	
	[] Other:	
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	ne incident for entry into Risk Management database.	
•		

hospital. FP reports minor was revived at a modulance to FP reports hospital and a weat at a minor passed away at Cause of c	ficulty waking FP reports minor expired on the way to the sferred via ambulance to FP reports minor expired on the way to the sferred via ambulance to FP reports minor expired on the way to the sferred FP reports minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the sterred FP reports minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the way to the same first minor expired on the same
Attorney/Client Privileged and Confident/Individual Name: Log #:	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page : Date of Incident
DEATH (death of client/Individual is a Level 4 incident): (x) Expected Death of Client/Individual () Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Indicapate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Indepropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illiness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Selzure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Thirth by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENTINDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruetty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per cllent/lindividual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privil	eged and Confidential: Risk M	Ianagement/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PR	OGRAMMATIC RESPONSE	(Check all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical []Mechanical []Seclusions []Chemical []Law Enforcement	[x] Reported to Adult/Child In Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsu [] Licensing Notified Date:	Date: [x] Family Notified
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:	Date of incident:
running, confabulation, etc.), medical history Congenital Malformation including lobal delay, hypotonia, microcephaly, upper a	(s.g. setzures, diabetes, etc.) and me r holoprosencephaly, choanal as: ir problems including choanal st Phenobarbital, DDAVP, Prevacid.	tresia, seizure disorder, developmental
23. SI Briefly describe the Client/Individual's conditi Foster parent reports she had difficulty w conversations with treating physician parent, Mentor Supervisor and Nurse C Mother arrived late for staffing. Discussic	aking minor on the morning of at Medical s Ilinician, Minor's GAL, Dr. on of minor possibly receiving a t	p to this incident. Foster parent had several staffing at
Describe <u>IN DETAIL</u> the incident in chronolog injuries, interventions and current status. Rep	ort involvement of other parties suc (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff of	, when and how. Provide information on alleged
FP indicates she did not want to wait any arms on the way to hospital. FP reports n hospital. FP trailed ambulance to	to inform of minor's longer so she took minor to minor was revived at to the minor was revived at the minor was revived by the minor to the mino	ces of information. Foster parent reports left. When manger and worker called

SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES			
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title PC	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Manager	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title ED	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title Director of Operations	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible	a Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.	
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () (Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 7 Years 3 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: (x) Adult (18+) () Child	9. Service Category: (Chack one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 1 Years 3 Months 4 Days	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B: 12. State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15, Program Name: MF Program	
 () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with I () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services 		
SECTION C	INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)	
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)	
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Cilents/Individuals Living In Home: () Cilent/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Cilent/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Cilent/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Program Office () Community (x) Other: Hospital		
**Client died on statement at	menent to army into tisk midiagalipin balabase.	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:		
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC	RIPTORS (check all that apply)	
DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): (x) Expected Death of Client/Individual () Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other:	CLIENTINDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation	
CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Cilent/Individual's Funds [] Missappropriation/Destruction of Cilent/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our car [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Balthing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] TO Other Client [] TO Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] TO Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Settling [] Theft/Shoplitting [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animats (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/Individual/program) [1 Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

Attorney/Client Privi	leged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIONE: PR	OGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical []Mechanical []Seclusions []Chemical []Law Enforcement	X Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	x Funding Source Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:	
running, confabulation, etc.), medical history Client has the following diagnoses: 35 v Vent dependent, NG tube, Giant Ompha	sychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. s (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications week preemie, GERD Hypoplastic Lungs/C llocele s/p repair, let PA stenosis/ hypopla lation, Hperkinesis of Childhood, receptive	hronic Lung, previously Trach and sia, Dextrocardia, MRSA/ MRO,
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condit	ECTION G: ANITECEDENT EVENT	nc/dent.
Client was atriffed to and a ventalator. Dialysis was started on DCFS as well as the removal of the ECMO		
Describe <u>IN DETAIL</u> the incident in chronolog injuries, interventions and current status. Re	ECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV gleal order. Include who, what, where, when an port involvement of other parties such as law (e.g. "Mentor raported" or "Staff observed yer.).	d how. Provide information on alleged enforcement, emergency medical
Client passed on at at at at viremia, streptococcus pnemoniate pneu	Hospital. Ambad multis Imonia, and candida albiean pneumonia.	system organ failure, parovirus

SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES				
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Program Coordinator	Date	
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Manager	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title Director of Operations	Date	

	Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION
. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4
i. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () O	Other: 4. Log #: #
i, DOB:	6. Age: 7 Years 3 M 7. Gender:
s. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)
0. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness
1. Length of Current Placement/Services: 6 Months 1 Days	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:
	15. Program Name: Child Protective Services
 Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most clos ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 14 Mentor Home! Host Home Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 14 Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) 	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational Is with less () School
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with It	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed Day Program ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 12 () Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 14 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (34 clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 12 (x) Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 14 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed) Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 12 () Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 14 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed Strain 24/7) () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational Is with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:		
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident):	CRIPTORS (check all that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL:	
() Expected Death of Client/Individual (*) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual (*) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other:	[] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriete Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation	
CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assautt/Abuse [] Physical Assautt/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Missuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Missuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries	
	[] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL:	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shopiñting [] Other:	
FITSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENTINDIVIDUAL: []TO Chier Client []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/Individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	ntial: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PROGRAMMATIC	RESPONSE (Gheck all that apply)
I SP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Services Developed with Client/Individual Date: I Internal Investigation Underway	[x] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [x] Juny Enforcement/Probatton Notified
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
22. SECTION F: CLIENT/INDIV. List Client/individual's current medical and psychiatric diagnoses, running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (e.g. seizures, diabet	
was not on any medications.	
23. SECTION G: AN Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition and activities price	TECEDENT EVENTS or and leading up to this incident
was exiting the school bus.	
Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronological order, include w injuries, interventions and current status. Report involvement of c	
eventually stopped breathing. The justice of the p death. The mentors called the program manager at	sserby called 911, and once the paramedics arrived, eace was contacted, in which pronounced to report the incident. PM arrived on the scene at for approximately three hours. Child was transported to y. All pertinent contacts were made to CPS, licensing,

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer Rev	riew Page 4
SECTION	ON I: REVIEWS/	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title State Dir.	Date

THE MENTOR N Attornéy/Client Privileged s	NETWORK - INCIDE and Confidential: Risk Mar	NT REPORT nagement/Peer Review Page 1	
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible			
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUA	AL INFORMATION	
. Client/Individual's Name:		2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
S. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () C	Other:	4. Log #: (688) \$5550	
i, DOB:	6. Age:	7. Gender: (1885)	
Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Ch	eck one)	
Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain (njury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness		
Length of Current Placement/Services: 15 Months	() MR/DD Offender	() MR/MI () Education () Other:	
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFOR	IMATION	
2. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partne	er, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name:		
16. Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most clos () ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 1 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24(7)	Child Protectivesely fits) () 24/7) (s with 24/7) () (ess than 24/7) () (s with less ()	Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (34 clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 12 (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (34 clients/individuals with 14 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	Child Protectivesely fits) (1) 24/7) (2) Is with 24/7) (1) Is sith and 24/7) (1) Is with less (1) (1)	Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (34 clients/Individuals with 3 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals with 1 () Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (34 clients/Individuals with 1 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:	Child Protective sely fits) () () () () () () () () () (Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MAGION	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/Individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/Individuals with I () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:	Child Protectivesely fits) (1) 24/7) (2) Is with 24/7) (1) Is sith and 24/7) (1) Is with less (1) (1)	Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speect Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MAGION	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3* clients/Individuals with 2	Child Protective sely fits) () () () () () () () () () (Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Olinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speect Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MARION NTOR NETWORK:	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/Individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individual (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/Individuals with 1 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: 7. Date & Time of Incident: 9. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name:	Child Protectively sely fits) (1) (24/7) (24/7) (3) (5) (6) (7) (8) (8) (9) (9) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (11) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15) (15) (16) (17) (17) (18) (18) (18) (19)	Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Clinical/Unjatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speecl Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MATION NTOR NETWORK: (check all that apply) t Placement on Pending	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/Individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals with 1 (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/Individuals with 1 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: 7. Date & Time of Incident: 9. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: 9 of Clients/Individuals Living In Home: 2 () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt)	Child Protectively sely fits) (1) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (3) (4) (5) (5) (6) (7) (8) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speeci Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MARION NTOR NETWORK: (check all that apply) It Placement on Pending Placed in Respite Ited (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new lacement within MENTOR NETWORK)	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/Individuals with 1 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individual	Child Protectively sely fits) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (25/8) (27/8) (27/8) (28/8)	Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Cilnical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed) Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MARION NTOR NETWORK: (check all that apply) It Placement on Pending Placed in Respite Valed (i.e. Cilent/Individual transferred to new lacement within MENTOR NETWORK) MENTOR NETWORK Immanently Closed Mentor Home	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/Individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals with 3 (x) Mentor Home/Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/Individuals with 1 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: 7. Date & Time of Incident: 9. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: 9 of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: (x) Clients/Individuals Group Home, ICF, apt) () Clients/Individuals Group Home, ICF, apt)	Child Protectively (1) 24/7) Is with 24/7) Is with less INCIDENT INFOR 18. First Reported to MET By: (Name & Title) 20. Outcome of Incident: [Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speeci Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MARION NTOR NETWORK: (check all that apply) It Placement on Pending Placed in Respite Ited (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new lacement within MENTOR NETWORK) MENTOR NETWORK IMMENTOR	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/Individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals with 1 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals with 1 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals with 1 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: 7. Date & Time of Incident: 9. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: 9 of Clients/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office	Child Protectively sely fits) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (25/8) (24/7) (25/8) (26/8) (26/8) (27/8) (27/8) (28/8)	Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Clinical/Unjatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speect Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MARION NTOR NETWORK: Check all that apply It Placement On Pending Placement On Pending Placement Check Client/Individual transferred to new lacement within MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR N	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3* clients/Individuals with 2* () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals with 2* () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals with 1* () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals with 1* () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: 7. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Program Office () Community	Child Protectively (1) sely fits) (1) 24/7) (2) Is with 24/7) (1) Is with less (1) INCIDENT INFOR 18. First Reported to MET By: (Name & Title) 20. Outcome of incident: [Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MAGION NTOR NETWORK: (check all that apply) It Placement on Pending Placed in Respite Ned (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new lacement within MENTOR NETWORK) MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR NETWORK WENTOR NETWORK WENTOR NETWORK Interior Network MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR NETWORK MENTOR	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3* clients/Individuals with 2* () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals with 1* () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals with 1* () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals with 1* () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/Individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: 7. Date & Time of Incident: 9. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community	Child Protectively sely fits) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (25/8) (24/7) (25/8) (26/8) (26/8) (27/8) (27/8) (28/8)	Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Cilnical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School Grokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MATION MATION MATION IT Placement On Pending Placed in Respite Red (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new lacement within MENTOR NETWORK) MENTOR NETWORK Immanently Closed Mentor Home liatric Evaluation (shool) Intervaluation (shool) Int	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (34 clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 1 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 1 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C: 7. Date & Time of Incident: 9. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: 4 of Clients/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () School () Client/individual's Place of Employment () School () Vehicle	Child Protectively sely fits) (24/7) (24/7) (24/7) (25/8) (25/8) (26/8) (26/8) (27/8)	Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speect Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School Grokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MATION: MATION: TOR NETWORK: (check all that apply) It Placement on Pending Placed in Respite Ned (i.s. Client/individual transferred to new lacement within MENTOR NETWORK) MENTOR NETWORK Immanently Closed Mentor Home liatric Evaluation (shool) sidtch Hospitalization shool	

Attorney/Cilent Privileged and Conf	fidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #	CONSCIONA
CONTRACTOR	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [1 Self-Injurious Behavior
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/lindividual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., akohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Priv	ileged and Confidential: Risk Manage	ment/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: P	ROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Chec	call that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [x] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Seclusions [] Chemical [x]Law Enforcement	Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiat [x] Licensing Notified Date:	Date: [x] Family Notified
Client/Individual Name:	Log #: (
List Client/individual's current medical and	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. y (e.g. selzures, diabetes, etc.) and medication ory.	sexually abused, physically aggressive,
	SECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVEN lition and activities prior and leading up to this	
was put dov	vn for a nap at	
Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronol- injuries, interventions and current status. R	SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATI opical order. Include who, what, where, when report involvement of other parties such as law in (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed. ager.).	and how. Provide information on alleged enforcement, emergency medical
On mentor's daughter through the room monitor. Upon enter unresponsive. She immediately began responded phoned EMS arrived home prior to EMS continued CPR and applied a trachea a hospital, was pronounced dead. Ho Reference	Ing the room, applying CPR and called for discovered to take t	ng another foster sibling crying it was tho assisted in CRP. After tted and was non-responsive again. itted again. EMS arrived and Hospital. After being in line was phoned at
Continue on an additional sheet if necessar	ry. DO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF PAGE)	

Attorney/Client Privileged	and Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer Rev	view Page 4	
SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES				
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title PSC Super.	Date	
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date	
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title Stae Dir.	Date	

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible	s Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.	
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () 0	Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 14 Years 8 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 14 Years	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION	
12, State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name: TFC	
16. Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most close		
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services		
SECTION C:	INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:	
	By: (Name & Title) Hospital personnel	
19. Location of incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)	
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite	[] Remain in Current Placement	
Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living In Home:	[] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respita	
() Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home	[] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK)	
() Day Program () School	[] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home	
() Client/Individual's Place of Employment	[] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization)	
() Vehicle () Program Office	[] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization	
() Community	[] In-school suspension	
(x) Other: Hospital.	[1 School Suspension/Expulsion [1 Client/Individual Arrest/Detention	
	(x) Death	
	[] Other:	
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	incident for entry into Risk Management database.	
+Client had two emergency surgeries on	On client's condition deteriorated and died at approximately	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2			
Cilent/Individual Name: Log #:			
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESCRIPTORS (check all that apply)			
DEATH (death of client/Individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/Individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assauti/Abuse [] Physical Assauti/Abuse [] Physical Assauti/Abuse [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misuspropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAV/ORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Idental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Iverbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning		
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens		
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Satting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:		
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Mamber [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)		

Attorney/Client Privil	eged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIONE: PRO	GRAMMATEIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical []Mechanical []Seclusions []Chemical []Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	X Funding Source Notified Date: X Family Notified Date: X Guardian Notified Date: X Guardian Notified Date: X Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:	
List Client/Individual's current medical and ps running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (Client is a 14 year old	e.g. seizuras, diabetes, etc.) and medications. en placed in our Medically complex progr cerebral palsy, and has a feeding tube. Cu	exually abused, physically aggressive,
23. SE Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	CTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT on and activities prior and leading up to this in	7
Client was medically fragile. Before the se client not surviving it.	cond surgery doctors prepared the foster	parents for the possibility of
24. Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronologi injuries, interventions and current status. Rep services, etc. Include sources of Information (asfiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manage	ort involvement of other parties such as law e e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
Client received two emergency surgeries. Following the second surgery, doctors ha morning of and died at approximal and died at approximation and died at approximatio	d difficulty keeping client's blood pressur	uction and then necrotic bowel. e up. Client deteriorated the

SECTION I: REVIEWS / SIGNATURES			
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title QAA	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title SD	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

Prince Production and Control of the	and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page
	e Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.
	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION
. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:
i. DOB:	6. Age: 17 Years 1 7. Gender:
3. Population: (x) Adult (18+) () Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury (x) Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness
11. Length of Current Placement/Services:	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:
SECTION R:	PROGRAM INFORMATION
2. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:
	15. Program Name: Juvenile Justice
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuels with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuel (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals	als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	24/7) services less than 24/7) (1) Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed (2) Day Program less than 24/7) als with less (2) School (3) Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)
() Group or Shared Living (3 - clients/individuals with (1) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (2) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3 - clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C	24/7) services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speec () Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational als with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) : INCIDENT INFORMATION
() Group or Shared Living (3* clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3* clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C	24/7) services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuels with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuels with (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C	24/7) services fess than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program Iess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational Is with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Mentor
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 17. Date & Time of Incident: 19. Location of Incident: (check one) () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name:	24/7) services fess than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 7. Date & Time of Incident: 9. Location of Incident: (check one) () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home	24/7) services fess than 24/7) (c) Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed (d) Day Program less than 24/7) (d) Supported Employment/Vocational (e) School (e) Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) (e) I Remain in Current Placement (e) Placement Output Placement (e) Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ programy/lacement within MENTOR NETWORK)
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 7. Date & Time of Incident: () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt () Clients/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () Day Program () School () Client/individual's Place of Employment	24/7) services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speac
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 7. Date & Time of Incident: 19. Location of Incident: (check one) () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: 4 of Clients/individuals Living in Home: () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt () Client/individual's Place of Employment () School () Client/individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office	24/7) services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed
() Group or Shared Living (3 - clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3 - clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with an 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C 17. Date & Time of Incident: () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living in Home: () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () School	24/7) services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speec () Day Program less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational als with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) **INCIDENT INFORMATION** 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Tille) **Mentor** 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ programyloacement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death
() Group or Shared Living (3 - clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (3 - clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals Living in Home: () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Sesidence (group home, ICF, apt () Client/individual's Besidence (group home, ICF, apt () Client/individual's Place of Employment () School () Client/individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Program Office	24/7) services less than 24/7) als with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed

# i, Mentor, took and a fact a	another child in her care to a local water area near swimming. When went under the water and did not for water and his water. Subsequently, the Mentor reported		
that the authorities had recovered body.	To a little water. Soosequentry, the Mentor reported		
Aftorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page			
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O		
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Yorbol or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assautl/Abuse [] Physical Assautl/Abuse [] Physical Assautl/Abuse [] Inapopropiate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Indequate Supervision [] Criminal Arnest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Property [] Other:	CLIENTINDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Ivarbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Selzure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury: EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS:		
[] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens		
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:		
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)		

Attorney/Client Privil	eged and Gonfidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review	Page 3
SECTIONE: PRO	OGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)	
List Client/Individual's current medical and ps	[x] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date: Log #: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / yohlatric diagnoses, behavioral history (a.g. secures, diabetes, etc.) and medications.	exually abused, physicall	obation Notified
schizoaffective disorder. most recenbehavior problems, hallucinations, or most recenbehavior problems.	t psychological evaluation, states		
Mentor took both youths in her custody to	o a nearby water area to go swimming.		
Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronolog injuries, interventions and current status. Rep	ECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV ical order. include who, what, where, when an out involvement of other partles such as law (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed" or.).	d how. Provide Information Inforcement, emergency r	nedical
Mentor, took in to go s and did not emerge, she called 911. The k the Mentor reported that the authorities h	ocal police arrived and searched for	e to a local water area ig. When we water unde in the water. Subse	r the water
Continue on an additional cheet if neroscary			

SECTIO	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Recruiter	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title SD	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

	Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes. CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION 2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4 ther: 4. Log #: 6. Age: 1 Years 2 M 7. Gender: 9. Service Category: (Check one) () Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness () MR/IDD () MR/IMI () Education () Other:	
Client/Individual's Name: Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () OI DOB: Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: J. Length of Current Placement/Services: 5 Months SECTION B:	2. Lavel: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4	
Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () OI DOB: Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child Dole of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: I. Length of Current Placement/Services: 5 Months SECTION B:	ther: 4. Log #: 9. Service Category; (Check one) () Behavioral Health () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () MR/DD () MR/DD () MR/DD () Georgia	
Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: Length of Current Placement/Services: 5 Months SECTION B:	9. Service Category: (Check one) () Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness () MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD () Education	
Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: Length of Current Placement/Services: 5 Months SECTION B:	9. Service Category: (Check one) () Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness () MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education	
D. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: I. Length of Current Placement/Services: 5 Months SECTION B:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Merital Illness () MR/ID () MR/ID Offender () Education	
I. Length of Current Placement/Services: 5 Months SECTION B:	() Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness () MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education	
5 Months SECTION B:	() MR/DD Offender () Education	
	Angle 1994 and the control of the Co	
2. State: 13. City:		
	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
and the state of t	15, Program Name: Medically Fragile	
Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 2477) Home Health Agency Services	s with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)	
SECTION C:	INCIDENT INFORMATION	
7. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Mentor	
9. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)	
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Cillents/Individuals Living in Home: 2 Cillent/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) Cillent/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home Day Program School Cilent/Individual's Place of Employment Vehicle Program Office Community Other:	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite ii) [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confid	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
	CRIPTORS (check all that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Imental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Impapropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	Other Accidental Injury: EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: Other Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens Other Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/Individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, fighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privile	ged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIONE: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[x] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan	Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	X Funding Source Notified Date:
22. SECTION F: (List Client/Individual's current medical and pay running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (s		exually abused, physically aggressive,
day. sis on Keppra; Lorazepam; Mirala Hycet; Clonazepam; and Phenobarbital.	has a G-tube for nutrition purposes. Experiment: Nystatin Powder; Zoponex; does not have a long life expectancy. CTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT	Ipratropim Bromide; Diazepam;
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition was being cared for at the Mentor h		(Gloent
24. SE	CTION H: INGIDENT NARRATIV	
Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronological injuries, interventions and current status. Repeservices, etc. Include sources of information (a affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manage	ort involvement of other parties such as law ones" s.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	nforcement, emergency medical
director, was notified that the	mentor expressed that she felt that the c	ng twice, and heart had lent was going to pass soon. It m, and she arrived at the Mentor rrived to the Mentor home around ed away at he state s reported to the CPS hotline,
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary.	DO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF PAGE)	

Attorney/Client Privileged	anu Commental: 10	ak Management recrate	
SECT	ion i: Reviews/:	SIGNATURES	
		-	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Admin. Assist.	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title pm	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1 Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #:		
5. DOB:	6. Age: 20 Years 1 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: (x) Adult (18+) () Child	9, Service Category: (Chack ona)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 1 Years 5 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B: 12. State: 13. City:	PROGRAM INFORMATION 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name: Children's Program	
 (x) Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services 		
SECTION C	INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Tille)	
19. Location of Incident; (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)	
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Client/Aindividuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office (x) Community () Other:		

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/individual Name: Log #:	
SECTIOND: INCIDENT DESC SECTIOND: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assautt/Abuse [] Corporal/inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Ciminial Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENTINDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [x] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illiness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [x] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS:
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL:	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other: POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per
[]To Other Client []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party []To Animals (animat cruelty)	client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Priv	rileged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIONE: PI	ROGRAMMATIG RESPONSE (Gheck	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical []Mechanical []Sactusions []Chemical []Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	[] Funding Source Notified Date: [x] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [x] Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:	
running, confabulation, etc.), medical histor	psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. s y (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications has a history of sexual abuse and has also and not showing any signs of Bipolar or acti	been treated for being a sexual
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's cond	SECTION G: ANTEGEDENT EVENT litton and activities prior and leading up to this in ted during the week leading up to the incide	ncident
Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronole	SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV spical order, include who, what, where, when an eport involvement of other parties such as law, ex-	d how. Provide information on alleged
	n (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	
inform worker that that had that that the friend's mother found	tacted by the grandmother of dominited suicide this morning while at a hanging from a tree in their front yard nat no police report is available at this time.	
Continue on an additional sheet if necessar	y, DO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF PAGE)	

SECTION SECTIO	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title PC	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title DOO	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
SECTION A:	CLIENTAINDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	8. Age: 17 Years 1 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services:	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION	
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
to the state of th	15, Program Name:	
	Children's Program	
() Group or Shared Living (3 + clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-reside) Home Health Agency Services		
	: INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)	
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)	
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite	[] Remain in Current Placement	
Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home:	Placement Decision Pending Client/Individual Placed in Respite	
() Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt)		
() Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home	home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK)	
() Day Program () School	[] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home	
() Client/individual's Place of Employment	[] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization)	
() Vehicle	[] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization	
() Program Office () Community	[] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension	
(x) Other: on run status - grandmothers hous	[] School Suspension/Exputsion	
	[] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention	
	[x] Death [] Other:	
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the		
committed suicide via hanging.	, कार्यकार का बतावुष्ट पाठन सकावनुष्टावित धरावधरूर.	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	ntial: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Cilent/Individual Name: Log #:	Date of Incident:
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Allagad or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor []	RIPTORS (check-all fibat-apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [x] Sell-Injurious Behavior [x] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Thet by Third Party [] fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swinning/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] TO Other Client [] TO Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privile	ged and Confidential: Risk Manage	ment/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIONE: PRO	GRAMMATE CORESPONSE (Chec	(all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Seclusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiat [] Licensing Notified Date:	Date: [x] Family Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name	Log#:	Date of Incident
List Client/Individual's current medical and psyrunning, confabulation, etc.), medical history (c		sexually abused, physically aggressive, s.
behavior problems (stealing, physically a charge and delinquency. The has a sign		ering etc) that had led to a criminal ors for extended periods of time.
23. SE Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	CTION G: ANTECEDENT EVEN in and activities prior and leading up to this	
has been on the run from foster car and family however they would not coope received a letter from mother a couple	rate in giving the worker an address or	
24. SE Describe IN DETAIL the Incident in chronologic injuries, inferventions and current status. Repservices, etc. include sources of information (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manage	ort involvement of other parties such as law e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed.	and how. Provide information on alleged renforcement, emergency medical
letter from mother. mother who is	een doing very well until a few days prio incarcerated had written (1975) a letter s that she noted that (1975) appeared und at (1975) left a suicide note however she orker (1975) followed up with th	tating that the no longer wanted er the influence of substances for a was unable to read it due to being the police dept in the the The
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary.	DO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF PAGE)	

SECTIO	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Children PS	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Mgr	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title OD	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title QAM	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page	
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () (Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB;	6. Age: 18 Years 1 7. Gender:	
8. Population: (x) Adult (18+) () Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 9 Months 15 Days	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B: PROGRAM INFORMATION 12. State: 13. City: 14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:		
	15. Program Name:	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (*) Mentor Home/ Host Home	is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech () Day Program	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual	24/7) services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech () Day Program ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (*) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with it () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	24/7) services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational Is with less () School	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual (*) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with it () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	24/7) services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech () Day Program ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational Is with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)	
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 2 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual () Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with it () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:	24/7) services less than 24/7) Is with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speech () Day Program ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational is with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	ntial: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misspropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	RIPTORS (check all-that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Sentous Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party []To Animals (animals rurelly)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per cilent/Individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

	eged and Confidential: Risk Manag	
SECTION E: PRO [] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan [] Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Intervarilions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Seclusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	CRAMMATIG RESPONSE (Chec [] Reported to Adult/Child Protecti Services Date: [) Substantiated () Unsubstantia [x] Licensing Notified Date:	ve [x] Funding Source Notified Date: [] Family Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log#: (CALCALLACTOR)	(SECTION (SECTION SECTION SECT
22. SECTION F: List Client/individual's current medical and ps running, confabulation, etc.), medical history		g. sexually abused, physically aggressive,
Cilent was not taking any prescription in 23. 23. SI Briefly describe the Cilent/Individual's conditions.	ECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVE	
Client was on an approved weekend hom Food Restaurant in the commun	se visit with 133 Uncle 133 (133 (133) . C	ilient left a uncle's house to go to
Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronolog	port involvement of other parties such as is (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observe	r and how. Provide information on alleged aw enforcement, emergency medical
injuries; interventions and current status. New services, etc. Include sources of information affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manag	jer.).	

and Conndennai: Ri	sk Management/Peer Review	Page 4
ON I: REVIEWS/	SIGNATURES	
Print Name	Title Clinical Supervisor	Date
Print Name	Title Program Manager	Date
Print Name	Title	Date
Print Name	Title	Date
Print Name	Title	Date
	Print Name Print Name Print Name Print Name	Clinical Supervisor Print Name Title Print Name Title Print Name Title Print Name Title

THE MENTOR N Attorney/Client Privileged a	ETWORK - INCIDE: nd Confidential: Risk Mana	
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible	Font (10-12) - DO NOT Le	eave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUA	L INFORMATION
1. Client/Individual's Name:		2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () C	Other:	4. Log #:
5. DO8:	6. Age: 2 Months	7, Gender:
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child 9. Service Category: (Check one)		ck one)
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	 (x) Behavioral Health () Juvenile Justice () Medically Complex () MR/DD 	() Acquired Brain Injury () Elder Care () Mental Illness () MR/MI
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 7 Days	() MR/DD Offender	() Education () Other:
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFOR	MATION
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner	r, specify company name:
	15. Program Name: Children's Prog	
(x) Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential		School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)
	INCIDENT INFOR	
17. Date & Time of Incident: 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) mentor.		mentor
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident:	
19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: [] Placement Decision Pending		t Placement on Pending
# of Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home		ted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new acement within MENTOR NETWORK)
() Day Program () School	[] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home	
() Client/Individual's Place of Employment	[] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization)	
() Vehicle () Program Office	[] Emergency Psych [] Emergency Medic	statric Hospitalization
() Community	[] in-school suspens	
() Other:	[] School Suspension	
	[] Client/Individual A [x] Death	vrest/Detention
4	[] Other:	
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	Incident for entry into Ris	k Management database.
	all coordinator client	had stopped breathing. At the time of
the phone call 91 had already arrived and was	being transported to	Hospital was pronounced dead at

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #:		
Client/individual Name: SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (*) Unexpected Death of Client/individual (*) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/inDiviDuAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Missaporopriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Saxual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Itlness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

Attorney/Client	Privileged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E:	PROGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentc [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [x] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Sectusions [] IChemical [x]	(x) Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate (x) Licensing Notified Date:	X Funding Source Notified Date:
Client/individual Name:	Log#:	
List Client/Individual's current medical running, confabulation, etc.), medical h	N F: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / and psychiatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. s letory (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications.	exually abused, physically aggressive,
Upon intake the only medical need by a PCP.	I noted were was umbilical cord had not heale	o consciy and needed to be seen
23.	SECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT	'S
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's	condition and activities prior and leading up to this is	ncident.
Mentor reported that client ordinary. Client appeared in no	had been fussy over the past few days but r rmal spirits and was put down for someoning	othing that seemed out of the nap by mentor 1882-1883 .
injuries, interventions and current statu	SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATTV onological order Include who, what, where, when an us. Report involvement of other parties such as law e nation (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed" Manager.).	d how. Provide information on alleged anforcement, emergency medical
had already notified 911 and Hospital. The order of events is as Mentor was at home carried and laid on the second of the second	had stopped breathing. At the time of the an ambulance team was at her home getting resolicitors, at the member of follows; At the mentor of side. At mentor left work and tracy. At approx. checked on stomach and was not breathing. Mentor same time. The ambulance team arrived at the member of the	ady to transport to over a many arrived to work and arrived to work a many arrived home at many and reported that many arrived home at many arrived home at many arrived home at many arrived home at many arrived dead er was en route to this writer received a tear informed the DCF hotline both arrived at hospital to the State Police investigators. DCF at the hospital. A was filed

SECTIO	ON I: REVIEWS / S	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title CC	Cate Cate
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Titie PM	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title CS	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legi	ble Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION
. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4
. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #
DOB:	6. Age: 18 Years 1 M 7. Gender:
. Population: (x) Adult (18+) () Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)
Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness () MR/DD () MR/MI
1. Length of Current Placement/Services: 3 Months	() MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:
SECTION B	: PROGRAM INFORMATION
2. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:
	15, Program Name:
 Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most of) ICF/MR (Infermediate Care Facility) Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals) Mentor Home/ Host Home Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (2 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (2 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (2 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (2 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (2 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (2 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (2 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (2 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (2 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (2 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (2 or 2 clients/individuals) Shared or Supported Living (2 or 2 clients/individuals) 	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speec () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with an 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speec () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals witl () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals witl () Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals witl () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals witl than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) 2: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals witl () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals witl () Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals witl () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals witl than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals witl () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals witl () Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals witl () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with an 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (17) Date & Time of Incident:	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) 2: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (17) 17) Date & Time of Incident: 19) Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Home Health Agency Services SECTION () 17. Date & Time of Incident:	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program I less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) 3: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals at 2477) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION () Toate & Time of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living in Home: () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, agent of Shared Care)	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite ii) [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION: (7. Date & Time of Incident: (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: (x) Client/individuals's Residence (group home, ICF, ap () Client/individual's Boiological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite ii) [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with (3 Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with (3 Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals with (3 Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals at (4) Home Health Agency Services SECTION (6) To Date & Time of Incident: (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living in Home: () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] J Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (9) 7. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living in Home: () Client/individual's Bological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/individual's Place of Employment	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speec () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (1) (17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) (18. Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) 2: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (17. Date & Time of Incident: (**) Mentor Home (**) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, ap () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/individual's Place of Employment	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speec () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) 2: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Ptacement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (3 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (17. Date & Time of Incident: (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, ac () Client/individual's Blological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Program Office () Community	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) 2: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Ptacement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite ii) [] Ptacement Discuppted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (3 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (17. Date & Time of Incident: (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, ac () Client/individual's Blological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Program Office () Community	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program hess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) 2: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite 1) [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension/Expulsion

" is a medically fragile client how began to bleed international transported to tailocal ER who then flew to the second to	Hospital. The sealth deteriorated throughout the day and the
coded that evening and was put on life support systems. The i	medical team would not fine the source of the bleeding and
Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	ential; Risk Management/Peer Review Page
Client/Individual Name	
	TOYONG CALL HALL IN
DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequale Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Missue of Client/individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Imappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our car [] Thett by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Balting/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL:	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shopliting [] Other: POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per
[] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	client/individual/program) Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client P	rivileged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E:	PROGRAMMATIG RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[x] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual [x] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] IMechanical [] Sectusions [] JChemical [] Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [x] Licensing Notified Date:	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [x] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:	
List Client/Individual's current medical a running, confabulation, etc.), medical his	F: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / nd psychlatric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. a story (e.g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications diagnoses are CP, Quadriplegia, Seizure D	exually abused, physically aggressive,
perforation, Hypertension Meds – B 250/5ml BID, Ergocalciferol solution	laciofen 4.5mg BID, Neurontin 25/5ml TID, Rob n 8900lU/ml.5ml, Flonase 0.05 mg i spray PRN, Zinc acetate suspension 5mg/5mbid	inal 1mg BID, Depakene syrup
23. Briefly describe the Client/Individual's ce	SECTION G: ANTEGEDENT EVENT and activities prior and leading up to this in	
Per reports, has been doing very had a positive follow up of the nutrionist rehab doctor the paralysis restoration clinic and ocastings.	and the OT at	
injuries, interventions and current status	SECTION H: INCIDENT NARRATIV nological order, include who, what, where, when an a. Report involvement of other parties such as law stion (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed lanager.).	d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
that he had called 911 after observin ambulance to Ho Hospital believed that was ble by helicopter to Hospi		
Continue on an additional sheet if neces	sary. DO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF PAGE)	

SECTION	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title	Date
		cc	
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
		PM	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR Attorney/Client Privileged	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1
	ole Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #
5. DOB:	6. Age: 10 Years 8 M 7. Gender:
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check ane)
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 1 Years 1 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:
SECTION B	: PROGRAM INFORMATION
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:
	15. Program Name:
Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individu than 24/7) Home Health Agency Services	() Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)
	C: INCIDENT INFORMATION
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster Parent
19. Location of incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)
() Mentor Home () Primary () Respite	[] Remain in Current Placement
Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living in Home;	[] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite
() Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, ap	
() Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home	home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK)
() Day Program	[] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK
() School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment	[] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization)
() Vehicle	[] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization
() Program Office	[] Emergency Medical Hospitalization
() Community (x) Other: Prospective Adoptive Placement	[] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion
	[] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention
	[x] Death [] Other:
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of the	1
went missing from a birthday party at	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	ential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log f	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC	
DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other:	CLIENTINIDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation
CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Carotaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Theft Dy Third Party [] Theft Sy Third Party [] The Sathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations	[] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury: EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens
[] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENTINDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	[] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Frice Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighler, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privil	eged and Confidential: Risk Manag	gement/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIONE: PRO	OGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Che	eck all that apply)
[x] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual] internal Investigation Underway Interventions:] Physical [] Mechanical] Sactusions] Chemical] Law Enforcament	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protect Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstant [] Licensing Notified Date:	Date: [] Family Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:	
22. SECTION F: List Client/Individual's current medical and pa running, confabulation, etc.), medical history		g. sexually abused, physically aggressive,
is diagnosed with severe cog		Intuniv 4mg and Clonidine .2mg.
23. SI Briefly describe the Client/Individual's conditi	ECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVE	
was attending a birthday party a	t the home of an approved adoptive pl	lacement on
24. SI Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronolog injuries, interventions and current status. Repservices, etc. include sources of information affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Management of the control of th	oort involvement of other parties such as i (e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observe	n and how. Provide information on alleged aw enforcement, emergency medical
Mrs. reports that at about states that she cut 3-4 pieces of cake and with her. She and her family began search police. Mentor foster parent pon-call. After extensive search of the pond in the back of the home. Had been found moments before. Writer info from the officers on the scene: Report Medical Examiners office autopsy, CPI case #	ching for and after about 10-1 was notified that area, police divers found Foster parent contacted on-call to report the de that Lead Investigator - Det	he noticed was no longer 5 minutes later, they contacted was missing. Ms. contacted body at the bottom of the retention writer at the contact the child ath. Writer received the following

The second secon			
SECTION	ON I: REVIEWS /:	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Coordinator	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title PM	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks, Complete All Boxes.
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION
. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4
. Guardian: () Self () State (x) Parent(s) () G	Other: 4. Log #:
. DOB:	6. Age: 16 Years 1 M 7. Gender:
. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)
Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness
Length of Current Placement/Services: B Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:
SECTION B:	PROGRAM INFORMATION
2. Slate: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:
	15. Program Name:
Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual Mentor Home/ Host Home Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with I Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual)	() Day Program ess than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational
(x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with I () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	ess than 24/7) () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)
(x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with f () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:	ess than 24/7) () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational Is with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION
(x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with I () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	ess than 24/7) () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)
(x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with f () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individual than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C:	ess than 24/7) () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide	ntial: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2	
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	Date of Incident:	
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC	RIPTORS (check all that apply) CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL:	
DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/iNDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other:	[] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation	
	MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Bums [] Vehicle [] Swimmins/Near Drowning	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	[] Other Accidental Injury: EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENTINDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL:	Pathogens PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vahicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shopkfiting [] Other: POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per	
[]To Other Client []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelty)	Client/Individual/program) See Example 1 Compared to the control of the contro	

MMATIC RESPONSE (Check : [x] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: 0	[x] Funding Source Notified Date:
Services	Date:
() Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [x] Licensing Notified Date:	[x] Family Notified Date: [x] Guardian Notified Date: [x] Law Enforcement/Probation Notifie Date:
ric diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. se	exually abused, physically aggressive,
-	
	n Sarias Produktina saria
der. Include who, what, where, when an volvement of other parties such as law e	d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
	located by search and recovery
	Date: Log #: ENT/INDIVIDUAL HISTORY / fic diagnoses, behavioral history (e.g. selzures, diabetes, etc.) and medications. rraphilia DO, NOS AXIS II: Deferred A //: 60 Medications: None DN G: ANTECEDENT EVENT activities prior and leading up to this in MVP Program staff and other MVP Program staff and other ON H: INCIDENT NARRATIV der. Include who, what, where, when an olivement of other parties such as law effentor reported" or "Staff observed"

SECTIO	ONI: REVIEWS/	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Program Manager	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

Attorney/Client Privileged	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT f and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1 ble Font (18-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.
	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #:
5. DOB:	6. Age: 10 Months 7. Gender:
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 4 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:
SECTION B	: PROGRAM INFORMATION
12. Slate: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:
A Marin No. 1	15. Program Name: Child Protective Services
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	
	C: INCIDENT INFORMATION
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: 3	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/individual Placed in Resoite
Client/Individual's Residence (group home, tCF, ap Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home Day Program School	(i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new
() Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community	[] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension
() Other:	[] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other:
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of ti	t j Other: he incident for entry into Risk Management database.
+Client passed away on	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confli	dential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	Date of Incident:
Client/Individual Name: Log #: SECTION D: INCIDENT DES DEATH (death of client/Individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/Individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Inapprect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alicohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Missappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property	Date of Incident: SCRIPTORS (check all that anniv) CLIENTANDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	[] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury: EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	Pathogens PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelity)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/Individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)

and the second s	ged and Confidential: Risk Man	× 100 mm (100 mm)
SECTIONE: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (C	heck all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for StaffMentor [] ISP/Supervision/Sehavioral Plan Devoloped with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Seclusions [] Chemical I Haw Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Prote Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubsta	Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:	Date of Incident:
unning, confabulation, etc.), medical history (s Client was reported as failure to Thrive pr hospital on and placed int failure to thrive, Client has had numerous medications.	rior to admission to Ment o a Mentor home. Client has	or. was released from described done well and is no longer considered
23. Briefly describe the Cliant/Individual's condition	CTION G: ANTECEDENT EV	
24. SE Describe IN <u>DETAIL</u> the incident in chronologic injuries, interventions and current status. Reposervices, etc. include sources of information (e affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCPS Case Manage	ort involvement of other parties such a s.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff obser	nen and how. Provide information on alleged s law enforcement, emergency medical
On Mentor spoke with P was taking a nap in crib. Mentor discover on while his wife, Mentor called where was pronounced deceased. Program The call reference is with police department do not suspect with call ID number taking the	vered client to be unresponsive. Me 911. Client was taken to promise em gram Manager, contained taking the Call ID number taking the lanager, advising law enforcement foul play in the passing of client. T	ergency room in the control of the CPS hottine on the cell was made to the CPS officer and Detective with
Continue on an additional cheet if necessary I		

Attorney/Chent Privilegea	and Confidential: Ro	sk Management/Peer Revie	W Page
SECTION	ONI: REVIEWS/	SIGNATURES	100 000
		,	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Program Manager	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date
		*	

Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legi	ble Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 () 3 (x) 4
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #:
5. DOB:	6. Age: 14 Years 3 M 7. Gender:
s. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 4 Years	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:
SECTION E	PROGRAM INFORMATION
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:
	15, Program Name:
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individ () Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit	h 24/7) () Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speecl () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individ (x) Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	h 24/7) () Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speecl () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individ (x) Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (h 24/7) Lais with less than 24/7) Lais with less Lai
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individ (x) Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Cutpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speeci () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to METTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individ (x) Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit han 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (17) The String of Incident:	h 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speecl () Day Program h less than 24/7) uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) FP
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individ (x) Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit han 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (1) Totale & Time of Incident:	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Cutpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speeci () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to METTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (1) 17. Date & Time of Incident: 19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name:	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Cutpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) P 20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individ (x) Mentor Horne/ Host Horne () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Horne Health Agency Services SECTION (1) 17. Date & Time of Incident: 19. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speecl () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 19. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) 20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individ (x) Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (1) 17. Date & Time of Incident: SECTION (1) 18. Location of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living in Home: 1 () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, a) () Client/individual's Besidence (group home, ICF, a) () Client/individual's Blological Family/Guardian Hom	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT; Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) PP 20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite 50] [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (1) 17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living in Home: () Client/individuals Residence (group home, ICF, a)	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individ (x) Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (1) Totale & Time of Incident: SECTION (1) Totale & Time of Incident: (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, a) () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Hom () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 15. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) 20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite by Home Program/Jacoment Within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (1) 17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) (**) Mentor Home (**) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: ** of Clients/individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, a;) Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Hom () Day Program () School	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) P- 20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual transferred to new home! program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization)
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individ (x) Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individ than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (1) 17. Date & Time of Incident: (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: y of Clients/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Hom () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Hom () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speecl () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) 20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home) program/Jacement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Fevaluation (no hospitalization) [] In-school suspension
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (1) 17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Hom () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) P20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home! program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school Suspension/Expulsion
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individ (x) Mentor Home! Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individ than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (1) 17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: y of Clients/individuals Living in Home: () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Hom () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) 20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home) program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Fucluation (in Spitalization [] In-school suspension (Explosition () Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x) Death
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individ (x) Mentor Horner Host Horne () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals wit () Horne Health Agency Services SECTION (17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Horne (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, a) () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:	() Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT; Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) PP 20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite body in Placement Uses on Mentor Network () Placement One of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Placement Decision Pending [] Placement Decision Pending [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK () Placement Uses on Mentor Network () Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Im-school suspension/Explasion [] School Suspension/Explasion [] School Suspension/Explasion

Director, Regional Director and Program Manager.	nitial: Risk Management/Peer Review Page
Attorney/Client Privileged and Confide Nent/Individual Name: Log #:	Date of Incident:
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual () Lexpected Death of Client/individual () Losabected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CAREATAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assauli/Abuse [] Corporal/inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Misuappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other: SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations	
[] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	[] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL:
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	[] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Settling [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []To Other Client []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privile	ged and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIONE: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Gheck	all that apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behaviorat Plan Developed with Client/Individual [] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Sectusions [] IChemical [] Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	x Funding Source Notified Date: x Family Notified Date: x Guardian Notified Date: Law Enforcement/Probation Notified Date: Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log#: Da	ate of Incident:
List Client/Individual's current medical and pay running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (e Client is diagnosed with the following: Do LV, tricuspid stresia/CCTGA and a pace n Enalapril, Multi Vitamin with Iron and Asp	g. selzures, diabetes, etc.) and medications puble inlet LV (single valve with primary maker. The minor is prescribed the follow	ASD), univentricular physiology of
23. SE(Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition The minor was in the home asleep.	CTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT in and activities prior and leading up to this in	
24. SE Describe <u>IN DETAIL</u> the incident in chronologic injuries, interventions and current status. Repo- services, etc. include sources of information (e affiliation (Le. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manage)	rt involvement of other parties such as law e .g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
found unresponsive. She perfor <u>me</u> d C	passed. She reported that she went to wa PR and called the paramedics. Paramed bep and an autopsy will be performed. Pr tram Manager and Nurse Clinician were r home along with assigned worker, repo- ter had arrived. At the body was al examiner's office the body will be take	cs arrived and indicated that the ogram Supervisor was contacted notified around At red that the Police removed and being taken to the note Hospital as
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary. D	IO NOT WRITE ON DACK OF TACE!	

ina Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Feer Review	y Page 4
ON I: REVIEWS/	SIGNATURES	
	-	
Print Name	Title Program Coordinator	Date
Print Name	Title	Date
	Print Name Print Name Print Name Print Name	Program Coordinator Print Name Title Print Name Title Print Name Title

	NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT I and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 1	
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legil	ble Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks, Complete All Boxes.	
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
3. Guardian: () Self () State (x) Parent(s) () Other: 4. Log #	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 18 Years 6 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: (x) Adult (18+) () Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 7 Years 3 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B	: PROGRAM INFORMATION	
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
	15. Program Name: TFC	
than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	() Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK:	
	By: (Name & Title) Foster Parent	
19. Location of Incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply)	
(x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respile Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home; 2	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite	
() Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, ap) Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Hom) Day Program	(i) [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK	
() School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle	[] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization	
() Program Office () Community () Other:	[] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion	
	[] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [x] Death [] Other:	
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of t	he incident for entry into Risk Management database.	
	was unresponsive when trying to wake up. The CO2 detector and re in the home. Mentor called 911 and paramedics arrived at the home. The	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confiden	tigt Blak Management/Peer Review Page 2
Client/Individual Name: Log #:	Date of Incident:
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESCE DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] TO Other Client [] TO Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Firs Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animats (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)

Attorney/Client Privileg	ed and Confidential: Risk Managem	ent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PROGRAMMATIG RESPONSE (Check all that apply)		
[x] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] SP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan [] Sevetoped with Client/Individual [x] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: [] Physical [] Mechanical [] Sectusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	[] Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiate [] Licensing Notified Date:	x Funding Source Notified Date: x Family Notified Date: x Guardian Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:	
22. SECTION F: Cl List Client/Individual's current medical and psyc running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (e.g. Client has been diagnosed with Fetal Alcol Cerebral Palsy NOS. History of hospitalizat at times, along with a history of indi-	g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and medications not Syndrome, Benign External Hydroce tion for cellulitis on legs. Client current	exually abused, physically aggressive,
23. SEC Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	TION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT and activities prior and leading up to this in	
The Mentor had a new heating system insta to bed before	lled in her home on	a typical day on went
24. SEC Describe IN <u>DETAIL</u> the incident in chronologica injuries, interventions and current status. Repor services, etc. include sources of information (a. affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manager,	t involvement of other parties such as law e g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed"	d how. Provide information on alleged inforcement, emergency medical
Mentor office where they spent the majority transported to h	off. was unresponsive when Ms. was pronounced dead. On-call word led. contacted biolog picked up from her insported to the medical Exit of the day, receiving support. Approxi er daughter's home. Notifications have who is also placed in the home, was no make the resister's house and was to ble to reach after her testing, at 20/08/5/6/8/ ### The Mill be spending the ni entor, which is safe were also notified of the ency staff are continuing to support the	car contacted and call mother and call mother and call mother and call call mother came to the mately and been made to the family, DHS and been made to the family, DHS and to present in the home at the time king college placement testing and informed her. PG&W is gystem and determining if the gight at a hotel, and will be arrangements for to biological mother and Mentor

Attorney/Glient Privileged	and Confidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer Revi	ew Page 4
SECTION	ONI: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title	Date
		AD	The second second
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legib	ile Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.	
SECTION A:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION	
1. Client/Individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 9 Years 11 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 5 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other;	
SECTION B	: PROGRAM INFORMATION	
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:	
The second secon	15. Program Name:	
 () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individ. (x) Mentor Home Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services 	() Day Program n less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational	
SECTION O	e: INCIDENT INFORMATION	
17. Date & Time of Incident:	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)	
	Foster Mother	
19. Location of incident: (check one) (x) Mentor Home (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living In Home: 5 () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, ap () Client/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:		
21. Summarize in 2-3 sentences the key aspects of ti	he incident for entry into Risk Management database.	
+The foster family went to check on the client for	morning feeding. The client had no signs of life and was pronounced	

Attorney/Cilent Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Cilent/Individual Name: Log #:	Date of Incident:	
DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/Individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/Individual (LIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inagect [] Misaguale Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENTINDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theth by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehice [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] TO Staff or Mentor [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Other Third Party PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] TO Other Client [] TO Staff or Mentor	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other: POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/Individual/program)	
[]To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelty)	 Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.) 	

•	eged and Confidential: Risk Managem	
	OGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	
X Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor SP/Supervision/Ebhavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual x Internal Investigation Underway	Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	[x] Funding Source Notified Date: [] Family Notified Date:
Interventions: []Physical	() Substantiated (x) Unsubstantiale	[] Guardian Notified Date:
[]Mechanical []Seclusions []Chemical []Law Enforcement	[x] Licensing Notified Date:	[] Law Enforcement/Probation Notificate:
Client/Individual Name:	Log#:	
List Client/Individual's current medical and ps running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (exually abused, physically aggressive
Palsy, Seizure Disorder. Client has a feed	4 Brain bleed. Client is diagnosed with a ding tube. Client is prescribed Naitrexone (a, Diastat, Diazapam, Tylenoi, Motrin, Pu	, Seroquel, Kepray, Trileptol,
23. SE	CTION G: ANTECEDENT EVENT	rs
Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	on and activities prior and leading up to this i	ncident.
Cilent spent Friday evening playing with t report to the foster mother. Foster mother going to bed for the evening.		
24. Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronologii injuries, interventions and current status. Repservices, etc. Include sources of information (affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Management of the control of t	ort involvement of other parties such as law e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed	ed how. Provide information on allege enforcement, emergency medical
CPR, even though Client was cold and at the cold at the co	ster mother; Mentor checked client d not feel any signs of life and called 911 body had begun to atrophy. Mentor call Mr. Called To the h	. Mentor stated she attempted ed on call coordinator, supervisor. Ms called Quality ome at the left the tall many state of tall
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary	DO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF BACE!	

and Gonfidential: Ri	sk Management/Peer Revie	W Page 4
ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Print Name	Title Team Supervisor	Date
Print Name	Title QA	Date (
Print Name	Title	Date
Print Name	Title	Date
Print Name	Title	Date
	Print Name Print Name Print Name Print Name	Team Supervisor Print Name Title QA Print Name Title Print Name Title

THE MENITOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORIT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: RiskiManagement/Peer Review Page Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes. SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
S. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other:	4. Log #
i. DOB:	6. Age: 4 Years	7. Gender:
, Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Ch	eck one)
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK: () Behavi () Juvenii (x) Medica		() Elder Care () Mental Illness
11, Length of Current Placement/Services:	() MR/DD Offender	() MR/MI () Education () Other:
SECTION B 2. State: 13. City:		RMATION ar, specify company name:
	15. Program Name: Children's Program	oram
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals	rals with 24/7) ((1 less than 24/7) (Family/School/Home Based Supports (periodic services less than 24/T) Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School
Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individu Mentor Home/ Host Home	rals with 24/7) ((1 less than 24/7) (services less than 24/T) Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speec) Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School
() Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individus (x) Mentor Homef Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 1 or 2 clients/ind	rals with 24/7) (In less than 24/7) (In less with less (Incident Information (Incident	services less than 24/7) Olicial/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed) Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MATION
() Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individus (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with an 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION C	rals with 24/7) (1 less than 24/7) (1 less than 24/7) (1 lais with less (services less than 24/T) Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speac) Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) MATION NTOR NETWORK:
() Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individu (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals man 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	rals with 24/7) (1 less than 24/7) (1 less than 24/7) (1 lais with less (1 less than 24/7) (1 less th	services less than 24/7) Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed) Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) EMATION NTOR NETWORK: Foster Parent
() Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individue) (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 1) Home Health Agency Services SECTION (17. Date & Time of Incident:	als with 24/7) (tless than 24/7) (tals with less (tals	services less than 24/T) O Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed) Day Program Supported Employment/Vocational School School Grokerage/Case Management (non-residential) EMARION NTOR NETWORK: Foster Parent (check all that apply) It Placement ion Pending Placed in Respite pled (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new lacement within MENTOR NETWORK) MENTOR NETWORK emanently Closed Mentor Home histric Evaluation (no hospitalization)

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2			
Client/Individual Name: Log #:			
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESCRIPTORS (check all that apply)			
DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/individual (x) Unexpected Death of Client/individual (LIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: () Sexual Boundary/Abuse	CLIENTINDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment		
[] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Corporal/Inappropriate Punishment [] Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [] Neglect [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Cilent/Individual's Funds [] Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	[] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pneumonia [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theft by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Other Burns [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:		
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens		
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle TheR [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:		
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruelty)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/Individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pornography, illicit drugs, etc.)		

Attorney/Client Privile	eged and Confidential: Risk Manage	ment/Peer Review Page 3
SECTION E: PRO	OGRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Ghee	k all fhat apply)
[] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan Developed with Client/Individual] Internal Investigation Underway Interventions: []Physical]Mechanical []Seclusions []Chemical]Law Enforcement	Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date: Substantiated () Unsubstantiate Licensing Notified Date:	Date: [x] Family Notified Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #:	
22. SECTION F: List Client/Individual's current medical and psy running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (sexually abused, physically aggressive,
CP; MR; seizure D/O; GERD; G-tube; dev	relopmental delays.	
23. SE Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	ECTION G: ANTECEDENT EVEN on and activities prior and leading up to this	
Client was not having any unusual health sleeping in the Mentors home.	concerns or issues outside of diagr	noses prior to passing. www.
24. SE Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronologi injuries, interventions and current status. Rep services, etc. include sources of information (affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manage	ort involvement of other parties such as lav e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed.	and how. Provide information on alleged v enforcement, emergency medical
completion. This worker called Ms around was having a fit and he not like the CPAP mask. Foster parent repremained connected to all of monitoring reported that she was woken up was not breathing and was turning blue.	her foster child is a medically complexer, g-tube, brain calcifications, scollosis has been placed with the Mentor Net a pre-adoptive placement and the adop back around and ECPAP mask moved off of face consistence the mask, calmed client downg devices. Ms then stated that by an alarm on clients monitoring devices immediately called 911 and began C performing CPR but client had already for the mask of the mask of the mediately called 11 and began C performing CPR but client had already for the mediately called 11 and december of the mediately called 911 and december of the mediately called 912 and december of the mediately called 913 and december of the mediately called 914 and december of the mediately called 915 and december of the mediately of the mediatel	to, hip dysplasia, nissen, GERD, visual work in the specialised foster home witton subsidy was nearing ported that client had woken up swhich is not unusual as does not

SECTION	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Child Welfare Specialist	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title Program Manager	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title Supervisor	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

47 47 40 41 4 40 41 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	d and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Raview Page ble Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.
	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION
I. Client/individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 ()2 ()3 (x)4
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) (Other: 4. Log#
5. DOB;	6. Age: 5 Months 7. Gender:
3. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	() Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care (x) Medically Complex () Mental Illness
11, Length of Current Placement/Services: 4 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:
SECTION B	PROGRAM INFORMATION
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name:
	15. Program Name: Medically Complex
16. Service Setting/Model: (check the ONE that most cl	1
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ cilents/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 cilents/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ cilents/individuals with	uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals	h 24/7) services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (*) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	h 24/7) services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) uals with less () School C School
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (*) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services	h 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab {OT, PT, Speed
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (h 24/7) services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational uals with less () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (3) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (h 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title)
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION: (17. Date & Time of Incident: 19. Location of Incident: (check one) () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite	h 24/7) services less than 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h lass than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster Parent 20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION () Totale & Time of Incident: 17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name:	h 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared of Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (17. Date & Time of Incident: 19. Location of Incident: (check one) () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, app	h 24/7) services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster Parent 20. Cutcome of incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Orgupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared of Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals and than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION () To Date & Time of Incident: 17. Date & Time of Incident: (check one) () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: () Clients/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, ap () Clients/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, ap () Clients/Individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home	h 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpalent Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) uals with less () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster Parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placad in Respite bit [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK)
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared of Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with than 24/7) () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (17. Date & Time of Incident: 19. Location of Incident: (check one) () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, app	h 24/7) services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster Parent 20. Cutcome of incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Orgupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals will () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals will () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals will () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals will () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (17. Date & Time of Incident: () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Hame: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, ap () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () School () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment	h 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpalent Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) uals with less () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite bit [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization)
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared of Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (17. Date & Time of Incident: 19. Location of Incident: (check one) () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: ** of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, ap	h 24/7) services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpalent Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) uals with less () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster Parent 20. Outcome of Incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals will () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals will () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals will () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals will () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (17. Date & Time of Incident: () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living in Home: () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, ap () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Program Office () Community	h 24/7) services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals (x) Mentor Home/ Host Home () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (17. Date & Time of Incident: 19. Location of incident: (check one) () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: ** of Clients/individuals Living in Home: () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, ap) Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office	h 24/7) services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Duptalent Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals will () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals will () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals will () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals will () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (17. Date & Time of Incident: () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/individuals Living in Home: () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, ap () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Program Office () Community	h 24/7) services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpatient Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed
() Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals will () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals will () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals will () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals will () Home Health Agency Services SECTION (17. Date & Time of Incident: SECTION (18. Location of Incident: 19. Location of Incident: () Mentor Home () Primary () Respite Mentor Name: 4 of Clients/individuals Living in Home: () Client/individual's Residence (group home, ICF, ap () Client/individual's Biological Family/Guardian Home () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community (x) Other: Medical Ce	h 24/7) services less than 24/7) uals with 24/7) () Clinical/Outpalent Therapy/Rehab (OT, PT, Speed () Day Program h less than 24/7) () Supported Employment/Vocational () School () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential) C: INCIDENT INFORMATION 18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Foster Parent 20. Cutcome of incident: (check all that apply) [] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pending] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Medical Hospitalization [] In-school suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention

Attomey/Cilent Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log #		
SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/individual is a Level 4 incident): (x) Expected Death of Client/individual () Unexpected Death of Client/individual CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Located at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [] Mentor [] Mentor Family Member [] Staff [] Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [] Sexual Boundary/Abuse [] Verbal or Emotional Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Physical Assault/Abuse [] Inadequate Supervision [] Inadequate Supervision [] Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [] Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [] Misuse of Client/Individual's Funds [] Missporopriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [] Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Verbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Illness Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Seizure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [] Other: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Sexual Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] That by Third Party [] Fail [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] TO Other Client	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other: POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/Individual/program)	
[] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Farnily Member [] To Other Third Party [] To Animals (animal cruetty)	Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., atcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

Att	orney/Client Privile	ged and Confidential: Risk Ma	magement/Peer Review	Page 3
	EGNIONE: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check all that apply)	
[] Counseling/Traini [] ISP/Supervision/B Developed with Ci [] Internal Investigat Interventions: [] IMPysical [] Mechanical [] Sectusions [] Chemical [] Law Enforcement	shavioral Plan ilent/Individual ion Underway	[] Reported to Adult/Child Pn Services Date: () Substantiated () Unsubstantiated () Licensing Notified Date:	Date: (x) Family Notified	
Client/Individual Name:	SOURCE OF STREET	Log #: (State State Stat		
	current medical and psyc	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL HIST chilatric diagnoses, behavioral history, g. seizures, diabetes, etc.) and med	ry (e.g. sexually abused, physica	ily aggressive,
is oxygen and g-	tube dependent. receives hospice ser	replacing brain matter), selzures Is on several medications and vices but does not yet have a DN JEION G: ANTECEDENT 1	d requires temperature check IR (Do Not Resuscitate) order	s several
Briefly describe the Cile		and activities prior and leading up		
parents. The foster paraturation levels were	arent reported that e fine. During the late vels. •••• saturation lev	due to pneumonia. Was was breathing fast after being re evening of the foster pa rel dropped to the 60's which pro	eleased from the hospital but trent was continuously monito	oring client's
injuries, interventions a services, etc. include s	Incident in chronologic ind current status. Repo	CTION H: INCIDENT NARI al order. Include who, what, where, rt involvement of other parties such q. "Mentor reported" or "Staff obs).	when and how. Provide informat as law enforcement, emergency	/ medical
Nurse Specialist on the parents' pastor. C were headed out to the	Ilance to from on Medical Center. I Medical Cent	at stating that cli This writer notified program mana Ita voicemail at an on	ased oxygen saturdation leve ent had passed away shortly ager on the state of the state at, DSS worker via vo Coordinator onat = the DSS attorny, the Hospice Manager to say she and the I	is. This after arriving City Dicemail on and worker, and

SECTIO	ON I: REVIEWS/	SIGNATURES	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title Clinical Coordinator	Date
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

THE MENTOR NETWORK - INCIDENT REPORT Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential; Risk/Management/Peer Review Page 1		
Please PRINT Clearly or Type in Legible Font (10-12) - DO NOT Leave Blanks. Complete All Boxes.		
SECTION A: CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION		
1. Client/individual's Name:	2. Level: () 1 () 2 (x) 3 () 4	
3. Guardian: () Self (x) State () Parent(s) ()	Other: 4. Log #:	
5. DOB:	6. Age: 2 Years 8 M 7. Gender:	
8. Population: () Adult (18+) (x) Child	9. Service Category: (Check one)	
10. Date of Admission to MENTOR NETWORK:	(x) Behavioral Health () Acquired Brain Injury () Juvenile Justice () Elder Care () Medically Complex () Mental Illness	
11. Length of Current Placement/Services: 6 Months	() MR/DD () MR/MI () MR/DD Offender () Education () Other:	
SECTION B:		
12. State: 13. City:	14. If Acquisition/Partner, specify company name;	
	15. Program Name: Child Protective Services	
() ICF/MR (Intermediate Care Facility) () Group or Shared Living (3+ clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (3+ clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Shared or Supported Living (1 or 2 clients/individuals with less than 24/7) () Brokerage/Case Management (non-residential)		
SECTION C 17. Date & Time of Incident:	: INCIDENT INFORMATION	
Tr. Date of this of mudalit.	18. First Reported to MENTOR NETWORK: By: (Name & Title) Mentor	
19. Location of incident: (check one)	20. Outcome of incident: (check all that apply)	
() Mentor Homa (x) Primary () Respite Mentor Name: # of Clients/Individuals Living in Home: () Client/Individual's Residence (group home, ICF, apt) () Client/Individual's Biological Familty/Guardian Home () Day Program () School () Client/Individual's Place of Employment () Vehicle () Program Office () Community () Other:	[] Remain in Current Placement [] Placement Decision Pendring [] Client/Individual Placed in Respite [] Placement Disrupted (i.e. Client/Individual transferred to new home/ program/placement within MENTOR NETWORK) [] Discharged from MENTOR NETWORK [] Temporarily or Permanently Closed Mentor Home [] Emergency Psychiatric Evaluation (no hospitalization) [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] Emergency Psychiatric Hospitalization [] In-school suspension [] School Suspension [] School Suspension/Expulsion [] Client/Individual Arrest/Detention [] Oeath [] Other:	
+ It was reported by foster parent	at seement fell in the home and hit see head which led to see becoming	
unconscious. EMS was contacted. EMS transporte	ed section to the local hospital in the section of	

Attorney/Client Privileged and Confidential: Risk Management/Peer Review Page 2		
Client/Individual Name: Log#:		
Client/Individual Name: SECTION D: INCIDENT DESC DEATH (death of client/Individual is a Level 4 incident): () Expected Death of Client/Individual () Unexpected Death of Client/Individual () Unexpected Death of Client/Individual () Unexpected Death of Client/Individual CLIENT/InDIVIDUAL ELOPEMENT/AWOL: () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Unable to Locate at the Time of the Incident Report () Other: CARE-TAKER MISCONDUCT: (Suspected, Alleged or Confirmed) Caretaker: [x] Mentor [3 Mentor Family Member [3 Staff [1 Other Caretaker: Alleged Misconduct: [3 Sexual Boundary/Abuse [1 Yerbal or Emotional Abuse [x] Physical Assault/Abuse [1 Corporal/Inappropriate Use of Restraint/Physical Intervention [1 Inagect [1 Inadequate Supervision [1 Criminal Arrest of Caretaker [3 Alcohol/Drug Use by Caretaker [3 Misappropriation/Destruction of Client/Individual Personal Property [1 Other:	CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIORAL: [] Self-Injurious Behavior [] Mental Health De-compensation [] Oppositional Behaviors [] Inappropriate Sexual Comments/Threats [] Iverbal Threats of Violence [] Exhibitionism/Public Masturbation. MEDICATION INCIDENTS: [] Medication Error [] Missing Controlled Substances [] Serious Adverse Reaction to Medication MEDICAL INCIDENTS: [] Ilineas Requiring Medical Treatment [] Deterioration in Existing Medical Condition [] Pregnancy [] Selzure Requiring Emergency Treatment [] UTI [] Bowel Impaction [] Pressure Sores [x] Other: emergency hospitalization CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL INJURY BY: [] Physical Assault by Third Party/Other Individual in our care [] Theit by Third Party [] Fall [] Choking [] Bathing/Scalding Related Injuries [] Vehicle [] Swimming/Near Drowning [] Other Accidental Injury:	
SUICIDAL CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Suicidal Threats or Verbalizations [] Suicidal Attempt or Gesture	EXPOSURE CONTROL INCIDENTS: [] Client/Individual Exposed to Blood Borne Pathogens [] Client/Individual Exposed Third Party to Blood Borne Pathogens	
SEXUAL ASSAULT OR INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL BEHAVIOR BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] To Other Client [] To Staff or Mentor [] To Mentor's Family Member [] To Other Third Party PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL:	PROPERTY DAMAGE BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: [] Property Damage Under \$1,000 [] Property Damage Over \$1,000 [] Vehicle Theft [] Fire Setting [] Theft/Shoplifting [] Other:	
PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY CLIENT/INDIVIDUAL: []TO Other Client []To Staff or Mentor []To Mentor's Family Member []To Other Third Party []To Animals (animal cruelity)	POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED MATERIALS: (Defined per client/individual/program) [] Possession of Prohibited Materials (i.e., alcohol, lighter, weapon, pomography, illicit drugs, etc.)	

Attorney/Client Privile	ged and Confidential: Risk Managen	nent/Peer Review Page 3
SECTIONE: PRO	GRAMMATIC RESPONSE (Check	all that apply)
[x] Counseling/Training for Staff/Mentor [] ISP/Supervision/Behavioral Plan	x Reported to Adult/Child Protective Services Date:	Date:
Client/Individual Name:	Log #: (*	
List Cilent/Individual's current medical and psy running, confabulation, etc.), medical history (sexually abused, physically aggressive,
No medical diagnosis.		
23. SE Briefly describe the Client/Individual's condition	CTION G: ANTECEDENT EVEN in and activities prior and leading up to this	
was at the foster home with for	ster mother.	
. Dec Langues		
24. SE Describe IN DETAIL the incident in chronologic injuries, interventions and current status. Repeavices, etc. Include sources of information (affiliation (i.e. Joan Smith, DCFS Case Manage	ort involvement of other parties such as law e.g. "Mentor reported" or "Staff observed	nd how. Provide information on alleged enforcement, emergency medical
called the on call phone to to be unconscious. Mentor to the local hospital. where remains in PICU at this time. PI CPS caseworker	contacted EMS. EMS arrived at the was air flighted to contacted the CPS hotline on	
Continue on an additional sheet if necessary.	DO NOT WRITE ON BACK OF PAGE)	

an area			
SECTION	ON I: REVIEWS /	SIGNATURES	
		- 18 	
Signature of Person Completing Form	Print Name	Title	Date
		PD	
Signature of Manager/Director	Print Name	Title	Date
		AD	
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature	Print Name	Title	Date
Signature of State Director (Level 3 and 4 only)	Print Name	Title	Date

Appendix G



Foster care works to assure the safety and well-being of about 415,000 US children and youth

The mission of foster care is to assure the safety and well-being of children and youth whose parent(s) or guardian(s) are unable to appropriately care for them, typically (though not exclusively) because of abuse or neglect in the home or the grave risk of it. Its intention is a temporary arrangement in which foster children exit to a permanent home as promptly as circumstances allow—most commonly through reunification with family.

702,000 US children were victims of neglect or abuse in FFY 2014, per federal data. Overwhelmingly, the most likely perpetrator of
the maltreatment was a parent (78.1% of perpetrators), with an unmarried partner or relative of a parent accounting for another
10.0%. (By contrast, foster parents represented only 0.3% of all perpetrators.)

At any given moment, several hundred thousand American children are in the foster care system. According to information collected by the US Department of Health and Human Services, approximately 415,000 children were in foster care in September 2014. About 265,000 entered foster care and 238,000 exited during FFY 2014.

The needs and circumstances of children and youth within this overall foster care population vary greatly, as do the types of foster care that are required and provided. In many states, independent child placing agencies work with governmental child welfare authorities to identify, support and supervise foster care placements that are appropriate to each child. Some child placing agencies may tend to serve foster children with more or greater risk factors than do others.

The MENTOR Network ("TMN") is a national network of local health and human services providers and is among the nation's largest independent child placing agencies. Since 2005, TMN has been entrusted with the foster care placements of approximately 44,000 children and youth during more than 14 million days of service.

March 2016 CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO SENATE RULE 26



Serving more children and youth with heightened risk factors relative to others in foster care

("TFC"), which is specialized foster care geared toward children and youth with severe mental, emotional or behavioral health needs and Children and youth entering foster care programs overseen by TMN carry heightened risk factors far more often than is the case for the foster care population as a whole. This is demonstrated by comparing the percentage of children who require Therapeutic Foster Care those who are medically fragile. Seventy-five percent of TMN's foster care population requires and receives TFC and/or higher-acuity-level foster care services, as of 2015. By contrast, the average prevalence of TFC among foster children in the 28 states with data reported in a 2013 Boston University study was just 17.3%.



Source: Profiles of individuals appearing in publications of the Foster Family-based Treatment Association and the State Policy and Advocacy Resource Center

March 2016 CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO SENATE RULE 26




Sustaining foster child mortality rates that are comparable to national norms

the rate of deaths from all causes per 100 children in foster care, a widely employed measure of performance in this regard, and (b) deaths heightened risk factors far more often than is the case for the foster care population as a whole. This is demonstrated by examining: (a) TMN sustains child mortality rates that are comparable to national norms, even though children entering its foster care programs carry as a percentage of all discharges from foster care.

Over the past ten years, the average mortality rate for children in foster care services at TMN has been very similar to, and more recently under-represent deaths within the foster care population, as the data includes more than 4,000 runaways (and mortality is known to be is equal to or better than, mortality rates in foster care nationally as reported by the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS), a standard source for characteristics of children in foster care. In addition, AFCARS mortality data is understood to high among this group of children).

Indeed, TMN's average mortality rate for foster children is now approaching that found among the general population of all US children.





TMN Annual


AFCARS

TMN 5-Year

pple 2020; AFCARS; CDDER/UMMS analysis of TMN data for FY2005-2014 March 2016 CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO SENATE RULE 26



The MENTOR Network serves significantly more children and youth with heightened risk factors relative to others in foster care, and sustains child mortality rates that are comparable with national norms



Note: Each State has its own definitions and methodologies for assessing the acuity level of children in care, and assigning the level of service for the child (including the per diem rate the State will pay for that level of service). There is no uniform definition of acuity level, and there may be multiple levels within and/or in addition to the TFC designation in a given State. For instance, specialized services for children considered "medically fragile" may require physician/R.N. oversight, overnight monitoring or other specific mandates based on the needs of the child; these fall under the "higher-acuity-level services" label in the chart rabove. Although national data on the prevalence of higher-acuity-level services is not available. TMNY internal data show that approximately 14% of foster youth served by TMN (the shaded portion of the bar on the left) require these higher-acuity-level services, within or beyond the designation of TFC, depending on the State.

March 2016 CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO SENATE RULE 26

4



Sourcing and Methodology

1. Children and youth in foster care

Sources; Information about the number of children and youth in foster care is from The AFCARS Report (Preliminary FY 2014 Estimates), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Children's Bureau, published in September 2015 and retrieved from http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/afcarsreport22.pdf. Information about the number of children maltreated and their relationship to the perpetrator(s) is from Children to Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Children's Bureau, published in January 2016 and retrieved from https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/cm2014.pdf. These represent the most recent publicly available data from these sources.

2. Therapeutic Foster Care as a share of overall foster care population

Sources: Information about average prevalence of TFC is as from Gonyea, J.G., Bachman, S.S., Rajabiun, S., Springwater, J.S., Tobias, C.R., Hirschi, M. & Little, F. *The 5O State Chartbook on Foster Care*, a resource administered by Boston University and funded by The MENTOR Network Charitable Foundation, published in 2013 and retrieved from (or calculated using data retrieved from) http://www.bu.edu/ssaw/research/usfostercare/. States covered are: AL, AK, AR, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, GA, IL, KS, ME, MD, MO, MT, NV, NH, NY, ND, OR, RI, SC, SD, TN, VT, WA, WV and WI. TMN's foster care population is 25% "basic" or traditional foster care, and 75% Therapeutic Foster Care, a portion of which receives higher-acuity-level services exceeding TFC levels.

3. Examples of children and youth in TFC

Source: The descriptions of representative children and youth in TFC are from descriptions of individuals profiled in publications by The State Policy and Advocacy Resource Center (SPARC) and the Foster Family-based Treatment Association (FFTA), and retrieved from https://childwelfaresparc.files.wordpress.com/2013/07/therapeutic-foster-care-exceptional-care-for-complex-trauma-impacted-youth-in-foster-care.pdf. Best Practices in Treatment Foster Care for Children and Youth with Medically Fragile Conditions, Foster Family-based Treatment Association, retrieved from http://www.imis100us2.com/fita/FFTA/Leam/FFTA Publications/New FFTA Content/Lean/FFTA Publications.aspx?hkey=978697 52-e618-428e-84d8-9f7420262570.

March 2016 CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO SENATE RULE 26



- 4. Deaths of children aged 0-22 years while enrolled in foster care services at TMN and deaths as a percentage of all discharges Source: Analysis by The Center for Developmental Disabilities Evaluation Center (CDDER). Based at the Eunice Kennedy Shriver Center, a part of the University of Massachusetts Medical School, CDDER has contracted with TMN since 2010 to provide expert, independent analysis of various aspects of TMN's operations, services and outcomes. Information about deaths canne from TMN incidents reported to the insurance carrier, and other corporate files/records. The number was validated for all children discharged from services for which TMN had a valid Social Security Number (SSN). (Note: A few thousand discharges did not include a SSN so additional verification was not able to be performed with the United States Social Security Death Index.) The population used to calculate the rate is the count of any children enrolled in these services for at least one day during the fiscal year according to TMN enrollment systems. Discharges represents a unique count of children and youth leaving services during the year. The data is presented as a 5-year moving average given the small size of the population enrolled in TMN services compared to other sources.
- Reported deaths of children in foster care in the US and deaths as a percentage of all discharges
 Source. Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) (http://www.acf.hhs.gov/) which collects case-level information from state and tribal Title IV-E agencies on all children in foster care and those who have been adopted with Title IV-E agencies are required to submit AFCARS data semi-annually.

 Notes: The mortality rate stated by AFCARS is an under-representation of deaths within this population due to the lack of information about runaways. The data includes more than 4,000 runaways that do not have follow-up to determine mortality rate, and mortality is known to be high among this group of children.
- Deaths of children aged 0-22 years living in the United States
 <u>Source</u>: U.S. Healthy People 2020, retrieved from https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/data-search/Search-the-Data

March 2016 CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO SENATE RULE 26



TMN Foster Care Population By Service Level

State	# Children in Foster Care	Basic Level	TFC Level	Higher Acuity Level	Higher-Acuity-Level Services
MA	433	4	426	3	Enhanced TFC
MD	290	7	178	105	BMP + BTIT
PA	200	43	90	67	CRR
NJ	232	0	175	57	Second Chance/DAP
ОН	263	63	133	67	Level V or Medical
AL	221	0	176	45	TFC w/ Enhanced Cervice
GA	290	122	137	31	Specialty Medically Fragil
FL	236	149	46	41	Enhanced Rates
SC	181	25	156	0	
NC	224	90	134	0	
IN	57	34	23	0	
LA	89	2	87	0	
TX	301	221	80	0	
TOTAL#	3,017	760	1,841	416	
%		25%	61%	14%	

Source: The MENTOR Network (October 30, 2015)

Percent of Children in Foster Care Nationally Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC)

614

State	Percent (%) of Foster Children in TFC	Number (#) of Children in TFC	Number (#) of Children in Foster Care
AL	10.1	529	5,186
AK	11	166	1,490
AR	7	300	4,285
СО	22.4	1,512	6,774
СТ	20.2	640	2,533
DE	35	281	802
FL	10.5	2,686	25,582
DC	25.7	442	1,718
GA	10.1	902	8,929
IL	15	2,723	14,080
KS	1	51	5,135
ME	27.6	375	1,359
MD	27	1,643	6,085
MO	12.1	872	7,227
MT	10.7	181	1,826
NV	10.2	438	4,706
NH	24.6	155	1,036
NY	12	2,900	8,296
ND	30	280	936
OR	4.5	400	7,678
RI	14.8	296	1,994
SC	13.1	700	5,362
SD	8	133	1,662
TN	50	3,418	6,836
VT	17.5	175	941
WA	10	1,137	11,371
WV	27.6	891	3,429
WI	15.4	1,292	8,394
AVERAGE%	17.3	25,518	155,652

Source: The 50 State Chartbook on Foster Care, 2013

Analysis of deaths of children aged 0-22 years while enrolled in foster care services at TMN

Children aged 0-22 years enrolled in foster care services at TMN

Year	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14
No. of Deaths	∞	11	11	16	∞	33	6	2	6	4
Population Served	6,962	8,013	8,455	8,974	8,661	8,398	7,938	7,675	8,461	7,791
Mortality Rate per 100 Children	0.11	0.14	0.13	0.18	60.0	0.04	0.11	0.07	0.11	0.05
TMN 5-year Average Mortality Rate per 100 Children					0.13	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.07
Number of Discharges	2,854	3,516	3,986	4,090	3,740	3,982	4,151	4,067	4,361	3,747
Mortality as Percent of Discharges	0.280%	0.313%	0.276%	0.391%	0.214%	0.075%	0.217%	0.123%	0.206%	0.107%
TMN 5-year Average Mortality as Percent of Discharges					0.295%	0.254%	0.235%	0.204%	0.167%	0.146%

Benchmarks										
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS)	ing System (A	AFCARS)								
No. of Deaths	534	209	473	456	417	338	343	327	354	326
Population on Sept. 30	513,000	510,000	488,285	463,799	418,672	404,878	398,057	397,153	400,989	415,129
Mortality Rate per 100 Children (Point in Time)	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.08	60.0	0.08
Population Total During Year	797,000	800,000	783,000	752,000	000'669	664,000	646,000	638,000	641,000	653,000
Mortality Rate per 100 Children (Total)	0.07	90.0	90.0	90.0	90.0	0.05	0.05	0.05	90.0	0.05
Discharges	287,000	289,000	294,989	288,778	277,606	257,806	247,607	240,987	240,392	238,230
Mortality as a Percent of Discharges	0.186%	0.176%	0.160%	0.158%	0.150%	0.131%	0.139%	0.136%	0.147%	0.137%
US residents aged 0-22 years										
No. of Deaths	65,561	65,552	62,652	59,073	56,021	55,472	54,420	53,190	52,793	
Population	94,975,835	95,485,249	95,905,994	96,189,100	96,405,621	96,444,630	94,975,835 95,485,249 95,905,994 96,189,100 96,405,621 96,444,630 96,299,822 95,918,202 95,656,557	95,918,202	95,656,557	
Mortality Rate ner 100 Children	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	

 \bigcirc

Source: Analysis by The Center for Developmental Disabilities Evaluation Center (CDDER), based at the Eunice Kennedy Shriver Center, a part of the University of Massachusetts Medical School. Information about deaths came from TMN incident reports, TMN incidents reported to the insurance carrier, and other corporate files/records. The number was validated for all children discharged from services for which TMN had a valid Social Security Number (ISSN). (Note: A few thousand discharged din on include a SSN so additional terrification was not able to be performed with the United States Social Security Death Index,) The population used to calculate the rarte is the count of any children in these services for at least one day during the fiscal year according to TMN enrollment systems or the unique number of children discharged over the population enrolled in TMN services compared to other sources.

CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO SENATE RULE 26 Backup Data and Explanation of Mortality Analysis, March 2016 Page 3

ERRATA

After releasing the Committee Print, two additional State responses to the 50-State letter were identified by Committee staff: Maine and Vermont. Thirty-five States responded to the 50-State letter. The response from Maine can be found below; Vermont did not include a formal letter.

In addition, the responses to the 50-State letter from California and Utah were incomplete in the Committee Print; the complete responses are included in these Errata.



Department of Health and Human Services Child and Family Services 2 Anthony Avenue 11 State House Station Augusta, Maine 04333-0011 Tel.: (207) 624-7940; Fax: (207) 287-5282 TTY Users: Dial 711 (Maine Relay)

July 8, 2015

Becky Shipp, Health and Human Services Policy Advisor Laura Berntsen, Senior Human Services Advisor Senate Finance Committee

Dear Ms. Shipp and Ms. Berntsen,

I am writing today in response to a letter received from the Chairman and Ranking Member of the Senate Finance Committee requesting information on the privatization of child welfare services. Enclosed is Maine's data as it relates to our policy and practices with regard to privatized foster care.

Please contact me at James.Martin@maine.gov or (207)624-7900 should you have additional questions or concerns. Thank you.

Director, Office of Child and Family Services



Department of Health and Human Services Child and Family Services 2 Anthony Avenue 11 State House Station Augusta, Maine 04333-0011 Tel.: (207) 624-7900; Fax: (207) 287-5282 TTY Users: Dial 711 (Maine Relay)

July 8, 2015

MEMORANDUM

TO: Becky Shipp, Health and Human Services Policy Advisor

Laura Berntsen, Senior Human Services Advisor

Senate Finance Committee

FROM: James Martin, Director, OCFS

SUBJECT: US Senate Foster Care Study

Summary:

To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case
management services (e.g. placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing
casework and oversight of foster care placements).

Children with higher level of care therapeutic needs are sometimes placed by the Department with foster families who are affiliated with child-placing agencies which provide treatment foster care services. Children placed in these settings receive from agency staff case management services as well as other services relating to the child's treatment needs. Children in these therapeutic-level placements may also have their visits with birth parents supported and supervised by treatment foster care agency staff.

The Department is responsible for all placements and not the agencies, even though they are licensed as child placing agencies. The Department contracts with child placing agencies for treatment foster care services for children with higher level of care needs; however, the Department assumes all responsibility for placement decisions.

 What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-forprofit providers, and for-profit providers?

Maine averages approximately 350 children in treatment foster care homes, which is 20% of the total number of children in care. All of the treatment foster care homes are affiliated with non-profit agencies.

Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as
information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit agency.

There are nine agencies with whom the Department contracts for treatment foster care services. Families have the right to choose the agency with which they affiliate. The agencies, all of which are non-profit, delivering treatment foster care services currently in Maine are:

Community Health and Counseling Services Community Care KidsPeace Woodfords Family Services SMART Child and Family Services Spurwink Aroostook Mental Health Services Family and Children (FACT) Choices

 Does your state require that private foster care entities of organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

Maine does not contract with private agencies to approve and license foster homes. All licensing-related tasks are completed by state- agency staff. This includes completion by Department of Health and Human Services' staff of home studies of applicants, pre-service training for applicants, and background checks. A fire and safety inspection of the applicant's residence is conducted by the State Fire Marshal Office, Department of Public Safety. Regardless of whether the home is affiliated with a treatment foster care agency or is a regular licensed foster home, the Department of Health and Human Services is the licensing agent ensuring the home meets licensing standards for either a regular family foster home license or a specialized license. Once licensed, the Department visits the home on at least a yearly basis to ensure compliance with rules and regulations. The home is required to re-apply for re-licensure every two years, at which time the home study is reviewed and updated and a renewal fire inspection occurs.

 Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

Treatment foster care agencies are required to be approved as a MaineCare provider, within Maine's Medicaid program. MaineCare enrolls "any willing and qualified provider," meaning they have to incorporate in some fashion, possess a valid Child Placing Agency (CPA) license in the state of Maine and follow the licensing regulations for CPAs.

Once the Department has placed in a treatment foster care home which is affiliated with a child placing agency, then the Department funds a room and board payment and therapeutic treatment services, individualized for the child. The Department also funds clinical-level treatment which is provided to the child through other Medicaid-delivered services, as needed.

Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in
which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit,
and for-profit providers operating in your state.

Department of Health and Human Services' staff are responsible for monthly contacts with each child in a treatment foster home placement to ensure the child's safety and well-being needs are met, just as these staff are responsible for ensuring the safety and well-being needs of children placed in unlicensed kinship homes or in licensed regular family foster homes. There is no difference in the safety requirements in the different types of foster homes.

How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five
years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed
by not-for-profit providers, for-profit-providers, and public providers?

Maine DHHS, OCFS, MACWIS Information Services, Data as of 7/7/15 Licensed Foster Home Investigation Data, Calendar Year 2010 - 2014

YEAR	# ALL INVESTIGATIONS	# SUBSTANTIATED	# INDICATED	# UNSUBSTANTIATED
2010	58	2	5	51
2011	63	1	5	57
2012	69	2	1	66
2013	87	1	5	81
2014	102	0	5	97
(this data is a subset YEAR	of the data above) # THERAPEUTIC	# SUBSTANTIATED	# INDICATED	# UNSUBSTANTIATED
2010	28	2	2	24
2011	24	0	2	22
2012	34	0	0	34
2013	45	1	2	42
2014	44	0	2	42
FAMILY FOSTER HON	AES (this data is also a	subset of the data abo	ve)	
YEAR	# Family Foster Homes	# SUBSTANTIATED	# INDICATED	# UNSUBSTANTIATED
2010	30	0	3	27
2011	39	1	3	35
2012	35	2	1	32
2013	42	0	3	39
2014	58	0	3	55

Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out
of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was placed by the
public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

When there are allegations of abuse and neglect in a licensed foster home, then DHHS staff in the Office of Licensing and Regulatory Services may investigate the concerns to determine if abuse or neglect occurred in the home. The case may be referred to the Office of Child and Family Services to investigate for possible licensing violations. When licensing violations are identified, there are a range of options which can be taken to address the concerns, depending upon the seriousness of the violation. In some cases, if it is deemed to be in the child's best interests, the child is moved to a different home. For the majority of violations, Department staff engage families in developing a working agreement which addresses the issues of concern without taking a negative action on the license and yet increases the amount of training and support the family receives to prevent future violations.



STATE OF CALIFORNIA—HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY **DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**



744 P Street • Sacramento, CA 95814 • www.cdss.ca.gov

August 11, 2015

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch Chairman Committee on Finance United States Senate 219 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510-6200 The Honorable Ron Wyden Ranking Member Committee on Finance United States Senate 219 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510-6200

Dear Chairman Hatch and Ranking Member Wyden:

Thank you for the opportunity to share information on California's child welfare services system for vulnerable children, youth, and families. The focus of your questions is on privatized foster care. We do not utilize private for-profit providers; however, as part of our network of providers and others engaged in child welfare, we do work with private non-profit providers and organizations as explained in our responses to your questions, which follow the background below.

BACKGROUND

California has a complex child welfare services system, serving the most populous state in the country with nearly 9.5 million children, and one of the most linguistically diverse regions in the world with the largest minority population in the country, including 109 federally recognized Indian tribes and an estimated 79 tribes that are seeking federal recognition. California's state-supervised child welfare system is administered at the local level by 58 counties, each governed by a county elected board of supervisors. The range of diversity among the counties is immense and there are many challenges inherent in the complexity of this system. However, its major strength is the flexibility afforded to each county in determining how best to meet the needs of its own children and families. The counties, which differ significantly by population and economic base, are a wide mixture of urban, rural and suburban settings, thus driving the need to make their own decisions on how to coordinate local service delivery to children and families.

The California Department of Social Services (CDSS) is authorized by statute to promulgate regulations, policies, and procedures necessary to implement the state's child welfare system and to ensure the safety, permanency, and well-being for California's children. The CDSS is responsible for the supervision and coordination of programs in California funded under federal Titles IV-B, IV-E, and XX of the Social Security Act. Furthermore, CDSS is responsible for developing the state's Child and Family Services Plan. These efforts are achieved within a framework of collaboration with child welfare stakeholders. Due to its complexity and this high degree of

collaboration, California's child welfare services system is ever-changing as we seek to improve our ability to improve outcomes for the state's children and families.

The CDSS has oversight of the state's child welfare services system and plays a vital role in the development of policies and programs that implement the goals of CDSS' mission. In developing policies and programs, the CDSS collaborates with other state and local agencies, tribal representatives, foster/kinship caregivers, foster youth, foster care service providers, community-based organizations, the courts, researchers, child advocates, the Legislature, and private foundations to maximize families' opportunities for success.

RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS

 To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

In California, we do not contract with private entities to provide case management services. Case management is conducted by the child placement agency at the county level, either by child welfare or probation, and is carried out by the social worker. In our state, we do work with public and private non-profit providers to provide support and services to the foster parent and the child. Social workers support the case planning process in public and private non-profit agencies called Foster Family Agencies (FFAs). Please use the link below to access regulations pertaining to social work provided by FFAs.

Community Care Licensing Regulations for Social Work FFAs Title 22, Div 6, Chap 1, Art 5-6 - General Licensing Requirements http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/ord/entres/getinfo/pdf/Ffaman.pdf (Sections 88001, 88065.3, 88065.4, 88065.5, 88070.1)

2. What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

Please see enclosed "placement types" chart for relative, foster care, FFA, and group home placements.

Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-forprofit entity.

The federal government has provided the state with the option to include in its state plan the placement of children in a private facility operated on a for-profit basis, and our state statute authorizes for-profit placement as articulated in

California Welfare and Institutions Code (WIC) section 11402.6. However, it is CDSS's preference to place foster care children in a non-profit group home setting. Currently, counties do not place foster children with for-profit providers. Counties may place children with for-profit group home facilities after all other placement options have been exhausted. Placement into a for-profit group home facility may occur only subject to specified conditions. Please use the links below to access lists of foster family agencies and group homes in which children and youth are placed in California.

<u>Foster Family Agencies</u>: County placement agencies use licensed private FFAs for the placement of children who require more intensive care as an alternative to group homes. By statute, FFAs are organized and operated on a non-profit basis and are engaged in the following activities: recruiting, certifying, and training foster parents, providing professional support to foster parents, and finding homes or other temporary or permanent placements for children who require more intensive care.

The CDSS has statutory responsibility for developing, implementing, and maintaining a rate setting system for FFAs receiving Aid to Families with Dependent Children-Foster Care (AFDC-FC) funds. The AFDC-FC rates vary by age group. For the purpose of determining FFA rates, CDSS regulations specify the purposes, types and services of FFAs. Currently, CDSS sets AFDC-FC rates for approximately 220 FFAs as of January 2015. The rates are organized into five age groupings.

Group Homes (GHs): Group homes provide the most restrictive out-of-home placement option for children in foster care. They provide a placement option for children with significant emotional or behavioral problems who require more restrictive environments. A licensed group home is defined as a facility of any capacity which provides 24-hour nonmedical care and supervision to children in a structured environment, with such services provided at least in part by staff employed by the licensee. Group homes run the gamut from large institutional type environments which provide an intense therapeutic setting, often called "residential treatment centers," to small home environments which incorporate a "house parent" model. As a result, group home placements provide various levels of structure, supervision, and services.

Group homes may offer specific services targeted to a specific population of children or a range of services depending on the design of their program. These services include substance abuse, minor-parent (mothers and babies), infant programs, mental health treatment, vocational training, mental health day treatment, sex offenders, wards only, emancipation and reunification. Many programs provide more than one service and list their primary service function as reunification of children with the biological family.

FFA Provider Lists

FFAs: http://www.childsworld.ca.gov/res/pdf/FFAList.pdf

FFA Regional Centers: http://www.childsworld.ca.gov/res/pdf/FFARC.pdf

ITFC: http://www.childsworld.ca.gov/res/pdf/ITFCP.pdf

GH Provider Lists

GHs & Regional Centers: http://www.childsworld.ca.gov/res/pdf/GHList.pdf GHs RCL 13 & 14: http://www.childsworld.ca.gov/res/pdf/GH1314.pdf

4. Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is the accreditation renewed?

Currently we are engaged in foster care reform through our Continuum of Care Reform initiative. Among many other important changes to California statutes governing foster care, Assembly Bill 403 (authored by California Assembly Member Mark Stone) will require all group homes and FFAs to be accredited by a national accrediting body, identified by the CDSS, as a condition of receiving a foster care rate. We believe that national accreditation brings benefits to an organization, such as professionalizing staff, establishing administrative best practices, improving service delivery, and promoting a culture of continuous quality improvement.

The Continuum of Care Reform report to the California Legislature, upon which this reform proposal is based, can be found here at the following web address: www.cdss.ca.gov/cdssweb/entres/pdf/CCR LegislativeReport.pdf

5. Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

The state does not contract with private entities to provide foster care services. Contracts occur between local government and individual providers. The state's role is to license providers and set rates for their services. Please use the links below to access requirements related to licensing and rates.

Manual of Policies and Procedures, Community Care Licensing Division General Licensing Requirements:

http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/ord/entres/getinfo/pdf/genman1.PDF

Manual of Policies and Procedures, Foster Care Rate Regulations http://www.childsworld.ca.gov/PG1343.htm#

> Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-profit providers operating in your state.

California assesses and approves relatives (defined in WIC 361.3(f)) and nonrelative extended family members (defined in WIC 362.7) using the same standards as those used to license foster family homes. This includes: 1) a criminal background check of the caregiver and all adults residing in the home; 2) an assessment of the caregiver's ability and suitability to provide care and supervision; 3) a caregiver orientation/training regarding the standards; and 4) an inspection of the home and grounds.

The criminal background check is accomplished through LiveScan submission of fingerprints to the California Department of Justice (DOJ), which returns California criminal history and other state convictions held by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Additionally, a check is made of California's Child Abuse Central Index to learn whether the caregiver or any adults residing in the home have a child abuse history. The criminal background check process also includes a check of other states' child abuse indexes (where they exist) when the caregiver or any of the resident adults declare they have lived in another state within the past five years. If there is no criminal history, the DOJ "clears" the individual.

For persons with criminal convictions, the DOJ provides the county child welfare agency with the individual's criminal offender record information report (also known as a "rap sheet"). The county reviews the rap sheet to determine whether the crimes are those for which an exemption may be granted through an exemption process. Pursuant to state and federal law there are a number of crimes which cannot be exempted. Individuals who have non-exemptible criminal history are denied a clearance and cannot get an exemption. If a caregiver or any adult living in the home cannot obtain an exemption, then no child can be placed in that home so long as that individual resides in the home.

For a caregiver or other adult in the home who has criminal history which is not prohibited from exemption, a process is applied which includes gathering documentation regarding the crimes and convictions, evidence of good character and rehabilitation, and the individual's statement about the crime/conviction. This information is evaluated and a determination is made as to whether to provide an exemption. To ensure continued safety, at the initial submission of fingerprints, a subsequent arrest notification process is established for each fingerprinted individual. If an individual is arrested subsequent to the initial fingerprinting, the DOJ notifies the county having jurisdiction of the case and the county is required to investigate the circumstances of the arrest and crime and take appropriate action consistent with statute and regulations.

Separately, the county child welfare agency assesses the caregiver's ability to provide care and supervision by evaluating if the caregiver can: 1) provide a safe, secure and stable environment for the child; 2) exercise proper and effective care and control of the child; 3) provide a home and the necessities of life for the child; 4) protect the child from his or her parents as appropriate; 5) facilitate court-ordered reunification efforts with the parents; 6) facilitate visitation with the child's other relatives; 7) facilitate implementation of all elements of the case plan; 8) provide legal permanence for the child if reunification fails; and 9) arrange for appropriate and safe child care, as necessary. Additionally, the county utilizes a state-required assessment document to further evaluate the caregiver's suitability consistent with statutes and regulations for the proper care and supervision of the foster child.

The safety of the home is assessed by using a state-required form to evaluate the home's compliance with safety standards. Items assessed in the home include verifying that there is: telephone service in the home; a safe vehicle for transporting children and that only a licensed driver will transport the child; an individual bed (or crib) with a clean, comfortable mattress, clean linens, blankets and pillows for each child in the home; consideration of bedroom occupancy standards, which takes in to account shared rooms with adults, those of the opposite gender, and those of different ages; adequate closet and drawer space for the child's clothing and personal belongings; protection from bodies of water so that they are safe/inaccessible; a safe yard or outdoor activity space that is free from hazards that endanger the child's health and safety; and at least one toilet, sink and tub or shower in safe, clean operating condition and hot water is delivered at a safe temperature. In addition, the home must be in otherwise good repair, clean, safe and sanitary; well-lit and maintained at a comfortable temperature; and store and dispose of waste in a way that will not permit the spread of disease/odor, or attract insects and rodents. The home is also assessed to ensure the safe storage of medications, poisons, firearms and other dangerous weapons.

The county child welfare agency also provides an orientation and/or training to the caregiver. This includes a copy of the approval standards and regulations. Caregivers also are informed about the child's personal rights, the prudent parent standard, and a child's participation in age and developmentally appropriate extracurricular/enrichment activities.

7. How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by not-for-profit providers, forprofit providers, and public providers?

See the enclosed table for abuse in out-of-home care data by placement type. As previously noted, there are currently no placements with for-profit entities.

When an abuse allegation is substantiated, the actions that can be taken include exclusion of the perpetrator and/or revocation of the license. Cross reports are made to the appropriate law enforcement agencies, which may result in criminal investigations and charges.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide information on California's child welfare services system and would be pleased to discuss our policies and practices in more detail with your staff. We are very excited about our Continuum of Care Reform initiative and the important improvements we are making to create better outcomes for the vulnerable children, youth, and families who need out-of-home care. Further questions can be directed to Greg Rose, Deputy Director of the Children and Family Services Division, at (916) 657-2614, or Greg.Rose@dss.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

WILL LIGHTBOURNE

Director

Enclosures

Mattreatme lopt Kin
a A

Note: Observed differences in these aggregate frequencies may be due to reporting practices or other factors, and not necessarily reflective of likelihood ofmaltreatment within the respective placement types.

Data Source: CWS/CMS, California Child Welfare Indicators Project, Q1 2015

	YOUTH IN	V FOSTER	CARE BY	PLACEN	YOUTH IN FOSTER CARE BY PLACEMENT TYPE (CHILD WELFARE AND PROBATION AGENCIES)	(СНІГД М	/ELFARE /	AND PROE	ATION AG	iencies)				
YEAR	RELATIVE PLACEMENT (KIN)	IN.	FOSTER CARE (FOSTER)	r CARE R)	FOSTER FAMILY AGENCY (FFA)	(FFA)	GROUP HOME (GH)	HOME	Guardian (Dep/Non-Dep)	n-Dep)	Other		TOTAL (n)	TOTAL (%)
	c	%	c	%	C	%	c	%	L	%	c	%		
JAN. 1, 2011	18,866	33.4%	5,546	%8.6	16,382	29.0%	3,795	6.7%	7,575	13.4%	4,364	7.7%	56,52 8	100.0
JAN. 1, 2012	19,130	35.2%	5,253	%2.6	14,783	27.2%	3,681	%8.9	7,110	13.1%	4,461	8.2%	54,41 8	100.0
JAN. 1, 2013	20,200	35.9%	5,180	9.2%	14,460	25.7%	3,696	%9.9	7,133	12.7%	5,543	%6.6	56,21 2	100.0
JAN. 1, 2014	21,708	35.9%	5,412	%6.8	15,244	25.2%	3,714	6.1%	7,006	11.6%	7,454	12.3%	60,53	100.0
JAN. 1, 2015	22,053	35.3%	5,582	%6.8	15,604	25.0%	3,744	%0.9	6,740	10.8%	8,784	14.1%	62,50 7	100.0

Data Source: CWS/CMS 2015 Quarter 1 Extract

Note: Other category includes shelter. SILP, Runaway, and other non-foster care placements.



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT

SPENCER J. COX Lieutenant Governor

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ANN SILVERBERG WILLIAMSON

MARK L. BRASHER

LANA STOHL Deputy Director

May 27, 2015

To: United States Senate Finance Committee

RE: Letter to Governors on Private Foster Care

In response to the request from the Senate Finance Committee, the State of Utah, Department of Human Services (DHS), Division of Child and Family Services (DCFS) issues the following:

Background

Utah bases its foster care evaluation model on a continuum with seven levels of care. As the levels of care progress, they are designed to provide more intensive services and supervision than the prior level of care. An assessment is completed for each child in foster care and the result of the assessment is a recommendation for a level meeting the child's needs. Services provided by direct care staff and/or out-of-home caregivers at each level are defined by the needs of the children being served.

The first three levels of care (Level I, Level II, and Level III) are most frequently provided in foster family homes licensed by the State of Utah, DHS, Office of Licensing (OL), and supervised by DCFS. Children with a need for higher intensity services and/or with a higher level of behavioral needs are most often provided care and supervision services through a private provider with whom the state contracts (Levels IV, V, or VI). There is some flexibility built into the model that permits a higher level of care to be achieved when a child is in a placement that would traditionally rate a lower level of care on the continuum, but has additional services in place to reach the intensity of services needed for that child. Utah's Level VII care is provided in an institution, such as a psychiatric hospital or the Utah State Hospital.

For all levels of care, DCFS caseworkers provide oversight and case management services for children in their placement. As of May 1, 2015 Utah's data shows approximately 25 percent of children in care are placed with private providers in Levels IV, V, and VI. Utah can provide further historical or cumulative data regarding foster care placement levels upon request.

To the degree applicable, describe your state's utilization of private entities to provide case management services (e.g., placement of children with particular foster care providers, ongoing casework and oversight of foster care placements).

Utah does not utilize private entities to provide case management services. Casework activities and oversight of foster care placements are provided through staff employed through DCFS, with the exception of a small cohort of high-need mental health cases. For these welfare cases, DCFS contracts with a local county mental health authority such as Wasatch Mental Health Services. Wasatch Mental Health Services is a public agency, and as of May 1, 2015, manages 26 cases, which is 0.9 percent of the total number of foster care cases in Utah. Wasatch Mental Health case managers utilize the State Automated Child Welfare Information System (SACWIS) for case management and are subject to the same performance requirements as DCFS casework staff.

What proportion of the children in foster care in your state is placed by the public agency, not-for-profit providers, and for-profit providers?

The public agency, DCFS, makes all (100 percent) placement decisions of children in foster care. Private agencies in Utah may make a placement recommendation to the state; however, the placement decision authority ultimately rests with DCFS.

Please provide the number and names of private entities providing these core services, as well as information on whether each provider is a for-profit or not-for-profit entity.

Requested information is contained in the attached spreadsheet (Attachment 1). Services provided by private entities are limited to care, supervision, and treatment of children in foster care. None of the entities provide case management services.

Does your state require that private foster care entities or organizations operating in your state be accredited? If so, by which organization and how often is this accreditation renewed?

Utah does not require accreditation; however, agencies may choose to become accredited on their own through an accreditation entity.

Describe in detail the process you use to select and contract with these private entities, as well as to review and renew such contracts.

DCFS develops a scope of work and a Request for Proposals (RFP) specific to the services we require for each level of care (Levels IV, V, and VI). The RFP is issued and private agencies have the opportunity to apply. When applications are received through the state purchasing process, they are scored according to the criteria in the RFP. Proposals from a private entity that meet requirements of the RFP are offered a contract.

Contracts are issued on a five-year cycle. The process is ongoing and providers must apply for a new contract at each contract cycle. The process to apply for a contract is

outlined on the DCFS website located at the following link: http://dcfs.utah.gov/pdf/HowtocontractwithDCFS.pdf. Attached is a sample of our last RFP for Level IV services that contains the scope of work and the criteria and process by which a private entity would apply for a contract for foster care services (Attachment 2).

Describe in detail the process your state uses to inspect the safety of the foster care settings in which children are placed and the extent to which this process differs for public, not-for-profit, and for-public providers operating in your state.

For state licensed and supervised foster homes (Levels I, II, and III), initial safety inspections of foster care homes is completed by the state Office of Licensing (OL) at the time of licensure. A copy of the OL Administrative Rule (R501-12) outlining foster home requirements can be found at the following link: Human Services, Administration, Administrative Services, Licensing - Foster Care Services. State licensed and supervised homes for Levels I, II, and III must renew their foster license on an annual basis. In addition to the OL initial and renewal processes, DCFS employees are dedicated to support and monitor quality care for children placed in foster homes. These staff, known as Resource Family Consultants (RFCs), are familiar with the homes they support to make informed placement decisions. RFCs are also required to make monthly contact with each foster home, as well as site visits to each foster home a minimum of once every six months. RFCs are experts in OL rule and DCFS safety requirements, and are therefore able to identify and report any problems they observe. RFCs also provide support to caseworkers for individual cases and follow up with visits to homes if safety is uncertain.

Private entities with family-based placements (Level IV) must meet comprehensive OL requirements of a child placing agency found in the OL General Provisions (R501-1), Core Rules (R501-2), and Foster Care Services (R501-12), which can be accessed here: Human Services, Administration, Administrative Services, LICENSING.

However, once the private entity has achieved status with OL as a child placing agency, they may oversee and "certify" their own family-based foster homes. The child placing agency is required to ensure that their "certified homes" meet OL requirements for foster homes. At irregular intervals, OL completes on-site reviews of a random sample of homes certified through the child placing agency to ensure they are in compliance with OL rules. OL completes a regular audit of the files kept by child placing agencies, and if discrepancies or errors are noted, OL may require on-site visits to foster homes overseen by the agency as a part of the audit as well.

Homes certified through child placing agencies do not have the direct state oversight and training that licensed foster homes have, despite taking on placements requiring higher levels of care. This can lead to inconsistency in training and oversight as it becomes largely incumbent upon the private entity to create and self-monitor their programs. In addition, private child placing agencies may have a financial incentive to certify homes that could create a conflict of interest in their quality assurance. Because of these issues, DHS is evaluating if this service delivery method that allows child placing agencies to certify their own foster homes should be changed.

Residential placement settings (Level V and VI) must also meet OL requirements for the types of service they are contracting with DCFS to provide. The comprehensive OL requirements can also be found at <a href="https://district.org/level-19-8-8501-19-8-8501-19-8-8501-19

In addition to the OL process, DCFS has an internal Audit Team that conducts annual audits of private entities that provide Level IV, V, and VI foster care services and Level V and IV residential treatment programs through contracts with DCFS. The DCFS audit team reviews personnel files maintained by the private entity and conducts interviews with foster parents and staff to verify they have completed all training requirements outlined in their DCFS contract. The audit also ensures that all foster parents and individuals over age 18 in the home and all residential treatment staff have the required, approved background screenings from OL. Furthermore, the audit team randomly selects and interviews children placed in these homes or facilities about issues regarding safety, treatment services, and relationships with foster parents and/or other staff. Two to four "certified" foster homes are randomly selected for inspection and the audit team inspects all residential treatment facilities to ensure they meet health and safety elements outlined by OL and in the contract with DCFS.

In accordance with federal ASFA and CFSR requirements, Utah requires caseworkers to complete a minimum monthly face-to-face visit with each child in foster care in their placement. The monthly visit must include a private conversation with each child to address safety and other issues. The requirement is built into the SACWIS system and an "action item" is sent to the caseworker each month for each child they oversee in foster care. The caseworker must enter an activity log with details of their visit with the child. According to the Utah quantitative review process, the performance rate is more than 96 percent annually for successful visitation of children in their foster care placements. If any safety concerns are identified by the child or caseworker during the caseworker's visit, the caseworker reports the safety concerns for investigation to Child Protective Services (CPS) Intake.

How many instances of abuse in a foster care placement have been substantiated in the last five years in your state? Of those substantiated, how many of these instances related to children placed by: not-for-profit providers, for-profit providers, and public providers?

Over the past five years, Utah has served 23,196 children in foster care, and has had 89 substantiated instances of abuse of children in care. Please refer to Attachment 3 for a breakdown of instances of abuse, number of perpetrators, and number of victims for each level of care. The information was obtained for federal fiscal years 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 where the child victim was in foster care and the relationship to the victim was recorded as foster mother, foster father, or residential treatment staff. The data also includes cases that were substantiated against licensed kinship providers. Some of the entities with substantiated cases no longer have existing contracts with DCFS. Utah can

provide further information regarding substantiated instances of abuse in foster care placements if needed.

Describe in detail the actions taken when an abuse claim is substantiated while a child is in an out of home placement? Do these actions differ depending on whether the child was place by the public agency, a not-for-profit provider, or a for-profit provider?

The Office of Services Review (OSR), Related Parties Investigations Team is the state agency assigned to investigate claims of abuse or neglect against a child in an out of home placement. The agency is housed within DHS, but is distinct from DCFS and is not overseen by DCFS. Since DCFS makes all placement decisions for children in out of home care, the actions taken to address a substantiated claim do not differ between levels of care.

If an allegation of abuse or neglect is substantiated in an out of home placement, OSR notifies the director of the DCFS region that oversees the placement of the child, informs DCFS of the identity of the perpetrator and relays any further safety concerns. Based upon the identity of the perpetrator and nature of other case-related details, OSR may also notify OL, the DCFS audit team, high-level administrators of DCFS, or the executive director of DHS to follow-up with concerns, recommendations, or a further assessment of the provider.

When OL is notified by OSR of a substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect against a provider, OL will assess if the provider still meets the background screening requirements as well as conduct an assessment of whether the provider is still in compliance with OL rule. OL will determine if action is needed against the license of the provider such as corrective action or revocation of the license.

When the DCFS audit team is made aware of a substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect against a private provider, the audit team will discuss the substantiated claim with DCFS administration and determine whether or not DCFS will continue placing children in the facility. If DCFS decides to discontinue placing children with the provider, the provider is contacted (phone and email) and informed of DCFS's decision. Each DCFS region is also notified (phone and email) of the decision. DCFS is in the process of developing a tracking system housed within the SACWIS system to "flag" the provider so that DCFS will make no further placements with a provider that has a substantiated case of abuse or neglect. This will ensure that if the foster parent attempts to change to another provider entity, they will not be able to continue to provide foster care services.

Contractor	Address	City	State	Zip Contract Purpose Statement	Legal Status	Contractor's Representative
1st Choice Youth Services, LLC	66 S 360 E	, American Fork	5	84003 Proctor	LLC/Partnership	Teo, Ephraim
4 the Youth, Inc.	1344 W State Road	Pleasant Grove	5	84062 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Grover, Stephanie
AIGA Youth Services, Inc	1355 N Main Suite #5	Bountiful	5	84010 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Solipo, Curry
Alan Brooks Crossroads LLC	5150 South Washington Blvd, Suite 1 'South Ogden	South Ogden	5	84405 Proctor	LLC/Sole Proprietor	Brooks, Alan
Alliance Youth Services, L.L.C.	4735 N Thanksgiving Way	`Lehi	5	84043 Proctor	LLC/Partnership	Jensen, Devin
Anchor Stone Youth, Inc.	624 N 1280 E	American Fork	5	84003 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Faaootoa, Sonny
Ascent Individual Residential Treatment, Inc	330 E 400 S Suite 2	Springville Sprin	5	84663 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Cope, Cyle
Blomborn, Inc.	252 East Calgary Dr.	St. George	5	84790 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Blomquist, Mike
Blue Hills Residential Treatment, LLC	PO Box 461	Moroni	5	84646 Proctor	LLC/Partnership	Pay, Todd A.
Brighter Futures, Inc.	715 E 3900 S #101	Salt Lake City	5	84107 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Hosea, Charles
Brookshire, Inc.	410 North Harrison Blvd.	√ Ogden	5	84404 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Purin, Julie H.
CBTS, Inc.	220 E 3900 S #16	Saft Lake City	5	84107 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Nadeau, Lori
Colbren Management, LLC	PO Box 461077	reeds	5	84746 Proctor	LLC/Sole Proprietor	DeMille, Brent
Come About Youth Services, Inc.	PO Box 1218	Pleasant Grove	5	84062 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Sirkel, Shelly
Community Treatment Alternatives	4444 S 700 E Suite 203	Salt Lake City	5	84107 Proctor	Non-Profit Corporation	Naylor, Justin
Cornerstone Programs Corporation	9085 E. Mineral Circle #235	> Centennial	8	80112 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Maldonado, Daniel
Country Cottage, Inc.	435 E Tabernacle, Suite 201	St. George	5	84770 Proctor	Non-Profit Corporation	AhQuin, Joseph
Crossroads Youth Services, Inc	120 W Main Street	·Lehi	5	84043 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Ofahengaue, Carey
Ensign Peak Services, Inc.	3270 Meadowbrook Drive	~ West Valley City	5	84119 Proctor	Non-Profit Corporation	Hussey, Dianna
Extended Family, Inc.	704 North SR51	, Spanish Fork	5	84660 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Wiederhold, Terie
Foundations L.C.	4601 West 3245 South	.West Valley City	5	84120 Proctor	LLC/Sole Proprietor	Butler, Kraig
Front Line Services, Inc.	9287 South Redwood Road, Suite A	West Jordan	5	84088 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Memmott, Ricky Dean
KT&T Ventures LLC	1140 E 36th Street Suite 160	,Ogden	5	84403 Proctor	LLC/Partnership	Horman, Ben
Larry Linde, Inc.	31 E 1600 N	Spanish Fork	5	84660 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Linde, Corbin
Lighthouse Academy, L.L.C.	1500 S 580 W	Manti	5	84642 Proctor	LLC/Corporation	Bailey, Paul
Maleta A Barela	883 W 4100 S	Riverdale	5	84405 Proctor	Sole Proprietor	Barela, Maleta "Mickey"
Milestone Counseling Services, L.L.C.	3149 N HWY 89 #200	 Pleasant View 	5	84404 Proctor	LLC/Sole Proprietor	Campbell, Brian
New Beginnings PPA, Inc.	1478 West 1950 South	Syracuse	5	84075 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Moss, Cindy
Perfetto Clinical Contracting, Inc.	PO Box 900342	Sandy	5	84090-0342 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Perfetto, Bill
Pinnacle Youth Services, Inc.	3895 W 7800 S Suite 204	-West Jordan	5	84088 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Brown, Kevin
Pioneer Youth and Adult Community Services, Inc.	3030 S Main Street Suite 400	Salt Lake City	5	84115 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Olevao, Tiare
Quality Youth Services, Inc.	2240 N Highway 89 #C	Harrisville	5	84404 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Otsuka, Rebecca R.
Redwood Therapy and Youth Services, PLLC	154 W Main St	American Fork	5	84003-2359 Proctor	LLC/Professional	Reid, Adney
RISE, Inc.	1358 W Business Park Drive	Orem	5	84058 Proctor	Non-Profit Corporation	Bellah, Wendell
SAI, Inc.	2480 S Main Suite 205	Saft Lake City	5	84115 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Tavake, Sione

Stepping Stones Child Placement Agency, Inc.	t Agency, Inc.	1363 S State Street #140	- Salt Lake City	5	84115 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Valdez, Jody
Strengthening Teens, LLC		165 N 1330 W Suite A-1	Orem	5	84057 Proctor	LLC/Corporation	Landon, Eric
The Journey Counseling Center: Provo, LLC	Provo, LLC	619 N 500 W	Provo	5	84601 Proctor	LLC/Partnership	Goodman, Vicki
The Journey Counseling Center: Salt Lake, LLC	Salt Lake, LLC	741 E 9000 S Suite 100	Sandy	5	84094 Proctor	LLC/Partnership	Goodman, Vicki
The Journey Counseling Center: Sanpete, LLC	Sanpete, LLC	41 W 700 S	- Ephraim	5	84627 Proctor	LLC/Partnership	Goodman, Vicki
The Journey Counseling Center: Uintah, LLC	Uintah, LLC	134 W Main Street Suite 202	-Vernal	5	84078 Proctor	LLC/Partnership	Goodman, Vicki
The Journey, LLC		619 North 500 West	Provo	5	84601 Proctor	LLC/Partnership	Goodman, Vicki
Today's Youth, Inc.		349 North 780 East	-Lindon	5	84042 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Kennedy, Mary Kay
Tristan, Inc.		1140 36th Street Suite 202	, Ogden	5	84403 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Banks, Judy
Turnaround Solutions, Inc		357 S 200 E Suite 308	Salt Lake City	5	84111 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Robinson, Sallee
Turning Point Family Care, Inc.		PO Box 789	· Washington	5	84780 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Milne, CPA, Adam
Utah Family Care LLC		3575 S 3200 W #6C	, West Valley City	5	84119 Proctor	LLC/Partnership	Lavekihelotu, Maria
Utah Youth Village		5800 S Highland Drive	· Saft Lake City	5	84121 Proctor	Non-Profit Corporation	Draper, Shanna
UTBS Heart Inc		6011 Redwood Rd	Salt Lake City	5	84123 Proctor	Non-Profit Corporation	Sanders, Sarah
Youth Health Associates, Inc.		501 W 2600 S Suite 200	-Bountiful	5	84010 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Anderson, Steve
Youth Net Services, LLC		758 W 2100 N	Provo	5	84604 Proctor	LLC/Partnership	Tuiletufuga, Sonna
Youthtrack, Inc.		862 South Main Street # 4	Brigham City	5	84302-0887 Proctor	For-Profit-Corporation	Stringam, Scott
			25				

Utah Division of Child and Family Services

Supported Allegations of Abuse of Children in Foster Care by Foster Caregiver or Residential Staff

FFY	10 October 1, 20	FFY10 October 1, 2009 to September 30, 2010	30, 2010			
PLACEMENT/LEVEL OF CARE	Instances of	Number of For Profit	For Profit	Not for Profit Public	Public	
	Senac	Perpetrators	Provider	Provider	Provider	Relative
DFBBrighter Futures	1	1	yes			
DFB-MILLCREEK CFS	3	3	yes			
DFB-New Beginnings PPA	1	1	yes			
DFB-Pinnacle Youth Service INC	1	1	yes			
DFB-THE STARLIGHT PROGRAM	1	1	yes			
DLS-FUTURES THROUGH CHOICES	1	1		yes		
FHX-RISE INC	2	1		yes		
Licensed Family Foster Home -non kin	24	8			yes	
Unlicensed kin foster family (BHR)	5	2				yes
Total Instances of Abuse	39					
Number of Unique Perpetrators	19	19				
Number of Unique Victims	27					

	11 October 1, 20	rrill October 1, 2010 to September 50, 2011	20, 2011			
PLACEMENT/LEVEL OF CARE	Instances of Abuse	Number of Perpetrators	For Profit Provider	Not for Profit Public Provider Provid	Public Provider	Relative
DHX-No Eastern Svs-Lakeside Logan Group Hm	2	1	yes			
DHX-PIONEER YOUTH	1	1	yes			
DHX/FHX-Rise Inc	4	4		yes		
DIB, Child & Family Empowerment	1	1	yes			
DPB CBTS INC	1	1	yes			
DPB- New Beginnings Respite Foster Plmt	1	1	yes			
DPB-NEW BEGINNINGS PPA	1	1	yes			
DPB Utah Youth Village	1	1		yes		
DPB-FOUNDATIONS LC	5	2	yes			
Licensed kin foster family home	5	2				Á
Licensed family foster home-non kin	4	4			yes	
Unlicensed kin foster family (BHR)	3	2				γ
Total Instances of Abuse	29					
Number of Unique Perpetrators	21	21				
Number of Unique Victims	25					

FFY1	12 October 1, 20	FFY12 October 1, 2011 to September 30, 2012	30, 2012			
PLACEMENT/LEVEL OF CARE	Instances of	Number of For Profit		Not for Profit Public	Public	
	Apnse	Perpetrators Provider		Provider	Provider	Relative
DHX-Rise	7	2		yes		
DSF-YHA/Clearfield East Eagle	1	1	yes			
DPB-KT & T Ventures LLC	1	1	yes			
Licensed family foster home -non kin	2	2			yes	
Total Instances of Abuse	9					
Number of Unique Perpetrators	9	9				
Number of Unique Victims	4					

FFY1	13 October 1, 20	FFY13 October 1, 2012 to September 30, 2013	30, 2013			
PLACEMENT/LEVEL OF CARE	Instances of Abuse	Number of For Profit Perpetrators Provider	For Profit Provider	Not for Profit Public Provider Provid	Public Provider	Relative
DMD-Youth Utah Village/Sorensen	2	1		yes		
DBF- Extended Family	4	1	yes			
DPB-Youth Net Services LLC	1	1	yes			
BGH-JJS plmt with Anchor Stone Youth Services	1	1			yes	
PC1-Foundations LC	1	1	yes			
Licensed Family Foster Home -non kin	6	5			yes	
Total Instances of Abuse	18					
Number of Unique Perpetrators	10	10				
Number of Unique Victims	15					

	Relative
	Public Provider
	Not for Profit Public Provider Provide
30, 2014	For Profit Provider
'Y14 October 1, 2013 to September 30, 2014	Number of For Profit Perpetrators Provider
14 October 1, 20	Instances of Abuse
FFY1	ACEMENT/LEVEL OF CARE

DOO DISTANTANTANTANTANTANTANTANTANTANTANTANTANT	7					
UPB -Pimt Foundations LC Respite	Т	7	yes			
DPB-Youthtrack	1	1	yes			
WHX-DSPD Waiver Chrysalis Group Home	1	1		yes		
DHX- Community Trmt	1	1		yes		
Licensed tribal foster family home	1	1				yes
Licensed kin foster family home	5	2				yes
Licensed Family Foster Home -non kin	7	9			yes	
Unlicensed kin foster family (BHR)	1	Ļ				yes
Total Instances of Abuse	18					
Number of Unique Perpetrators	14	14				
Number of Unique Victims	18					

Note: Instances of abuse of a combination of victim and perpetator

RESPONSE OF COMMITTEE STAFF TO OCTOBER 26TH LETTER FROM MENTOR

On October 26, 2017, the MENTOR Network sent Chairman Hatch and Ranking Member Wyden a letter following the report's public release on October 17, 2017. The letter outlines several areas of disagreement with respect to the report's findings. Finance Committee staff agreed to make this letter public on the Committee website to give the company an opportunity to express its views in the record.

As indicated below, Committee staff agreed that MENTOR raises valid points in its letter. However, Committee staff disagreed with the two main issues raised by the company in the October 26th letter. With respect to the other issues raised, the bipartisan Committee staff has considered them and stands by the report. The October 26th letter from MENTOR and the Committee staff's response are included here.

WILMERHALE

Reginald J. Brown

October 26, 2017

By E-Mail

Beijing

+1 202 663 6430 (t) +1 202 663 6363 (f) reginald.brown@wilmerhale.com

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch Chairman Committee on Finance United States Senate 219 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510-6200

The Honorable Ron Wyden Ranking Member Committee on Finance United States Senate 219 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510-6200

Dear Chairman Hatch and Ranking Member Wyden:

Thank you for your continued dedication to the critically important issues surrounding foster care in this country. As you know, MENTOR voluntarily cooperated throughout the entire course of the Committee's two-and-a-half year inquiry into privatized foster care. It produced more than 5,000 pages and provided an additional 16,000 pages for in camera review. To our knowledge, no other entity cooperated to nearly the same level. Since the early days of the Committee's inquiry in 2015, MENTOR requested an opportunity to bring some of its child welfare experts to Washington to brief Committee staff and answer questions, and it appreciated the opportunity to engage with Committee staff on October 5, 2017.

Given MENTOR's nearly 40-year track record of providing foster care services to children with complex conditions, MENTOR believes it is uniquely qualified to provide feedback on the policy recommendations contained in the Committee's recent report dated October 17, 2017. MENTOR is pleased with the recommendations to support funding and oversight for foster parent and caseworker recruitment and retention, as well as the recommendation to allow states and tribes to use title IV-E funds to support evidence-based services aimed at safely preventing entries into foster care. If implemented, these reforms could go a long way towards addressing some of the chronic issues facing child welfare service providers.

MENTOR is concerned, however, that several items in the report that are specific to MENTOR are either wrong or create an impression that is inaccurate. In particular, MENTOR wanted to raise two key issues:

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20006

Berlin Boston Brussels Denver Frankfurt London Los Angeles New York Palo Alto Washington

Hon. Orrin Hatch, Chairman October 26, 2017 Page 2

WILMERHALE

- First, the report uses "unexpected deaths" as a benchmark to create the misimpression that a death marked as "unexpected" in MENTOR's internal incident reporting system represents a lapse or a failure by MENTOR. MENTOR strongly disagrees with this characterization; use of the data in this way is inaccurate and unnecessarily prejudicial. As demonstrated by the data MENTOR provided, "unexpected" deaths do not reflect a lapse or failure.
 - Internal incident reports, from which the number of "expected" and "unexpected" deaths were derived, are completed within hours of the occurrence of an incident and represent the facts as known by the author at that early point in time. In most states, these must be completed within 24 hours of the incident or less.
 - o The reports are completed prior to any internal or external investigation, autopsy, or medical examiner review. Unless a child's death was imminent, for example a child in hospice care, it is categorized as "unexpected." That does not mean, however, that a child died due to abuse, neglect or maltreatment.
 - This point is underscored by a review of the following examples, each of which
 was characterized as an "unexpected" death on MENTOR incident reports, but
 none of which had anything to do with abuse, neglect or a failure by MENTOR:
 - A 20-year-old with cerebral palsy who became unresponsive at her private school after waking from a nap; she subsequently passed away at the hospital. [See MENTOR0004922-04925]
 - A 5-month-old baby with diagnoses including partial trisomy 14, heart disease, hypothyroidism, Dandy-Walker Malformation, and a history of seizures. The child stopped breathing and passed away; 911 was called but resuscitation efforts were not performed by paramedics because the baby had a Do Not Resuscitate order in place. [See MENTOR0005077-5080]
 - An 18-year-old who was on an approved home visit with his biological uncle and was shot and killed in a restaurant during an attempted robbery. [See MENTOR0005125-5128]
 - O Leaving readers with a misimpression that an "unexpected" death is a preventable death is counterproductive, prejudicial, and incorrect. To the best of MENTOR's recollection, the Committee did not ask for a definition of "unexpected," nor did it ask how MENTOR uses that term. Given the misunderstanding this has created for readers of the Committee's report, the report's press release, and related press coverage, we believe it is important that this information be clarified.

Hon. Orrin Hatch, Chairman October 26, 2017 Page 3

WILMERHALE

- Second, MENTOR believes the report requires clarification of the Committee's analysis
 of the mortality data provided by MENTOR.
 - At no point did MENTOR mislead the Committee. MENTOR contracted with an independent third party—the Center for Developmental Disabilities Evaluation and Research (CDDER) at the University of Massachusetts Medical School—for its mortality analysis.
 - As MENTOR demonstrated in the data provided to the Committee, it provides therapeutic foster care and higher-acuity services at a rate four times higher than the national average. This includes a large number of medically fragile children, yet the report fails to account for this important distinction.
 - The data that MENTOR provided included multiple benchmarks and explained the rationale behind each, as none of the benchmarks allow for a perfect applesto-apples comparison.
 - As the Committee is aware, the mortality analysis was completed by an experienced and respected biostatistician affiliated with CDDER. It was her professional judgement to provide three different benchmarks to provide as comprehensive of an analysis as possible.
 - In critiquing her work, the Committee's report focused on two of the three benchmarks but overlooked entirely the benchmark comparing deaths as a percentage of discharges. Regardless of whatever disagreement the Committee may have with the benchmarks, it is worth noting that the national mortality rate as a percentage of discharges for 2015, the last year for which this data is available, was .138% compared to a 5-year average rate for MENTOR of .140%. That means MENTOR's mortality rate as a percentage of discharges is just 1.4% higher—and it serves a much more acute population.
 - Characterizing the material that MENTOR provided as "false" impugns
 the company and the professional competence and integrity of the
 biostatistician. The characterization is also inappropriate: the data is in
 fact accurate.
 - Moreover, the Committee's report cited an out-of-date analysis that included data through 2014 rather than an updated analysis provided to the Committee in September 2017 which included data through 2017. All benchmarks reflect better outcomes over this broader time frame.
 - Finally, MENTOR asks that you correct the record with regard to MENTOR's cooperation in reviewing the mortality data; we have provided you with an email

Hon. Orrin Hatch, Chairman October 26, 2017 Page 4

WILMERHALE

indicating that MENTOR did not object to peer review and in fact on March 31, 2016, specifically authorized the Committee to share the material for the purpose of completing a peer analysis.

Also attached for your benefit are additional points of clarification. For purposes of ensuring the long-term probative value of the report, MENTOR respectfully requests that the Committee update its report to reflect this feedback prior to submitting to the GPO for printing. Alternatively, MENTOR requests that the Committee include this letter and attachment as an appendix to the Committee's report, or separately enter it into the congressional record.

MENTOR has a long history of serving the highest-need children and achieving positive outcomes. It is proud of the work that it does and the communities that it serves, and it looks forward to continuing to work with the Committee and its state and local regulators to make sure that children in foster care receive the care that they need and deserve.

Sincerely,

Reginald J. Brown

1.172-

Enclosure

Appendix A - Key Points of Clarification

1. The report states that these incident reports provided by MENTOR "capture the agency's most serious incidents of injury, assault, abuse, or other similar events." It also notes that 86 children died during a ten-year period (FY2005-FY2014). [See, e.g., Report at 20]

- It is inaccurate to state that the incident reports provided to the Committee represent
 "[MENTOR's] most serious incidents of injury, assault, abuse, or other similar events."
 In fact, some reports represent very ill children who succumbed to their medical
 conditions in the loving home of a family rather than in an institution or hospital.
- The most recent data that MENTOR provided to the Committee included information and incident reports for all foster care deaths for a 13-year period from 10/1/2004 to 9/30/2017. 94 children passed away during that period.
- The critical context that was not clear in the report or the subsequent reporting is that of
 those children, 56 had medically complex conditions and/or diagnosis(es) that would
 cause premature death. At least 48 of the 56 are believed to have succumbed to those
 medical complexities. There was no injury, assault, abuse, or anything similar implicated
 in their deaths.
- Other deaths also resulted from circumstances unrelated to MENTOR's care. For example:
 - 9 children died out of MENTOR's care. This includes deaths that occurred during visits with the biological family, at school, out in the community, and traffic fatalities.
 - 2 deaths were ruled homicides involving biological family members. These were children that were abused by their biological families, placed into MENTOR's care, and succumbed to their injuries while in care.
 - One was the victim of a drive by shooting.
- In fact, just a small subset of the 94 involved findings of abuse or neglect. The most
 recent data provided to the Committee covered the last three years of care. There were
 ten deaths over this period. We are not aware of a single finding of abuse or neglect by a
 MENTOR employee or foster parent in connection with those deaths.
- Put simply, it is inaccurate to imply that all the children that unexpectedly passed away during that period died due to abuse, neglect or maltreatment in a MENTOR home.
- 2. As support for the claim that children in care with private for-profit agencies have been "abused, neglected and denied services," the report notes that the "vast

majority of children who died were not the subject of internal investigations" and "autopsy reports which were pending years ago were excluded from files." Elsewhere the report alleges that only 13 internal investigations were done as a result of these deaths. [See, e.g., Report at 2, 21]

Key Points:

- The claim that only 13 internal investigations were conducted is inaccurate. This
 represents only the number of investigations underway and known to the MENTOR field
 employee reporting the incident immediately after the incident took place. Investigations
 frequently are initiated after the incident report has been filed.
- Moreover, in many states, MENTOR is not permitted access to autopsy reports. In fact, MENTOR often requests the autopsy reports and is denied. A child who passes away in care is discharged from MENTOR's care and MENTOR no longer has the right or authority to request medical information, including autopsy reports and other medical records.
- The report further asserts that the incident reports "include information that is
 diagnostically inaccurate." [Report at 22] MENTOR's incident reports reflect the health
 diagnoses in the child's case file as supplied by the state and external medical
 professionals.
- The report notes that the "incident reports contain information that conflicts with media reports of the incident." [Report at 23] This perfectly illustrates the issue with treating an incident report as the full accounting of the circumstances surrounding an incident rather than what it is: a snapshot of what is known in the moments immediately following the incident. It is not accurate to say that the incident report and media reports "conflict"; in this case, the child in fact did suffer cardiac arrest. Only after a full investigation was it determined that the foster mother played a role in his death.
- 3. The report suggests that the states of Illinois and Texas initiated the decision to terminate MENTOR's contract. [See e.g., Report at 12]

- MENTOR made the decision to discontinue providing services in these states; any suggestion to the contrary is incorrect.
- In 2014—before either press or the Committee began inquiring about MENTOR's child
 welfare services—the company's executive team made a decision to conduct a
 comprehensive strategic review of all our children's services. As MENTOR CEO Bruce
 Nardella said at the time, this effort, which was undertaken in close collaboration with
 third-party experts, was focused on consistent "clinical and service excellence" and "the
 goals of safety, permanency and stability for each young person" in MENTOR's care.

- A number of important management actions resulted from that strategic review, including:
 - Scaling down MENTOR's services for at-risk youth and focusing on a smaller number of states;
 - Implementing a single evidence-informed, safety-oriented foster care model across all states; and
 - Establishing and supporting a Center of Excellence composed of child welfare experts to enhance training programs and clinical support, monitor adherence to internal and external standards, and measure and report on outcomes.
- As a result of the strategic review, in mid-2015, MENTOR decided to exit the states of Louisiana, Indiana, Florida, North Carolina, and Texas as a foster care provider.
 Separately, MENTOR earlier had decided to exit Illinois.
 - In a letter dated March 17, 2015, MENTOR and Alliance Human Services jointly notified DFCS of our decisions to withdraw from providing at-risk youth services in Illinois. This was explained to the Committee in our production at MENTOR0001773.
 - In a meeting with two company representatives in the fall of 2015 after MENTOR had announced its decision to exit the foster care service in Texas, Texas DFPS Commissioner John Specia indicated his disappointment in MENTOR's decision and noted the valued role MENTOR had played in the state for many years.
- 4. The report features a case study from the state of Maryland as an example of MENTOR's failures as a provider and to illustrate the alleged risks of privatized foster care. [See Report at 13-14]

- The appendix to the Committee's report includes documentation from the state of Maryland that indicates that there were 39 substantiated cases of abuse during the period 2010-2014. Despite the fact that there were 39 substantiated cases of abuse in that five-year period in Maryland, the report highlighted only a case involving MENTOR.
- Even a single case of abuse or neglect is one too many. But to focus on MENTOR without accompanying context misrepresents the quality of services that MENTOR provides.
- Of the 39 substantiated cases of neglect and abuse, the state of Maryland reported to the Committee that only 6% of the cases were the responsibility of a for-profit provider.

 With respect to the MENTOR case, an Administrative Law Judge noted in 2012 that MENTOR Maryland had a "stellar" record as a foster care provider and wrote, with respect to this case, that there was a systemic failure and multiple parties were deceived. He wrote:

"Social workers and therapists from the Appellant (MENTOR), as well as from the DJS and the local DSS had constant and regular contact with the children who were being abused and even inquired of the children whether they were safe, felt safe or whether they were afraid. None of these people discovered the abuse. Even therapists, psychologists, and psychiatrists most closely interacting with the children found nothing amiss. Even the biological parents of the children, who in some cases had frequent interaction with the children, failed to detect any abuse."

5. The report features a case study from the state of Texas as another example of the alleged risks of privatized foster care. [See, e.g., Report at 18]

- Across all providers, 47 children died while in foster care in Texas from FY2012-2013. At least 10 of those fatalities were attributed to abuse or neglect. One of those deaths occurred in MENTOR's care. Yet only the MENTOR incident is studied in the report. We believe that the other 9 children who died of abuse or neglect were in the care of public or non-profit providers during the same period.
- 6. The report states that MENTOR was "often out of compliance" with regard to background checks. As support for this, the report claims that MENTOR "waived" the outcomes of background checks in the case of the Maryland home. It also states that Texas MENTOR "placed children in a home with a household member who had previously been convicted of aggravated kidnapping and robbery when she kidnapped a pregnant convenience store employee." [Report at 13]
 - This section contains several inaccuracies. First, the report states the following: "Committee staff found that the husband of a foster parent, who was later convicted of sexually abusing foster children in their home, had been the subject of four previous abuse allegations. The MENTOR worker marked in handwriting on the criminal background search results, 'Not Mentor [sic] parent,' presumably indicating that the husband's criminal history was irrelevant because the foster mother was the primary caretaker."
 - o This is incorrect. The background check to which the report refers was conducted in 2010, a decade after MENTOR originally opened the home. The notation on the document ("Not Mentor parent") was to indicate that the background check yielded results for an entirely different person with the same first and last name (as evidenced by the different middle initial, date of birth and spelling of "Steven").

- No criminal background checks for Stephen Merritt reflected any sexual abuse.
- With regard to the report's allegations about background check issues in Texas, the "household member" refers to the adult daughter of Sherill Small, the foster parent convicted of murdering Alexandria Hill. The daughter did not reside in the home, and thus was not a "household member." Moreover, she was in no way involved in the death of the child.

STAFF RESPONSE

Unexpected vs. Expected Deaths

MENTOR objects to the categorization of "unexpected deaths" in the report, noting this is not a term generally used by State child welfare agencies or otherwise. On page 2 of the October 26th letter, the company asserts "...the report uses 'unexpected deaths' as a benchmark to create the misimpression that a death marked as 'unexpected' in MENTOR's internal incident reporting system represents a lapse or a failure by MENTOR." While the bipartisan staff report made no assertion or representation that expected or unexpected deaths constituted fault or blame, we acknowledge MENTOR's concern that its use could be misinterpreted by others. Committee staff were not trying to establish a new substantive standard, but instead simply used a term that MENTOR itself used in its Level 4 incident reports. Use of this term was also intended to help explain the implications of the data, presented by MENTOR, in its mortality report. Staff did not intend to create any impression that its use implicated MENTOR as to the cause or circumstances of the event.

As explained in the report (beginning on page 21), Section D ("Incident Descriptors") on each of MENTOR's Level 4 incident reports has a check-box if the death is expected or unexpected. If the report did not involve a death, the box is left blank. The report never discusses the use of this term otherwise. Committee staff did not question or second-guess MENTOR's reporting of whether a death was expected or unexpected. The Committee Print simply reported the outcome MENTOR's documents reported. Committee staff did so to test the contention made by MENTOR that it would be expected to have a higher number of deaths because they had more children requiring therapeutic foster care, or "TFC" services. Part of the justification for including the incident reports in the Committee Print's appendices was for readers to have the opportunity to see the circumstances surrounding child fatalities as well as the health conditions. However, as MENTOR observed in its letter, it did not have access to autopsy findings at the time reports were completed nor, in some cases, afterwards. The committee staff did not itself request or review autopsy findings.

Peer Review of the MENTOR Mortality Report

The company letter also questions the report's critique of MENTOR's mortality report and its statements regarding the extent to which MENTOR allowed it to be submitted for peer review by the Committee. The letter addresses the issue of peer review on pages 3 and 4, noting a March 31, 2016 email "specifically authoriz[ing] the Committee to share the material for the purpose of completing a peer analysis."

The Committee Print does *not* say MENTOR refused to have the mortality study peer reviewed. The report says: "MENTOR indicated that this would only be possible with the company's approval," which reflects Committee staff's understanding of the company's position. As the March 2016 email noted, the company permitted the mortality study "being shared with Federal Government-employed statisticians for purposes of doing a peer review, al-

though we would otherwise like to keep the information confidential." Consequently, the bipartisan staff's understanding of MENTOR's position, as conveyed in this email and in other exchanges, was that the submission of the mortality report by the Committee to outside, academic child welfare experts for peer review was not authorized.

It should also be clear that Finance Committee staff did not request the mortality study. It was presented to the bipartisan staff by MENTOR as a principal element of its defense of its performance. Consequently, staff devoted a significant effort to its analysis, including a phone interview with the principal author on November 4, 2016. This analysis was done primarily by Dr. Emily Douglas, then a Society for Research in Child Development fellow with Senator Wyden's office. Dr. Douglas is also a specialist in this field. The same criticisms detailed in the report were communicated to the researcher and company representatives on the November 4, 2016 call.

Other Matters Raised

The MENTOR letter raises other concerns, such as the extent to which the staff accurately characterized the information contained in MENTOR's incident reports and accurately described individual deaths and related events based on those reports. For example, one includes a death ultimately determined to have been caused by a foster parent, but reported in an incident report as a cardiac arrest. Staff concluded that that incident report was inconsistent with the actual cause of death. MENTOR points out in its letter that they are not necessarily inconsistent given the information that was available at the time the incident report was completed. Staff agrees that they are not necessarily inconsistent.

As explained fully in the report, MENTOR, one of the largest foster care providers, was used as a case study of how foster care services are provided within the current foster care system. It was not possible, nor necessary, to investigate every other foster care provider. Although the report compared MENTOR's performance to State and national averages, it repeatedly noted that staff was not drawing conclusions about how MENTOR performed against other

individual providers.